

VEDIC VARIANTS



Exclusively Distributed by

**MUNSHIRAM MANOHARLAL
PUBLISHERS PVT. LTD.**

54, RANI JHANSI ROAD, NEW DELHI-110055

VEDIC VARIANTS

A Study of the Variant Readings in the Repeated
Mantras of the Veda



BY

MAURICE BLOOMFIELD

*Late Professor of Sanskrit and Comparative Philology
in The Johns Hopkins University*

FRANKLIN EDGERTON

*Salisbury Professor of Sanskrit and Comparative Philology
in Yale University*

AND

MURRAY BARNSON EMENEAU

*Research Fellow in Sanskrit
in Yale University*

Volume III

NOUN AND PRONOUN
INFLECTION

ORIENTAL REPRINT



Oriental Books Reprint Corporation

Book Publishers, 54 Rani Jhansi Road, New Delhi-55

First Indian Edition 1979
First published in 1934 by
Linguistic Society of America

Rs. 130

PUBLISHED BY ORIENTAL BOOKS REPRINT CORPORATION,
54 RANI JHANSI ROAD, NEW DELHI 110055 AND
PRINTED BY MEHRA OFFSET PRESS, KUCHA CHELAN,
NEW DELHI 110002

CONTENTS

Preface	13
Abbreviations and symbols	15
Chapter I. Introduction and summary, §§1-126	17
Formal variants, §§2-8	17
Syntactic and stylistic variants, §§9-126	18
Transfer of epithet	20
Form attraction or assimilation	21
Phrase inflection	22
Miscellaneous variants	23
Arrangement of materials.....	23
Summary of syntactic variations	24
Vocative and other cases	25
Nominative and other cases	26
Accusative and other cases	27
Instrumental and other cases	29
Dative and other cases	33
Ablative and other cases	35
Genitive and other cases	36
Locative and other cases	39
Number	42
Gender	45
Dedicatory expressions	47

FIRST PART: FORMAL VARIANTS, CHAPTERS II-X

Chapter II. Duals in <i>au</i> and <i>ā</i> , of all declensions, §§127-136...	51
Chapter III. Fem. endings <i>ai</i> and <i>ās</i> as dat. or gen. (abl.) sing. §§137-152	56
Chapter IV. Stems in <i>a</i> , §§153-174	63
1 Nominative and vocative plural in <i>ās</i> : <i>āsas</i>	63
2 Neuter plural in <i>ā</i> or <i>ānī</i>	65
3. Instrumental plural in <i>ais</i> : <i>ebhis</i>	69
4. Ablative singular in <i>āt</i> and <i>tas</i>	70
5 Instrumental singular of <i>ā</i> stems in <i>ā</i> and <i>ayā</i>	70

Chapter V Short and long <i>i</i> stems, §§175-223	72
1. <i>ī</i> forms from <i>i</i> stems	72
2. <i>i</i> stems of uncertain quantity	78
3. <i>i</i> forms from <i>ī</i> stems	79
4. Interchange between different <i>ī</i> declensions	82
5. Other variants concerning <i>i</i> and <i>ī</i> stems	86
6. Alternative case endings within the same declension	86
Chapter VI Long and short <i>u</i> stems, §§224-251	90
1. <i>ū</i> forms (normally fem) from original <i>u</i> stems	91
2. <i>u</i> forms (normally masc-neut) from original <i>ū</i> stems	92
3. Influence of <i>devī</i> declension on <i>u</i> and <i>ū</i> stems	93
4. Alternative case endings within the same declension	95
Chapter VII <i>r</i> stems and consonant stems, §§252-288	98
1. Stems in <i>r</i>	98
2. Consonant stems. variant ablaut forms	99
3. Interinfluence of stems in <i>vant</i> , <i>vāns</i> , (<i>v</i>) <i>as</i> , <i>van</i>	101
4. Stems in <i>n</i>	102
5. Heteroclitlic stems and the like	106
Chapter VIII. Diphthongal stems, §§289-295	109
Chapter IX Adjectives and numerals, §§296-300	112
1. Feminine adjectives	112
2. Pronominal adjectives	113
3. Numerals	113
Chapter X Pronominal forms, §§301-320	114
1. Enclitic and orthotonic	114
2. Sporadic pronominal form variants	119

SECOND PART. SYNTACTIC AND STYLISTIC VARIANTS,

CHAPTERS XI-XXIX

Chapter XI Vocative and nominative, §§321-342	123
Direct address and indirect statement	123
Phrase inflection	128
Vocative and nominative of independent statement	135
Transfer of epithet	136
Miscellaneous	137
Chapter XII Vocative and accusative, §§343-352	140
Direct address and indirect statement	140
Phrase inflection	141
Transfer of epithet	143
Errors	145

Chapter XIII Vocative and other cases, §§353-371	146
A. Vocative and instrumental	146
B. Vocative and dative.	147
C. Vocative and ablative	150
D. Vocative and genitive.	150
E. Vocative and locative	153
Chapter XIV Nominative and accusative, §§372-407	155
1 Interchange of active and intransitive phraseology.	155
2 Phrase inflection	161
3 Interchange of subject and object	165
4 Nominative of separate statement varies with dependent accusative	168
5 Case attraction	172
6 Transfer of epithet	176
7. Neuter acc adverbs varying with nom adjectives	185
8 Miscellaneous and doubtful	186
Chapter XV. Nominative and instrumental, §§408-419	190
1 Instr of means or agent and subject nom	190
2 Associative instr and (collateral) subject or predicate nom	192
3 Instr of karmadhārayas (or separate instr.) and nom of bahuvrihis	194
4 Nom and instr of part dedicated in offering	195
5 Transfer of epithet	195
6 Nom of independent sentence and instr	198
7 Miscellaneous	198
Chapter XVI Nominative and dative, §§420-431	201
1 Dative of purpose varying with nominative	201
2 Dative varies with nom of secondary adjective in dedications	203
3 Dative varying with nominative of independent statement	203
4 Phrase inflection	204
5 Transfer of epithet	204
6 Miscellaneous	206
Chapter XVII Nominative and ablative or genitive, §§432-449	208
A Nominative and ablative	208
1 Abl. of source and subject nom. (passive · active)	208
2 Independent nominative and dependent ablative	208
3 Phrase inflection	209
4 Transfer of epithet	209
5 Miscellaneous	209
B Nominative and genitive	211
1 Partitive genitive and nominative	211

2 Possessive or descriptive genitive and nominative . . .	212
3 Independent nominative varying with dependent genitive	215
4. Transfer of epithet	216
5. Miscellaneous	218
Chapter XVIII' Nominative and locative, §§450-458 . . .	221
1 Independent nominative and dependent locative . . .	221
2 Phrase inflection	221
3. Case attraction	222
4. Transfer of epithet	222
5. Miscellaneous.. . . .	225
Chapter XIX Accusative and instrumental, §§459-477 . . .	229
1. Associative instrumental : complementary accusative	229
2 Other accs. and instrs , substantially equivalent	231
3. Acc of bahuvrīhis : instr of karmadhārayas (or separate instr.)	234
4 Adverbial accusatives and instrumentals	235
5 Case attraction	236
6. Other miscellaneous variants	236
7. Phrase inflection	238
8 Transfer of epithet	239
Chapter XX. Accusative and dative, §§478-492 . . .	241
1 After verbs of motion and the like	241
2. Dedicatory expressions	243
3. Dative of purpose : accusative (object or goal)	243
4 Miscellaneous final dative : accusative	244
5. Miscellaneous dative of interest : accusative	245
6. Transfer of epithet	247
Chapter XXI Accusative and ablative, §§493-499 . . .	249
1. With verbs of separation, guarding, etc	249
2 With prepositions	249
3 Miscellaneous	250
4 Transfer of epithet	252
Chapter XXII Accusative and genitive, §§500-522 . . .	254
1 With verbs governing both cases	254
2 With nouns containing verbal force	256
3 Dedicatory expressions	256
4 Descriptive or possessive genitive : modifying accusative	256
5 Partitive genitive : modifying accusative	259
6 Phrase inflection	260
7. Miscellaneous	260
8 Transfer of epithet	261

Chapter XXIII Accusative and locative, §§523-555	264
Accusative and locative of goal	264
1 With verbs of going, entering, mounting, and the like	265
2 Adverbial acc : loc	269
3 With verbs of placing, establishing (chiefly root <i>dhā</i>)	270
4 With various prepositions	272
5 With verbs other than those of motion	272
6. Locative absolute and accusative	273
7 Case attraction	273
8 Miscellaneous	274
9 Corruptions	275
10 Transfer of epithet	276
11 Phrase inflection	277
Chapter XXIV Instrumental and other oblique cases, §§556-611	278
A Instrumental and dative	278
1 As equivalents, with names of desirable qualities	278
2 With expressions of uniting	281
3 Other syntactic shifts	282
4 Case attraction	283
5 Corruptions	283
6. Phrase inflection	284
7 Transfer of epithet	284
B Instrumental and ablative	285
1 Instrumental of means and ablative of source	285
2 Instrumental of means and ablative of cause	286
3 With expressions of separation	287
4 Dependent on prepositional adverbs	288
5 In adverbial forms.	288
6 Case attraction, and miscellaneous	289
C. Instrumental and genitive	289
1 Objective genitive	289
2. Subjective genitive	290
3 Partitive genitive	290
4 Instr -gen of time	291
5 Case attraction	292
6 Miscellaneous	293
7. Transfer of epithet	296
D. Instrumental and locative	296
1. Time expressions	297
2 Place expressions	298
3 Instrumental of means or cause and locative	299

4. Instrumental of manner or accompaniment and locative	301
5 Instrumental and locative with verbal expressions of joining	302
6. Miscellaneous	302
7 Phrase inflection	303
8 Transfer of epithet	304
9 Textually doubtful or corrupt	304
Chapter XXV. Dative and ablative, genitive, locative, §§612-655	305
A Dative and ablative	305
B Dative and genitive	306
1 With adjectives meaning 'pleasant' and the like	307
2 With nouns of uniting	308
3 Dative of interest and (possessive?) genitive	308
4 Dative of purpose	312
5 Dative and genitive with verbs	313
6 Phrase inflection	314
7 Miscellaneous	314
8 Errors	315
C Dative and locative	315
1 After verbs	315
The roots <i>dhā</i> and <i>dā</i>	316
Other expressions of placing and giving	317
Verbs of uniting	318
Verbs of bringing and the like	319
Verbs of saying	319
Miscellaneous verbs	319
2 With adjectives and nouns meaning 'pleasing' and the like.	320
3 Miscellaneous	320
Chapter XXVI Ablative, genitive, and locative, §§656-684	322
A Ablative and genitive	322
Ablative of source or separation and genitive	322
Case attraction	323
B Ablative and locative	323
1 Ablative as whence-case and locative as where-case	323
2 With prepositions	325
3 In adverbial forms	325
4 Case attraction	326
5 Phrase inflection	326
6 Miscellaneous	326
C Genitive and locative	327
1 Locative of position : adnominal genitive	327

2	After the preposition <i>antar</i>	329
3	Adverbial uses	330
4.	Dependent on verbs	330
5	Transfer of epithet	331
6	Miscellaneous	331
Chapter XXVII Singular and plural, §§685-743		332
1	Generalizing singular and plural	332
	<i>Āmredita</i> compounds	332
	Words connected with the ritual	333
	Divine personages	338
	Words meaning people . . , geographical terms, etc	339
	Words denoting living beings	342
	Words meaning enemy, demon, evil, etc	345
	Words meaning a boon of some sort	348
	Words meaning act of heroism, power, etc.	350
	Words denoting various abstract concepts	352
	Words denoting parts of the body etc	352
	Seasonal and meteorological	354
	Utensils and other articles	355
	Plurale tantum	355
	Adjectives and pronouns	356
	Personal pronouns, referring to priests and associates	358
2	The neuter singular (and plural)	368
3	Plural of <i>visva</i> with singular noun	370
4	Elliptic plural	370
5	Adverbs	371
6.	Adjectives agreeing with one or several of a group	371
7	Transfer of epithet	372
8	Phrase inflection	374
9	Pluralization, or the reverse, in same context	377
10	Form assimilation	378
11	Change of words or meanings of a word.	379
12	Doubtful or erroneous	380
Chapter XXVIII Dual and other numbers, §§744-778		381
1	Elliptic dual and <i>devatā-dvandvas</i>	381
2	Collective singular varies with dual	383
3	Dual and plural of parts of the body	384
4	Other entities conceived as dual or plural	385
5	Generalizing dual (for sing) and plural	385
6	Different agreement of adjectives and other epithets	386

7	Transfer of epithet	387
8	Phrase inflection	388
9	Dualization, or the reverse, in same context	391
10	Form assimilation	396
11	Corruptions and errors	397
Chapter XXIX Gender, §§779-852		399
1	Male and female persons and animals	399
2	Variation of nouns in grammatical gender	400
3	Distinctive feminine forms varying with masculine as 'common gender'	407
4	The indefinite neuter	408
5	Adverbial forms	412
6	Pronouns referring to nouns of different gender	416
7	Pronouns agreeing with antecedent or predicate noun	417
8.	Compound nouns	417
9	Nom agentis (masc) : nom actionis (fem)	418
10.	Substitution of one noun for another	418
11.	Transfer of epithet	423
12	Phrase inflection	426
Index of subjects		435
Index of words and stems		436
Index of mantras		437

PREFACE

This third volume of the *VEDIC VARIANTS* deals with the inflectional forms of nouns, adjectives, and pronouns. The general plan and purposes of the *VEDIC VARIANTS* series have been set forth in the preface to Volume 1, *The Verb* (1930). Volume 2, *Phonetics*, appeared in 1932.

Except for a preliminary and incomplete collection of materials, Bloomfield's relation to this volume does not go beyond the formulation of the original project. On the other hand, I have had the benefit of active assistance from my friend and pupil Dr. Murray B. Emeneau, who supplemented Bloomfield's lists of materials by extensive additions of his own collectanea, and prepared a preliminary draft of the entire book. I hope that it may be possible for him to continue this cooperation in future volumes of the series; this volume has benefited greatly by his industry and acumen. I have, however, worked over the entire book in the most intimate way, and the responsibility for its final form is wholly mine.

During correction of the proof, we received Hanns Oertel's valuable monograph, "*Zur Kapiṣṭhala-Kaṭha-Saṁhitā*" (*SBBAW.ph.-h Abt.*, 1934, Heft 6). We felt able to make only the most important additions from its materials; these are indicated by references to "Oertel" followed by a page-number. They include all appropriate variants not previously noted by us.

The publication of this volume, as of its predecessors, has been made possible by the very generous financial aid of the *LINGUISTIC SOCIETY OF AMERICA* and of the *AMERICAN COUNCIL OF LEARNED SOCIETIES DEVOTED TO HUMANISTIC STUDIES*, to both of which we are deeply grateful.

FRANKLIN EDGERTON.

ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

The standard abbreviations used in this volume are the same as in its predecessors VV = Vedic Variants; the numerals 1 and 2 after VV refer to the first and second volumes Vedic texts are referred to by the abbreviations used in the Concordance *RVRep* = Bloomfield's *Rigveda Repetitions*.

The asterisk * indicates a variant reading in one text when the mantra occurs in that same text with the reading for which this variant is a substitute; that is, it calls attention to the occurrence of both forms of the variation in the same text

The dagger † is used to call attention to a quotation which needs to be corrected in the Concordance.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

§1. This volume of the Vedic Variants deals with the inflection of nouns, pronouns, and adjectives, and aims to include all variations in the inflected forms of such words in the repeated mantras of the Veda, except as indicated in §9. The material falls into two divisions, which we call formal variants, and syntactic and stylistic variants. Under formal variants we include all variations between what are intended as equivalent forms for the same case, number, and gender. Under syntactic and stylistic variants are included the rest, that is variations in case, number, and gender. The latter are, as was to be expected, much more numerous than the former.

FORMAL VARIANTS

§2. The formal variants constitute a kind of addendum to the third volume of Wackernagel's great grammar, which, we need scarcely say, has been constantly consulted by us and has been of infinite value. Students of that masterly work will find, we hope, that it is supplemented and at times corrected by this book.

§3. We begin with variation between the dual endings *ā* and *au* in all declensions (Ch. II). They are fairly numerous and interesting, but reveal nothing new in principle. Next (Ch. III) the variations between the feminine endings *ai* and *ās* in the dative and ablative-genitive singular, which put this important matter in a somewhat new light. Not only may *ai* forms be used as ablatives as well as genitives, but also *ās* forms as datives; the latter fact has so far as we know, never before been specifically recognized.

§4. Coming now to formal variants in the *a* declension specifically (Ch. IV), we take up first the nom. pl. in *ās* or *āsas*, which is largely a matter of meter. The same is true of the more numerous variations in the neuter plural *ā* and *āni*, and of the relatively few interchanges of the instr. pl. in *ais* and *ebhis*. The abl. sg. endings *āt* and *(a)tas* interchange but rarely, and so far as we have noticed only in pronouns and pronominal adjectives. Still rarer, and somewhat dubious, are the interchanges of *ā* and *ayā* in the fem. instr. sg.

§5. The next chapter (V) is a long one, and takes up variant forms of the short and long *i* declensions. That there is much interplay between these declensions has long been recognized, but the extent and variety of these interchanges as here revealed is surprising and goes farther at a number of points than has been shown by any previous treatment. Next comes a much shorter but still fairly lengthy chapter (VI) on variations in the short and long *u* stems, also with interesting results.

§6. In the *r* declension we find little except variations between long and short *r* in the gen. pl., and one or two shifts of ablaut in the stem final. Such shifts of ablaut form the first part of the section dealing with consonantal stems. It is followed by a section dealing with the interplay of *vanī*, *vāns*, (*v*)*as*, and *van* stems. Next a section on *n* stems; the principal variations here are in the neuter plural (*a* and *ā*, partly phonetic in character; very rarely *ā* or *a* and *āni*), oblique cases with and without intermediate ('euphonic') *a*; instrumentals in *nā* for *mnā* from *man* stems; and loc. sg. in *an* or *ani*, largely a matter of meter. This chapter (VII) is concluded with a few miscellaneous variants dealing with heteroclitic stems and the like.

§7. The short chapter (VIII) on diphthongal stems does not contain much of general interest. That on adjectives and numerals (IX) is also brief and not very important; it contains chiefly shifts between fem. adjectives in *ā* and *ī*, and nominal and pronominal forms from pronominal adjectives.

§8. Our formal variants conclude with a chapter (X) on the forms of pronouns. Except a few sporadic and miscellaneous variants listed at the end, they all concern interchange between enclitic and orthotonic pronouns, acc., dat., and gen. sg. and pl. Meter is apt to be concerned in them, and in the case of the acc. sg. forms also certain phonetic aspects, which have already been referred to in VV 2.

SYNTACTIC AND STYLISTIC VARIANTS

§9. These are much more numerous than the formal variants. We have found shifts between every one of the eight cases and every other, as well as between each of the three numbers and genders and every other. In these sections we usually group nouns, adjectives, and pronouns together, without distinction, since it is obvious that as a rule the principles governing shift of case in noun forms are just the same as in adjective and in pronoun forms. The lists are in general as complete as we could make them by repeated and intensive search.

However, in the variations in number we have included complete lists of pronoun variants only when there is no change of person or stem. That is, we have tried to include all shifts between pronouns of e.g. the 1st sg. and 1st pl. and between 2d sg. and 2d pl., but not of 1st sg. and 2d pl. or vice versa. To have included the latter would have swollen the lists out of all proportion to the value of the additional materials for our present subject; in so far as they have a bearing on number, this bearing is sufficiently illustrated by the examples we have included.—In a few other cases, all specifically noted, we have given only examples, rather than complete lists, of formally similar variants occurring in the same passage.

§10. As was to be expected, there are great differences in the frequency of interchange between the various categories. Certain of the cases—such as vocative and locative—hardly ever exchange, while others—such as nominative and accusative—exchange very frequently. Case variations as a whole are much more numerous than those of number and gender, and number variations much more numerous than those of gender.

§11. Roughly speaking we may think of these variants as falling into two main categories. One group consists of those in which the variation has an immediate relation to the meaning of the two varying morphemes. Thus, first, we may find the substitution of one construction for another, where both are equally possible, without change of meaning, or with so little change that the meanings are essentially identical. For instance, after certain prepositions and certain verbs different oblique cases may be used without difference of meaning. Or a double dual may vary with a pair of singulars. Or a noun may vary in gender, and consequently its form, or the form of a modifying adjective or pronoun, may vary, without difference in meaning. Or the difference of meaning may be a very slight one, such that similar changes occur in considerable numbers in Vedic tradition, as is the case with instrumentals and datives like the following: the RV. *pāda sam tam sincatu rādhasē* 'may he sprinkle it unto bounty' is changed in KS., in the like context, to *sam tat sticatu rādhasā* 'may he sprinkle it with bounty,' which means virtually the same thing. There are very many cases of this sort among the interchanges of case, and they constitute one of the most interesting and important results of this volume. They show interplay between the various case forms to a hitherto unsuspected extent.

§12. There are all possible gradations between absolute equivalence and marked difference of meaning in connection with such shifts of

form On the whole, we recognize it as our duty to seek for differences of meaning, however slight, when different morphemes are used. But the important lesson of our materials is not the differences between variant syntactical usages; these were pretty well understood before. It is rather the surprising extent to which they play into one another. Constructions which at first sight seem to have nothing to do with one another turn out to be practically interchangeable on an astonishing scale. Who would think *a priori* that there could be any relation between the instrumental, of either accompaniment or means, and the final dative? Yet we find a large number of cases like that quoted above, in which the two are virtually synonymous. And we shall find that this is no isolated instance.

§13. The second large group of these variants consists of those which have no real relation to the syntactic uses of the variant morphemes, out whose interest consists in the light they throw on the processes of Vedic tradition. They illumine the ways in which the whole stock of mantra material was reworked in the course of centuries, but do not illustrate points of Vedic grammar.

Transfer of epithet

§14. Probably the most widespread of the processes here referred to is that to which we have given the name of 'transfer of epithet'. A change in number, gender, or case, or in two or all three of these, may signalize the application of an epithet (whether noun, adjective, or pronoun) to a different person or thing in one text from that to which it applies in another. Thus, RV 10 125 3cd reads *tām mā devā vy adadhuh purutrā, bhūrīsthāirām bhūry āveśayantīm*. In the repetition of this in the AV 4 30 2cd, *āveśayantīm* is changed to *āveśayantah*. The RV original means 'the gods have established me (Vāc) variously in many places, me of many stations, bestowing abundantly.' The epithet *āveśayantīm* here agrees with the object, Vāc. In the AV. it is made to agree with the subject, the gods. 'introducing (installing) me abundantly,' or 'making me enter into many things.' By this alteration the AV substitutes a nominative for an accusative, a plural for a singular, and a masculine for a feminine, yet obviously the change has no bearing on the syntax of case, number or gender. It interestingly illustrates the psychology of the Vedic traditionalists; whatever may have been precisely in the mind of the composer of the original form (this is a problem which may be left for exegetes of the passage), the AV. has a secondary *lectio facilior*, the meaning of which is simple

enough, evidently the AV. redactor stumbled over the recondite meaning of the passage in its Rigvedic form. See Edgerton, *Studies in Honor of Maurice Bloomfield*, 126

§15. Only slightly different is a case like the following. *tasmai devā amṛtāh* (AV *amṛtam*) *saṁ vyayanāṁ* (AV. °*tu*) AV. TS MS. ApMB, 'let the gods provide for him nectar' or 'let the immortal gods provide for him' (objects supplied from the preceding line). Here one of the two variant forms, in this case we believe the original one (that of AV.), contains an independent noun, not strictly speaking an 'epithet' of any other word, which in the other version is 'transferred' as an epithet to the word *devā(h)*. The reverse of this, in which the older form of the variant presents an 'epithet' which is given independent construction in the secondary form, also occurs (an example is found in the next paragraph) Such variants as these are also included by us, with a slight stretching of the term, in the category of 'transfer of epithet.'

§16. Transfers of epithet are extremely common, and are found as between each of the three genders and numbers and almost every two of the eight cases. Often the variation involves more change than that of the word-ending alone; the variant forms may be really different words, as in *asmābhyam indav indrayuh* (SV. *indriyam*) RV. SV; here the RV. *indrayuh* is an epithet of the subject of the verb (*pavasva*); SV changes it into *indriyam*, object of that verb. Since such variations really concern Vedic psychology rather than grammar and syntax, variants of this sort are included in our lists of 'transfer of epithet.'

Form attraction or assimilation

§17. The process described as 'transfer of epithet' involves in many, perhaps most, instances attraction in form (case, number, or gender) to another word in the context. We have, however, restricted the term 'form attraction' or 'assimilation' in a technical sense to a different group of variants. In them a word, without being made to refer to a different person or thing in such a way that the process can be described as 'transfer of epithet,' nevertheless suffers a change in form by attraction or assimilation to an adjacent word in the context. Such variants are fairly frequent as between different cases, and we then describe the process as 'case attraction.' They are much rarer as between different numbers and genders.

§18. The word exercising the attraction may be a name or epithet of the same person or thing to which the variant word refers, as in *yajñasya* (AV. *cittasya*) *mātā* (SMB. *mātaram*) *suhavā me* (AV. *no*) *astu*

AV TB. SMB (preceded in SMB. by *ākūlim devīm manasā prapadye*): 'I do homage with my mind to the goddess Ākūti, the mother of the sacrifice, may she be easily invoked for us.' So SMB, construing the epithet 'mother of the sacrifice' with the accusatives of the preceding pāda, while the other texts make it agree with the subject in its own pāda; but both refer to the same personage.

§19. Furthermore, however, the word exercising the attraction may not refer to the same entity as the attracted word. In such cases the result is a real difference of meaning as well as of construction. In the following formula we have two such attractions, each in a different text: *haviṣā yajña* (TB *yajñam*) *indriyam* (VS *°yauh*) VS MS KS TB. The original form was clearly that found in MS KS: '(They praised Indra,) his strength, with oblation, at the sacrifice'. In VS *indriyam* is attracted to the case of *haviṣā*: 'with oblation, with powers'. In TB *yajñe* is attracted to the case of *indriyam*: '(They praised Indra) with oblation, (praised) the sacrifice, (his) strength' (§473). Such changes as these are easy enough in the ritualistic language of the Vedic mantras, which are much more interested in impressive sound than in sense. They occur between most of the cases.

§20. Special attention may be called to a particular type of case attraction, in which one form of the variant contains a relative pronoun, most often in the nominative case (the defining or 'articulate' relative), as in *tam kravyūdāṁ aśīṣamāṁ* AV, *yah kravyūt tam aśīṣamāṁ* Kauś, 'I have appeased the flesh-eater' (§396). Here, if we regard the AV form as older (which however can scarcely be regarded as certain), the change is a sort of converse of case-attraction, the assimilated form being the older. But such instances can hardly be separated from the following, where the assimilated form, without the relative, is clearly secondary: *tam u śūhṛ yō antah sindhau sūnuh satyasya yuvānam* AV; *tam u śūhṛ antahsindhum sūnum satyasya yuvānam* AŚ, 'praise him who is within the waters, the son of truth, the youthful' (§394).

Phrase inflection

§21. The third type of variation which seems to us to illustrate the underlying psychology of Vedic tradition, rather than the grammar or syntax of the language, is that to which we have given the name of 'phrase inflection'. In it a phrase is lifted out of its original context and used in a different one, which requires a different form or construction for one or more of the words. Sometimes it is a single pāda which is borrowed and adapted to a new context, perhaps in the same

vicinity, as when AV. 4 34. 6b *ksīreṇa pūrṇā udalena dadhnā*, 'full of milk, water, and sour milk,' is repeated in the next stanza with *pūr-nān* for *pūrṇā(h)*. The stanzas are otherwise different; in the first the nom. case agrees with the nouns in the preceding pāda, *ghṛtahradā madhukūlāḥ surodakāḥ*, while in the second the acc. agrees with the preceding acc (*caturah kumbhānś caturdhā dadāmi*). The same sort of adaptation to new contexts occurs much more often in passages which are not so closely associated.

§22. A somewhat different type of 'phrase inflection' is illustrated by the following: *āyusmalīdam* (ApMB. HG. *āyusmān idam*, MG. once *āyusmann idam*) *pari dhatsya vāsah* AV. SMB ApMB PG. HG. MG. (bis), 'O long-lived one (or, being long-lived) do thou put on this garment.' In some texts the epithet is masculine, here the Aryan boy at the initiation ceremony is addressed. In others it is feminine; these address the bride at the marriage rite. An entire stanza may be thus adapted to a new *material* context (as distinguished from the different *verbal* context concerned in the variant quoted above), and such 'phrase inflection' is a very fruitful source of variation in number and gender, while case variation is usually associated rather with the type of the preceding paragraph. Yet the two do not differ much in essence and it is impossible to draw a sharp line between them. They include a great many variants which the Vedic ritualists would call *āhas* or *vikāras*.

Miscellaneous variants

§23. It should finally be said that, among the variations in case particularly, there are not a few that are miscellaneous and unclassifiable. Generally they involve rather sweeping reconstructions of the variant passage, with substitutions of different words and other drastic changes. For the sake of completeness we have tried to include even these, and indeed many of them have considerable interest, and throw light on the mental operations of the ritualistic traditionalists, and at times on the exegesis of individual passages.

Arrangement of the materials

§24. In arranging the 'syntactic and stylistic' variants (as distinguished from the 'formal variants', §1) we have decided, after some hesitation, to follow strictly the classifications suggested by the forms themselves. We shall begin with the variants of each case with every other case, whatever their nature, and then follow with the variants

of the three numbers and the three genders This involves separation of the variants of the types last described, cases of 'transfer of epithet', 'form attraction', 'phrase inflection', and miscellaneous, into thirty-odd possible divisions (not all showing actual examples), so that e.g. variants involving 'transfer of epithet' appear separately under variations of Vocative and Nominative, Vocative and Accusative, Vocative and Instrumental, and so on through the list Of course, such variants may be said logically to belong together, for they illustrate the same aspect of Vedic literary psychology, and nothing else In principle it makes no difference what case-forms, number-forms, or gender-forms are involved

§25. Despite this objection, we feel that it was impossible for us to do otherwise than follow the lines of formal variation For no rigid line can be drawn between the categories at certain points Form attraction or assimilation often seems to have been a contributory influence in bringing about a syntactic shift, which nevertheless occurs elsewhere without any such contributory influence Some types of 'phrase inflection' are closely similar to, and should certainly not be separated from, certain syntactic variations For instance, the shift between a vocative of direct address, usually with a second-person verb, and a nominative of indirect statement, typically with a third-person verb, is a genuine syntactic variation Yet it occurs also, and quite often, in cases where a formula is lifted from its original context and adapted to a new context, and where the change of case is precisely due to this fact, so that we have a genuine and typical case of 'phrase inflection' (see §329) By consulting our Table of Contents and the Index of Subjects it will be easy for any one interested to bring together all the instances of 'transfer of epithet' etc

SUMMARY OF SYNTACTIC VARIATIONS

§26. We shall now proceed to summarize very briefly the contents of the syntactic chapters, and to indicate the most important results that bear on Vedic grammar and syntax In this summary we shall ignore the variants dealing with 'transfer of epithet', 'form attraction', and 'phrase inflection' We shall begin with brief accounts of the most important and interesting ways in which each of the eight cases varies with the others, and follow with corresponding statements on variations of number and gender

Vocative and other cases

§27. Variations between the vocative and other cases usually regularize shift between direct address and indirect reference, as in *mātē-vāsmā adite* (ŚG. °*it*) *śarma yacha* (ŚG. *yanīsat*) AV. TS MS KS TB. TA ŚG ApMB., 'like a mother grant, O Aditi (Aditi rhell grant) protection unto him' (§§322, 326). However, in variants with the nominative, the latter may be appositional to a 2d person subject, so that direct address is found in both forms. Thus, *ā tiṣṭha mitrarar-dhanaḥ* (AV. °*na*) AV. KS TB † ApS †, 'approach increasing friends' or 'approach, O increaser of friends!' (§§323, 331).

§28. Similarly also with other cases in variation with *voc*. Thus, a vocative of direct address may vary with an accusative of indirect reference: *rcā yāmi maruta brahmanaspatiṃ* (SV. °*te*), *derān* (SV. *derā*) *avo varenyam* RV. SV. MS. KS, 'with a hymn I approach the Maruts, Brahmanaspati, the gods, for excellent aid' or 'I approach [you], O Maruts' etc (§§343). Or else both forms may contain direct address: *namasyāmas tvedyam* (KS. °*ya*) *jātavedah* RV. MS. KS. TB., 'we pay homage to thee, the worshipful one, O Jātavedas (to thee, O worshipful J)' (§§348).

§29. With the instrumental, where both forms have direct address, a second subject may be expressed by an associative instr. or by another *voc.*, as in *mo śū na indrātra* (TS. † *indra*) *pṛtsu derān* (KS. *derān*, TS. MS. *deva*) RV. VS TS MS KS. ŚB., 'O Indra along with the gods (and ye gods, or O god!)' (§§353). On the other hand the instrumentality thru which a result is expected may be indirectly referred to in the instr. or directly addressed in the *voc*: *jagatyainam* (AŚ. °*ty cnam*) *mṛṣv ā veśayāmah* (°*mī*, °*nī*) TS. MS KS AŚ., 'O jagati (by means of the jagati) may we (I) settle him in the tribes!' (§§354).

§30. Likewise with the dative both these types are found: *agnaye* (AV. Kauś. *agne*) *samīdham āhārṣam* (*āhārṣam*) AV. Kauś. AG. GG. SMB ŚG PG. HG ApMB, 'O Agni (for Agni) I have brought fire-wood, for the great Jātavedas'; *kṣayadvīra* (TS. °*vīrāya*) *sumnam asme te astu* RV. TS. (§§358-9).

§31. And with the genitive, the shift between direct address and indirect reference is found in *ghṛtavalī savitar* (MS. KS. °*tur*) *ādhipatyē* (TS. °*tyaṅh*) TS MS KS AŚ, 'in [thy] overlordship, O Savitar' or 'in Savitar's overlordship' (§§363); while direct address is found in both variants in *bṛhaspatīśulasya ta* (KS. om. *ta*) *indo* (KS. MS. *inda*) . TS. MS KS, *bṛhaspatīśulasya deva soma ta indor* (VSK. *inda*)... VS VSK. ŚB. (§§365).

Nominative and other cases

§32. Perhaps the most characteristic way in which the nominative may vary with other cases in general is that in which one variant form has an independent statement, with subject nominative, which in the other form is compressed into syntactic dependence, as a rule on an adjoining sentence, the nominative being replaced by any other case. Thus, with the accusative. *nirṛtum tvāham pari veda viśvatah* VS MS ŚB, *nirṛtur it tvāham pari veda viśvatah (sarvatah)* AV TS KS, 'I know thee completely as Nirṛti' (§393). Or the dependence may be created by the insertion of an active verb. *iyam vedih paro antah pṛthivyāh* RV. AV. VS ŚB AŚ LŚ, *vedim āhuḥ param antam pṛthivyāh* TS KSA, 'this altar is the extreme limit of the earth' or 'they declare the altar (to be) the extreme limit of the earth' (§392). And notably we find a group in which the independent nominative is put in a relative clause *tam u śluhi yo antah sindhau* AV *tam u śluhy antahsindhum* AŚ, both meaning 'praise him who is within the waters' (§394).

§33. With the instrumental: *gavā te krīnāni* TS. ApŚ: *iyam gaus tayā te krīnāni* MŚ, 'with a cow let me buy of thee' or 'here is a cow, with her' etc (§418).

§34. With the dative. *tasmā elam bharata tadvaśāya (tadvaśo dadāh)* RV. (both), 'bring this to him who desires it' or 'bring this to him, he desires it and is generous' (§425).

§35. With the ablative: *viṣṇo (viṣṇoh, viṣṇos) sthānam asi* (MS MŚ *sthāmāsi*, KS *sthāmnah*) VS TS. MS KS ŚB TB ApŚ MŚ (§433). In KS connected syntactically with the following, *ita . vīryam akṛnot*.

§36. With the genitive: *agnīṣomayor aham devayajyayā cakṣuṣmān (vṛtrahā) bhūyāsam* TS ApŚ, *agnīṣomau vṛtrahanau tayor (vṛtrahanāv agnīṣomayor) aham devayajyayā vṛtrahā bhūyusam* KS MŚ (§445).

§37. With the locative. *kṛte yonau* (KS *kṛto yonur*) *vopaleha bijam (vījam)* RV AV VS TS MS. KS ŚB, 'in the prepared womb (the womb is prepared,) scatter here the seed' (§450).

§38. With the vocative (§338): *tiṣṭhā ratham (rathe) adhi tam (yam, TB yad) vajrahasta* (TB **tah*) RV VS ŚB TB. Instead of 'O vajra-handed', TB says 'since (thou art) vajra-handed'.

§39. Otherwise most of the interchanges between nominative and other cases either belong to general classes like 'transfer of epithet' or 'phrase inflection' (§§14-6, 21-2), or are matters of alternative syntactic expressions for what is essentially the same idea. Thus, the same thought may be expressed in an active or transitive form, with accusative of direct object or goal, or in an intransitive or passive form, with the

accusative changed to a nominative, as in (*om*) *agnim tarpayāmi* BDh, 'I gratify Agni,' but *agnis tṛpyatu* ŚG., 'let Agni be gratified' (§373).

§40. Similarly, the instrumental of agent or means expresses that which performs an action, and so in intransitive or passive expressions may vary with another expression in which it is made the subject of an active verb, in the nominative, as in *ya im vahanā āśubhāḥ* RV, 'who travel by horses,' but *yadī vahanāy āśavaḥ* SV., 'when horses carry [him]' (§408). And in the same way, with a verb denoting origin, the ablative of source with a passive expression is the equivalent of the subject nominative with the corresponding active, in *asmād vai tvam ajāyathā* JB. AG. Kauś, 'from him thou wast produced,' *asmāt tvam adhi jāto* 'si VS. ŚB TA. KŚ. Karmap., same sense, but *ayam vai tvām ajanayad* . . ŚŚ., 'he verily has begotten thee' (§432).

§41. In a rather more intricate way, but fairly commonly, the dative of purpose varies with a nominative (usually then an epithet of the subject), as denoting something desired for or attributed to the subject. Thus, *pade-pade pāśinah santi setavaḥ* (AV. °ve) RV. AV. KS ApŚ., 'on every spot are snare-bearing bonds (snare-bearers for a bond)' (§420).

§42. Other variations are related to specific aspects of the syntax of other cases and will be treated in dealing with them below.

Accusative and other cases

§43. The accusative may be used in forming adverbs, of such loose and general meaning that they may vary with corresponding forms of practically any other case. Thus even with the nominative, the nominative form being then of course an adjective, as in *subhūh svayambhūh prathamah* (AŚ ŚŚ °mam) VS. ŚB. AŚ. ŚŚ., where the word 'first' has essentially the same meaning and application whether it be in form an adjective agreeing with the subject (VS. ŚB.), or an adverb (AŚ ŚŚ), §404.

§44. The instrumental varies in such cases especially easily with the accusative, being used in quite the same adverbial way, as in *ghṛtācy asy upabhr̥n nāmnā* (VSK nāma) VS. VSK. ŚB. (and others, §471). Here both mean simply *nomine*, 'by name.'

§45. The final dative may also be substantially equivalent to an adverbial accusative, as in *tam* (MS. *tan*) *mā devā avantu śobhāyai* (MS °yi) TS. MS. TA., 'may the gods aid me unto splendor (splendidly)' (§487).

§46. The locative is used as well as the accusative in forms that are

vaguely adverbial. *tena devā devatām agra* (VS MS. KS. *agram*) *āyan* AV. VS MS KS : *taparā* (TS *tayā*) *devā devatām agra āyan* TS TB TA. MahēnU, 'by this (by *tapas*) the gods attained to godhood in the beginning (*agre* or *agram*)' (§530)

§47. The accusative of goal, which develops into, and cannot clearly be distinguished from, the purely syntactic accusative of 'direct object', is found varying in one way or another with every other oblique case. Naturally the psychology of the passages varies to some extent. Thus with the instrumental and accusative, the variation is especially apt to occur when the verb may be felt as connoting the idea of 'joining', which suggests the associative instrumental, as in *śunam līdā abhi* (AV. *anu*) *yantu* (MS *līdāśo abhy etu*) *rāharh* (AV TS. *rāhān*) RV. AV. VS TS MS. KS ŚB, 'prosperously let the plowmen (plowman) go along with (after) the draft-animals' (§467). But these two cases also interchange where no notion of 'joining' is present, as in *pra knatru* (SV *śmaśrubhir*) *dedhuvad ūrdhvatā bhūt* (SV **dhā bhuvat*) RV SV, 'shaking (with) his beard' (§469). Or the 'inner', 'cognate', or 'resultative' accusative varies with an instrumental of manner or means: *ghṛtenārkaṁ abhy arcanti vatsam* AV, *tam arkair abhy arcanti vatsam* TB., 'they sing with ghee a song (sing with songs) unto the young' (§465). And *tasmā indrāya havīṣā* (TB *havir ā*) *juhota* MS TB (and others, §466), 'offer (with) oblation to Indra here'

§48. So the final dative or the dative of the interested party may vary with the accusative of goal; *kāmena mā* (TA. *me*) *kāma āgan* (TA *āgāt*) AV TA, 'by love love has come to (for?) me'; *sā paprathe pṛthivī pāṛthivāni* (KS MŚ **vāya*) KS TB ApŚ MŚ; 'this earth spread out unto the earthly (regions)' or 'so as to reach the earthly (region)' (§§478-9). Many other cases will be found below under accusative and dative variants, but in perhaps the majority of them the psychological difference is greater between the two variant forms.

§49. With the ablative we find strict equivalence only after prepositions (*ā* 'until' with acc = abl., §494). But with verbs of separation the two things separated (one acc, the other abl) may change places, as in *vyam duruktāt* (PG **tam*) *paribādhamānā* ŚG SMB. PG ApMB. MG, 'this (girdle), guarding (its wearer) from slander' or 'warding off slander (from its wearer)' (§493).

§50. With the genitive, equivalence to the accusative shows itself chiefly after certain verbs which may govern both cases, and after nouns with verbal force. Thus, verbs of eating and drinking show objects in either case, as also in the locative: *papuh sarasvatyā nadyāḥ*

(MŚ °*lyām nadṃyām*, KŚ ApŚ. °*ām nadīm*) Vait. KŚ. ApŚ. MŚ., 'they drank (of, or in) the Sarasvatī river' (§§501, 544).

§51. Verbs of offering take acc., gen., or instr. of the thing offered: *tasmā indrāya sutam ā juhota* (TB ApŚ. *juhomī*) VS. VSK MS. KS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ. MŚ., *tasmā indrāyāndhaso juhota* RV., *tasmā indrāya haviṣā* (TB *havir ā*) *juhota* MS. TB. (§502).

§52. Verbs of ruling, of knowing, and of robbing, see §§503-5

§53. Nouns with verbal force: *mām an-²vratā bhava* HG., *agner* (AV. *patyur*) *anuvratā bhūtvā* AV. TS. KS. TB. MŚ. ApMB. (§506).

§54. As to the locative, its use as virtual equivalent of the accusative of goal is very familiar; a striking instance is *yasya vratam (vrate) paśavo yanti sarve*, *yasya vratam (vrate) upatiṣṭhanta āpah*, §§523, 526, 'into whose control go all cattle, under whose control enter the waters' Here a minority of texts, and different ones in the two cases, substitute a loc. *vrate* for the acc. *vratam*, altho motion is surely implied both times. There are, however, many cases of such variants where the loc. may be interpreted as denoting position, in contrast with an acc. of motion; see our detailed discussion below, §§523 ff. We shall also find there that verbs of placing, *dhā* and its group, govern acc. and loc. interchangeably, altho often one may plausibly argue some psychological difference in the variant usages (§§533 ff.). With various prepositions, too, the two cases are at times nearly or quite equivalent (§§539 ff.).

Instrumental and other cases

§55. An important general class of variants between instrumental and other cases concerns the associative instrumental, which may theoretically vary with any other case in this way, that in one form two parallel nouns are put in the same case (any case whatever), while in the other form one of them is replaced by an associative instrumental. That is, 'A. and B.' is the equivalent of 'A. along with B' Such variants actually occur with voc., nom., and acc. Thus, with the vocative, *mo gū ṇa indrātra* (TS † *indra*) *pṛtsu devaṃ* (KS. *devāḥ*, TS. MS. *deva*) RV. VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. Originally, 'O Indra along with the gods!'; in KS. at least, 'O Indra (and) ye gods!' (§353).

§56. With the nominative, *yavā* (MS. *yavair*) *na barhṛ bhruvī kesarāni* VS MS. KS. TB., 'the hairs on his eyebrow are like barley and sacred straw (like sacred straw together with barley)' (§412)

§57. With the accusative, *rūpau apinśad* (TB. **rūpāni pinśan*) *bhuvanāni viśvā* RV. AV. VS. MS. KS. TB. (both) N., 'he shaped all beings along with forms', or 'shaping forms (and) all beings' (§459)

§58. The associative instrumental is by its nature related to the meaning of bahuvrīhi compounds, so that in theory we may expect an associative instr., either of a karmadhāraya compound or a separate uncompounded word, to vary with a bahuvrīhi compound agreeing with the noun with which the instr. is connected, in any case whatever. We actually find such variants with nom. and acc. only, so far as noted. Thus, nom., *tripād ūrdhva ud ait puruṣaḥ* RV. ArS VS TA, *tribhiḥ padbhir dyām arohat* AV, 'with three quarters (Puruṣa) went aloft (mounted the heaven)' (§413). Acc., *rākām aham suhavam* (AV. °vā) *suṣṭuṭi huve* RV. AV. etc., 'I call on Rākā of (with) good call, with fair praise' (§470).

§59. Verbs of joining, and their antonyms denoting severance or separation, show a curious blend of constructions involving the associative instrumental in interchange with accusative, dative, ablative, and locative. Even the genitive also becomes involved if we add nominal expressions equivalent to verbs of joining; and dat. and loc. vary with each other (§645). Details will be set forth under the various rubrics; here we shall content ourselves with a group of variants which illustrate the several types:

aṅgāny ātman (aṅgair ātmānam) bhijaṣā tad āsvinā, 'the physician Āsvins joined his limbs upon his body (self)' or 'his body with his limbs' See §462.

saṁ mā sṛjāmy adbhīr (apa) oṣadhībhiḥ, perhaps 'I unite myself with (unto?) waters, with plants' See §460. Acc. and instr.

tvaṣṭā rūpeṇa samanaktu yajñam: tvaṣṭā rūpāṇi samanaktu yajñaiḥ, 'let Tvaṣṭar unite sacrifice with form (forms with sacrifices)' Here the acc. and instr. interchange doubly, the result being substantial equivalence (§461.)

susambhṛtā (°te) tvā saṁ bharāmi, 'I unite thee with (unto) good union.' Dat. and instr.; §560.

saṁvṛṣṇe tanvaś (°vā) cārur edhi, 'in joining of (with) thy body.' Gen. and instr., with a nominal expression. The dat. is also used §§455, 560, 578.

saṁ devānām sumatyā (sumatau) yajñīyānām, '(bring us) together with (to, in) the favor of the sacrificial gods' Loc. and instr.; §605. —The following curious case may also be noted here:

saṁyagamāno (°nā, °nau) divā (diva ā) pṛthivyā (°vyāḥ), 'uniting with heaven and earth' or 'uniting from heaven and earth.' Abl. and instr.; §576

§60. The above contain verbs of joining; verbs of separation are

more restricted, and with them the most characteristic constructions are the abl. of separation or the associative instr. (by analogy with verbs of joining).

tebhīr no adya (tebhyo na indrah) savitōta viṣṇuḥ (tebhyo asmān varunah soma indrah), se *vi muñcanlu*, 'may free us from these (fetters of Varuna)' or the like; §573.

§61. But note also the following, where two accs vary with an acc. and an instr. (for abi.):

vi kumāram jarāyūnā (vi garbham ca jarāyu ca), se *bhinadmī*, 'I separate the child from the afterbirth (the embryo and the afterbirth)' (§459).

§62. An instr. still felt, apparently, as associative, tho without clear expressions of joining, varies without marked difference of meaning with the acc., dat. and loc.:

pra rāḍhasū (rāḍhānsī) codayāte (°yate) mahitvanā, 'let him promote us with favor (unto favors), with might' (§469)

vaiśvānaro na ūḷaye (ūḷyā) [ā pra yātu parāvataḥ], 'let V. come unto (with) aid' (§558).

sve dakṣe (svair dakṣair) dakṣapīṭheḥ sīda, seated here in (with) thine own powers' (§603)

§63. The instrumental of means may vary with any other oblique case. The means whereby an end is obtained may also be regarded as its source or cause, and so be expressed by the ablative, or, with nominal forms, by the subjective genitive. With expressions of gratification it may exchange with the objective genitive. It may be felt as the occasion, the sphere within which the action takes place, and so be put in the locative. And finally, with nouns meaning 'something in the nature of a boon or desirable object or quality' (§556), it very often interchanges with a final dative, as expressing the result rather than the means. There is in this last interchange a slight shift in psychology, but from the point of view of Vedic thought it is very slight, as may be seen from such an example as the following, which is typical of many: *pra no rāyā parīnasā* (SV. *rāye 'panīyase*), followed by *ratsi vājāya panthām*, RV SV. KB, 'break forth a path for us by riches and plenty (unto wonderful riches), unto strength'. Here the RV original has a dative in the near-synonym *vājāya*, which has doubtless caused SV's alteration to the dative *rāye*. But we shall see (§558) many cases where no such assimilatory influence is found.

§64. With the ablative of source or cause *yās te viśas tapasah* (TB. *°sā*) *sambabhūvuh* AV TB, 'what clans have sprung up from (by) thy

tapas.'—*bhuvos tvam indra brahmanā* (MS °no) *mahān* RV TS MS KS AŚ, 'become thou great, O Indra, by (thru, as a result of) our holy words' (§§569, 571)

§65. With the genitive the instr. of means exchanges in various ways. After expressions of gratification an objective genitive varies with it in *juṣṭam devebhīr* (AV *devānām*) *uta mānuṣebhīḥ* (AV. *mānuṣāṇām*) RV AV, 'accepted by (pleasing to) gods and men' (§579). The subjective genitive may be its equivalent with nominal (participial or quasi-participial) forms, as in *āpo devīḥ prathamajāṛiṇa* (AV *ṛiṇasya*) RV AV., 'the divine waters, first-produced by (first-born of) the ṛta' (§580). And with verbs of offering, the partitive gen. (as well as the acc., §466) may vary with the instr., as in *tasmā indrāya havṣā juhota* MS, *tasmā indrāyāṇdhaso juhota* RV, 'to Indra here make offering with oblation (make offering of the soma)' (§582)

§66. And finally the locative, as expressing the occasion or sphere of activity, may vary with an instr. which seems often, tho not always, to be felt as expressing the means, as in *maruṭām prasave* (VS. ŚB. °vena) *jaya* (TS *jayata*) VS TS MS. KS ŚB MŚ, 'in (upon, by) the impulse of the Maruts conquer' (§§593, 601).

§67. The instrumental lends itself easily to use in adverbial formations of many sorts. No sharp distinction can be made between such forms which contain temporal or local force (instr. of time and place), and others which are more vaguely adverbial, denoting manner or attendant circumstance of various kinds. We saw in §44 that the instr. and acc. interchange in this latter way. Some variants of instr. and dative come pretty close to this, as *kāmena* (TS MS *kāmāya*) *tvā prati* (TS om *prati*) *grhnāmi* AV TS MS KS PB TB TA AŚ ApŚ, 'I receive thee with (unto) affection', i.e. 'affectionately', which is about all that is meant by both forms (§558). Worth mentioning is the following, in which instr., dat., and loc. all are used, with little difference of real meaning: *apām uta praśastiṣu* (RV. †*praśastaye*, AV VSK °*libhīḥ*) RV AV. VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB (§§558, 601, 690), 'unto (by, in) praising of the waters [O horses (gods), are (be) ye strong]' An instance of instr. and loc. would be *sa rāye sa puramdhyaṁ* (SV. °*dhya*) RV SV. AV JB, preceded by *so ghā no yoga ā bhuvaṭ*, 'may he stand by us in our work, unto riches, in (with) liberality' (§603). The last word means virtually *liberaliter*; note the parallel dative *rāye*.

§68. In adverbial or quasi-adverbial forms denoting time the instr. varies with abl., gen., and loc. Thus, *yad ahnā* (and *rātriyā*) *pāpam akārṣam* TA MahānU, *yad ahnāt* (and *rātriyāt*) *kurute pāpam* TAA.

(on the latter forms see §575);—*madhu naktam uḥṣasah* (KS. °sā, TS TA °sī) RV. VS TS MS KS ŚB TA BṛhU MahānU. Kauś Here it seems fairly certain (see §584) that all three forms mean 'at dawn'; the only question is whether *uṣasah*, which varies with both instr. and loc., is gen. sg. (as we think probable) or acc. pl.

§69. In similar forms with local meaning the instr. varies with abl. and loc.: *ayam paścād* (MS *paścā*) *vidadvasuh* MS KS (§575).—*adhi kṣami viṣurūpam yad asti* (MS † *āsta*) RV AV. MS TB, *adhi kṣamā viṣvarūpam yad asya* ArS; both *kṣamā* and *kṣami* 'on the earth' (§598).

Dative and other cases

§70. The dative of purpose often denotes something attributed to, or desired for, some other entity in the context, and may vary with a form which has the construction natural for that entity. Thus the variant form may be nominative, in apposition with the subject, as in *pade-pade pāśinah santi setavah* (AV °ve) RV AV. KS. ApŚ., 'on every spot are snare-bearing bonds (snare-bearers for a bond)' (§420). Quite similarly the accusative, as in *mahyam sūro abharaj jyotiṣe kam* AV. 'to me the sun brought (it) in order to light,' *mahyam jyotiṣ abharat sūryas tat* KS, 'to me the sun brought that (as) light' (§486). Of almost the same nature is the following variant in which, after a verb of ruling, the 'object' required is genitive instead of accusative, so that we find gen. and dat. varying: *kṣayantam rādhaso* (TS. °se, KS. śavase) *mahah* (TS. KS *mahe*) RV. SV VS. TS. MS. KS ŚB, 'ruling over (unto) great bounty (strength)' (§628). Elsewhere the genitive form of the variant is dependent on another dative of purpose, with which the dative form is parallel: *rāye* (ApŚ *rāyo*) *agne mahe tvā [dānāya samudhīmahi]* SV. ApŚ, 'we kindle thee, Agni, unto great riches, unto giving (unto great giving of riches)' (§629). With the instrumental, also, the dative of purpose often varies, but here the psychology is rather different; the same thing (regularly a boon or desired quality) is felt alternately as means or as desired result: *sarasvaty asunod indriyāya* (LŚ °yena) VS MS. KS. ŚB. TB. ŚŚ Vait. LŚ, 'Sarasvatī pressed (the soma) for (by) strength' (§558).

§71. The loose use of the dative of indirect object or of the interested party, the 'to' or 'for' case, makes it possible to use it in variation with almost any other oblique case after various verbs and verbal nouns. Thus after verbs of motion acc. and dat. are used to express the goal (cf. §§478 ff.): *kāmena mā* (TA *me*) *kāma āgan (āgāt)* AV. TA, 'by love love has come to me'. The loc. is also used in the same sense and

frequently interchanges with the acc (§§523 ff); with the dative it is not in common interchange in this meaning; perhaps the clearest case is the following with a verbal noun: *devebhyo* (ApŚ *deveṣu*) *havyavāhanah* RV ApŚ, 'bearing the oblation to the gods' (§646)

§72. With verbs of giving and placing, especially *dā* and *dhā*, the loc and dat are in specially close relations, on which see §§639 ff. and VV 2 §101 The simple *dā* is not used with the loc of the person; otherwise the constructions of *dā* and *dhā* are or may be identical. Even this exception disappears with some compounds of *dā*, as in *tam devebhyah paridādāmi* ApŚ, *tam deveṣu paridādāmi vidvān* AŚ. MŚ, 'I give him over to the gods' Moreover the acc comes into contact with both dat and loc in connexion with such roots as *dhā*, which may govern either acc of person and loc of thing ('set in') or dat (loc ?) of person and acc of thing ('establish for, give to'), as in *svargam me lokam yajamānāya dhehi* Vait, *suvarge loke yajamānaṁ hi dhehi* (and *dhehi mām*) TB ApŚ. (§482) And with noun compounds containing *dā* or *dhā*, an adnominal genitive may vary with a dative dependent on the verbal force still felt in the root *devebhyo* (VS. *devānām*) *bhāgadā* (TS °dhā) *asat* VS TS MS KS †, 'be he a giver of portions to the gods' (§619).

§73. Verbs of saying, offering, and various others are construed interchangeably with dat and loc: *devebhyo* (*deveṣu*) *mā sukr̥tam* (*nah sukr̥to*) *brūāt* (etc.), 'declare me (us) virtuous to the gods' (§647).—*prthivyām* (KŚ °vyā) *amṛtam juhomi*. AŚ KŚ ApŚ, 'I offer nectar to the earth' (§650).

§74. Verbs of joining show especially the instrumental (§59) in variation with other cases But as we saw that both dat and loc vary with instr, it is not surprising to find them varying with each other, as in *apriye prati muñca tat* (*muñcatam*) AV. Kauś, *dviṣadbhyah prati muñcāmi pāśam* (*pāpam*) SMB BG ApMB, '(I) fasten this (evil, bond) upon the enemy' (§645). And as the gen was seen to vary with the instr after nouns of joining (§59), so also with the dative *samveśānas* (°ne) *tanuvai* (*tanve*, *tanvaś*, *tanvā*) *cārur edhi*, 'in joining of (with) thy body (uniting to thy body)' (§578). Here all three cases, instr, dat, and gen, interchange

§75. With the ablative the relations of the dative are more specialized, indeed practically restricted to verbs meaning 'remove' or 'free' With them the dative of the interested party easily interchanges with the ablative of separation, as in *sa sūtrāmā svavān indro asme* (*asmat*), followed by *ārāc cid dveṣaḥ sanutar yuyotu* (°la), 'may Indra, well-

saving, . . keep very far away from (for) us all hatred' (§612). The original form of this (RV) has the dative, only the secondary AV. (and MS) the very natural ablative.

§76. Even the gen. is found in exchange with the dative after verbs in a couple of cases, which to be sure strike us as rather anomalous; see §§632-4 below.

§77. With the genitive, however, the dative varies chiefly after nouns and adjectives rather than verbs. Among these one prominent group consists of adjectives meaning 'pleasant' and the like, with which the loc. is also almost equally frequent: *juṣṭo vāco (vāce) bhūyāsam* 'may I be pleasing to Speech' (§616); [*prīyam mā kṛnu*] *uta śūdra utārye (śūdrāya cāryāya ca)*, 'make me dear to both Śūdra and Aryan' (§654).

Ablative and other cases

§78. The ablative as the 'whence' case expresses source, cause, or place from which, and in various ways may interchange with any other oblique case. Thus in expressions of origin it may vary with the instrumental of means or the locative of position, since the source of anything may be regarded either as the instrument which produces it, or as its original and typical location. So, *yās te viśas tapasaḥ* (TB °sā) *sambabhūvuh* AV. TB, 'what clans have sprung up from (by) thy tapas' (§569).—*karkandhu jajñie madhu sārāgham mukhāt* (MS *mukhe*) VS MS KS TB, 'jujube and sweet honey were produced from (in) his mouth' (§660). Also an adnominal genitive may replace the ablative in similar phrases: *tato mā yaṣṇasyāśīr āgachatu* MS KS ApŚ, 'from it may blessing of sacrifice come to me'; *tasya māśīr avatu vardhatām* AŚ, 'may its blessing aid and increase me' (§656).

§79. Similar ablatives vary with instrumentals and locatives where no idea of origination is expressed, at least clearly: *bhuvas tvam indra brahmanā* (MS °no) *mahān* RV TS. MS KS AŚ, 'become thou great, O Indra, by (from, as a result of) our holy word' (§571)—*tāh* (VS. ŚB. *pra*) *parvatasya vṛṣabhasya prṣṭhāt* (TS KS. *prṣṭhe*) VS. TS. MS KS. ŚB, '(the ships proceed) from (upon) the back of the mountain bull' (§661)—*apām yo madhyato* (KS *madhye*) *rasas* . KS. TB, 'the sap that comes from (is in) the middle of the waters' (§664).

§80. With expressions of separation, the ablative seems to us the natural case, but it may interchange with the instrumental (by analogy with the antonymic verbs of joining, §60), with the dative of the interested party, and with an adnominal genitive. So, *tebhīr no adya* (ApŚ. *tebhyo na indrah*) *santata viṣṇuh* KŚ ApŚ, *tebhyo asmān varunaḥ*

soma indrah Kauś., 'may free (*vi muñcantu* is the verb) us (today) from these (fetters)' (§573) — *sa sutrāmā svavān indro asme (asmat)*, followed by *ārāc cād dveṣaḥ sanutar yuyotu* (°ta), 'may Indra keep very far away from (for) us all hatred' (§612) — *mā parā seci mat payaḥ* (no *dhanam*, *naḥ svam*, *me dhanam*), 'let not the sap from me (our, or my, wealth) be poured away' (§656) — Furthermore the accusative may vary with the ablative after such verbs, according as the entity in question is treated as the thing removed or that from which something else is removed. *iyam duruktāt* (PG °tam) *paribādhamānā* ŚG SMB PG -ApMB MG, 'this (girdle), guarding (its wearer) from slander' or 'warding off slander (from its wearer)' (§493)

§81. Unusually lively are the relations of the ablative with other cases after prepositions and prepositional adverbs. Thus *ā* in the sense of 'until' governs either acc or abl (while in the sense of 'from' it governs only the abl, but may vary with an acc in the other sense): *ūrjo* (intending *ūrdhvo*) *mā pāhy odṛcam* MŚ, *ūrdhvo mā pāhy odṛcaḥ* TS (and others, *ā + udṛcam* or *udṛcaḥ* 'until the end of the sacrifice'), §494. And, with different meanings, *pari* with acc interchanges with *pari* with abl (l c.).

§82. The prepositional adverbs *paras*, *avas*, *arvāk* govern either instr or abl. without difference of meaning (§574). The preposition *pari* in the sense of 'from' regularly governs only the abl, but one variant shows abl varying with gen dual (§657). In somewhat different senses, the prepositions *adhi* and *antar* govern either abl or loc (§665).

§83. Adverbial forms with abl. endings vary with instrumental and locative adverbs, sometimes we can still feel the force of the 'from' case in the abl form, but sometimes not, the form having faded out to an indifferent adverb: *āre* (TS *ārāt*) *te goghnam* (TS °na) *uta pūruṣaḥnam* (TS °ne) RV. TS. (§667). Here *ārāt*, like *āre*, can only mean 'far away', not 'from afar'. — *ayam paścād* (MS. *paścā*) *vidadvasuh* MS KS (§575)

Genitive and other cases

§84. The partitive genitive may be used as the virtual equivalent of an appositional noun, which may then replace it in another form of the same passage, taking the case of the word on which the genitive depends. In theory, such partitive genitives might therefore vary with any other case. Actually we find them varying with vocative, nominative, accusative, and instrumental. So, *tvam uttamāsy oṣadhe* RV VS, *uttamō asy oṣadhīnām* AV, 'thou art most excellent, O plant (most

excellent of plants)' (§367).—*subhūr* (*svayambhūr*) *asi* (*nāmāsi*) *śreṣṭho raśmīr* (*raśmīnām*) , 'the best ray (best of rays)' (§439).—*imam mā hunsīr ekaśapham* (also, *dvīpādam*) *paśum* (TS. KS *paśūnām*) VS. TS MS. KS ŚB., 'this whole-hoofed (two-footed) animal (one of animals)' (§515)—*vasantena* (*grīṣmcṇa*, etc) *tvaritunā* (KSA.—*°nām*) *haviṣā dīkṣayāmi* TS KSA, 'I consecrate thee with the spring (etc) season (of the seasons), with oblation' (§581)

§85. In a somewhat similar way, a descriptive or possessive genitive may vary with an appositional or adjectival form which agrees in case with the word on which the genitive depends in the other variant. When, as often happens, there seems to be reason for supposing that the genitive is more original, we may suppose that case-attraction has been at work. Indeed, this may sometimes be assumed even when the reverse is the case, that is when the assimilated form is historically older in the variant passage; for case assimilation is certainly a very old phenomenon, and may easily have been replaced in individual instances by a phrase containing a descriptive or possessive genitive. Such variants occur especially between genitive and nominative, accusative, and locative. So, *vr̥ṣāsy ūrmīh* TS. TB., *vr̥ṣormīr asi* MS KS MŚ., *vr̥ṣna ūrmīr asi rāṣṭradāh* VS. ŚB., 'thou art a bull-wave (a bull's wave) . .' (§440)—*avyo* (SV PB *avyam*) *vāram vi dhāvoti* RV. SV. PB, 'he (soma) flows thru the sieve of wool (the woolen sieve)' (§509).—*asyā* (MG *ṣasyām*) *nāryā gavīnyoh* (MG *°nyām*) AV MG, *asyām nāryām gavīnyām* RVKh ApMB, 'in this woman's two canals (in this canal of the woman)' or 'in this woman, in her canal' (§672)

§86. With the dative of purpose, especially in infinitives, such assimilation may fairly be called regular; but here also dependent genitives may vary with the assimilated dative form. And we find the same variation with ablative infinitives. See Delbruck, *AIS* §§54, 103, 232b, and our §§629, 659 below: *rāye* (ApŚ *rāyo*) *agne mahe tvā* (*dānāya samudhīmahi*) SV ApŚ, 'we kindle thee, Agni, unto great riches, unto giving (unto great giving of riches, note that this is the secondary reading)'—*tat tvam bibhṛhi punar ā mad antoh* (*ā mamantoh*), 'until my return' Here also the assimilated ablative *mad* is the original reading (TS, while the secondary AŚ MŚ have *mama*, gen.).

§87. The genitive is acutely described by Speyer (*VSS* §62) as 'hauptsächlich der adnominalen Casus'. A large number of its variations with other oblique cases (not nom or voc) have this trait in common, that the gen. is broadly adnominal, the other case (acc, instr, dat, abl, loc.) broadly adverbial. That is, the gen. is definitely dependent

on a noun (whether we classify it as objective, subjective, descriptive, possessive, or partitive makes little difference) The variant case is usually also dependent on the same noun, but is differently felt, it is governed by a verbal notion felt in the noun. So perhaps most clearly with the acc, as in *mām anuvratā bhava* 'be faithful to me', cf *agner (patyur) anuvratā bhūtvā* 'being faithful to Agni (to her husband)' (§506). Or again in the acc and gen after nouns of agent in *tar*, as *dātā vasū-nām (vasūni)*, §507

§88. With the instrumental, an adnominal gen describable as objective varies with the associative instr after nominal expressions of joining, and with an instr of means after nominal (and apparently even verbal) expressions of gratification, while a subjective adnominal gen may also vary with an instr. of means depending on a passive participle or its equivalent So, *samvasānam* (SV °no) *vivasvatah* (SV °tā) RV. SV, 'fellow-dweller of (with) Vivasvat' (§578).—*juṣṭam devebhīr uta mānuṣebhīh* (AV *devānām uta mānuṣānām*) RV. AV, 'accepted by (pleasing to) gods and men' (§579)—*yan me (mayā) manasā vācā* [*kṛtam*], 'what (has been done) by me (on my part; as if 'my deed') with thought or speech' (§580)

§89. Rather varied also are such instances of adnominal gens varying with dat, we quote an example or two at random: *devcbhyo* (VS *devānām*) *bhāgadā* (TS °dhā) *asat* VS TS MS KS †, 'be he a giver of portions to the gods' (objective gen), §619 —(*eṣa sya te madhū-mān indra somah*.) *vṛṣā vṛṣne* (SV °nah) *pari pavitre akṣāh* RV SV, 'thus honeyed soma, the bull, has flowed thru the sieve for thee, the bull (thru thy, the bull's, sieve)' (possessive gen), §621

§90. With the ablative *tato mā yaṣṇasyāśir āgachatu* MS KS ApŚ . *tasya māśir avatu vardhatām* AŚ, 'from it may blessing of sacrifice come to me' or 'may its blessing aid and increase me' (possessive gen), §656 — *agnir etu prathamo devatābhyah* SMB., *agnir atu prathamo devatānām* AG etc, 'let Agni go (come) first from among the gods (first of the gods)' (partitive gen), §656

§91. With the locative: *asmākam* (TS *asmāsu*) *santv āśiṣah* VS TS MS KS ŚB ŚŚ, 'let blessings be ours (be in us)' (possessive gen), §671 —*ahik śayata upapṛkṣ prthivyāh* (TB °vyām) RV MS TB N, 'the dragon lies clinging to the earth' (objective gen), §676

§92. Special mention may be made of the gen after adjectives meaning 'pleasing' and the like, which varies especially with the dat (§77), but also with the instr (§65); the loc is likewise used (§77) but we have noted no case of its variation with the gen

§93. In addition to its adnominal use, however, the gen. is also used with not a few verbs, and often varies with other cases, particularly the acc. Thus after verbs of eating and drinking the acc. and the (partitive) gen. (occasionally also the loc.) are found: *papuh sarasvatyā nadyāh* (MS. °*tyām nadyām*, KŚ ApŚ °*īm nadīm*) Vait. KŚ ApŚ. MS, 'they drank (of, or in) the Sarasvatī river' (§§501, 680). So after verbs of offering, the (partitive) gen. varies with the acc. and instr. (§§51, 65). Verbs of ruling and of intellectual activity also govern either gen. or acc. (§§503-4), and verbs of robbing either two accs. or acc. of the person and gen. of the thing (§505).

§94. With verbs of gratifying the gen. interchanges with the instr.: *tena tīrpyatam anahau* TB ApŚ, *tasya tīrmpatam ahāhāhuhū svāhā* ŚŚ (§579).

§95. The dat. and gen. vary after verbs only rarely, and probably as a result of the general tendency to confusion between these two cases (§614, and for examples after verbs §§632-4). On the variations of loc. and gen. after verbs, also sporadic, see §§680-2.

§96. In adverbs of time there is one variant, *madhu nakṣam uṭṣasah* (°*sā*, °*si*), which appears to involve the gen. in relations with both instr. and loc. (§§68, 584), and in adverbs of place we find a gen. varying with the (apparent) loc. *dive-dive*, §678.

§97. As to the gen. after prepositions, we find it once replacing the regular abl. after *pari* (§657), and once varying with the loc. after *antar* (§677).

Locative and other cases

§98. The locative is commonly thought of as the 'where' case. Somewhat more scientifically it may perhaps be defined as denoting fundamentally the sphere within which, or that in relation to which, something exists or takes place. Its meaning is, in any event, broad enough to permit it to exchange on occasion, with little difference of meaning, with all the oblique cases, from accusative to genitive. To begin with the ablative, which expresses the source of anything, this may also be conceived as its original or typical location, and so be put in the locative: *karkandhu jajñe madhu sārāgham mūkhāt* (MS *mukhe*) VS. MS KŚ TB., 'jujube and sweet honey were produced from (in) his mouth' (§660). Or, *śvaṁ prajābhyo 'hṛtsantam (ahins°) pṛthivyāh sadhasthād* (TS. MS KŚ °*sthe*) *agnim ('gnim) kṣanāmah (°ma)* VS. TS MS KŚ ŚB, 'we (I) dig forth from (in) the abode of earth Agni . . ' (§664).

§99. More familiarly the locative tends in the opposite direction; it

inclines to denote the final rather than the original location, and so varies with the accusative (or dative) of goal, often as we think (cf §523), tho by no means always, with no difference of meaning, as in *yasya vratam* (*vrate*) *paśavo yanti sarve*, 'into (not in!) whose control go all cattle' (§526) Or with dat., *mahyam vātaḥ pavalām* (*te*) *kāme asmīn* (AV *kāmāyāsmāi*) RV AV TS KS, 'the wind shall blow (blows) for me unto this desire' (§646)

§100. With neither 'final' nor 'original' tinge, but simply as denoting the general sphere, that in (any sort of) relation to which something exists or takes place, the locative varies with instrumental, dative, or genitive. The instr. may be one of means, manner, or accompaniment. So, *śam u yāḥ kumbha ābhrtāḥ* and *śam yāḥ kumbhebhīr ābhrtāḥ*, 'brought in a pot (with pots)' (§600) — *apām uta praśastiṣu* (*īaye*, *ītibhīh*), 'in (by, unto) praising of the waters [become ye strong, O gods (horses)]' (§601) — *rāyas poṣena* (*poṣe*) *sam iṣā madema*, 'may we revel with (in) increase of riches, with food' (§603) — *sve dakṣe* (*svair dakṣair*) *dakṣapiteha sīda*, 'be seated in (with, or by) thine own power(s)' (§603).

§101. With the dative, the locative in this sense interchanges in dependence on both noun and verb forms. Thus quite standardly with adjectives meaning 'pleasing' and the like (for other cases used with them, gen and instr., cf §88, 65). *supṛito manuṣo viśi* (SV *vīse*) RV SV, or *priyam mā brahmanī* (*ne*) *kuru* 'make me beloved to (with, among) the brahman caste' (§654). And with various verbs, such as *hu* 'pour (in, upon)' or 'offer (to)', *prthivyām* (KŚ *vyā*) *amṛtam juhomī* AŚ KŚ ApŚ, 'I pour nectar on (offer to) the earth' (§650). Or *ci* 'pile, build' *sahasrākṣa* (*kṣo*) *medhāya* (*medha ā*) *cīyamānah*, 'piled up at (for) the sacrifice' (§651).

§102. So with the genitive. The person or place at or near which something is located (loc.) may also be conceived as its possessor, or as that upon which its influence is exerted (objective gen.). Thus, *mayy āśīr astu mayi* (*mamāśīr astu mama*) *devahūtīh*, 'may prayer and divine invocation be in me (be mine)' (§671) — *nanāndarī samrājñī bhava*, and *nanānduh samrājñy edhi*, 'be queen over (of, in relation to) thy sister-in-law' (§676).

§103. Rather more specifically we find the locative interchanging with most of the other oblique cases after various verbs. Special attention should be directed to the rather complicated interchanges after verbs of placing, especially *dhā*, where acc., dat., and loc. all appear. The relations of the dat. and loc. have been summarized above, §72. The regular constructions are acc. of person and loc. of thing ('set,

person (his person with his limbs)' (§536).

§105. The use of the loc. after verbs in variation with other cases has perhaps been sufficiently illustrated under the other cases. Summarily we may recall its interchange with the gen. (and acc.) after verbs of eating and drinking (§93) and some others (§680-2); and with the dat. (§73) after various verbs. With the acc. it varies after a verb of beating, *āghnānāh pāṇinorasi* and *urah paśaurār āghnānāh*, 'beating upon their breasts with their hands' or 'beating their breasts and thighs (?)', §545. The person or thing spoken about (not to) is put in acc. or loc. with a verb of speaking, *pratipraethātāh paśau* (MŚ *paśum*) *samvadasya* ApŚ. MŚ, 'speak about the victim with (the slaughterer)' (§543), this contrasts with the classical usage in which the person addressed may be put in acc. or loc. (as well as dat.) after verbs of speaking, doubtless an extension of the goal construction. This classical usage, however, is found with dat. and loc.: *derebhiyo* (*dereṣu*) *mā sukrām* (*nah sukrto*) *brūāt* (etc.), 'declare that I am (that we are) righteous to the gods' (§647). —After a verb of fighting an 'inner' acc. varies with a loc.: (*tvayā*) *vajam samghātām-samghātām* (*samghāte-samghāte*, *samghātām*, omitting the 2d form) *jecma* (*jayema*, *sanyajema*), 'may we (by thee) conquer (in) every fight' (§546).

§106. In adverbial expressions of time, place, and manner the loc. seems to be used in interchange with practically all other oblique cases. So, with acc.: *tena devā devatām agra* (*agram*) *āyan*, *tapasū* (*layā*) *devā devatām agra āyan*, 'by this (by tapas) the gods attained to godhood in the beginning' (§530).

§107. With instr. especially in place and time expressions, in our

opinion (cf. §594) without any essential difference of meaning: *maghāsu* (*aghāsu*, *maghābhur*) *hanyante gāvah* (*gāvo grhyante*), 'in the (asterism) Maghās (Aghās) cows are slain (taken),' §596 — *madhu nakṭam utoṣasah* (°sā, °st), see §584 (also gen.). — *adhī kṣami viśurūpaṁ* (*kṣamā viśvarūpam*) *yad asti* (*asya, āsta*), 'on the earth', §598

§108. With abl., *āre* (*ārāt*) *te goghnam*. (§667); both 'far off'.

§109. With gen., see §96 — Dative variants have not been noted.

§110. After prepositions: with acc., after *adhī*, *acha*, *antarā* (§§539-42); with abl., after *adhī* and *antar* (but with difference of meaning, §665), with gen., after *antar* (§677).

§111. Finally, we have noted a very few cases where the loc seems to be used in a way approaching the absolute construction, and varies with a regularly governed accusative. We might expect to find any other case varying with the quasi-absolute loc just as well, but have not noted any others: *nābhā prthivīyāḥ samadhāne agnau* (TS. °*dhānam agnim*, MS KS. °*dhāno agnim*) VS TS. MS KS. ŚB (followed by *rāyasposāya brhate havāmahe*), 'when Agni is enkindled at the navel of the earth, we call upon (him) . . .' or 'we call upon Agni enkindled' (§548)

Number

§112. A large majority of the variations in number concern cases of what we call generalizing singular and plural. We have included under this head all cases in which singular and plural interchange without any clearly intended difference in meaning, as well as cases in which the singular is generic, while the plural seems to refer more particularly to various specific instances. No line can be drawn between the two classes. Thus in such a variant as *asmān rāya uta yajñāḥ* (KS † *yajñāḥ*) *sacantām* TS KS ApS, *yusmān rāya uta yajñā asācata* MS, 'let wealth and sacrifice(s) attend us (wealth and sacrifices have attended you)', no one can say that the plural *yajñāḥ* really means anything different from the generic singular *yajñāḥ*, 'sacrifice' in general (as an institution), and on the other hand one could not deny that the plural may have concentrated the attention more on the various specific acts of sacrifice. Such instances are very numerous: §§685 ff. Of essentially the same sort are the (also very common) variations between singular and plural pronouns, particularly of the first person (§§722 ff.), many of which refer either to the speaker alone or vaguely to him and his associates; but the use of a plural rather than a singular pronoun certainly does not prove that he is really including other persons than himself, as in *agne dakṣaḥ punīhi nah* (TB *mā*) RV TB., 'O Agni,

protect us (me) with powers.' Here the chances are that RV. means 'me' as well as TB., but there is no way of proving it. Such variants belong psychologically with the variations in number of verb forms treated in VV 1 §§344 ff., and like them show in not a few cases more or less inconsistency with the context in one or both forms (§723). Thus *śraddhe śrad dhāpayeha nah* (TB. *mā*) RV. TB ; here the context in both forms contains a 1st plural verb, so that *mā* of TB is mildly inconsistent with its context.

§113. In our chapter on gender (cf. §807) we shall see that the neuter singular is not infrequently used in forms which are indefinite or abstract or collective in force, and varies with more definite plural epithets of the same or different gender (§727). A good example is *kṛṣṭapacyāś* (TS KS. °yam) *ca me 'kṛṣṭapacyāś* (TS KS. °yam) *ca me* VS. TS. MS. KS. (preceded by *oṣadhayaś ca me vīrudhaś ca me*), '(plants) which ripen under cultivation and not under cultivation' or 'that which ripens' etc. To the same general category belongs the use of substantive pronouns in the neuter singular in variation with pronouns agreeing in number and gender with the predicate (§730), as in *tad* (VS. *tā*) *āpah sa* (VSK. *ta*) *prajāpatiḥ* VS. VSK TA MahānU., 'that is the waters, that Prajāpati.' Neuter singular adverbs also belong originally to the class of indefinite neuters, and vary either with adjectives or with plural adverbs which presumably must originally have been more definite in meaning (with some noun understood), as in *nīcād uccā svadhayābhi pra tasthau* Ppp. TS. KS, *nīcāir uccāih svadhā abhi pra tasthau* AV. Here *nīcād* and *nīcāir*, *uccā* and *uccāih*, are synonymous (§734.)

§114. When two or more nouns are used in correlation, we occasionally find adjectives variously put in the singular, then agreeing formally with only one (as a rule the nearest) of the series, or in the dual or plural, agreeing with the whole group. There is little real difference in the meaning, cf. §§735, 757; and the same kind of variation has been noted in verb forms, VV 1 §§353 ff. Thus, *candreṇa jyotir amṛtam dadhātāh* (°nā), *śukram na jyotir amṛtam dadhānā* (°nāh); here *dadhānā* agrees with *Sarasvatī*, the nearest subject, while *dadhātāh* includes her and the *Aśvins*. Or, *yasya dyaur urvī prthivī ca mahī* AV, *yena dyaur ugrā prthivī ca drdhā* (TS *drdhe*) RV. VS. VSK TS MS KS, here the final adjective is singular, agreeing with *prthivī* alone, in all but TS., which makes it dual, including *dyaur*.

§115. An elliptic dual or plural may vary with a singular referring only to the first of the group. Both may be followed by a complementary singular referring to another member of the group, as in *mītraḥ*

(SV *mitrās*) *pānty adruhaḥ* RV. SV (preceded by *yam maruto yam aryamā*) Here *mitrās* means Mitra, Varuna, and Aryaman, and *aryamā* is a complementary singular (§733) So also *ubhāv indrā* (ŚB *indro*) *udītah sūryaś ca* VS. ŚB, where *indrā* can only be elliptic (= Indra and Sūrya), §747. In other cases an elliptic dual plus complementary singular may vary with a double dual, as in *dyāvā hotrāya pṛthivī* (°*vīm*), §746, or such a double dual may vary with two singulars, whether the two form a *devatā-dvandva* compound as in *indrāsūrā janayan viśvakarmā* TB but *indrah sūrah prathamō viśvakarmā* AŚ (§749), or whether the two duals are separated by other words as in *viṣṇum agan varuṇam pūrvahūtāh* AV, *viṣṇū agan varuṇā pūrvahūtau* (MS °*tim*) VS MS etc (§748). The normal Sanskrit *dvandva* compounds, of both types (dual and neuter singular), are likewise found, the former varies with equivalent double singulars, as in *mayi dakṣo mayi kratuh*, *mayi dakṣakratū* (§750), and the two types vary with each other, *kṣutpipāsābhyām* (and °*pāsāya*) *svāhā* (§751).

§116. In the case of certain parts of the body, and also some other entities (§§752-3), it is possible to think of them either as dualities, with regard to their two component parts, or as singular units. So the nose is either singular or dual (referring to the two nostrils): *apānena nāsike* (MS °*kām*) VS MS. With certain other parts of the body, as well as other entities, either dual or plural is possible, as in the case of the constellation Phalgunī, which is made up of two pairs of stars, and so may be either dual (referring to the two pairs) or plural (referring to the total of four stars): *phalgunīr* (TS. °*nī*) *nakṣatram* TS MS KS (§754-5).

§117. There remain many number variants which show more real and definite change of meaning in the different forms. By simple multiplication or division, a singular entity may be made dual or plural, or vice versa, as in *tasya te dattām yasya* (and *yayoh*) *prāno* 'sī *svāhā*, *tasya te dadātu yeṣām prāno* 'sī *svāhā*, *tasya te dadātu yasya prāno* 'sī *svāhā*, all modulations in a single passage of TS (§§740, 767-70). Such modulations may occur in repetitions of the same context, or in adaptations of old material to a new context, in which case they constitute what we call 'phrase inflection' (§§739, 764-6). They include many ritualistic ūhas and vikāras. There are also not a few instances of 'transfer of epithet' from one entity to another (§§737-8, 762-3), as in variations of case, and some instances in which, without proper 'transfer of epithet', we seem to note external assimilation of form which consists in change of number (§§741, 773-7). These are of the same sort as the corresponding variations in case, and call for no comment.

Gender

§118. Real change of gender in nouns is a well known phenomenon in Sanskrit, and is sufficiently illustrated by the variants. It may appear in different forms of the noun itself, that is in shift between endings characteristic of different genders, or in change of the gender of modifying adjectives or pronouns. Some of the variations in gender revealed by the variants have not been recorded previously. We shall not anticipate them here (see §§783-802), but may call attention to a fact which has not been clearly brought out before, that some of these shifts appear to be mere nonce-phenomena, and due to assimilation to words in the context. Thus in the mantra *vyomā* (TS. *vyoma*) *saptadaśah* (sc *stomah*) VS TS. MS KS. ŚB. KŚ MŚ, §794, the stem *vyoman*, regularly neuter, appears to have masculine gender in all texts except TS. We have no doubt that the original form of this variant presented the word as if masculine; but it seems to us equally clear that this is due to assimilation to the gender of the predicate *saptadaśah* (*stomah*). It hardly justifies us, therefore, in attributing real variation in gender to the stem *vyoman*. And this is by no means an isolated instance, as the sections mentioned will show.

§119. Of a different sort are variations between names of male and female animals and persons, where the change merely indicates that some texts have in mind a male animal or person, others the corresponding female (§§779-82).

§120. A small but interesting group of cases shows variation between distinctively feminine forms and others which are, at least in appearance, masculine, tho referring to feminine entities. Thus it has long been recognized that the anomalous *yusmās*, acc pl of the 2d personal pronoun, is a feminization of the regular *yusmān*, which has common gender tho its ending presents a masculine aspect (§803). Contrariwise, agent nouns in *tar* have regularly a corresponding feminine stem in *trī* when applying to feminine entities, but at least one variant presents the masculine (really 'common') stem in *tar* under such circumstances (§805).

§121. We have seen above (§113) that the neuter gender, especially in the singular number, often has a sort of indefinite force. Even when a masculine or feminine entity is referred to it may be used in such a sense, as in *indrasya* (and *viṣṇor*) *dhruvo* 'si (*dhruvam asi*), 'thou (O knot, *granthi*, masc) art Indra's (Viṣṇu's) firm one (firm thing),' §808. Such usage is particularly common with pronouns, demonstrative or relative, as in substantive pronouns (§§113, 812); *saṁ tam* (KS ApŚ. *ta*)

siñcatu rādhasē (°sā) RV. KS. Vait ApŚ, 'let him besprinkle that unto (with) bounty'. Here the pronoun refers to soma-drops (*drapsa*, *anśu*, *mase*) and hence has masculine gender in RV, but once, in repeating the mantra in the same context, KS uses the neuter *tat*, 'that (thing)', tho still having the same reference (§809) —But an indefinite masculine may also vary with such an indefinite neuter, when the unspecified entity is conceived personally instead of impersonally (§§810–1). This is particularly common with negative expressions, such as *ahir jaghāna (dadarśa) kam (kim) cana*, 'the serpent has slain (seen) no one (nothing).'

§122. We regard the common use of neuter forms in adverbs as belonging to the indefinite neuter. Such adverbs are often found in variation with adjectives of any gender, and also—more rarely—with adverbs of other genders (usually feminine); in the latter we assume that originally some definite noun must have been understood with the adjectival adverb (see §§813–24).

§123. The agreement of pronouns shows some interesting variations as to gender. Thus when a pronoun refers to a series of nouns of different genders, it may agree with the nearest noun in gender, or with the gender of the greater number of the nouns, or else it may be masculine (really 'common') gender if the series includes both masculines and feminines (§825). Also, when the antecedent and the predicate of an anaphoric pronoun are of different genders, the pronoun may agree with either (§826),—aside from the possibility of the use of the indefinite neuter in a substantive pronoun, to which we have alluded above.

§124. Gender variations are related to the peculiarities of compound nouns: first, in the case of dvandvas, which may appear either as neuter singular or as dual (or plural) with the gender of the final member (§751), and further, in the case of karmadhārayas varying with bahuvrīhis based upon them. The karmadhāraya, naturally, keeps the gender of its final member, while the bahuvrīhi takes the gender of the noun it agrees with (§829).

§125. There remain a considerable number of cases in which variation in gender is due to the application of the variant word to different nouns. Either one noun may be substituted for another of different gender, resulting in change of the gender of its epithets (§§831–3), or without such change in actual language, epithets may be understood to apply to different entities which are not expressed, with the same result (§§834–9). In some such cases the mantra is taken from its original context and adapted to a new one, resulting in what we call 'phrase inflection' (see §§846–51). Or again, without change of con-

text, an epithet may be 'transferred' from one entity to another; these 'transfers of epithet' are of exactly the same sort as the numerous similar ones involving change of case, and call for no special comment (§§840-5).

Dedicatory expressions

§126. Expressions of dedication of some offering or homage to some deity or power are, of course, very common in the ritual language of the Veda, and the great variety of their syntax deserves special mention. The deity addressed may be expressed by a nominative, subject of a verb meaning 'be gratified' or the like, which verb may be expressed or understood, or by the nominative of a secondary adjective meaning 'belonging to' such and such a deity; by the accusative, object or goal of a verb expressed or understood; or by a dative or genitive. The thing offered may be expressed by the nom, acc, instr., or (after verbs of offering) gen. A few examples may be quoted here:

(om) *agnim tarpayāmi: agnis tṛpyatu*, §373.

himavate (^o*vato*) *hastī* (*hastinam*), §§376, 618.

svāhā devā ājyapāh (*devān ājyapān*): *agnim svāhā: svāhāgnim: agnaye svāhā*, etc., §§377, 485.

pūṣaṇam vaniṣṭhunā: pūṣno vaniṣṭhuh, §§414, 508.

kapota ulūkah śasas te nīrṛtyai (*nairṛtāh*), §424.

digbhyah śrotam (sc. *ālabhate*): *diśah śrotam* (sc. *anvavasṛjatāt*), §485

tasmā indrāya sulam ā (*haviṣā, havr ā, indrāyāndhaso*) *juhota* (*juhomī*)

§§466, 502, 582

aryamno (^o*ne*) *lopāśah*, §618

FIRST PART: FORMAL VARIANTS

CHAPTERS II-X

CHAPTER II

DUALS IN AU AND Ā, OF ALL DECLENSIONS

§127. We do not include here, of course, variations in which *āv* varies with *ā* followed by hiatus before a vowel, since these are variant sandhi forms, both standing for *au*, on them see VV 2 §§885 ff. We treat here as *ā* forms before vowels only those in which *ā* is fused with the following vowel.

§128. In the RV. in general, and especially in its oldest parts, *ā* is found before consonants and in pause, *au* before vowels. Contrast §221 below; in the locative of *i* stems *au*, not *ā*, is regular in pause. Before *u* vowels this *au* is represented in the RV. by *ā*, before other vowels by *āv* (for the usage of other schools see VV 2 l. c.). We divide our materials into the two classes of (1) *au* : *ā* before consonants and in pause, (2) before vowels. See most recently Wackernagel 3 p. 45 ff., and for the primary materials Lanman *NI.* 340 ff., 574 ff.; also Sommer, *Festschrift Streiberg*, 253 ff., where ingenious attempts are made to explain the cases of 'irregular' *ā* before vowels.

§129. In a couple of cases the following word varies, so that *ā* is followed by a consonant, *au* (*āv*) by a vowel, in accordance with the original distinction:

tasyām suparnāv adhi yau niviṣṭau TB. ApŚ.: *tasyām suparnā vṛṣaṇā ni ṣedatuh* RV.

abhayam mātṛavarunāv ihāstu nah (AG °*varunā mahyam astu*) AV. AG.

§130. Flagrantly at variance with the rule is the double case in the next variant, which also changes the word after *aśvinā* (°*nau*); but this time *aśvinau* occurs before a consonant, and that in RV. itself (to be sure in a late hymn, 10. 184 2c); while AV. has the *ā* form before a vowel—both contrary to the rule. Furthermore RV. ends the pāda with *devau*, instead of regular *devā*, and is followed by all later texts (two substituting *ubhau*) except AV., which introduces the regular *ubhā* (Hence, possibly, the assimilatory change in the ending of the preceding word in AV., whose meter suggests a pronunciation with hiatus, *aśvinā ubhā*)

garbham te aśvinau devau (AV *aśvinobhā*, PG. HG. *aśvināv ubhau*) RV.

AV. ŚB. BrhU SMB. ApMB. HG MG. PG.: *garbham yonyām aśvināsyām* AVPpp 13. 2. 4c (Barret, *JAOS.* 48 38).

§131. The remaining cases require little individual comment. Almost all show the ending before consonants or in pause. Final position in the pāda is always treated as 'pause', *ā* is here regular even when the next pāda begins with a vowel with which the final *ā* is fused in the saṁhitā writing. The *ā* form characterizes the RV and its school texts, KS also shows it in most of the variants, but there are exceptions. The cases before consonants and in pause which concern RV, besides those above mentioned, are:

caturakṣau pathirakṣi (AV *pathiṣadī*) *ṛṣakṣasau* (AV TA °sā) RV AV TA. This is the only exception, except that noted in §130, to the rule among the RV variants. It is noted by Lanman 576. The hymn (10.14) containing it is Atharvaneic (rather than 'late'), yet, curiously, the AV. itself (supported by TA) has the 'regular' form. Note that all three texts are irregular in the preceding *caturakṣau*. Dissimilation or assimilation of ending as between these two words? Even tho the hymn belongs by its subject matter to AV. rather than to RV, the RV. form is, a priori, more apt to be original, Edgerton, *Studies in Honor of Maurice Bloomfield*, 124.

ubhohhayāmn upa dhehī danṣtrā (AV °rau) RV. AV.

yo akṣeneva cakṛiyā (SV °yau) śacibhīh RV SV TB

dame-dame sapta ratnā dadhānā (AV °nau) RV AV TS MS. KS. ŚŚ

somam pibalam madyam dhṛtavrātā (AV. GB °lau) RV AV GB.

uto te vṛṣanā (ArS *harītau*) *harī* RV ArS

yajñasya hi stha rṣvājā (TS ApŚ *rṣvayau*) RV SV KS PB TB AŚ ŚŚ ApŚ

ubhā rājānā (AV °nau) *svadhayā madantā* (AV °lau) RV. AV. MS.

prātaryujā vi bodhaya RV TB AŚ N *prātaryujau vi mucyethām* TS

ā dhātām (RVKh Scheft. °tam) *puṣkarasrajau* (RV RVKh Scheft

AV ApMB MG °jā) RV RVKh AV ŚB TA MahānU BrhU

AG SMB PG ApMB HG MG.: *kṛnūtam puṣkarasrajā* AVPPP

3.18.5 (Barret, *JAOS* 32.364). Add to VV 1 §332

dyauś ca nah (KS TB *tvā*) *prthivī ca pracetasā* RV KS TB : *dyauś ca*

ma idam prthivī ca pracetasau AV

māduṣkṛtau vyenasā (AV °sau) RV AV. AVPPP. Note preceding °kṛtau in all!

aśvinā (ApMB °nau) *tvā pra vahatām rathena* RV AV ApMB

yam nṛmanthato aśvinā RV ApMB HG MG. *nābhyaṁ nṛmanthatām*

aśvinau devau ŚB BrhU

§132. Next some cases before consonants or in pause which concern AV. In the first group AV, either alone or with others, has the *au*

form, we just saw that in several cases where RV. has *ā*, AV. has *au*.
tā no muñcatam āgasah TS MS : *tau no muñcatam anhasah* (TS KS
āgasah) AV TS. KS

ubhā hi hastā vasunā pr̥nasva VS TS ŚB. : *hastau pr̥nasva bahubhir*
vasavyaiḥ AV. TS MS KS

vītho ghṛtasya guhyā juṣānā (AV °*ṇau*) AV. TS. MS KS AŚ ŚŚ.

dame-dame suṣṭutur (AV KS *suṣṭulyā*, TS *suṣṭutir*, MS °*ti*) *vām iṇyānā*
 (TS MS KS *vāvr̥dhānā*, AV. *vāvr̥dhānau*) AV. TS MS. KS AŚ.
 ŚŚ. On *vām iṇyānā* see VV 2 §236

yā (AV. *yau*) *patyete apratitā* (AV °*tau*) *sahobhīḥ* AV. VS MS. SB
 ŚB TB. AŚ ŚŚ.

§133. Yet, contrariwise, AV. occasionally shows *ā*:
chandasaṭi (AV. *chandaḥpaḷṣe*) *uṣasā* (MS KS. °*sau*) *pepiśāne* AV. TS.
 MS KS ApMB

And, in the same verse:

ketumatī (TS ApMB *ketum kṛṇvāne*) *ajāye bhūrvatasā* (MS °*sau*) AV
 TS MS. KS ApMB.

ya indrāgnī asanam sakḥāyau KS : *yo agnīsomāv ajuṣe sakḥāyā* AV

§134. In the rest neither RV. nor AV figures KS. and the RV
 school texts seem to favor *ā*.

ukhyasya ketum prathamam juṣūnau (KS † KapS °*nā*) VS MS. KS
 KapS 25 1, ŚB Oertel 83

imān me (ApŚ *no*) *mitrāvaruṇau* (ApŚ °*nā*) MS KS AŚ ApŚ MŚ ŚG
nirastā śandāmarkau saha tena yam dviṣmaḥ KS. : *nirastau śandāmarkau*
sahāmunā ApŚ

apanullau (KS °*itā*) *śandāmarkau* (MS *śand°*) *saha* MS KS : *apa-*
nullau śandāmarkau sahāmunā TS. TB ApŚ

devā (VS *devau*) *devam avardhatām* VS TB

śubham gamiṣṭhau (KS °*hā*) *suṇamebhīr aśvaih* (KS † *āśubhīḥ*) TS MS
 KS TB.

añlau nyan̥ (PB LŚ. *añkā nyan̥kū*, MŚ MG. *añkū nyan̥kāv*) *abhito*
ratham yau (MG *ye*) TS TB PB MŚ HĠ. PG MG ApMB
 LŚ ApŚ

sanjagmānau (KS. °*nā*) *divā* (TB ApŚ *diva ā*) *pr̥thivyā* MS KS. TB
 ApŚ MŚ

yathāyatham nau tanvau (AŚ *tanvā*, MŚ. *tan nau*) *jātavedaḥ* MS AŚ MŚ

In MŚ phonetic corruption, see VV 2 §410

sudughe mātārā (TB °*rau*) *māhī* VS TB

indravantā (TB. °*lau*) *havir idam juṣethām* TB ŚŚ

sam vasāthām (MS *vasethām*) *svārvindā* (TS *suvar°*, KS °*vidau*) VS TS
 MS KS ŚB

hotā yakṣad āsvinā (VS KŚ °*nau*) *chāgasya haṁṣa* VS KŚ KS

hotā yakṣad āsvināu (KS °*nā*) *chāgasya vapāyā* VS KS

hotā yakṣad āsvināu (TB °*nā*) *sarasvatīm* VS MS TB.

hotā yakṣad āsvinā (MS °*nau*) *sarasvatīm* MS KS AŚ ŚŚ

§135. The following do not properly belong here:

[*gomad ū ṣu nāsatyā* (VS. *nāsatyā*, followed without fusion by *āsvāvad*)

RV VS AŚ The VS comm understands *nāsatyā*, not °*yau*, if *au* were intended, *āv* should stand by the VS rule, see VV 2 §885

There is no real variant here, VS writes more accurately what RV also intends, namely final *ā*]

[*imā* (ApŚ *imau*) *prānāpānau* TB ApŚ But Poona ed of TB *imau*, which is proved correct by ApŚ which quotes TB]

[*urvaṣī ca pūrvacūḥ cāpsarasau* (VSK MS KS °*sā*) VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB.

Followed by a vowel; all texts *āv* or *ā* intending *au* Add to VV 2 §888]

[*lavī devau pracetasau* (VSK °*sā*) VS VSK TB - As preceding, add to 1 c]

§136. We come now to the few sporadic cases in which *āv* (for *ā*) before a vowel, which is the rule in RV, varies with *ā* which fuses with the following vowel. They add nothing to our knowledge and permit no general conclusions:

śunāsīreha sma me juṣeḥām AV (pratīka Vait). *śunāsīrāv imām vācam juṣeḥām* (TA om *ju*°) RV TA AŚ ŚŚ N The AV seems to

be 'hifalutin', misapplying the hieratic *ā* where it does not belong

uṣṣānāktāgnā (KS AŚ °*gna*, ŚŚ °*naktā agna*) *ājyasya vītām* MS KS

AŚ ŚŚ And in the same passage, *dāvīyā hotārāgnā* (°*gna*, °*hotārā*

agna), etc. The ŚŚ form (RV school) s₁ could be *āv*, not *ā* (VV 2

§885) No v. 1 is quoted. If the text is correct, possibly ŚŚ

quoted from a different school (note that the mantra is found

neither in RV nor in its Brāhmanas) The other alternative

would be to hold that ŚŚ intends the ending *ā*, not *au*, and shows

anomalous lack of sandhi

dāvīyā hotārāv ūrdhvam (VS *hotārā ūrdhvam*, KS *hotārordhvam imam*,

MS *hotārā ūrdhvam imam*) *adhvaram nah* VS TS MS KS : *dāvā*

hotāra ūrdhvam (Ppp. *hotārā imam*) *adhvaram nah* AV Ppp. The

AV form is plural. Ppp ms (9 1 8) reads *hotāra* but intends

°*rā* since a dual verb follows. All texts but KS have sandhi

forms of °*rau*

asvinādhvaryū MS TA : *āsvināv adhvaryū* ŚŚ (Also quoted in Conc under *agnir hotāśvinā*°.)

[*añkāñkam* (KS. *añkañkam*, MS *añkāvañkam*) *chandaḥ* TS. VS. MS KS ŚB Only MS seems to understand a dual *añl au* here; if *añl añl am* is also intended to contain a dual in the first part, the form *añkā* must be understood. Even MS has only one accent, *añlāñlām*; the one ms has *añkāñv dñkam*, and p p analyzes *añl au*, *añlām*. These forms are however probably mere corruptions; the presumption is that the unknown (and perhaps artificial) name of a meter here found is meant for a single word. The variant therefore hardly belongs here.]

find it hard to avoid assuming the reverse substitution, *ās* with dative function. These are listed §152, we believe that they have not been specifically noted before. In connection with them particularly, however, the possibility of syntactic rather than formal confusion must be borne in mind; quite similar instances of other gen forms with dat functions will be found below in the section on variations between dative and genitive. Inevitably there are some borderline cases which are especially doubtful; in the last analysis all the variants found here and in the syntactic section on dative varying with genitive must be considered together.

§140. We include here pronominal as well as nominal forms, since they are obviously identical in nature and cannot be separated.

§141. The substitution occurs in no RV, SV, or AV. passage. It shows a varying frequency in the YV. texts. VS. shows it in about 20 variants, more than any other texts; very often VSK. disagrees with VS. TS has about 15 cases; KS. only one, and MS perhaps no entirely certain case. The school texts of VS and TS follow their *saṃhitās*. In general, then, it is the Vāj. and Tait. schools where the change is common. They also show the reverse change (*ās* in dative function), which is rare, almost unknown, in MS and KS. Our results confirm the approximate correctness of Caland's view, *AO.* 5 49-51, notably his statement that MS KS. do not use *ai* for *ās*; but KSA has at least one case, *adityai pājasyam*, §143. That VSK. regularly prefers *ās* accords with Caland's findings for ŚBK. It is perhaps noteworthy that it is the relatively late and secondary YV. schools which show this interchange in both directions, whereas the more conservative MS and KS. do not favor it.

§142. We begin with forms used in genitive function. The following are noun forms from *ā* stems, and seem reasonably certain:

indrāgnibhyām chāgasya vapāyā (KŚ. *°yai*) *medaso 'nubrūhi* KŚ. ApŚ. MŚ. 'Assign to Indra and Agni (part) of the goat's omentum and fat.' Also, *agnīṣomābhyām chāgasya vapāyai medaso 'nubrūhi* ŚB. *ukhāyāh* (TS. *°yai*, MS KS *°yām*) *sadane sve* VS. TS. MS KS. Preceded by *antar agne rucā tvam*. 'Within the firepan, in thine own seat' The parallels point to a genitive with *antar*, not a genitive of 'equivalence' as Keith takes it. There is no sound evidence for a gen of 'equivalence' in Sanskrit, while the use of a gen with *antar* is proved by BR s. v.; a very clear case is VS. 40 5 *agnes tvā mātrayā jagatyai vartanyāgrayanasya vīryena* (KS *jagatyā vartanyā*) *devas tvā savitotsrjatu* (etc.) .TS. KS.: *agneṣ tvā mātrayā jagatyā vartanyā devas tvā savitonnayatu* .MS.

krūrām viveda, TA *lr° cakāra*) *martyah* (KS. *martah*). 'No mortal has attained (comprehended; TA. accomplished) the savagery of thy self, O Agni' So Caland on ApŚ Whitney (note on AV.) suggests that it may mean 'succeeded in inflicting a wound on thee,' which would make it possible to interpret *tanuvai* as a true dative (of interest); but this seems less likely to be the sense

§145. Genitives of pronouns:

na hy asyā (ApMB *asyai*) *nāma gṛbhṇāmī* AV. ApMB. 'I do not mention her name'

imam amum āmuṣyāyanam amuṣyāh putram KS : *imam amuṣya* (VSK *imam amum amuṣya*) *putram amuṣyai* (VSK. °yāh) *putram* . VS. VSK. ŚB In one of the two occurrences in ŚB. *amuṣyai* is printed for *amuṣya*, doubtless by a misprint

iasyai (MS. KS *iasyā*) *vān mātyū* (TS *māti*) VS TS. MS KS. ŚB. 'Its, the mind's, daughter, speech'

§146. Less certain are the following cases of genitives First, from nominal *ā* stems:

asyā janatāyāh śraīṣṭhyāya svāhā MS : *asyai janatāyai śraīṣṭhyāya* ApŚ. 'For preeminence over this folk' But this may be the 'assimilated' dative with following dative of purpose, cf Speyer, *Skt. Synt.* 66 supra, Delbrück, *AIS* 149 (Quoted in Conc also under *agne balada* . .)

§147. From *i* and *ī* stems, in the following the alternative to genitive construction would be a dative of possession or interest; see next section: *adityā* (VS. TB ŚB *adityai*, VSK *aditer*) *bhāgo* 'sī VS. VSK TS MS. KS ŚB. MŚ.

adityai (MS. KS. MŚ *adityā*) *†rāsnāsi* VS TS. MS KS. ŚB. TB. TA ApŚ. MŚ. KŚ.

indrāṇyai (MS. MŚ. MG. °yāh, KS. °yās) *samnahanam* VSK. TS. MS. KS TB. ApŚ. MŚ MG.

prthivyai (MS. °yā) *varmāsi* VSK. KŚ ApŚ MŚ.

adityāh (VS. ŚB KŚ °yai) *sada āsida* VS TS. MS. ŚB. ApŚ. MŚ. KŚ : *adityās sadane sīda* KS.

§148. From pronouns These, like the preceding group, might perhaps be construed as datives of possession or interest The dative of possession is however not common in the oldest language and seems to disappear later. For this and other reasons, particularly in view of the established use of *ai* forms as genitives, we consider these also very probable cases of genitive forms It must be confessed that at times the decision is delicate:

yasyai (VSK *yasyās*) *te yajñīyo garbhah* VS VSK ŚB KŚ. PG

yasyai (VSK. *yasyā*) *yonur hiranyayī* VS VSK ŚB.

yasyai bahvīs (MS *yasyā bahvīyas*) *tanuvo* (MS *tanvo*) *vitapṛṣṭhāh* MS.

TB And others, §671. Comm on TB : *yasyai yasyā devyās tanuvah*.

yāsyā apaśavyā tanūs tām asyā apajahi ŚG SMB (also with *yāsyā apulryā, yāsyāh patighnī*): *yāsyāh pāpī laṣmīr yā patighnī .tū asyā apahata* SMB : *yāsyāh pāpī laṣmīs tām asyā apajahi* SMB.: *yāsyai grhaghñī tanūs tām asyai nāsaya svāhā* PG (also with *patighnī, paśughnī, prajāghñī, yaśoghñī*). *yāsyai ghorā tanūs tām ito nāsaya svāhā* HG. (also with *ninditā, patighnī*). The first *asyai* is clearly gen On the second see §612

§149. We now come to variants used in ablative, rather than genitive, function. First, from pronominal *ā* stems:

yo maitasyā dīśo abhidāsād agnim sā rchatu MS (also with *indram, marutah, mitrāvarunau, somam*). *agnim sa rchatu yo maitasyai* (KS † *syā*) *dīśo 'bhidāsati* KS. ApŚ (also with *indram, aditīm* etc.): *agnim sa dīśām devam devatānām rchatu yo maitasyai dīśo 'bhidāsati* TB (also with *indram* etc.): *iyam dīg yo maitasyai* (KS *syā*) etc KS. TB. ApŚ

§150. From *i* and *ī* stem nouns:

gāyatriyai (MS KS *syā*) *gāyatreṃ* VS TS MS KS. ŚB

sūryas tvā (MS. *tvā rāsmibhūh*) *purastāt pātu kasyās cid abhiśastyai* (TS MS KS *śastyāh*) VS TS MS KS ŚB. Striking because the modifying pronoun *kasyās(cid)* has *ās* even in VS ŚB Cf next *āsanyān mā mantrāt pāhi* (MŚ *pāhi purā*) *kasyās cid abhiśastyāh* (AŚ *tyai svāhā*) TS AŚ. ApŚ MŚ Cf prec.

prthivyai (MS KS *syā*) *mā pāhi* TS MS KS.

pañktyai (MS KS *syā*) *madhanavat* VS. TS MS KS ŚB.

apahato 'raruh prthivyai TS ApŚ : *apahato 'raruh prthivyai devayajanyai* TS ApŚ. *apahato 'raruh prthivyā adevayajanah* TS ApŚ (p.p of TS *prthivyāh*!) *apārarum adevayajanam prthivyā devayajanāḥ* (ApŚ † *adevayajano*) *jahi* KS ApŚ (the latter ambiguous): *apārarum prthivyā* (p p *vyāh*) *adevayajanam* MS MŚ : *apārarum adevayajanam prthivyā* [it, ambiguous] ApŚ. *apārarum prthivyai devayajanā...* *badhyāsam* VS ŚB

pāpāt (KŚ *pāpah*) *svapnyād* (KŚ *nād*) *abhūtyāh* (KŚ *yai*) AV KŚ *agne 'dabdhāyo pāhi prasityai pāhi durīṣṭyai pāhi duradmanyai* (TS. TB add *pāhi duścaritāt*) TS VS ŚB TB † (ii. VS text has *duradmanyā it*) *agne 'dabdhāyo pāhi prasityāh pāhi durīṣṭyāh pāhi*

duradmanyāh KS. (text °manyā itī).—Also listed in Conc. under *pāhi* . .
adbhyah sambhūtah prthivyaḥ rasāc ca TA ApŚ.; *adbhyah sambhūtah prthivyaḥ* (MS. KS. † °yā) *rasāc ca* (KS *rasah*) VS. MS. KS
kṣetriyāt tvā nirṛtyā jāmiśansāt AV.: *kṣetriyaḥ tvā nirṛtyai tvā* TB. ApMB
 HG. (*kṣetrī* = *kṣetriya* occurs only in this passage)
[amoci (AV *amukthā*) *yaḥśmād duritād avartyai* (AV. *avadyāt*) AV. TB
 ApMB No *ās* form here]

§151. Genitives in *ās* as datives We have referred above to the few but interesting cases in which fem *ai* : *ās* forms vary in dative construction. These, to be sure, might be taken merely as cases of the growing tendency for the gen to absorb the functions of the dative, to be signalized below (§§614 ff) Yet the parallel cases just discussed make it obviously likely that the identity of the two endings in sandhi before vowels and their consequent confusion in abl-gen. function has something to do with these cases At least they deserve separate grouping, apart from other dative-genitive variants. They are either dependent syntactically upon *namah*, *svāhā*, or the root *vidh*, none of which seem to be regularly construable with the genitive; or else are used as datives of purpose It is particularly to be noted that the *ās* forms are found chiefly in Vājasaneyin and Taittiriya school texts, which are also those in which *ai* in genitive function is favored. Evidently this confusion of endings, in both its aspects, is characteristic of these schools But one or two cases, textually doubtful, occur in MS. too.

§152. The variants are:

yā te agne rudrīyā tanūs tayā nah pāhi tasyās (MS KS. *tasyai*) *te svāhā*
 TS MS KS

yā ita iṣur yuvā nāma tayā no mṛda (MS *tayā vidhema*) *tasyās* (MS *tasyai*)
 te *namas* TS MS.

tasyai (TS *tasyās*) *te devī haṁṣā vidhema* TS MS. KS AŚ. ŚŚ N.

Kerth's note takes *tasyās* with *haṁṣā*, rendering 'oblation for you' But *tasyās* can mean 'you' only by virtue of going with *te*, from which this interpretation most implausibly separates it Kerth ought to render: 'To thee, goddess, let us offer with her oblation', a monstrosity which his translation avoids by simply omitting *tasyās*. It is better frankly to recognize that *tasyās* is used as dative, or else to consider that the gen here replaces a more usual dat (cf. §634).

tasyās (MS *tasyai*, KS † *tasmai*, read *tasyai*) *te devīṣṭake vidhema haṁṣā vayam* VS TS MS KS ŚB TA Kerth makes the same suggestion as in prec., q. v.

īśāya (MS. °yā, but p p. °yay) *manyum rūjānam* VS. MS. TB. Followed by *barhiṣā dadhur indriyam* 'They have bestowed (upon thee) King Wrath and power along with (or, by) the barhis, unto authority.' It seems impossible to construe *īśāyā(h)* as gen ; note the p p of MS.

kṣātrasya tvā paraspāya brahmaṇas tanvam pāhi VS ŚB : *brahmanas tvā paraspāyāh* (MS. °pāya, p p °pāyāh) *kṣātrasya tanvas pāhi* MS TA . *pratīkas, brahmanas tvā paraspāyā [iti]* ApŚ. MŚ. (could stand for °pāyay or °pāyāh). In the same passage the next two:

dīvas tvā paraspāyāh (MS. °pāya, not repeated in p p.), *antarīkṣasya* MS TA (and ApŚ *pratīka*, with °pāyā [iti]): and

prāṇasya tvā paraspāyay (MS °pāya, v. l. °pāyās, p p. °pāyāh) *cakṣuṣas* . MS. TA (and ApŚ *pratīka* as before). In these three adjoining formulas only a dative of purpose can be intended: 'for the protection of ' Note that TA has °pāyāh twice and °pāyay once—all in the same passage. VS. has only one of the formulas, in which it uses the dat of a neuter stem °pa- (§801), equivalent to °pā- This same neuter form is read thrice in MS. s p, but once the v. l °pāyāh occurs (curiously, in the one case in which TA. has the regular dative form °pāyay!), and its p.p. reads °pāyāh in the two cases which it repeats at all

CHAPTER IV

STEMS IN A

1 Nominative and vocative plural in *ās* : *āsas*

§153. Among the variants occur only forms of *a* stems, to which *āsas* was originally restricted. In general *āsas* is more ancient, or hieratic and archaizing; *ās* is younger or more popular. Since the variation involves a difference of a syllable in the length of the word, the meter is often concerned in it. But other changes may result in making both forms metrical, and it may be difficult to say in such cases whether these other changes were responsible for the change between *ās* and *āsas*, or vice versa. At times the familiar *ās* is allowed to replace *āsas* in defiance of the meter, without compensatory change. But conversely a later text, in its passion for 'hifalutin' language, sometimes puts in an unmetrical *āsas* for original *ās*.

§154. We begin with variants both forms of which are metrically more or less defensible, and first with those in which we take *āsas* to be the original reading, secondarily altered to *ās*:

yena devāso amṛtatvam ānaśuḥ RV.: *yena devā amṛtam anv avindan* AV. *dhruvāsah* (TB ApŚ *dhruvā ha*) *parvatā ime* RV. AV. KA. TB. ApŚ.

SMB. MG Here TB. ApŚ compensate for the lost syllable by the patch-word *ha*.

devāso (and, *devās tvā*) *dadhire purah* RV. (both). The RV. itself uses a patch-word; the older form was certainly *āsas*.

viśve devāso adhi vocatā nah (TS *me*) RV. TS : *viśve devā abhi rakṣantu* (Ppp *^{ti}, KS *anu tiṣṭhantu*) *meha* (AV.* also *tveha*, and *pakvam*; Ppp *mām iha*; SMB ApMB. HG *paścāt*) AV (ter) Ppp. KS † SMB. ApMB HG. Add to VV 1 §116.

ā yāta pitarah somyāsah (HG. *somyāḥ*) AV. HG.: *eta pitarah somyāsah* SMB. GG. ViDh : *parā yāta pitarah somyāsah* (HG. *somyāḥ*) AV. HG. *paretana* (TS KS ApŚ. *pareta*) *pitarah somyāsah* (TS. ApŚ. *somyāḥ*) TS. MS. KS AŚ ApŚ MŚ. Cf. VV 1 §256

ye devānām rtvjo yajñyāsah (and *rtvjo ye ca yajñiyāḥ*) AV. (both). As between these two, it seems clear that *yajñyāsah* is older, the other showing evidences of patch-work. But even it is certainly

secondary to: *ye devānāṃ yajñīyā yajñīyānām* RV. The AV. introduces *ṛtvjo*, exalting the priests at the expense of the gods.

§155. Next a group in which the *ās* forms seem older, *āsas* being as a rule due to conscious archaizing:

tubhyam sulāsah somāḥ SV.: *tubhyam somāḥ sulā ime* RV. The SV. in its eagerness for archaism has crowded out *ime*, and left a *pāda* which is metrically bad.

asmān (MS. KS TS † *asmān*) *u dcrā avalā hareṣu* (MS. KS. *bhareṣu ā*) RV. SV. TS. MS KS : *asmān devāso 'ata hareṣu* AV. †

mā tvā dabhan yātudhānā durevāḥ (Ppp. *durevā yātudhānāḥ*) RV. AV. Ppp : *mā tvā dabhan durevāsah kaśolāḥ* AV.

punar agnayo dhiṣṇyā (ŚŚ °*yāso*) [*yathāsthānam kalpantām* (AV *yathāsthāma kalpayantām ihaiva*, ŚŚ. *yathāsthānāṃ dhārayantām ihaiva*)] AV. ŚB. BṛhU. ŚŚ.: *athaste dhiṣṇyāso agnayo yathāsthānam kalpantām ihaiva svāhā* HG.: *atho yatheme dhiṣṇyāso agnayo yathāsthānāṃ kalpayantām ihaiva* MG : *ime ye dhiṣṇyāso agnayo yathāsthānam iha kalpatām* (read °*ntām*) AG. The ŚŚ reading is archaizing and metrically harsh, tho possible. HG. MG. AG. all treat the formula as prose, but all have the archaizing ending

ete pūtā vipāścitaḥ RV.: *te pūtāso vip°* SV.

ye antarikṣa uta ye (Kauś °*kṣe ye ca*) *divi śrutāḥ* (Kauś °*tāsah*) Kauś. MG. In different contexts. Pronouncing the *a* of *antarikṣe*, MG. makes a good *jagati*; eliding it, Kauś makes a good *triṣṭubh*. Kauś wins a hieratic ending only by allowing a rather unhieratic elision (cf. VV 2 p. 420 ff), and is forced to make a further change of *uta* to *ca*.

§156. In the following variant two adjoining adjectives vary in both readings, the longer and shorter endings interchanging in the two forms: *harṣamānāso dhṛṣṭā* (TB. *dhṛṣṭā*) *marutvaḥ* RV TB. N.: *harṣamānā hṛṣītāso marutvan* AV. The ms. of Ppp has *ṛṣamānāso ṛṣadā marutvan*, emended by Barret, JAOS. 35. 60, to *hṛṣamānāso dhṛṣṭā* (for which *dhṛṣītā* or *hṛṣītā* would seem at least equally possible) *marutvan*

§157. We now come to cases in which one or the other reading makes distinctly bad meter. In most of them *āsas* is metrically better, and as a rule certainly original:

yatra devāso (KS *devā*) *ajuṣanta viśve* VS. KS. ŚB MŚ.: *viśve devā yad ajuṣanta pūrve* TS The latter patches the meter while using the shorter ending If KS. were original (tho metrically poor), the hieratic *devāso* of VS etc would also be an attempt to patch the meter; but on the whole this seems unlikely.

trayo gharmāso anu jyotiṣāguh (MS KS *relasāguh*) TS MS KS ApMB.:
trayo gharmā anu rcta āguh AV.

viśve devāso (AV. *devā*) *adīth sajoṣāh* AV. Ppp. TS MS ApMB. Cf. also
viśve devāh sajoṣasah AV. MS. TA.

ye devā (RV VS. AB AŚ ŚB *devāso*) *divy ekādaśa stha* RV. AV. VS.
 TS MS KS AB ŚB MŚ AŚ Sāyana at AV 19. 27. 11 appar-
 ently read *ye devā divyā divy ekādaśa stha*

yena devāso (AV *devā*) *asahanta dasyūn* RV. AV

vaśa meṣā avasṛṣṭāsa (KS *avasṛṣṭā*) *āhutāh* RV VS. MS KS TB ApŚ.
devāso barhīr āsadan RV : *devā barhīr āsata* PB

te devāso (TS *devā*) *yajñam imam* (Ppp *harir idam*) *juṣadhvam* (AV.
juṣantām) RV AV. VS TS. MS. KS ŚB.: *te devāso harir idam*
juṣadhvam AV.

viśve devā iha mādayantām (KS. *vīrayadhvam*) TS KS. TB.: *viśve devāsa*
iha vīrayadhvam (AV *mādayadhvam*, VS. ŚB Vart. LŚ *mādayan-*
tām) RV AV. VS TS ŚB Vart LŚ ApMB.

§158. Much more rarely the original form has *ās*, and the archaizing change to *āsas* spoils the meter:

ye stha traya ekādaśāh (ŚŚ *ekādaśāsah*) KS ŚŚ This is really prose and so should not strictly be counted here; but its cadence simulates an anuṣṭubh pāda in KS, the presumably original form

śuddhā bhavata yajñīyāh AV · *śuddhā bhavantah śucayah* (N. *bhavanto yajñīyāsah*) *pāvalāh* AV. N Cf. also *abhūma yajñīyāh śuddhāh* AV Since *pāvalāh* must be read metrically *pavālāh*, the line is triṣṭubh and the AV reading is correct Very likely N. intended it as jagatī, reading *pāvalāh*, and altered the preceding case ending to fit its conception of metrical requirements

§159. The following variant is prose, as is also the first quoted in the preceding paragraph:

āmanasya devā (MS *deva*) *ye putrāh* (KS *putrāso*) MS KS

2 Neuter plural in *ā* or *āni*

§160. The ending *āni* is, of course, originally borrowed from *n* stems (Wackernagel 3 p 105), where both *ā* and *āni* are prehistoric (Indo-Iranian, *ibid* p 277), and are equally familiar in the Veda It is a curious fact that, nevertheless, the variants reveal practically no shift between *ā* and *āni* in *n* stems; the only possible instance noted is *janimā(n)*, in *viśvā devānām* etc., §164; and cf §269.

§161. Of our variants nearly all are metrical, and in general the meter is reasonably good in both forms, despite the difference in number of

syllables in the two variant forms; the shorter ending is generally accompanied by a patch-word or other difference of reading. Altho *ā* is certainly older and more hieratic than *āni* (half again as common as *āni* in RV.), the variants show no clear preponderance of originality in either. The alteration may be in either direction; indeed not infrequently both endings are found in both forms of the variant, but in different words, as in:

brahmajyotiḥ sambhṛtā vīryāni AV.: *bra° vīryā sambhṛtāni* TB
samyopayanto duritāni viśvā RV. MG : *samlabhayanto duritā padāni* AV
at'itāmanto duritā padāni (N. *duritāni viśvā*) AV. N.

§162. Sometimes the different ending goes with a different meter; and there is no clear reason for attributing greater originality to either: *indrasya rocam pra kṛtāni vīryā* RV.: *indrasya nu vīryāni pra rocam* RV. Ppp ArS. MS. AB KB. TB AA. N.: *indrasya nu prā* (so read, see Whitney) *rocam vīryāni* AV. Jagati and triṣṭubh
naśir aṣya pra minanti vṛatāni RV. AV.: *nakiṣ ṣa etā vṛatā minanti* RV. Triṣṭubh and dvipadā virāj.

sa no nediṣṭhā havanāni joṣate (MS. *havanā juṣosa*) TS MS. Jagati and triṣṭubh. Cf also: *sa no nediṣṭham havanāny āgamat* (and, *havanāni joṣat*) KS. (both).

duritāni vāni tūni ca cakrma MS : *duritā vāni cakrma* TS. TB TA.

abhi yo viśvā (and, *abhi viśvāni*) *bhuvanāni caṣṭe* RV. (both). See RVRep 117.

lato vi iṣṭhe bhuvanānu (AV °nāni) *viśvā* RV. AV.

viśvā rūpābhi (MS. *rūpāny abhi*) *caṣṭe śacibhiḥ* RV. VS. TS. MS KS. ŚB
The MS. tries to improve the meter, which in the original is read with hiatus, *rūpā abhi*.

aṅgā parūṇṣi tava vardhayanti Vait and AVPpp, JAOS 30 221: *priyāṅy aṅgāni tava vardhayantiḥ* TB (probably secondary).

tatrāhatās trīṇi śātāni śaṅkavaḥ AV : *tasmīn sākam trīṣatā na śaṅka-
vaḥ* RV. N.

apa cakrā avṛtsata KB ŚŚ : *mā cakrā avṛtsata* MS †: *apa cakrāni varlaya* TB. ApŚ. The last clearly secondary.

viśvā devānām (TS. *viśvāni devo*) *janimā vivaḥ* AV. TS. KS Cf *sarvā devānām janimāni vidvān* Kauś, which, if a true variant of the other, would show the only *āni* : *ā* variation noted for an *n* stem, cf §§160, 269. Of the others, AV. KS. are more apt to be original than TS

ata iṃṣi karvarā purūṇi RV. AV. Ppp : *ata invata karvarāni bhūri* AV *sugā vo devāḥ sadanā* (N. °nam) *akarma* (Ppp. MS *kṛnomi*; KŚ. Kauś. *sadanāni santu*) AV. Ppp. VS MS ŚB. KŚ Kauś N : *svagā vo devāḥ sadanam akarma* (ApŚ *sadanāni santu*) TS. ApŚ. *sugā vo devās sadanedam astu* KS.

vratā nu (AB. AŚ. ŚŚ. ŚG. *vratāni*) *bibhrad vratapā adābhyah* (MS AB AŚ *adabdhah*) MS AB. TB AŚ. ŚŚ ApŚ ŚG. Only RV school texts have *vratāni*, two other schools *vratā nu* But this is, of course, not conclusive evidence of priority

yatremā viśvā bhuvanādhi tasthuh RV AV. N. *yenemā viśvā bhuvanāni tasthuh* TA.

yas tā vijānāt sa pituṣ (TA. *savituh*) *pitāsai* RV AV. TA. N.. *yas tāni veda sa pituṣ* (VS. *pituh*) *pitāsai* AV VS

[*achidra usijah padānu takṣuh* TS.: *achidroṣijah kavayah padānuṭakṣi-
suh*, em from ms *padāni takṣiṣvat*, KS]

§166. The converse of the preceding is shown in a group where *āni* seems to be the older reading Presumably *ā* is here due to conscious archaizing in a secondary text With *ā* often goes a patchword which preserves the meter:

kṣāmad devo 'ti durtāny (TA MahānU *devo atidurtāty*) *agnih* AV. TA MahānU.

priyāṅy aṅgāni svadhūtā parūṇṣi (Vait *aṅgā sukrītā purūṇi*) TB Vait *punantu viśvā bhūtāni* (MS *bhūtā mā*, TB *visva āyavaḥ*) AV VS MS KS TB

śatam pavitrā vītātā hy (MS *vītātāny*) *āsu* MS ApŚ ApMB.

ā devo yāti bhuvanāni paśyan (TS MS *bhuvanā vipaśyan*) RV. VS TS MS

ya imā vīsvā bhuvanāni cākṛpe AV ŚirasU *yo rudro vīsvā bhuvanānveśa*

TS KS ApŚ MŚ. Probably not a real variant

prajāpate na tvad etāny anyah (MS **nc hi tvat tāny anyah*, KS *nah*

tvad anya teta) RV. AV VS TS MS (bis) KS. SB ŚB TB TAA

BrhU AŚ Vait AG. Kauś SMP ApMB N.

§166. We come now to variants in which one form is metrically poor. It happens, a little surprisingly, that the metrically superior form seems always to be historically older, there is no apparent case of secondary correction of the meter. We begin with a few cases in which the original has *ā*, and *āni* is metrically bad:

hinva (Vait *hinvā*) *me gātrā* (KŚ *gātrāni*) *harivah* TS KŚ. ApŚ MŚ Vait

aślau śatā yan mītam tad vadanti ŚB. *aślau ca śatāni* [samvatsarasya, inserted in Gastra with all but two mss] *muhūrtān yān vadanti* GB

§167. In the rest the *āni* ending is original and metrically superior. The form in *ā* is in several instances clearly due to haplology, these (the first two) are treated in VV 2 §808

vīsvāny anyo (AV *vīsvānyo*) *bhuvanābhicaṣṭe* (AV. MS. *bhuvanā vicaṣṭe*) RV AV MS TB

trīni padāni (TA MahānU. *padā*) *nihitā guhāsya* (TA MahānU. *guhāsu*) AV VS TA MahānU.--Ppp ms *padānihatā* which Barret, JAOS 30 195, emends to the AV reading, but it may equally well go with TA MahānU

yasmin vīsvāni bhuvanāni (MS *vīsvā bhuvanādhi*) *tasthuh* RV VS MS Cf prec but one, the unmetrical *vīsvā* may have been influenced by *bhuvanā*, the first alteration (?)

tiraś cūttāni (KS ms *cūttā*) *vasavo jighānsati* RV AV MS. KS : *tiraś satyāni maruto jighānsāt* TS Von Schroeder emends KS to *cūttāni*, which seems rather arbitrary

sa cūttāni (Ppp ms *cūttām*) *mohayatu pareṣām* AV. Ppp Barret, JAOS 32 351, reads Ppp as *cūttā*. It may, however, have intended *cūttām*

agnir vṛtrāni jañghanat RV. SV etc In Svidh. occurs the *pratika-agnir vṛtreṭi*, i.e. apparently, *vṛtrā-iti*. Perhaps a corruption.

§168. Finally, a few variants in which one or both versions are prose. The first is particularly interesting, in it TS and PB are prose, and

substitute the prosaic *āni* for the *ā* of the other texts. Or else, was the prose version older, and to make it metrical was *ā* substituted for *āni*? *elā* (TS PB *elāni*) *te aghnye* (TS *aghnye*, PB *'ghnye*) *nāmāni* VS TS PB. ŚB MŚ

abhi savanāni (TS *savanā*) *pāhi* VS. TS. MS KS ŚB Prose in both *ariṣṭāni me sarvātmānibhṛṣṭah* AV. *ariṣṭāni me sarvāṅgāni sanīu* (PG. *me 'ṅgāni*) Vait PG. *ariṣṭā viśvāny aṅgāni* TS TAA MŚ Prose in both

3 Instrumental plural in *aīs* : *ebhis*

§169. The variants are not very numerous nor particularly interesting. In most of them *aīs* is substituted for an original *ebhis*. Thus most clearly in the following group, where *aīs* is unmetrical:

sam vājebhih (MS *vājaih*) *puruścandrar abhiduyubhih* RV AV MS † 2 2 6b: 20 4, KS

samjñānam nah (KS *nas*, TB. *na*, Poona ed *nah*) *svebhyah* (AV. *svebhih*, TB *svaih*) RVKh AV. MS. KS. TB Followed by.

samjñānam aranebhyah (AV *aranebhih*, TB. *araṇaiḥ*), same texts. The AV forms in both these variants are not good metrically, but TB. is much worse

tvaṣṭar devebhih sahasāma indra ApMB.: *tvaṣṭā devaih sahamāna indrah* MG

somo rudrar (TS *rudrebhur*) *abhi rakṣatu tmanā* TS MS KS AŚ. ŚŚ It is strange that only TS (usually secondary in relation to MS. KS) has the metrically correct form (against three different schools!). Is it possible, after all, that the others have the original reading (tho poor metrically), and that TS has corrected the meter secondarily?

gambhīrebhih pathibhih pūrvinebhih (MS. *pūrvēbhih*) MS KS AŚ SMB. *gambhīraiḥ pathibhih pūrvyaih* (AV *pūrvānaiḥ*) AV TS HG The meter requires *gambhīrebhih* thruout. Whitney on AV 18 4 63 suggests emendation of MS to *pūrvyebhih*, which was evidently the original on which TS HG *pūrvyaih* is based

§170. In another little group AV. seems secondary in substituting *aīs* for *ebhis*, tho it preserves the meter by patch-words or other changes *aṅgrobhur ā gah yaṅjīrebhih* RV TS MS : *aṅgīrobhur yaṅjīyair ā gahiha* AV.

prehi-prehi pathibhih pūrvyebhih (AV. *pūrvānaiḥ*) RV. AV. MS AŚ AG

vīryebhur (MS *vīrebhur*, AV. *yau vīryair*) *vīratamā saviṣṭhā* (TB *śaci*°, Poona ed. *śav*°) AV. VS MS ŚB ŚB TB AŚ ŚŚ.

§171. In other cases, on the contrary, it seems a fair guess that the older version is that with *ais*, the archaizing *ebhis* being secondary, tho the meter is not necessarily decisive

jambhyais (KS. °*bhyebhis*) *taskarān* (KS. † °*rān*) *uta* VS. TS. KS. In

KS the suffixal *y* is metrically inferior, being consonantal in pronunciation; perhaps KS archaizes secondarily

sajoṣā devair (VS ŠB *saṣūr devebhīr*) *avarāṣ paraiś ca* VS TS MS KS ŠB

tebhiś tvam putram janaya ŚG *taiś tvam putram* (ApMB *putrān*) *vindasva* AV ApMB . *taiś tvam garbhīnī bhava* HG Note that ŚG. is forced to read *tvam* as a monosyllable

satyam pūrvair (KS *pūrvēbhīr*) *ṛṣibhiḥ samvidānah* (KS † °*bhiś cākṣpānah*, ApŚ °*bhiś cākṣpānah*) MS KS ApŚ Here KS spoils the meter

§172 In the following neither metrical nor other considerations clearly decide the original form

mitrāvarunau dhiṣṇyair (KS °*yebhīr agnibhiḥ*) MS KS *aṅīraso dhiṣṇyair agnibhiḥ* TA (prose in the latter)

mṛdam barsvair (TS *barsvebhīh*) VS. TS MS KSA Prose The majority are more apt to be original

mandūkān jambhyebhiḥ (KSA *jambhaiḥ*) TS KSA TB ApŚ Prose

4 Ablative singular in *āt* and *tas*

§173. The few variants under this head all concern pronouns or pronominal adjectives, and hence belong, perhaps, more properly in our chapter on Pronouns. The forms are, moreover, adverbial or quasi-adverbial in function. Two concern *uttarāt* or *uttaratas*, one *tasmāt* and *tatas*. The last variant, whose right to be placed here is highly questionable, presents three rare forms in *tāt* from *añc* stems, dubious in character and solely R̥gvedic, for which AV substitutes more usual forms in *tas*

visvakarmā tvādyair uttaratah (MS KS *uttarāt*) *pātu* VS TS MS KS ŠB. *visvakarmā va ādyair uttarata upadadhatām* TA

jāgrvīś ca mārundhatī collarād (MG *collarato*) *gopāyatām* KS † MG † *tasmād* (ArŚ VS VSK *tato*) *vrād ajāyata* RV ArŚ VS. VSK TA *prāktād apāktād* (AV *prākto apākto*) *adharād udaktāt* (AV *udaktah*) RV AV

5 Instrumental singular of *ā* stems in *ā* and *ayā*

§174. Only one or two dubious cases

agner jihvām abhi (MS *jihvābhi*, p p *jihvām*, *abhi*, AV KS *jihvayābhi*)

†grñitam (AV grñata) AV. VS TS MS KS. Cf VV 2 §309. The AV KS parallel suggests taking MS as an instr., in spite of p p ayā pavā pavasvainā vasūni RV SV. PB · ula na enā parayā parasta RV SV. The accent of parayā is on the ultima, which gives pause Oldenberg (Noten on 9 97 53) suggests, among other solutions, that we have either mistaken accentuation caused by that of ayā' in the preceding (the first variant just quoted), or adverbial shift of accent

svāveśayā (VS ŚB °veśā) tanvā samvisasra VS MS KS ŚB Wackernagel 3 p 118 supra takes svāveśā as instr., as the parallel suggests But below, §415, we have suggested taking it as nom

[āsīrdāyā dampatī vāmam asnūtām TS . yad āsīrdā dampatī vāmam aśnutah VS yam āsīrā dampatī vāmam aśnutah TS : yayāśīṣā dampatī vāmam aśnutah AV Keith takes āsīrdāyā' as dual adj with dampatī, we prefer to take it for āsīrdāyāyā with Wackernagel 3 p 116 infra In any case there is no variation between case-forms ā and ayā, rather -dāyā and -dā are from the different stems -dāyā and -dā]

CHAPTER V

SHORT AND LONG *i* STEMS

§175. Out of a mass of miscellaneous variants concerning *i* and *ī* declensions, there stands forth with overwhelming force a large group showing confusion between forms proper to the four declensions which are primarily and regularly feminine, viz. the short *i* feminines (type *gati*), the monosyllabic 'radical' *ī* stems (type *śrī*), and the two derivative *ī* declensions (types *devī* and *vrkī*). We shall begin with these, in §§176-213. Their treatment in Wackernagel 3 pp. 134 ff., 163 ff., which see for the extensive earlier bibliography, is in general excellent. While our materials do not perhaps reveal much that is new in principle, they nevertheless supplement Wackernagel on many points, and they emphasize rather more than his treatment the interchanges in various directions. Occasionally they suggest that forms in which Wackernagel sees other influences may well be due to simple confusion between the declensions (cf. notably §189).

§175a. No very distinct school tendencies seem to us to be shown by the variants. Yet we would call attention to the behavior of the Tattirīya school, which in certain groups of variants seems to show a sort of perverse desire to differ from the regular usage. Thus, the endings *ībhī*, *ībhīyas*, and *ībhīyām* from short *i* stems (similarly *ūbhīyām* from short *u* stems, §230) seem to be characteristic of this school (§§188-9), while conversely it shows some tendency to prefer short *i* forms from *ī* stems (see notably §§198-9). Nor are these sections the only ones which contain examples of such perverseness in the Tart. school. We have noticed like conditions in this school on other points; cf. VV 2 *passim*, notably §§767, 946.

1. *ī* forms from *i* stems

§176. We shall begin with variations between short and long *i* forms and first those in which apparently original *i* stems present *ī* (*devī* or *vrkī*) forms. From the phonetic standpoint these cases have been treated in VV 2 §§526 ff.

§177. Nom. sg. in *īs* from *i* stems. Here a striking group of cases concerns lengthening of the *i* in the nom. sg. of *i* stems, resulting in forms like *vrkīs*. While some of them are more or less dubious, they

are too numerous and on the whole too well attested to permit doubt of the reality of the phenomenon, which so far as we can see happens not to be recorded by Wackernagel (cf. his p 135) In every variant the *ī* is secondary historically:

ayās cāgne 'sy *anabhiśastīś* (AŚ ApMB HG °tīś) ca MS KS AŚ. ŚŚ
KS ApŚ Kauś ApMB HG

abhiṣṭir (HG °ṣṭir) *yā ca me dhruvā* (HG. ca no grhe) RVKh HG.

plāṣir (TB °ṣir) *vyāhrah śatadhāra utsah* VS MS KS. TB.

viśvā abhiṣṭih pṛtanā jayati MS. *viśvā hi bhūyāh pṛtanā abhiṣṭih* TS.

'In all conflicts he shall become a protector,' Keith, who would read *abhiṣṭih* The accent, on the ultima (so also MS), supports this interpretation, the fem. 'protection' is *abhiṣṭi* But we see no reason to refuse to admit, even in a masculine, confusion with the *vrkī* declension, we should not emend TS. Add to VV 2 §529.

sam arīr (MS KS *arīr*) *vidām* (KS *vidah*) VS MS KS ŚB The meaning is obscure, and the interpretation of *arīr* doubly so. Eggeling on ŚB 3 9. 4 21 takes it as acc pl; BR as nom sg.; the author of ŚB understood it as nom. pl, see Eggeling's note, Wackernagel 3 §§70, 78 considers the passage corrupt and would emend to *vidrām*, implying *arīr* as nom pl; a nom sg. *arīr* is to him 'unglaublich'. Had he known the other forms in this section he might have been less emphatic We think that they prove *arīr* as nom sg not at all impossible in itself.

[*vañkrīr āśvasya svadhītih sam eḥ* RV. VS. TS. KSA Cone quotes *vañkrīr* for all texts but KSA, actually *vañkrīr* is found in all, and is an acc pl]

§178. Nom sg in *ī* from *i* stems The forms in which *ī* of the *devī* type is substituted for *i* are on the whole more dubious than the preceding group, but the first, at least, seems to be a clear and a well attested case.

ātīr (TA KSA *ālī*) *vāhaso darvidā te vāyave* (TS KSA. *vāyavyāh*) VS. TS MS KSA Stem *ātī* is R̥gvedic.

sam te melhī bhavatu śam yugasya tṛdma ApMB : *sam melhīr bhavatu śam yugasya tārāma* AV. There is much variation in the form of this word (see BR), but *i* forms are older and at all periods more usual

kārṣir (MS KS MŚ *kārṣy*) *asi* VS. TS. MS. KS ŚB. KŚ ApŚ MŚ. BrhPDh Boehtlingk in pw assumes a stem *kārṣin* for the form *kārṣy*, but in so doing falsifies the accent, which in MS is written *kā'rṣy* MS. pp reads *kārṣih, asi*. Knauer (on MŚ. 2 3 17)

suggests irregular sandhi for *kārṣis*. On the whole, the variant seems to differ in no wise from the preceding two, except that the original form (*i* or *ī* stem?) is uncertain.

rantir asī TS.: *rantī ramatīh sūnuh sūnarī* ApŚ : *vasvī rantih sumanāh* MS · *sumanmā vasvī rantī sūnarī* SV JB. But the SV. is very uncertain, it may be taken with the comm as a dual, see Benfey, Glossar, s v. *ram*. The form *rantī* of ApŚ is for *rantis* by the usual sandhi.

atha jivir (RV. *adhā jivirī*, ApMB *athā jivirī*) *vdatham ā vadāsi* (RV. *vadāthah*) RV. AV. ApMB See VV 2 §529 In RV. dual, in ApMB sing but possibly influenced by recollection of the RV form *śiraḥ-śiraḥ prati sūrī vi caṣṭe* TS ApŚ : *śiro devī prati sūrī vi caṣṭe* KS A peculiar case; *sūrī* is a fem nonce-formation to the usual *sūri*, which is used without change in KS as a fem. It reminds us of the tendency noted below (§225) towards a repartition of short *u* stems and *ū* stems as masc and fem. respectively, but this is the only case noted of such a tendency among *i* stems

§179. Acc sg in *īm* from *i* stems. Only a couple of cases, each involving, perhaps, extraneous considerations:

ākūtīm devīm subhagām (TB. *manasah*) *puro dadhe* AV. TB.: *ākūtīm devīm manasā prapadye* SMB. Perhaps *ī* in SMB. by form-attraction to *devīm*.

kratvā varṣṭham vara āmurīm uta RV. AV.: *kratve vare sthemany āmurīm uta* SV. Here the meter favors *ī*, and is perhaps responsible for the SV. form

§180. Voc. sg. in *i* from *i* stems. We find a number of cases in which the regular voc *e* in *i* stems is replaced by *i*, from the *ī* declension:

pūrṇā darvī (AV. MS. *darve*) *parā pata* AV VS TS MS. KS. ŚB. AŚ ApŚ. In RV. only stem *darvī*

yās ca bhūmy adharāg yās ca paścā MS . *yās te bhūme adharād yās ca paścāt* AV. The stem *bhūmī* has *devī* forms even in RV, probably influenced by its synonym *prthivī* (cf. Wackernagel 3 p 136)

abhy enaṁ bhūma ūrṇuhī (TA *bhūmī vṛnu*) RV. AV. TA. Cf. prec *ide rante 'dite sarasvatī priye preyasi mahī viśrutī* TS . *ide rante* (MŚ *rante juṣṭe*) *sarasvatī mahī viśrute* PB MŚ The form *viśrutī* may be formally assimilated to *mahī* (and *sarasvatī*?)

§181. Dat sg in *yai* from *i* stems. The extension of *devī* forms to the *i* declension in the dat, abl., gen, and loc sg was so common and persistent that it is familiar even in classical Sanskrit, where it also applies to the monosyllabic *ī* stems (type *śrī*); cf §209 for Vedic variants

of this declension We shall also find, in §209, a case of a *vrkī* noun showing a dative of the *devī* type It is worth noting that no *devī* nouns occur among the variants with dative forms borrowed from the rival *ī* and *i* declensions, but there is one isolated instance of an abl. sg. of *vrkī* type from a *devī* stem, §210

§182. Among the dative forms here collected, there is little clear indication of relative age, but if anything the older texts seem unexpectedly to prefer the *yai* ending. (To be included here is also the variant *yatra bhūmer [bhūmyai]*. . §143 above, where *bhūmyai* has gen. function) Most of the variants are prose; once *yai* (monosyllabic) seems intended to make meter out of what is a prose formula in the other texts:

devavīṭaye (MS °*vītyai*) *tvā* (KS *vo*) *grhṇāmi* VS TS MS KS ŚB TB.

ApŚ. *devatābhīyas tvā devavīṭaye grhṇāmi* KS Only MS is intended to be metrical

ṛṭaye (TB *ṛṭyai*) *stenahṛdayam* VS TB.

anuṣṭup (TB °*ṭuk*) *pañkṭyai* (MŚ *pañkṭaye*) TB. ApŚ. MŚ.

bhūtyai (AŚ *bhūṭaye*) *namah* MS. ApŚ MŚ AŚ.

adītyai (ŚG. °*ṭaye*) *svāhā* VS TS. MS. KSA ŚB TB. ŚG

sarvveśāyopaveśāya gāyatriyai (etc.) *chandase* (TS. ApŚ omit) 'bhūbhū-
ṭaye (TS ApŚ *abhūbhūtyai*, ŚŚ 'bhūbhṛtyai, KŚ. 'bhūbhūtyai) *svāhā*
TS PB. ŚŚ KŚ ApŚ.

vasyaṣṭaye (misprinted *vaspa*°) *tvā* LŚ.: *vasyaṣṭyai tvā* Vait

cūṭṭaye svāhā H.G.: *cūṭṭyai svāhā* ApŚ.

gopīthāya v. *nārāṭaye* MS : *bhūṭāya tvā nārāṭaye* VS. ŚB.: *rakṣāyai tvā nārāṭyai* KS : *sphāṭyai tvā nārāṭyai* TS. TB ApŚ.

anumataye (TB. °*ṭyai*) *svāhā* ŚB TB. BṛhU. AG. ŚG. Kauś : *asūyantyai cānumatyai ca svāhā* AŚ.

swargeyāya (VSK *sva*°) *śaktyai* (VSK. ŚvetU. *śaktyā*) VSK TS. ŚvetU : *svargyāya śaktyā* (MS. *śaktaye*) VS MS KS ŚB. Metrical, *śaktyai* three syllables

§183. A couple of infinitive variants in *e* : *ai* may be added here, altho they are probably not really comparable The origin of the *ai* of certain infinitive forms is really unexplained, that *-dhyai* is formed by affixing the dative ending (IE **ai* or **ei* =) Skt *e* to a stem final **dhya* or **dhyā*, as commonly assumed, is hardly more than a placebo, and still less likely is it that the frequent ending *-lavai* (with double accent, on stem and ending both) is from a stem **lava* or **lavā*, since the parallel and similar *-lave* obviously belongs to stem *tu* (see below, §238) But whatever be the history of these forms, the important

point for our purposes is that from RV. on the infinitive of this type is always *dhyai*, never *dhye* except in the isolated TS occurrence of our first variant; and this is likely to be a mere phonetic simplification of *ai* to *e* (VV 2 §705). The other variant which follows is even more dubious:

te te dhāmāny uśmasi gamadhye TS : *tā* (VS. ŚB. *yā*) *te* (RV. KS N. *vām*) *dhāmāny* (RV KS. N. *vāstūny*) *uśmasi gamadhyai* RV. VS MS. KS. ŚB. N. Two mss of KS also have *gamadhye*.

parīdhāsyai yaśodhāsyai PG : *parīdhāsyē yaśo dhāsyē* MG The MG. forms, at least, are best taken as verbs (fut ind.). Stenzler (questioningly) and Oldenberg follow the comm in taking *-dhāsyai* as an infinitive form and *yaśodhāsyai* as a cpd Cf. VV 1 §177, 2 §705

§184. Abl.-gen. sg in *yās* from *i* stems What has been said on the datives in *yai* applies equally here. It only needs to be noted, further, that in the first group of variants the form for which *yās* is secondarily substituted in SV. is not the usual *es*, but the older *yas*, which is the only form known in RV. from the stem *av*, cf. Wackernagel 3 p. 138: *avyo* (SV. *avyā*) *vāre* (SV. **vāraiḥ*) *pari priyah* RV. SV. (ter): *avyo vāre* (SV. *avyā vāraiḥ*) *pari priyam* RV SV.: *avyo* (SV. *ṛavyā*) *vārebhāḥ pavate madīntamah* RV. SV.: *avyo* (SV. *avyā*) *vārebhir arṣati* (SV. *avyata*) RV. SV.: *avyo* (SV. *avyā*) *vāre mahīyate* RV. SV.: *avyo vāreṣu* (SV. *avyā vārebhir*) *asmayuh* RV. SV.: *avyo* (SV. *avyā*) *vāraiḥ paripūtaḥ* RV. SV.

yonyā (TA *yoner*) *iva pracyuto garbhah* AV. TA.

eṣā tvā pātu nīrtter upasthāt (TA. *nīrttyā upasthe*, AV. *prapathe purastāt*) RV. AV. TA.

sūryāyā ūdhe 'dityā (VSK.† KŚ.† *adityā*, MŚ *ūdhar aditer*, KS. *ūdho adīter*) *upasthe* VSK. TS KS ŚŚ. KŚ MŚ.

adityā (VS. TS ŚB *adityai*, VSK *aditer*) *bhāgo 'sī* VS VSK TS. MS KS. ŚB. MŚ.

§185. Nom.-acc. dual in *yau* from *i* stems A single variant shows this form in three YV. texts of different schools, while only one (VS.) has the 'proper' ending *ī*, clearly as a secondary substitution (note that the meter requires *śronyau*, as three syllables). The ending *yau* belongs originally to the *ṛkī* declension, whence it was taken over first into the *devī* nouns (§212, below), and then sporadically into *i* stems: *aṇsau grīvāś ca śronyau* (VS *śronī*) VS. MS. KS TB.

§186. Instr.-dat.-abl. dual: see §189 below.

§187. Nom. pl *īs* from *i* stems In one variant TS has *vahnīs*, an

isolated form of *vahn*, which seems never to have been noticed previously, for the regular *vahnayas* of other texts, perhaps under the influence of the preceding and parallel *dauvīs*

upa (TS MS *upo*) *devān dauvīr viśah prāgur vahnaya* (TS *vahnīr*) *uśījah* (VS. ŚB. *prāgur uśījo vahnitamān*) VS TS MS. KS. ŚB.

§188. Instr, dat-abl. pl *i-bhīs*, *-bhīyas*, from *i* stems Regarding *i* in these forms, it is to be noted that the *p* of TS. reads short *i* where the *s* *p* has *ī*, suggesting that *ī* was interpreted as (originally rhythmic) lengthening of quasi-final *i* (this lengthening is regularly abolished in the pada-pāthas, VV 2 §428) This lengthening seems specially common before labial consonants (VV 2 §§464 ff, 515 f, etc) It may be noted, however, that the other conditions favorable to such lengthening, which include the vowel's being followed by a single consonant, exist only in the instr plur (*-bhīs*). The general influence of the *ī* stems, so common in other case forms, seems to us an amply sufficient explanation of these forms also; other pada-pāthas do not seem to support that of TS; and we are not inclined to see influence of the law of rhythmic lengthening here—The variants in this and the next section seem to suggest a preference for *ī* in the Tait. school; so also with *ū* for *u*, §230; cf. §175a

ṛtūn prṣṭibhīh (KSA *prṣṭibhīh*, ms *bhīr*°) TS KSA. Macdonell and Keith, *Vedic Index* 2 359, take *prṣṭi* for an *ī* stem, but the usual theory (e.g. Wackernagel 3 p 136) that makes it an *i* stem is more plausible.

prṣṭibhyah (KSA. *prṣṭi*°) *svāhā* TS KSA See prec

svāhākṛtibhyah (TS ApŚ °*tibhyah*) *preṣya* TS ŚB. KŚ. ApŚ. MŚ.

Most MŚ mss °*tibhyah*

hrādunibhyah (KSA °*ni*°) *svāhā* VS. TS KSA. Originally *i* stem; Wackernagel 3 p. 138

§189. Instr-dat-abl dual in *ibhyām* from *i* stems. The forms *akṣibhyām* and *śronibhyām* are in our opinion in no wise different from the plural forms of the preceding section. We cannot agree with Wackernagel 3 pp 54 f, 303, who regards them as directly based upon the nom dual *akṣī*, *śronī*. If other *ī* forms from short *i* stems were unknown, or even rare, this suggestion might be considered. But since just the opposite is the case, we see no reason to look for any more specific motivation of these dual forms. Note that the stem *śroni* even has a nom dual *śronyau*, following the (*vr̥kī*, or later) *devī* declension (§185).

sucakṣā aham akṣibhyām (MG. *akṣi*°) *bhūyāsam* AG PG. MG. N. In the older language the form with *ī* is commoner than that with *i*

nutrāvarunau śronibhyām (KSA °nī°) TS KSA

śronibhyām (TS °nī°) *svāhā* TS KSA.

yakṣmam śronibhyām (ApMB °nī°, v 1 °nī°) *bhāsadāt* RV AV ApMB
ya° bhasadyam śronibhyām bhāsadam AV.

2 i stems of uncertain quantity

§190. So far we have dealt only with what we believe to have been originally short i stems, attracted towards ī declensions. The reverse process is at least equally common, especially with *devī* nouns, but also with *vr̥kī* and monosyllabic (*śrī*) types. The confusion is in a few cases so old and profound that we really do not know which is original. Thus the question of *takarī* or °rī is left doubtful by Wackernagel (3 p 186), and we can add nothing to his statement except that in the following variant AVPpp, according to Roth, has *tagarim*

vi te bhīnadmi takarīm (KS °rim, AV. *mehanam*, Ppp *tagarim* [Roth])
 AV. TS KS ApŚ.

§191. The most familiar case of this sort is the notorious *oṣadhī* or °dhī. Lanman (NI 371) classified it doubtfully as a *devī* noun. Wackernagel (3 p 186) contents himself with stating the broad facts, as follows. the sing (lacking in RV. family books) has only i forms in the Veda (to W's single exception from AV. we can add another from ApMB, §192). In the plural RV and AV. have ī forms except in the nom (voc), where *ayas* and *īs* interchange. Both types are found later, but i forms predominate in classical Sanskrit.

§192. Our variants from this stem are few except for the nom. pl. We have noted only one acc sg and one dat pl :

imām khanāmy oṣadham (ApMB °dhīm) RV. AV. ApMB

oṣadhibhyah (ŚG °dhī°, sc *namah*) MG. ŚG

§193. As to the nom. pl, the forms *ayas* and *īs* are not metrically equivalent and in metrical variants (which include all but one of those here noted) this needs to be borne in mind. In nearly all of them *īs* is the older form, historically, and in most it is also favored by the meter. As a rule later texts substitute *ayas*, often without any attempt to patch the meter.

yā oṣadhīh (AV TS TB Kauś PrānāgU °dhayah) *somarājñīh* RV AV
 VS TS AB TB PrānāgU Kauś SMB GG KhG

yatrauṣadhīh samagmata RV. VS : *yad oṣadhayah samgachante* (KS *samagmata*) TS MS KS

yā oṣadhīh pūrvā jātāh RV VS KS ŚB ŚŚ KŚ N *yā oṣadhayah prathamajāh* MS KS MŚ MG. *yā jātā oṣadhayah* TS TB ApŚ

Note that MS etc. by a further change make passable meter

kalpantām āpa oṣadhayah (TS TB. °dhāh) VS. TS. MS KS. ŚB. TB.

Here probably *ayas* is original, tho poor metrically, and the secondary *īs* is introduced to improve the meter

śam no bhavanīv āpa oṣadhayah (AV.* °dhāh) śivāh AV (bis). (Read *apa* at 2 3 6; see Whitney's note) The meter is certainly better with *īs*.

oṣadhīh prati modadhvam RV. VS : *oṣadhayah prati modadhvam enam* (KS om *enam*, VS ŚB. °dhvam agnīm *clam*) VS TS. MS KS ŚB.: *oṣadhayah prati grbhñīta* VS VSK ŚB : *oṣadhayah prati grbhñītāgnum* (MS *grbhñī*°) *clam* TS MS. KS The RV. is clearly old and sound with *oṣadhīh*. Most other texts, if really based on it, substitute *oṣadhayah*, which spoils the meter, some try to patch it with generally poor success

śam oṣadhayo rasena VS TS MS. KS ŚB TB ŚŚ : *śam oṣadhībhir oṣadhīh* VS ŚB Probably not real variants, both metrically passable

oṣadhayah (RV VS °dhāh) *prācucyavuh* RV VS TS. MS KS. The RV. original is here rather poor metrically (Oldenberg suggests *pra acu*°), and the change to *-ayah* was doubtless meant to improve it
indrāgnī dyāvāprthivī āpa oṣadhīh (KS °dhayah) TS KS : *sūryāgnī dyāvāprthivī uro antarikṣāpa oṣadhayah* MS Vocatives. This is the only prose variant, the isolated TS is apt to be secondary.

3 *i* forms from *ī* stems

§194. The stem *rātrī* There is no doubt that *rātrī* 'night' (Wackernagel 3 p 185) was originally a *devī* noun, as it still is exclusively in RV. According to Wackernagel, KS also has only *ī* forms except *rātrayah* which it uses exclusively for *rātrih* in the nom pl, and a single case of nom sg *rātrih* In the variants, as we should expect, older texts in general show *ī* forms, later ones *i* forms We find:

§195. Nom sg in *is*:

tato rātry (TA *rātrir*) *ajāyata* RV TA ManānU. Two mss of MahānU. *rātrir*

rātri (TB *rātrī*, KS v l *rātrih*) *stomam na jigyuse* (KS † TB °ṣī) RV KS. TB Voc. in RV.

śam rātrī (VS *rātrih*, VSK TA *rātrih*) *prati dhīyatām* AV. VS. VSK MS TA On VS see §206.

§196. Acc sg in *im* (note preference of KS for *īm*, cf Wackernagel l c):

rātrīm-rātrīm (MS KS ŚB KŚ MG *rātrīm-rātrīm*) *aprayāvam bharantah* AV TS MS KS ŚB KŚ MG

anuvāsi (etc, see VV 2 §255) *rātrīm* (VS MS KS † *rātrīm*) *junva*
VS TS. MS KS GB Vait

rātrīm (KSA *rātrīm*) *pīvasā* TS KSA

rātrīm dhenum wāyatiṁ (AV *upāyatiṁ*) AV HG. ApMB : *rātrīm dho*
wā° SMB PG MG

rātrīm (KS *rātrīm*) *junva* TS KS PB Vait

ye rātrīm (KS *rātrīm*) *anutiṣṭhanti* (KS † °ṣṭhatha) AV KS

§197. Nom pl in *ayas*

yās te rātrīḥ (MS KS *rātrayah*) *savitar devayānīḥ* TS MS KS Here
rātrīḥ certainly makes better meter, but is found only in TS,
while MS KS (generally older than TS) agree on *rātrayah*. The
latter is the usual Vedic form (but no nom pl occurs in RV. or
AV), and is prescribed for the Veda by Pān 4 1 31

§198. The stem *varūrī* (or *varu*°, VV 2 §562) Like *rātrī* this is
originally a *devī* noun but shows 2 forms (Wackernagel 3 p 184), tho
only in texts of the Tait school among the variants (cf §175a).

Acc sg. in *im*°

varūrīm (KS † *varutrīm*) *tvaṣṭur varunasya nābhīm* TS KS · *varūrīm*
tvaṣṭur varunasya nābhīm VS ŚB *tvaṣṭur varutrīm varuṇasya*
nābhīm MS

Nom pl in *ayas*°

varūrtrayo janayas tvā devīr TS · *varūrīṣṭvā* (KS °*trīs tvā*) *devīr visva-*
devyāvatīḥ VS KS. ŚB Wackernagel 1 c. suggests that *varū-*
trayas is influenced by the adjoining *janayas*. But this will not
explain the other variants here

varūrīr avayan KS : *varūrtrayas ṭvāvayan* ApŚ

§199. Root *ī* stems, type *śrī* Cf. Wackernagel 3 p 187. Here
forms of the 2 type are rare. We find two involving the nom sg (one
of them, however, doubtful), and two the dative plural. Again, as in
the prec, Tait texts seem to favor short 2

veṣaśrīr (TS. °*śrīr*) *asi* TS KS GB PB. Vait LŚ Wackernagel 1 c
suggests influence of the neighboring *vasyaṣṭur asi* in TS

asrīvayaś (TS ApŚ. °*viś*, MS. °*viś*) *chandah* VS TS MS KS ŚB. ApŚ
The word is obscure and probably artificial VS. comm takes
°*vayaś* as a cpd containing stem *vayas*. It must certainly be felt
as nom sg, and so the other forms of the variant. If they are
felt as containing the stem *vi* (in *pada-vī* etc), the variation between
MS and TS would belong here

namah senābhyaḥ senānībhyaś (VS TS °*nībhyaś*) *ca vo namah* VS TS
MS. KS See VV 2 §527 and (for the same shortening of the stem
in composition) §523

namah śvanūbhyo (MS. *śvanī*°) VS MS. KS.: *namo mṛgayubhyah śvanūbhyas ca ro namah* TS. See VV 2 §525. Bochtlingk's postulation of a stem *śvanin* 'having dogs' is most implausible, particularly in view of *senūmbhyas* above.

§200. Other *ī* stems (mostly of the *derī* type) show only sporadically variant forms of the *i* declension among the variants. We shall classify them by case forms; first, nom. sg. in *ih* for *ī*.

prati tvā parvalī (TS. TB. °*ir*, MS. KS. MŚ. *pāritālī*) *reṭhu* VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. TB. MŚ. One ms. of KS. *parvalī*.

nārīr asī VS. TS. MS. ŚB. TA. MŚ.: *nāry asī* VS. KS. ŚB. The stem *abhrīr* or *babhrīr* almost always found in the context may have caused form assimilation (Wackernagel 3 p. 184).

ambā ca bulā ca nīlatnī ca MS.: *ambā dūlā nīlatnīr*. TS. In AV. 6.136.1 *nīlatnī* is the epithet of a plant, here of a brick. The chances are that the *ī* stem is original.

§201. The original type of the stem *skambhani* (°*nī*) is variously given. Macdonell, VGr §375A3, implausibly thinks that it is a cpd. of *skambha* and the root-noun *nī*. We prefer to hold (with BR. and Wackernagel 3 p. 183) that it is fem. to RV. *skāmbhana*. If the accent of VS VSK. (*skambhani*°, TS. °*ntī*) is correct, the rule would require *ṛkī* declension, so that in our variant VS would be regular, VSK. irregular. KS. has no accents in this mantra but in 27 accents *skāmbhani*, which would suggest that the fem. stem is a *derī* form. In either case Tait. texts have a shortening of the *ī*, as seems to be their tendency:

diva (*divas, divah*) *skambhanīr* (VS. ŚB. °*nīr*, VSK.† KS.† °*ny*) *asī* VS VSK. KS. TS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ. In KS. 1.6 read *skambhany asī dhānyam asī*, acc. to note on KS. 31.5.

§202. Nom. pl. in *ayas* for *īs* or *yas* (On the endings *īs* and *yas* in the *devī* declension see §213.)

aṅgulayah śakvarayo dīśas ca me yajñena kalpantām VS.: *śakvarīr aṅgulayo dīśas* TS. MS. KS. The form *śakvarayo* is likely to have been influenced by the neighboring *aṅgulayah* (Wackernagel 3 p. 184).

devānām patnīr (VS. *patnyo*, MS. *patnayo*) *dīśah* (MS. KSA.† *viśah*) VS TS. MS. KSA. The meter requires three syllables, *patnayah* is otherwise familiar (Wackernagel 3 p. 183 f.).

nārīs (VS. *nāryas*) *te patnayo* (VS. *patnyo*) *loma* VS. TS. KSA. *mahānām nā revatayah* TS. MS. KSA.: *mahānāmnyo revatyah* VS. See Wackernagel 3 p. 184.

§203. Instr pl in *ibhis* for *ibhis*

śamābhīh śamyantu tvā VS.: *śimībhīh* (KSA † *śimī*°) *śimyanu tvā* TS
KSA

§204. Voc sg. in *e* for *i*, among the variants only by apparent assimilation to neighboring forms in *e*, as suggested for our first variant by Boehtlingk *ZDMG* 52 81, Wackernagel 3 p 185 top:

sarasvate 'nu (GG *sarasvaty anu*) *manyasva* GG. KhG ApG HG In preceding *pāda* occur *adite* and *anumate*, to which *sarasvate* seems assimilated

sā tubhyam adite mahi (TS *mahe*) VS TS MS KS ŚB Again doubtless assimilation of *mahe* to *adite*

4 Interchanges between different *i* declensions

§205. So much for variants between long and short *i* declensions We now come to variations between forms proper to different *i* declensions, that is to the three types *devī*, *vrkī*, and *śrī* We begin with

§206. Nom sg in *i* (of *devī* type) from *vrkī* nouns. In most of these variants the *is* form is older, as we should expect. *Vrkī* nouns begin to appear with noms. of *devī* type, lacking *s*, as early as RV itself (Wackernagel 3 p 172)

adurmaṅgalīh (AV °*li*, Ppp °*liṣ*) *patilokam ā viśa* (AV *viśemam*) RV.
AV Ppp. SMB ApMB

gaurīr (AV *gaur in*, TB. TA *gaurī*) *mimāya sahlāni takṣatī* RV. AV.
TB AA TA. N

viśvarūpā śabalīr (KS *śavalī*) *agnīketuh* TS KS PG

śinhīr asī TS MS. ApŚ MŚ *śinhī asī* VS KS. ŚB KŚ . *śinhīr* (KS *śinhī*) *asī rāyaspoṣavanīh* TS MS. KS *śinhīr* (VS KS ŚB KŚ *śinhī*) *asī sapatnasāhī* VS TS MS KS ŚB MŚ KŚ . *śinhīr* (KS. *śinhī*) *asī suprajāvanīh svāhā* TS MS KS . *śinhī asī suprajāvanīh rāyaspoṣavanīh svāhā* VS ŚB : *śinhīr* (VS KS ŚB *śinhī*) *asī adityavanīh* (MS adds *saṣṭāvanīh*) *svāhā* VS TS MS KS ŚB

mahiṣīr (KS °*ṣy*) *asī* TS MS KS MŚ On this see Lanman, *NI* 368 In RV the word is *mahiṣī*, with accent and inflection of *devī* type, in TS MS *mahiṣī'r*, of *vrkī* type KS accents *mahiṣy dsi*, as if of *vrkī* type, but yet has the *devī* ending Wackernagel 3 p 179 distinguishes two words, *mahiṣī* 'Buffelkuh', and *mahiṣī* 'Furstan', the latter he separates entirely from *mahiṣā* and regards as fem to comp *māhīyas* This however seems forced In RV. 5 25 7d (repeated elsewhere) *māhīṣī* is commonly taken to mean 'she-buffalo'.

samihātasi vīśvarūpī (TS ApŚ °pīh) VS TS ŚB. ŚŚ. ApŚ. As in the KS form of the preceding, VS accents the word on the ending, as if it were a *vrkī* form.

phalgūr (KSA °gur) *lohitorṇī balalṣi* (VS. pal°, KSA. ms *valakṣis*) *tāh* *śārasvatyah* VS TS. MS KSA. Von Schroeder emends KSA to °kṣi; but since MS. VS TS. make the word oxytone (KSA has no accents here), while the masc is paroxytone, it should belong to the *vrkī* declension KSA therefore has the correct form, which should be kept

śam rātrī (VS *rātrih*, VSK. TA *rātrih*) *prati dhīyalām* AV. VS VSK. MS TA Mahidhara and Griffith take *rātrih* as plural, blithely undisturbed by the singular verb with which it goes It is indeed true that the preceding parallel pāda has *ahāni* 'days' as subject (but with properly plural verb). The *vrkī* nom sg of *rātrī* is heretofore unrecorded (even in Wackernagel 3 p. 185). On *rātrih* see §195.

§207. Nom sg in *is* (of *vrkī* type) from *devī* nouns Such forms are much rarer, and generally dubious The first is the clearest variant, and in it Whitney calls *sarasvatīh* in AV. a 'blunder' This however seems too drastic, especially since TB. has the same form. But it may be partly due to form assimilation to *tisro devīr* preceding (subject of *sadantām*, *sarasvatīh* is included in the subject):

sarasvatī (AV. TB † °tīh) *svapasah sadantu* (AV °tām) RV. AV. VS. MS KS. TB. N. Both edd. of TB °tīh.

sapatnīr abhūbhūvarī (ApMB. °rīh) RV † ApMB.† The ending may be influenced by the preceding (acc pl. I) *sapatnīr*, so Winternitz, p xxi

vāmī (KapS *vāmyā*) *te samdṛśi vīśvam reto dheṣīya* (KS KapS. *dhuṣīya*) *tava vāmīr* (KS. KapS *vāmy*) *anu samdṛśi* MS KS. KapS.: *vīśva-sya te vīśvāvalō vṛṣṇīyāvatah tavāgne vāmīr anu samdṛśi vīśvā retānsi dhuṣīya* TS.† Keith's translation of TS is scarcely possible We must apparently take *vāmī* : *vāmīr* as nom. sg fem, and *anu* as adverbial; *dhā* middle with *retas*, as commonly with *garbham* 'Lovely in thy sight, may I receive all seed, lovely furthermore (or, again) in thy sight', MS KS : 'lovely in the sight of thee, O Agni, that art all, possessest all, hast virile power, furthermore may I receive all seeds', TS.

bajābojopakāśinī HG.: *khaḍāpo* 'jopakāśinīh ApMB. In VV 2 §381 it is suggested that ApMB may have a *vrkī* form. But two good mss read °nī, which may well be intended A plural would also be conceivable.

§208. The nouns *śrī* and *lakṣmī*, nom. sg. Two variants in the same passage concern these two words, the one a monosyllabic *ī* stem, the other originally a *vr̥kī* noun, so that both should have noms in *s*, and do so in Classical Sanskrit, where *lakṣmī* is the only *vr̥kī* noun which regularly retains the old *s* ending. This is undoubtedly due to the influence of the synonym *śrī* (Wackernagel 3 p. 171 f.). Nevertheless the *devī* form, without *s*, of *lakṣmī* is known in the Veda (ibid. p. 175). In the MahānU form of the following variant not only is *alakṣmī* read without *s*, but it has even caused the neighboring *śrī(s)* to lose its *s*, producing a *devī* nom. sg. from a monosyllabic stem, which is nowhere recognized in our grammars (cf. Wackernagel 3 p. 172). All mss of MahānU seem to agree, and the comm. supports the form:

śrīr me bhajatu TA : *śrī me bhajata* MahānU. Followed by. *ṭalakṣmīr me naṣyatu* TA : *alakṣmī me naṣyata* MahānU.

§209. Dat. sg. in *ai* (of *devī* type) from *vr̥kī* and *śrī* nouns. The *devī* ending in this and other oblique cases is well known as an alternative form in Classical Sanskrit with monosyllabic *ī* stems. So in the Vedic *vr̥kī* nouns, *ai* may replace *e*, cf. Wackernagel 3 §88c. The shift has its phonetic aspect, on which see VV 2 §705. We find one or two variants of each class:

sukham meṣāya meṣyāi VS. ŚB : *sugam meṣāya meṣyāi* (RV. *meṣye*) RV. VSK TS MS KS LŚ. On the ms of KS *meṣye*. It may be noted that TS MS KS read *meṣyāi*, retaining the accent of *meṣye*, while VS. VSK. ŚB. accent *meṣyāi*, as is proper for a *devī* form.

veṣāśrīyāi (LŚ °*ye*) *tvā Vait* LŚ. Add to VV 2 §705.

śrīyāi (MG *śrīye*) *putrāya vellavai* (MG *vedhavai*) MG ApMB.

§210. Abl. sg. in *as* (of *vr̥kī* type) from *devī* noun. Contrariwise, a *devī* stem shows a *vr̥kī* form in the abl. sg. in the following. Strange to say, the irregularity occurs only in late sūtra texts (to be sure, of the RV. school; perhaps a hyper-archaism?):

āyusmatyā (AŚ ŚŚ °*ya*) *ṛco mā gāta* (Vait *māpagāyā*, Kauś *mā satsi*) *tanūpāt* (ŚŚ °*pāh*) *sāmnah* (AŚ *sāmna om*) TS AŚ ŚŚ Vait Kauś. *āyusmatyā ṛco mā chaitsi* etc. JB.

§211. Loc. sg. in *yām* and *ī*. We find one stray variant in which AV. shows *ī* against RV. *yām*. Wackernagel 3 pp. 168, 170, admits only *yām* for *devī* nouns and only *ī* for *vr̥kī* nouns. He does not allude to this variant case; the stem occurs only here, so that there is no evidence for its original declension. On it cf. Bloomfield, *The Atharva-veda* p. 49.

āṣṭryām (AV āṣṭrī) *padam* kṛṇute agnidhāne RV. AV MG. The accent is on the final in both RV. AV.

§212. Nom -acc dual in *yau* (of *vrkī*-type) from *devī* nouns In this case the original *vrkī* ending began to displace the old *i* of *devī* stems as early as AV, and became universal in classical Sanskrit (Wackernagel 3 p 175). As we saw above (§185), it even affected sporadically short *i* stems

ghrtācī stho dhuryau pātam VS ŚB : *ghrtācyau stho yajamānasya dhuryau pātam* MŚ.

pavitre stho vaiṣṇavyau (TB. ApŚ °vī) VS ŚB TB ApŚ GG KhG : *oṣadhyā* (!) *vaiṣṇave* [from *ā* stem] *sthaḥ* MŚ

yadārāghaṭi (read *yadā rā°*) *varadah* Vait : *yadā rākhāṭyau vadataḥ* ApŚ
An obscure n. pr.

§213. Nom (voc) pl. *yas* (of *vrkī* type) from *devī* nouns This ending is exactly analogous to the dual ending just mentioned, originally peculiar to the *vrkī* type, *yas* appears in *devī* nouns in AV and becomes regular later Wackernagel 3 p 177 has noted many of our variants After two consonants *yas* is often spelled *vyas*, cf VV 2 §791. *tās tvā devīr* (SMB. MG *devyo*) *jarase* (SMB. BG °sā) *saṁ vyayantu* (PG. *vyayasva*) SMB. PG. HG. ApMB MG. (In PG. *devīr* is to be taken as acc : VV 1 §70)

tisro rohinīr (KSA *rohinyo*, ms. *rau°*) *vaśā maitriyah* (KSA. °tryah) TS. KSA. To be added to VV 2 §§728, 791.

tisro rohinīs (KSA. °nyas) *tryavyas tā vasūnām* TS. KSA
rohinīs (VS °nyas) *tryavayo vāce* VS. MS.

pañcāvis (KSA. °vyas) *tsra ādityānām* TS. KSA.

anyataenyo maitriyah (MS °nīr *maitriḥ*) VS. MS.

pāvamānīh (RVKh TB YDh °nyah) *punantu nah* (*tvā, mā, te*) SV
RVKh. TB MG. YDh

nārīs (VS. *nāryas*) *te patnayo* (VS. *patnyo*) *loma* VS TS KSA

devīr vamrīr asya bhuvanasya (TS † *bhūtasya*) *prathamajā rītavarīh* MS
TA.: *devyo vamryo* (VSK *vamryo*) *bhūtasya prathamajā mahhasya*
vo 'dya śiro rādhyāsam. VS VSK ŚB Vocs.

śisrah śilpā vaśā vaiśvadevyah TS KSA. *śilpā vaiśvadeviḥ* (VS °devyah)
VS. MS †

mahānāmni revatayah TS. MS KSA : *mahānāmnyo revatyah* VS.

tāh prācyā (Vait. °yah, MŚ. *prācīr*) *ujjigāhīre* (KŚ † °hīre, Vait *saṁjigāhīre*) Vait. KŚ. MŚ : *prācīs cojjagāhīre* ApŚ.

phalavatyo (MS. KSA. °vatīr) *na oṣadhayah pacyantām* VS MS KSA.
ŚB : *phalīnyo na...* TS. TB.

yasyā (RVKh. VS. *yasyām imā*) *bahvyaś tanvo vīlaprṣṭhāḥ* RVKh. VS.

MS.: *yasyai bahvīś tanvo vīlaprṣṭhāḥ* TB.

devīnām patnīr (VS. *patnyo*, MS. *patnayo*) *dīśaḥ* (MS. KSA.† *viśaḥ*)

VS. TS. MS. KSA.

yā devīr antān abhilo 'dadanta AV.: *yās ca gnā devyo 'ntān abhilo* . . MG.:

yās ca devīr antān . . . ApMB. HG.: *yās ca devīś tantūn* (SMB. *devyo*

antān) . . . PG. SMB. See VV 2 §63.

5. Other variants concerning *i* and *ī* stems

§214. Stems in *i* and *in*. Leaving now the interchanges between the feminine *i* and *ī* declensions, we take up next a few cases of apparent confusion between *i* and *in* stems. In the first two cases clear *in* stems are provided in secondary texts with nominatives in *is*, as if from *i* stems (cf. Wackernagel 3 p. 279 *infra*). In the other two cases here quoted, contrariwise, the SV. substitutes nominatives in *ī* for RV. *is*; the SV. forms are taken by Benfey as from *in* stems:

dūrehetīḥ patatrī vājīnāvān MŚ. MG.: *dūrehetīr indriyāvān* (PB.† **yavān*)

patatrī (PG. **trīḥ*) TS. PB. TB. PG. ApMB.

tan no danti (TA. *dantiḥ*) *pracodayāt* MS. TA. MahānU. Stem *danti* otherwise unrecorded.

vyānātīḥ (SV. **īḥ*) *pavase soma dharmabhiḥ* (SV. *dharmāṇā*) RV. SV. *suparṇo ayyathir* (SV. **thā*) *bharat* RV. SV. Pāṇ. 3. 2. 157 records *avyathīn*.

§215. Highly anomalous is the following gen. pl. *mahinām* of VSK. If textually sound it must apparently be due to the influence of *in* stems; even a short *i* stem of any gender should have *ī* in this case. But since it seems necessary to take it as a feminine, its anomaly is increased; for *mahinām* could not pass for a gen. pl. fem. even from an *in* stem:

mahinām (VSK. *mahinām*) *payo 'si* VS. VSK. TS. KS. ŚB. KŚ. ApŚ. MŚ. ŚG.

6. Alternative case endings within the same declension

§216. Instr. sg *ī* and *yā*. We come now to variants which show different case endings within one and the same declensional type, that is, cases in which no 'foreign' influence from a different *i* or *ī* declension is to be assumed. And first interchange between the old instr. ending *ī* and the later *yā*. The first concerns a *devī* noun, the rest short *i* stems: *atīrbhījān dūgdham bhiṣajā sarasvatyā* (MS. **vati*) VS. MS. KS. TB. *acitī yat* (AV. *acitīyā cet*) *tava dharmā yuyopima* RV. AV. TS. MS. KS.

yah samidhā ya āhuti (KS. °*tyā*) RV. KS. AŚ. AG.

dvābhyām iṣṭaye viṣatyā (VS. ŚB. ŚŚ. °*ṣati*) ca AV. VS. MS. ŚB. TA. AŚ ŚŚ.

dame-dame suṣṭutir (AV. KS. °*tyā*, TS. °*tir*, MS. °*ti*) *vām iyānā* (TS. MS. KS. *vāvṛdhānā*, AV. *vāvṛdhānau*) AV. TS. MS. KS. AŚ. ŚŚ. The AŚ ŚŚ. reading is corrupt. TS. *suṣṭutir* is acc. pl.; MS. *suṣṭuti* may be a dual adj., but may equally well be taken as instr. sg.

§217. Instr. sg. *i* and *yā*. See Lanman NI 380 for the conditions under which *i* normally appears, namely at or near the end of a *pāda*. We find variants only of *svasti* with °*tyā*. The former is original in the first, and probably in the second; in the latter, however, it is adverbial and need not be felt as instr. Cf. Wackernagel II. 1 p. 123, III p. 146 supra.

saṁ sūribhir maghavan (RV. MS. KS. *harivah*, AV.† *harivan*) *saṁ svastyā* (RV. *svasti*) RV. AV. VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. TB.

tena yantu yajamānāḥ svasti MS.: *tenaitu yajamānāḥ svasti* (KS.* ApŚ °*tyā*) TS. KS. (both) ApŚ.

§218. Instr. sg. *inā* from fem. nouns, and *yā* from masc. nouns. Here we find two cases (the first in the list) in which nouns which are regularly fem. have the masc. ending *inā*, and conversely two nouns usually masc. have the fem. ending *yā*. To be sure *yā* was not originally restricted to the fem., and Wackernagel 3 p. 147 regards MS. *kikidivya* in our third variant as older than the RV. °*vinā*. To us this seems most unlikely; it is rather a late and secondary confusion, either of form or of gender (on change of gender see §§783-802):

pretinā dharmanā (MS. *pretyā dharmāṇe*) *dharmāṁ jinva* VS. MS. ŚB. *vrkṣam ivāṣanyā* (HG. °*ninā*) *jahi* AV. HG.

cāṣeṇa kikidivina (MS. °*divyā*) RV. VS. MS. KS.

maṇinā (KS. *manyā*) *rūpāṇi* TS. KS. KSA.

§219. The stem *pati* shows *patinā*, *pateh*, and *patyā*, *patyuh*, the latter two under the influence of nouns of relationship, cf. Whitney Gr. §343d; Wackernagel 3 p. 142.

upa preta marutaḥ sudānava (KS. *svatavasa*) *enā viṣpatinābhy amuṁ rājānam* TS. KS.: *preta marutaḥ svatavasa enā viṣpatyāmum rājānam abhi* MS. MŚ

agne grhapate sugrhapatir aham tvayā (with *varr.*) *grhapatinā* (VSK °*patyā*) *bhūyāsam* VS. VSK TS. MS. KS. ŚB. ŚŚ. ApŚ. Kauś. *sugrhapatir mayā tvam* (with *varr.*) *grhapatinā* (VSK. °*tyā*) *bhūyāh*, same texts

juṣṭo vācaspataye (MS. °*patih*, KB ŠŚ. °*pateh*, TB. °*patyuh*) TS. MS.

KB GB JB AŚ. ŠŚ Vait KŚ

ito mukṣīya mā pateh ApŚ : *mṛtyor mukṣīya mā patyuh* MŚ : *preto muñcatu mā pateh* PG.†

§220. Loc sg in *ī* : *ī*. Despite Wackernagel's scepticism (3 p 155), which admittedly has much justification, we are inclined to hold that *upaśruti* in the following is a loc ; and probably *upaśruti* also, tho we have suggested the possibility of taking it as an adverbial (quasi-neuter) accus (VV 2 §525):

agne tvam sūktavāg asy upaśruti (MS °*ti*, TB. *upaśruto*) *divas* (TB *divah*) *prithvyoh* MS ŠB. TB AŚ ŠŚ.

§221. Loc sg in *ā* : *au* On the original distinction see Wackernagel 3 p 152 f ; *au* belongs to the position before a pause (contrary to the usage in the nom-acc dual, §128), and internally before a vowel (being then represented by *ā* before *u* vowels, *āv* before others, in RV SV VS ; for other schools see VV 2 p 414), while *ā* occurred before a following consonant Among the variants we find the shift only internally before a consonant Generally speaking late and secondary texts substitute *au* for original *ā*

ṛtasya yonā mahiṣā aheṣata RV. : *ṛtasya yonau mahiṣā agrbhnan* (TS KS ApMB *ahinvan*) TS MS KS. ApMB.

aya(h)sthūnam (TS. °*nāv*) *udītau* (RV. °*tā*) *sūryasya* RV. TS MS KS *sīdan yonā* (SV *yonau*) *vaneṣṭ ā* RV. SV.

utoditā (AV. °*tau*) *maghavan sūryasya* RV. AV. VS. TB ApMB.

sattā ni yonā (SV *yonau*) *kalaṣeṣu sīdati* RV. SV.

devo narūṣanśo 'gnau (ŠŚ † °*gnā*) *vasuvane* .AŚ ŠŚ

sātā (SV VS *sātāu*) *vājasya kāravaḥ* RV AV SV VS TS MS. KS ApŚ MŚ.

[*mā tvā ni bran pūrracito* (AV. °*cittā*, MS °*cittāu*) *nukārīnaḥ* AV VS TS MS KS The accent of AV *pūrracittā* corresponds neither with *pūrracito* nor with *pūrvācittāu* Following p p it is usually taken as nom pl , for °*cittāḥ*, a poor substitute for °*cito* But the MS reading suggests that the p p may be wrong and a loc intended]

§222 Nom-acc sg neut in *m* No grammar recognizes the neuter ending *m* from *i* stems except for the pronoun *kim* (see e.g. Lanman NI 377, Wackernagel 3 §72). But TS TA agree on *sānasim* in the following, which can be nothing else. It is of course due to the analogy of *a* stems, and perhaps to the direct suggestion in the following and parallel adjectives *dyumnam* (TS KS *satyam*) *citraśravastamam*

avo (TS MS. KS. TA *śraro*) *dērasya sūnasi* (TS. TA. °*sim*) RV. VS
TS. MS. KS. TA.

[*mā me hārdi* (MŚ *hārdim*) *tvīṣā* (MŚ. *dvīṣā*) *vadhīh* TS MŚ. But here
the different, masculine stem *hārdī* would be meant, if MŚ really
intended *hārdim* (three mss *hārdī*).]

§223. Alternative writing of *iy* and *y* in stem final of *ī* and *i* stems
This purely phonetic matter has been treated in VV 2 §§788–792 and
need not be discussed here, as it does not really concern inflection.

CHAPTER VI

LONG AND SHORT *U* STEMS

§224. The conditions here are different from those of the *i* and *ī* stems in several respects. In the first place, there seems to have been no *ū* declension originally corresponding to the *devī* type. Stems in *ū* were either declined like *vrkī* (polysyllabic stems, type *tanū*) or like *śrī* (monosyllabic stems, type *bhū*). Forms analogous to *devī* begin to occur quite early, to be sure; in the case of *tanū* nouns, as early as the RV itself. But these are rare in RV. and are to be regarded as secondary. This analogical influence, incidentally, never extended to the nom sg, which in *ū* stems always ends in *s*, even in later Sanskrit. In oblique cases of the sing it is extended also to *ū* feminines, as in the *i* declension.

§225. As in the case of *i* and *ī* stems, there is considerable inter-influence between long and short *u* stems. But here the matter is complicated by a tendency which manifests itself quite early (already in RV) to develop a parallelism between masc. and neut. stems in short *u* and corresponding fems in *ū*. (See Wackernagel 3 §§66b, 68b, 101.) This appears both with *u* and *ū* stems. The former are originally of common gender, and remain optionally so thruout the history of the language; the fem. stem may be like the masc. But *ū* nouns are mostly fem. to begin with. Hence at an early time adjectives in *u* (a very common type) tend to develop fems in *ū*, by the side of those in *u*, or also in *ī* (following the *devī* type; see below, §297). Conversely root stems in *ū* when used in composition, and even derivative (fem.) nouns of the *tanū* type when so used, begin very early to develop masc. and neut. forms in short *u*. We have noted above (§178, end) a single variant which seems to show a like tendency in *i* stems, which has heretofore not been noticed. But adjectives in *i* were always relatively rare, and probably for this reason such a tendency never acquired much scope; whereas the very frequent *u*-stem adjectives afforded a wide field for its development. Hence, in distinction from the interchange between *i* and *ī* stems, that between *u* and *ū* stems is usually found to be connected with this distinction of gender. More concretely, it most commonly means that an original *u* stem shows fem. forms alternatively

with *ū* for *u*, or an original *ū* stem shows masc. or neut. forms alternatively with *u* for *ū*. To be sure, there are cases which go beyond these limitations. For instance, even uncompounded *ū* fems. show forms of the *u* declension (Wackernagel 3 §101a, and §232 below). And root-nouns, and even other nouns, in short *u* sometimes follow the *ū* declension even in the masc., or otherwise avoid the short *u* inflection (§227 ff.), these *ū* forms are however regularly secondary in the variants containing them.

1. *ū* forms (normally fem.) from original *u* stems

§226. We shall begin with interchanges between long and short *u* forms, and first with stems which (at least as masculines or neuters) are originally short *u* stems. The *ū* forms which occur are normally fem.; but occasionally secondary texts show them in masc. forms.

§227. Nom. sg. in *ūs* from *u* stems. The first variant concerns a fem. adjective, so that *ū* is as normal as short *u*. The others, however, are masc.; the *ū* is here clearly secondary, the older form being *u*.
phalgūr (KSA. °*gur*) *lohtorṇi balakṣi* (with varr, §206) *lāh ṛsārasvatyah*
VS TS MS. KSA.

śundhyur (VS. TS. °*yūr*) *asi mārjāliyah* VS TS. MS. KS. PB. ŚŚ.

avasyur (VS. ŚB. °*yūr*) *asi duvasvān* VS. TS. MS. KS. PB. ŚB. ŚŚ. MS.
v. l. °*yūr*.

§228. Nom. sg. in *ūs* varying with *ut*. As stated by Whitney, Gr. §345, roots in *u* when used as root-nouns generally take a root-determinative *t*. Forms declined as simple *u* stems occur, indeed, in the oldest language (Wackernagel 3 §66b), but are rare. However, instead of adding *t*, sometimes root-nouns of this type show forms of the *ū* declension. Given the rarity of root-nouns in *u*, without added *t*, it is not unnatural that the very familiar root-nouns in *ū* should affect forms from *u* roots. We thus have a few variants in which a nom. in *ut* varies with one in *ūs*. In every case it seems that the variant originally had *ut*, and that *ūs* is secondary. All are masculine. The first two variants should be added to VV 2 §554:

devaśrud imān pravape MS. MŚ.: *devaśrūr elāni pravape* TS. HG.

devaśrut tvam deva gharma devo devān pāhi (MS. *gharma devān pāhi*
tapojān) VS MS. ŚB.: *devaśrūs tvam deva gharma devān pāhi* TA.
ApŚ.

havanaśrun (TB *hāvanaśrūr*) *no rudreha bodhi* RV. TB

§229. Nom. pl. in (u)vas from *u* stems. (The ending is doubtless always to be pronounced *uvas*; on the writing *vas* : *uvas* cf. VV 2

§§788-93) The first three variants concern feminine adjectives, where the *ū* form causes no difficulty. In the others the proper masc form *vipanyavas* of RV is changed to *°yuvās* in SV. The latter form occurs in RV. but only as a fem. This change has a phonetic aspect, cf VV 2 §§601-4, and below §231. It is shown in VV 2 l c that SV prefers *u* for *a* before *v*.

vīrudhah pāranyānvah (TS. MS. KS. °*navah*) RV VS TS. MS. KS. N
tasya prajā apsaraso bhīruvāh (MS *bhīravo nāma*) TS MS
sumnāyuvāh (KS °*yavas*) *sumnyāya sumnam* (KS °*nyam*) *dhātā* MS KS
tad viprāso vipanyavah (SV °*yuvah*) RV SV VS NṛpU. VāsuU.
 SkandaU ĀrunU MuktiU

tū vām gīrbhīr vipanyavah (SV °*yuvah*) RV. SV.

§229a. Once, in a fem. voc. pl. of a cpd. of *kratu*, the RV. has *-kratvas* (Wackernagel III §78), for which KS has the more regular *-kratavas*, while MS KapS substitute a sing. *-krato* (still fem., not masc., cf Oertel 74).

adhā (TS. MS. *athā*) *śatakratvo* (KS † °*kratavo*, MS † KapS °*krato*)
yūyam RV. VS TS MS KS KapS. ŚB

§230. Instr.-dat.-abl. dual in *ūbhyām* from *u* stem. The variants concern the stem *hanu*. Wackernagel 3 p. 54 f. explains the *ū* as derived from the nom. dual *hanū*, but cf §189 above, where similar forms from *i* stems are mentioned. We prefer to regard these cases as of the same sort as other long-vowel forms in short-vowel declensions. Only TS has *ū*, cf §175a:

hanūbhyām (KSA *hanu°*) *svāhā* TS KSA TB ApŚ
hanubhyām (TS *hanū°*) *stenān bhagavah* VS TS. MS. KS

2 *u* forms (normally masc.-neut.) from original *ū* stems

§231. Among the variants occur chiefly compounds of monosyllabic ('radical') nouns in original *ū*, in addition there is one case of *tanuh* varying with *tanūh*, in which the former is clearly secondary (cf Wackernagel 3 p. 194), and one of a compound of this stem, a masc. adjective in which *uh* (two texts) varies with *ūh* (one text; cf *ibid.*). Nearly all the forms are of masculine or neuter gender, as is to be expected. Only three cases are represented: nom. sg., dat. sg., and nom. pl. In the two latter the phonetic moment of *a : u* before *v* plays a rôle; see VV 2 §§601, 604, and above §229.

§232. Nom. sg. in *us* from *ū* stems. Besides the two cases of *tanū* just mentioned, the variants chiefly concern compounds of *bhū*, and are of masc. gender:

iyam te yajñīyā tanūh (VSK. *tanuh*) VS. VSK ŚB ApŚ.: *iyam* (VS. ŚB. *ēṣā*) *te śukra tanūr* . . VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. ApŚ. MŚ.

agne sadakṣah salanur (KS.† °nūr) *hi bhūtvā* TS. KS : *agnih rudakṣah salanur ha bhūtvā* MŚ Masc. adjectives

ābhur (MS. KS. *ābhūr*) *asya niṣaṅgathih* (VS °dhih) VS. TS. MS. KS Masc.

sambhūr (KS. °bhur) *mayobhūr ablu mā vāhi sūhā* VS. TS. MS KS ŚB. Masc ; note retention of ū in *mayobhūr* in KS.

vībhūr (PB. *vībhur*) *asī pravāhaṇah* VS. TS. MS. KS. PB. ŚŚ. MŚ † HG. Masc.

vībhūr (KSA. *vībhur*) *mātrā prabhūh* (KSA. *prabhuh*) *pitrā* VS. TS. MS KSA ŚB. TB. ApŚ MŚ. Masc.

sumnahūr (KS *† °hur) *yajña* (TS. *yajño devān*) *ū ca valṣat* VS. TS MS. KS. KapŚ ŚB. MŚ Oertel 139. Add to VV 2 §534.

śūhpāc chutyosṭhah śitibhrus (KSA.† °bhrūs) TS KSA. Masc Add to VV 2 §554.

§233. Dat sg in *ave* from ū stems. Only in masc. and neut compounds of *bhū* The writing *we* for *ve* concerns phonetics; see VV 2 §789

abhibhuve (MS. *abhibhve*, KS. *abhibhave*) *svāhā* VS. MS KS. TB. ApŚ *vībhuve* (KS.† *vībhave*, MS *vībhve*) *svāhā* VS. MS. KS. TB. ApŚ.

samveśāyopaveśāya °bhibhuve (MŚ °bhibhave .) *svāhā* KS. MŚ.: *ariṣṭīyā avyathyan* °bhibhuve *svāhā* KS. Add to VV 2 §604

§234. Nom. pl in *avas* from ū stems In compounds of monosyllabic stems:

śitibhruve (MS °bhruvo) *vasūnām* VS. MS Cf. last variant in prec. § but one

samudram na subhvaḥ svā abhiṣṭayah RV.: *samudram na suhavam* (AV. *subhavas*, TB. Poona ed. *suhavam*) *tasthivānsam* AV. TB. ApŚ.: *mahiṣaṇ nah subhvam tasthivānsam* MS Ppp reads as MS except *subhavas*, for which Barret emends *subhavas*, suggesting also *subhuvam* (JAOS 35. 46), *subhavas* would do perhaps as well as *subhuvam*, altho the AV. comm. understands the word as fem (if the nom is to be retained it is not clear what it refers to, so that the gender is uncertain; in RV, perhaps not a real variant of this passage, *subhvaḥ* is fem, the acc. form must be masc).

3 Influence of devā declension on *u* and ū stems

§235. This has been discussed above in §225 The variants are mostly ū stems of the *tanū* type, to which are added one or two short *u* stems. (On fem adjectives in *vī* see §297.)

§236. Acc. sg. *tanūm* : *tanvam*. Only this stem varies in the acc sg.; *tanūm* is not Rigvedic

savitrā prasūtā daivṛyā āpa undantu te tanūm (VSK *tanvam*; the jaṭā-pāṭha has *tanūm*) *dirghāyutvāya varcase* VSK. KŚ PG.

svayā tanvā tanvam airayat AV. *svām yat tanūm tanvām airayata* MS.

AA. AŚ. ŚŚ.: *svā yat tanū tanvam airayata* KS KSA : *svāyām yat tanvām* (TS. *tanuvām*) *tanūm airayata* TS. KŚ.

na te tanūm tanvā sam papṛcyām AV.: *na vā u te tanvā tanvam* (AV. *te tanūm tanvā*) *sam papṛcyām* RV. AV.

§237. Dat. sg. in *vai* from *ū* stems (*tanū* type); cf. VV 2 §705. To these cases are to be added those in which the form in *vai* is used in the sense of a genitive and varies with *vas*. They are grouped in §144.

śam v astu tanvai tava VS : *śam u te tanve* (TS. *tanuve*) *bhuvat* TS. KSA.:

śam astu tanve mama AV. In VS the svarita accent is retained in *tanvai*

śam tokāya tanuve (SMB *tanvai*) *syonah* TS. TB. ApŚ SMB. PG.

śamveśanas tanuvai (SV. KS. MŚ. *tanve*) *cārur edhi* SV KS TB. TA

ApŚ. MŚ. In the accented texts (TB. TA.) the svarita accent of *tanve* is retained in *tanvāvai*

kuhvai (KSA. *kuhve*) *trayo 'ruṇaitāh* TS KSA. Von Schroeder emends

KSA. to *kuhvar*. TS. accents *kuhvai*, i e with the svarita of *kuhvē*.

§238. Dat. sg. in *vai* from short *u* stems. One variant from the fem. noun *jīvātu*. The others are infinitives in *tavai* : *tave*. See above, §183. We do not think it likely that the infinitive ending *tavai* is really due to the direct influence of the *devī* declension; it is hardly likely to be a feminine form at all. But as it is externally similar to these forms, it seems that such variants must be included here. (NB By an incomprehensible and most deplorable slip, VV 2 §705 records the variant *sunavai* : "ve in *śakrāya su*" *tvā* RV. JB. as a dative form. [Also *indrāya su*" *tvā* in the same passage should have been quoted] The form is of course a 1st sg. subj., and might have been noticed in VV 1 §26; but *sunave* is doubtless a bad reading, if not a phonetic simplification; Oertel JAOS. 18 28 reads as RV.)

agneṣ tvā mātrayā.. jīvātave TS. KS : *agneṣ tvā mātrayā jīvātvai* . MS.

daśamāsyāya sūlave (HG. °*vai*) ApMB. HG Also *daśame māsi sūlave* RV. etc.

havyāyāśmai vodhave (KS. °*vai*) *jāṭavedah* TS. MS KS. Kauś

punse putrāya vellavai (ŚB BṛhU. *vittaye*, KS.† *kartave*, MG. *kartavai*, v. 1. °*ve*) KS ŚB. TB. BṛhU. ApŚ. ApMB. HG MG.

§239. Abl. sg. in *vās* from *ū* stem (*tanū*).

agne yan me tanvā (TS. *tanuvā*) *ūnam* (ŚŚ. *yan ma ūnam tanvas*) *tan...*

VS. TS. ŚB. ŚŚ. PG.: *yan me agna ūnam tanvas tan*. MS. KS.

The accented texts (VS. TS. ŚB.) retain the svarita accent of the older *tanvās* (TS. *tanūvā*).

§240. Loc. sg. in *vām* from *ū* stem (*tanū*)

svām yat tanūm tanvām airayata MS AA. AŚ. ŚŚ.: *svayā tanvā tanam*

airayat AV.: *svā yat tanū tanvam airayata* KS. KSA: *svāyām yat*

tanvām (TS. *tanuvām*) *tanūm airayata* TS. KŚ As above, the

svarita accent is retained in accented texts (TS. *tanūvām*).

[*svāyām* *†tanūm* (RV. *tanū*) RV. ApMB. MG. Knauer mistakenly regards *tanūm* as a 'shortening' of *tanvām*; but see VV 2 §308]

§241. Nom. pl. in *ūs* from (fem. of an) *u* stem.

māndā vāsāh śundhyūr (KS. *śundhyuwo*) *ajirāh* TS. KS. Nom pl fem.

adjective, the ending *ūs* is anomalous in either *u* or *ū* stems, and

is clearly due to the analogy of *devī* forms; more specifically, to the parallel *īs* (and *ās*?) forms in the context

4 Alternative case endings within the same declension

§242. We come now to forms which can hardly be said to be due to any known 'foreign' influence, at least within historic times; that is, variant forms both of which may be called proper to one declension, so far as the usage of our texts goes. Cf. the similar *i* and *ī* forms, §§216 ff.

§243. Instr. sg. *unā* and *vā*. One variant of *madhu*; see Lanman NI. 409, Wackernagel 3 p. 146, and next section

kratum rihanti madhunābhy (SV. *madhvābhy*) *añjate* RV AV. SV.

§244. Abl. sg. *os* and *vas* The variants concern *madhu* and *vasu*, for both of which the regular forms in RV are *madhvah*, *vasvah* (Wackernagel 3 §75a note, cf. §69a) In all the variants RV. shows these forms, while later texts substitute *madhoh*, *vasoh*, which are rare in RV. but regular later.

madhvah (SV. *madhoh*) *pavanta ūrmayah* RV. SV.

madhvah (SV. *madhoh*) *pavasva dhārayā* RV SV.

madhvah (SV. *madhoh*) *pibanti gauryah* RV. SV. AV. MS.

madhvah (VS.* MS. ŚB. TA. LŚ *madhoh*) *pibatam aśvinā* RV. VS (both) MS ŚB. TA. AŚ. ŚŚ. LŚ.

madhvaś (AV. SV *madhoś*) *cakānaś cārur madāya* AV. SV. AŚ. ŚŚ

madhvo (SV. *madho*) *rasam sadhamāde* RV SV.

ed u madhvo (SV. PB. *madhor*) *madāntaram* RV. AV. SV. PB. AŚ. ŚŚ.

vasvah (TB TA MahānU *vasoh*) *kund vanāh nah* RV. KS. TB TA MahānU.

vasor vaso puruspr̥hah SV : *vaso vasvah puruspr̥hah* RV

§245. Loc sg in *ū* : *vi* (*wi*), and in *vi* : *vī*

srucīva ghr̥tam camvīva (TB ApŚ *camū va*) *somah* RV VS MS KS TB ApŚ The loc of this word is otherwise *camū* in RV. The isolated *camvīva* is parallel to and perhaps influenced by *srucīva*, cf. Wackernagel 3 p 188 Yet the similar *tanvī* is regular
na dhvasmānas tanvī (TS *tanuvī*) *repa ā dhuh* RV. TS

§246. Loc sg in *ā* : *au*. Wackernagel 3 p 153 says that *ā* for *au* in *u* stems is not proved, despite the frequent occurrence of it in the parallel form of *z* stems, he denies various cases which have been suggested He says nothing about the form *sumnayā*, which seems to us a more likely instance than any other. This isolated form is commonly considered an instrumental, from an otherwise unknown stem *sumnayā* It occurs only once in RV. (in the variant here quoted, 10 101 4), and the AV. variant has *sumnayau* AV 7 55 1 has *sumnayā* (the only occurrence in AV and apparently the only other one anywhere), and Whitney notes that there a locative seems required. To us it seems almost certain that *sumnayā* is loc to the familiar stem *sumnayū* Render: 'the wise (*kavis* span the yokes etc) before the gods, before (presumably = for the benefit of) the pious man'
dhīrā deveṣu (KS * *indrāya*) *sumnayā* (AV * *yau*, VS. *sūmnayā*) RV AV. VS VSK TS MS. KS (bis) ŚB

§247. Nom acc sg. neuter in *u* : *ū*. This is regarded by Wackernagel 3 §72 as a matter of rhythmic lengthening, the *padapāṭhas* have in fact *u* for *ū* Only one variant has been found (cf VV 2 §555)
sa hi purū (SV *puru*) *cīd ojasā vrukmatā* RV. SV.

§248. Nom acc pl neuter in *u* : *ūnī* (· *ū*)
uśvā vasu (TS ApMB *vasūnī*) *dadhire vāryānū* RV VS TS KS ApMB. (KS v 1 *vasū*) The meter is better in the original form, *vasūnī*, the later and secondary reading, makes the cadence difficult
[*sam gr̥bhāya purū* (TB *puru*, Poona ed. *purū*) *śatā* RV AV MS KS TB]

§249. Loc sg. in *vam* (?): *vām* One variant contains a form which can only be intended as a locative of *tanū*, and which appears according to well-established tradition as *tanvam* in two sūtra texts, while a third has the regular *tanvām*. Caland in his translation of ApŚ adopts *tanvām* from MŚ; we should probably follow him but for the fact that AŚ. certainly read *tanvam*, as well as ApŚ (the AŚ. comm repeats the form);

this seems to us to make it certain that the form *tanvam* is no mere scribal error. The preceding adjective *viśvabhṛti*, which can only modify *tanvam*, makes it equally certain that the form is meant as a locative. No such form, with short *a* before *m*, is recognized in any grammatical authority. We know of only one other place at which it might with some plausibility be assumed, this is AV 19. 37. 2, *varca ā dhehi me tanvām*, here SPP reads *tanvām* with the comm and one ms; cf Lanman, *NI* fl 412. Another way out in this case would be to take *tanvam* as acc (cf §380, and on two accusatives with verbs of placing §535) (Lanman I c mentions also AV. 19. 55. 3 *tanvām*, suggesting that *tanvām* be read, but here the acc. is quite possible as object of *puṣema*) While we have no explanation for the form, we find it impossible to deny that at least in the ApŚ AŚ form of the following variant, and perhaps also in AV 19. 37. 2, *tanvam* is used as loc sg. of *tanū*:

prajāpater viśvabhṛti tanvam (MŚ °vām) *hulam asī svāhā* (AŚ omits *svāhā*) AŚ 3. 11. 11 (omitted in Conc.) ApŚ MŚ. 'Thou art offered in the all-sustaining body of Prajāpati'

§249a. On *tanūn*, loc sg., for *tanū*, see VV 2 §308

§250. Voc sg. neuter *u* : *o*. In one variant this shift occurs; cf Wackernagel 3 §77, Whitney §336h, where VSK *uru* should be added to the small stock of voc. neut. forms of the *u* declension. We may note that AV TS have this pāda in nominative form (*urv antarikṣam*), and VSK may possibly have been influenced thereby (a sort of blend of the two readings). But VV 2 §912 is wrong in calling VSK. 'illogical'.

dyāvāpṛthivī uro (VSK † *urv*) *antarikṣa* VS VSK MS KS. TB.

§251. Alternative writing of *uv* and *v* in stem final of *u* and *ū* declensions. As in the similar case of *vy* : *y* (§223), we refer to VV 2 §§788–93 for this phonetic or orthographic question.

CHAPTER VII

R STEMS AND CONSONANT STEMS

1. Stems in *r*

§252. Most of the few variants concerning this declension are cases of genitive plurals. In this case form TS. has only short *r* (Keith, *HOS.* 18, cxli, cxlviii); the variants show the same form sporadically in TA. and MS. It should be noted that ApŚ. according to Garbe's text (which reports no variants) fails to follow TS. in this respect in its two variants Is this due to editorial carelessness?

svāveśo 'sy agreṇā netṛṇām (TS. *netṛ°*) TS. MS. KS : *agrenīr asi svāveśa unnnetṛṇām* VS ŚB Three mss of MS. also *netṛ°*.

ākṣuh kaśo...te pitṛṇām VS. VSK. MS : *pāṅktrah* (but see VV 2 §418) *kaśo .te pitṛṇām* (KSA † *pitṛ°*) TS KSA

pitṛṇām (TS.† MS *pitṛ°*) *ca manmabhuh* RS. VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. LŚ Kauś. N.

pitṛṇām (TS. *pitṛ°*) *sadanam asi* TS. ApŚ.

devānām tvā pitṛṇām (ApŚ *pitṛ°*) *anumato bhārium śakeyam* TA ApŚ. *yā no dadāti śravaṇam pitṛṇām* KS. : *sā no dadātu śravaṇam pitṛṇām* (TS *pitṛ°*) TS. MS AŚ ŚŚ N.

dhātā dhātṛṇām (TS.† *dhātṛ°*) *bhuvanasya yas patiḥ* RV. TS KS *prajananam vai pratiṣṭhā . pitṛṇām* (TA. Poona ed *pitṛ°*, v l. *pitṛ°*) TA. MahānU

astāvya agnir narām (MS. *nṛṇām*) *susevāḥ* RV. VS. MS Both these genitives of *nṛ* are Rīgvedic.

§253. In strong case forms of nouns of relationship, Tart texts twice present the vridhhi instead of the guṇa grade in compounds (cf Wackernagel 2 1 §43a):

sudakṣā dakṣapitarā (TB °*pitārā*) RV. TB.

ye devā manoṣātā (MS KS. MŚ -*manu°*)...*sudakṣā dakṣapitaras* (TS † °*tāras*). TS. MS KS BDh ViDh.

§254. The gen-loc dual ending *ros* is regularly pronounced dissyllabically (as *uros* or *ros*? Wackernagel 3 §113). The TB reading of the

following seems to be an attempt to do justice to this pronunciation; see VV 2 §368:

asamṛṣṭo jāyase mātroh (TB. *mātrvoh*) *śucih* RV. TB.

2. Consonant stems: variant ablaut forms

§255. We find first a group of cases concerning real or apparent variation in ablaut grade of consonantal stems. Most often the vowel *a*, of normal (*guṇa*) grade, varies with *ā* which on its face is *vriḍḍhi* grade, tho it may be actually due to secondary analogy of one sort or another. The same *guṇa* form in *a* may also vary in weak cases with zero grade. We begin with a case of nom. sg. masc. of a stem in *-sad*, lengthened to *-sād* in two texts. It has been noted in VV 2 §499 that the grammars do not recognize ablaut in this stem:

viśvā āsā dakṣinasat (AB AŚ °sāt, ŚŚ *dakṣinatah*, LŚ *dakṣinadhak*) VS
MS. AB ŚB TA AŚ. ŚŚ LŚ. ApŚ.

§256. And once we find what appears to be lengthening of the *a* in the nom. of a participial stem in *ant*. If correct, this would doubtless be caused by the analogy of *mant* and *vant* stems. But it occurs in an unpublished part of MŚ and is suspicious (VV 2 §503):

anadvāns tapyate vahan (MŚ. *talpate vahān*) ApŚ. MŚ. Note *anadvāns* in the same *pāda*

§257. Nom.-acc. neuter forms are normally made from the weak stem, but occasionally strong forms are found by analogy with the masc. The variants contain two compounds of *pad* appearing in RV. regularly as *pad*, but in later texts as *pād*, and one case of an *s* stem. See Wackernagel 3 pp 235, 288.

dvīpac catuṣpad (AV Ppp VS Kauś. *dvīpāc catuṣpād*) *asmāham* RV AV.
Ppp VS VSK TS. Kauś.

dvīpac catuṣpad (SV. *dvīpāc catuṣpād*) *arjuni* RV SV

yachā nah śarma saprathah (VS KS TA ApŚ ApMB HG °thāh) RV.

VS. MS. KS TA ApŚ SMB HG. ApMB. N : *yachāsmāi śarma saprathāh* AV TS. That *s* stems occasionally show nom. acc. neut. forms in *ās* is certain (Lanman 560; Wackernagel 1 c, 228, and cf. next variant). Since *saprathas* is a standard epithet of *śarman*, it seems best to take it so here in all texts, tho it would be possible to understand *saprathās* as a fem., agreeing with the subject of *yachā*, the earth (so apparently Keith on TS). Cf. VV 2 §502 [śarmā (Tā. °ma) *saprathā āvr̥ne* KS TA.: *śarma yachātha saprathah* AV. But all mss. of AV °thāh, which should be read; VV 2 §502]

§258. The acc. sg. of masc. *s* stems normally has short *a*. But in

one variant the KS ms reading shows *ā*. Wackernagel 3 p 182 denies this lengthening to other *s* stems than *uśas*, and von Schroeder emends the KS to short *a*, but cf Whitney §415b, 2, 3 We see no reason for refusing to recognize the KS form with *ā*, tho we do not doubt that it is analogical and secondary; it may be metri gratia, see VV 2 §502. *viśām agnīm atithīm suprayasam* (KS **yāsam*) RV KS.

§259. The stem *ayās* normally shows no ablaut, the *ā* being always long. In some secondary texts, however, weak case forms are made with short *a*, on the analogy of *as* stems So Neisser, *ZWbch d RV* s v, convincingly Geldner, *VSt* 3, 122, n 5, and Wackernagel 3 p 282, derive the form *ayasā* from the different stem *ayas*, 'iron', which in some occurrences seems to us clearly impossible:

ayasā manasā dhṛtah ApŚ ApMB. HG : *ayāsā manasā* (AŚ *vayasā*) *kṛtah* AŚ ŚŚ Kauś Cf also *ayasā havyam ūhiṣe*, with variants, below §416, and VV 2 §502

§260. The stem *vr̥ṣan* regularly has no vriddhi in its strong cases But occasionally, by analogy with other *n* stems, such forms as *vr̥ṣānas* occur (Wackernagel 3 p. 267).

vr̥ṣanah (TB *vr̥ṣāṇah*) *samīdhīmahr̥* RV AV SV TB ŚB Both nom pl

§261. The stem *ap*, *āp*, 'water', regularly has *āpas* in the nom and *apas* in the acc, but the two are occasionally interchanged. The variants show one such interchange in the acc, the only recorded variant for the nom is due to an editorial error:

apo (RV AŚ *āpo*) *adyānu acāriṣam* RV VS MS KS ŚB AŚ LŚ MŚ MG ApMB Note that RV itself has *āpo* as acc

[*śam no bhavanti āpa ośadhayah* (*apa ośadhik*) *śvāh* AV (both) So RWh, but *āpa* is an emendation, withdrawn by Whitney in his translation The word is *apa* both times, tho nom, VV 2 §498 suggests metrical shortening]

§262. In compounds of *han*, we find the strong stem *-han* instead of the weak *-ghn* in the acc pl cf Wackernagel 3 p 328

rakṣoghno (MŚ MŚ add *vo*) *valagaghnah prokṣāmi vaiṣnavān* MS KS ApŚ MŚ. *rakṣohano* (VS ŚB add *vo*) *valagahanah prokṣāmi vaiṣnavān* (ApŚ *† *vaiṣṇavam*) VS VSK TS ŚB ApŚ (bis) *rakṣoghno valagaghno 'vasiñcāmi* (and, *'vasiñāmī*) *vaiṣnavān* KS (bis) *rakṣohano* (VS ŚB add *vo*) *valagahano 'vasiñāmī vaiṣnavān* VS VSK TS. ŚB

§263. In a single variant ApMB may possibly show a nom pl masc form of a participle with weak instead of strong stem, a kind of con-

fusion which is more extensively found in Prakrit. That a nom is intended seems to us virtually certain (cf. the following *uṣamānāh* which is perfectly parallel). To be sure the state of the text of ApMB. is such that almost anything is conceivable; cf. Winternitz xvii. And, as W. suggests, the true reading might be *vahato uṣamānāns*, with both epithets acc, going with *grhān* in the first pāda

irām vahanto (ApMB. *vahato*, MG *vahanti*) *ghṛtaṃ uṣamānāh* AŚ. ApŚ.

AG ŚG ApMB. MG.. *irām vahantah sumanasyamānāh* HG.

§264. Finally, from *mant* and *vant* stems are occasionally formed nom-acc. pl neuter forms with lengthened *ā*. Among the variants they occur only in RV, and are replaced in SV by the 'regular' forms with short *a*. Cf Whitney §454c (the statistics are incomplete), and Wackernagel 3 p. 258 f :

ava dronān ghṛtavānti sīda (SV. *°vantī roha*) RV. SV.

muleva sadma pakumānti (SV. *°mantī*) *hotā* RV. SV.: *pari sadmeva paśu-mānti hotā* RV.

3. Interinfluence of stems in *vant*, *vāns*, (*v*)*as*, *van*

§265. The similarity in form and meaning between formations of this sort has led to various confusions, some of which established themselves as regular elements in Vedic declension. This is most strikingly true of the vocative forms of *vant* and *vāns* stems (Wackernagel 3 pp 258, 301). It must be assumed that the original voc endings were *van* and *vas* respectively. But in the Rīgveda *vas* is used almost exclusively from *vant* stems, by analogy with the *vāns* stems. Conversely, in later Sanskrit, beginning with the AV., *van* is used in the voc. of *vāns* stems, by analogy with the *vant* stems which in post-RV. times had this ending, the analogy was assisted by the nom sg masc *vān*, which coincided with the ending of *vant* stems. We find a considerable number of variants between vocatives in *vas* and *van* from *vant* stems, and one (the first) from a *vāns* stem. In all cases where the chronology is clear, *vas* is the older reading:

hotāś cikīrvo (AV *°vann*) *avṛṇmahīha* RV. AV.

harṣamāṇāso dhṛṣṭā (TB *°atā*) *marutvah* RV. TB N.: *harṣamāṇā hrṣṭāso marutvan* AV (Ppp also *°tvan*)

namas te astu bhagavah (GB MG *°van*) VS. TS MS. KS² GB TA MG : *namas te bhagavann astu* VS

agnāśi patnīvan (VSK † *agne vākpāni*, MS. KS. MŚ. *patnīvāśi*, TS *patnīvāśi*) *sajūr devena* (MS KS. *sajūs*) VS. VSK TS. MS KS ŚB

ye te sarasva (KS °vann) *ūrmayah* RV TS MS KS N
vr̥śvā hi māyā avasī svadhāvah (SV °van) RV SV TS MS KS TA. N.
sam sūribhir maghavanī (RV MS KS *harivah*, AV *harivanti*) *sam*
svastyā (RV, *svasti*) RV AV VS TS MS KS ŚB TB
vvasva ādityar̥ṣa te somapīthas TS KS. *vvasvann* (VSK °vān) *ādi-*
tyar̥ṣa te somapīthah VS VSK MS ŚB MŚ
dīvo jyōte (and, *jyōtir*) *vvasva āditya* KS (bis): *devajūte vvasvann*
āditya MS

§266. Otherwise the variants here all concern nom sg masc forms. Twice *vant* forms (in *vān*) are substituted for the older *van* form (in *vā*) from *maghavan*, which quite commonly appears as *-vant* even in later Sanskrit (Wackernagel 3 p 264 f).

svasti na indro maghavān kṛnotu AV. *svasti no maghavā dhātū indrah*
 RV VS TS MS KS MahānU *svasti no maghavā karotu* TS TA
 MahānU. *īdam havir maghavā vetv indrah* SV
saṭrācyā maghavā (SV *maghavānt*) *somapītaye* RV AV SV

§267. The others are purely sporadic. The stem *sv-avas*, an *s* stem in which the preceding *v* is radical, not suffixal, is once, in RV itself, drawn into the *vant* (or *vāns*?) declension, showing a nom in *vān* (Wackernagel 3 p 287). In the other variant a perf pple in *vāns* shows in a secondary reading a nom in *vā*, as if from a *van* stem (perhaps aided also by *as* stems? followed by *y*, so that *-ās* would appear as *-ā*). Wackernagel 1 p 332, 3 p 300 supra, has noted this variant, he seems to think that external sandhi (before *y*) may be concerned in the form in *-vā*, but he recognizes the unquestionable occurrence of *van* forms from *vāns* stems elsewhere, and we see no reason to doubt that *-vā* is such a form.

sumr̥dīkah (VSK °līkah) *svavān* (VS VSK *svavā*) *yātū arvān* RV
 VS VSK
dadhanvān (VS ŚB TB °vā, MS KS °vān) *yo naryo apsu antar ā*
 RV SV VS MS KS ŚB TB

4 Stems in *n*

§268. Aside from one or two *van* forms, mentioned in the preceding sections, and one case of different ablaut grades noted in §260, most of the variants concerning *n* stems fall into four groups. neuter plurals in *a*, *ā*, and *ān*; oblique cases of the singular with and without the vowel *a* in the stem; instrumentals singular of *man* stems with *nā* for *mnā*; and locatives singular in *an* and *an*.

§269. Neuter plurals. We should expect to find here a number of

variations between *āni* and *ā* (or *a*), both being common endings of *n* stems in the nom-acc neuter pl, and this variation being common in *a* stems, which took over the ending *āni* from *n* stems (§160 ff.). Strange to say, we have found hardly a single clear case. The best is *devānāṃ janimāni rīdān* Kauś, which seems rather a vague parallel to, than a genuine variant of, *rīdā devānāṃ* (TS. *rīdāni deva*) *jararāḥ uvakti* AV. TS. KS. (§164). Otherwise we find only variants in which the shorter form is probably intended as singular, varying with *a* plural in *āni*, such as:

vocad brahmāni (SV *brahmēti*) *rer u tat* RV. SV. TS. MS. The SV *brahma* (so p p) is no doubt to be taken as sg. with Benfey (who connects it with *tat*).

ko asyā dhāma latidhā vyusṣiḥ AV : *lati dhāmāni lati ye rīdān* MS. The AV. is undoubtedly sg.

§270. Among neuter plural forms of *n* stems we find, therefore, chiefly variations between *ā* and *a*, where the long vowel cannot be regarded as rhythmically lengthened (VV 2 §459 f., Wackernagel 3 p. 276). In each of our variants short *a* of RV. is replaced in AV. by *ā*; the variations are all noted in Lanman NI. 510:

yatra gavām nīhātā sapta nāma (AV *nāmā*) RV. AV.

varma (AV *varmā*) *sīvyadhvam bahulā prthūni* RV. AV. KS ApS

trīṣad dhāma (AV *dhāmā*, MS *trīṣaddhāmā*) *vi rājati* RV. AV. SV.

ArS VS TS. MS KS. ŚB. (The AV. variant is not recorded in VV 2 §460) *Pratika* in MŚ. The compound of MS. MŚ. is to be taken as masc nom sg., but AV has a neut pl.

§271. In one variant all MS KS mss have the form *dhāman*, for *dhāma* of the other texts. The preceding adjective *diryāni* proves that only an acc pl can be intended. The form is best understood as a sort of blend with the loc sg (of goal, the word is dependent on a verb of motion):

upa pra yāhi (TS † *yāta*) *divyāni dhāma* (MS. KS. °*man*) VS. TS. MS KS. ŚB. The KapS has *dhāma*.

§272. Oblique cases of the singular with alternative presence or absence of *a* in the stem. The *a* was regular after a long syllable. It was standard in all periods of the language after two consonants; and in the oldest language it is often written after one consonant when the preceding syllable has a long vowel (furthermore, when not so written, it is often shown by the meter to have been pronounced). Cf. Lanman, NI. 524, Wackernagel 1 p. 11, 3 p. 268; Edgerton, *Language* 10.260 *somah kalāṣe śatayāmānā* (SV. AV. °*yāmānā*) *pathā* RV. SV. AV. Here,

as just stated, the meter proves that RV pronounced °yāmanā, in SV AV it is written so

riasya tvā vyomane (MŚ *vyomne grhṇāmi*) TS MŚ

ojase balāya tvedyache vṛṣane śuśmāyāyuṣe varcase (MS KS *vṛṣne śuśmāya*) MS KS TB ApŚ Here the *a* (in TB. ApŚ) is uncalled-for, after a short syllable, and clearly secondary

§273. Instrumentals in *nā* for *mnā* from *man* stems, type *mahnā* On these forms see Wackernagel 3 p 268 f, and literature there cited, especially Bloomfield JAOS 16, clvi

vaśvānaro mahimnā (TS *mahnā*, KŚ *mahnām*) *vśvakṛṣṭh* (TS KŚ *vśvaśambhūh*) RV TS. KŚ This is the only occurrence in RV of the metrically bad *mahimnā* after the cesura, see Oldenberg, *Noten* on 1 50 7

etāvatī mahnā (AV *mahimnā*) *sam babhūva* RV AV Cf prec
antarīkṣāyaṣayas tvā prathamajā deveṣu divo mātrayā varinā prathantu
TS · *ṛṣayas tvā prathamajā deveṣu divo mātrayā varinā* (VS *varimnā*)
prathantu VS MS KS : *divo mātrayā varinā* (VS ŚB *varimnā*)
prathasva VS TS MS KS ŚB In the last, which is metrical, *varinā* makes better meter

dyaur va bhūmnā pṛthivīva (VSK *bhūmir va*) *varimnā* VS VSK ŚB .
dyaur mahnāsi bhūmir bhūnā (KS Kauś *bhūmnā*) MS KS Kauś .
bhūmir bhūmnā dyaur varinā TS

§274. Loc sg in *an* : *an* On this see Wackernagel 3 p 273. The ending *an* is characteristic of older and hieratic language It also differs from *am* in being a syllable shorter; the majority of our variants show the form at the end of triṣṭubh-jagatī pādas, so that either ending makes good meter In these variants, where the longer ending *am* is found in RV, the surrounding pādas are *jagatī*, so that the RV reading matches them, in such cases the substitution of *an* is apparently due to conscious hieraticism. In other cases the ending *am* is secondary and introduces a jagatī pāda in an otherwise triṣṭubh verse It is shown by Wackernagel, following Eggeling and Caland, that the Kānva recension of the Vājasaneyin school prefers *an*, against Mādhyamdina *an*; but if such variants occur in mantras, we have not noticed them, so that our variants happen to show no trace of this

§275. The cases in which the variant word ends its pāda (triṣṭubh or jagatī) are the following Four times out of six the *an* ending is secondary In all except the first the secondary ending (whether *am* or *an*) makes the pāda metrically inconsistent with its context, at least in certain texts

mā samsṛkṣāhūm parame vyoman (AB. AŚ. *vyomani*) VS. MS. KS. AB ŚB. TB AŚ. ApŚ. Here the stanza is otherwise jagati; the AB AŚ. reading seems to be a (secondary) patching of this pāda to make it consistent

grīvāyām (KS *grīvāsu*) *baddho apikakṣa ūsani* (MS. *apipakṣa ūsan*) RV VS. TS MS KS ŚB N.

praty aṅgeṣu prati tṣṭhāmy ātman (SMB *ātmani*) VS KS ŚB. TB. SMB. HG.

tam pratyāñcam arciṣū vidhya marman (AV. *marmaṇi*) RV. AV. According to Roth Ppp reads *marman*

satyadharmūṇā parame vyoman (MS *vyoman*) RV. MS

sa jāyamānah parame vyoman (RV.* *man*) RV. (ter) MS. In RV. consistent with context as to meter.

§276. The next two variants are prose; chronological priority is not very clear:

apām tvā bhasman (MS KS *bhasmani*) *sādayāmi* VS. TS MS KS. ŚB.

It is possible that MS really read *bhasmant* (see v. Schr.'s note). *asmin brahmany asmin karmany* AV.: *asmin brahmany asmin kṣatre 'smin karmany* ŚŚ : *asmin brahmany asmin kṣatre* KS.: *asmin brahmann asmin kṣatre 'syām āśiṣy asyām purodhāyām asmin karman* (PG *karmany*) *asyām devakūtyām* TS. ApŚ. PG : *te nah pānī asmin brahmany asyām purodhāyām asmin karmany* MS The preponderance of texts seems to point to older *an*.

§277. Thrice the hieratic ending *an* is introduced secondarily to the detriment of the meter:

tam ātmani (TS *ātman*) *pari grhṇīmahe vayam* (MS. *grhṇīmasīha*) TS. MS KS

śucih śukre ahany ojasinā (MS *ahann ojasine*, KS.† *śukro ahany ojasye*, AŚ *'hany ojasinām*) TS MS KS. AŚ. Clearly *ahan* is required by the meter

jyēṣṭhasya dharmam dyukṣor anīke SV.: *jyēṣṭhasya vā dharmaṇi kṣor anīke* RV. Benfey is certainly right in regarding *dharmam* as merely a way of writing *dharmān* (note that a dental consonant follows).

§278. Otherwise both forms may be real metrically, if final *y* in *any* (before a vowel) be pronounced as a consonant; or other changes accompany the shift and make the meter sound:

yat te asmin ghora āsan juhomi KS : *yad adya te ghora āsan juhomi* MS.: *yasyās ta āsani ghore juhomi* AV.: *yasyās te asyāh krūra āsaṇ juhomi* TS. ApŚ : *yasyās te ghora āsan juhomi* VS ŚB.

ātmann (ĪśāU *ātmany*) *evānupaśyati* VS ĪśāU.

[*divye dhāmann* (TB Conc. **dhāmany*) *upahūyah* (TB **ūh*) TS MS. TB. (bis). Poona ed of TB *dhāmann* both times]

[*trīṇye dhāmāny abhy* (VS. *dhāmann adhy*) *avrayanta* VS TA.† MahānU † Conc. *dhāmāny* for *dhāmāny*]

§279. As an addendum to the *n* declension, we may mention an isolated curiosity which concerns noun formation rather than inflection: *dhāmne tvā* KS : *dhāmnyai tvā* ApŚ. The latter is due to attraction to the forms which follow in the series of formulas, viz *sanyai, vityai, śaktyai, bhūtyai*.

§280. The Concordance erroneously reports a voc sg. variant of a neuter *n* stem:

[*vācaspate vidhe nāman* (AŚ Conc *nāma*, but text *nāman*) KS AB TA AŚ ŚŚ]

Compare the next, where the forms are obscure but look like vocatives of *in* stems:

lājīṣṇ chācīṣṇ (MS *lājīṣṇ śācīṣṇ*) *yavye gavye* (TS. KSA TB ApŚ. *śācīṣṇ yaśo mamāṣm*) VS TS MS KSA 4 9 (add in Conc.) ŚB TB. ApŚ See Keith's note on TS; but the MS form may intend a voc. in *i* (not *in*)

5 Heteroclitlic stems and the like

§281. Stems in *an* and *i*, type *asthān* : *ásthi*. On these see Wackernagel 3 p 302 ff. The variants show shifts between the two forms of the stem in the nom-acc pl (strong stem), and in the weak cases, both those with *bh* endings and the 'weakest' forms. Sometimes the accent—regularly recessive in the *i* stem but on the stem final in the *an* stem—reveals the secondary character of one form

leṣām sam hanmo akṣāni (AV *sam dadhmo akṣīni*, Ppp *sam dadhmo akṣāni*) RV AV Ppp. Both accents regular.

aṅgāni ca me 'sthāni (VS. and v 1 of MS. *'sthāni*) *ca me* VS TS MS KS.

So far as accents are written they are regular, except that MS p p writes *ásthām*

asthabhyah (KSA. *asthi*°) *svāhā* VS KSA No accent in KSA

bhadram paśyemākṣabhīr (KS.† and v 1 of MG *'akṣabhīr*) *yajatrāh* RV SV. VS MS KS TA ApŚ MG NṛpU NṛuU Accent *akṣībhīr* in KS anomalous.

akṣyoś (MŚ *akṣnoś*) *caṣuḥ* TS TAA MŚ. PG : *caṣur akṣnoh* AV.

Vait No v 1 quoted for MŚ, but mss of AV mostly *akṣyoś* (or *akṣoś*; a bad writing for the same, cf VV 2 §335, where this might have been quoted), some mss of Vait also *akṣyoś*, which should be read in AV Vait (see Whitney's note)

calṣur ā dhattam akṣyoh (MŚ *akṣnoh*) TS. MŚ

§282. Stems in *s : r* (Wackernagel 3 p. 310 ff.). The single variant noted concerns *ūdhar : ūdhas* as a locative; cf. Wackernagel l. c. 311, Oldenberg *RVNoten* on 1 70 8, both of whom are inclined to deny any loc *ūdhar* (not to speak of *ūdhas*). It seems, however, impossible to construe the form in the following variant otherwise than as loc. It is very possible that *ūdho* (*ūdhas*) is the true reading of all texts, for most MŚ mss read *ūdho* 'di°

sūryāyā ūdho 'dityā (VSK † KŚ † *adityā*, MŚ. *ūdhar aditer*, KS. *ūdho aditer*) *upasthe* VSK TS. KS ŚŚ KŚ. MŚ

§283. Strong cases of stem *panthā(n)*. The older forms (stem *panthā*) are replaced in secondary texts by those from stem *panthan*, sometimes (in the first quoted cases) clearly to the detriment of the meter; but usually this is patched up by other changes. Once even occurs *pathayo* as nom pl (from stem *pathi*)

anṛkṣarā rjavah santu panthāh (AV *panthānah*) RV AV. ApMB.

ye te panthāh (TS. KS TB ApŚ *panthānah*) *santah pūrvyāsah* RV. VS. TS. KSA TB ApŚ

ye te panthā adho divah SV Svīdh : *ye te panthāno* 'va *divah* AV.

emam panthām arukṣāma AV · *sugam panthānam ārukṣam* ApMB.

panthānam bhrūbhyām VS : *panthām* (p p *panthānam*) *bhrū*° MS.

taṁ panthānam (Ppp Roth, *panthām*) *jayemānamitram ataskaram* AV. Ppp.

ye panthāno bahavo devayānāh AV.. *ye catvārah pathayo devayānāh* TS SMB PG BDh Wackernagel p 308 plausibly suggests influence of the phrase *pathibhir devayānāh*.

§284. Weak forms of *path(i)* Once the instrumentals *pathā* and *pathyā* from this stem interchange In RV VS ŚB. *pathyā* may indeed be taken as nom (see §419), but in the others it is certainly instr.:

vi śloka etu (AV. *et*, TS ŚvetU. °*kā yanti*) *pathyeva* (KS *patheva*) *sūreh* (sūrih, sūrah, sūrah, VV 2 §328) RV. AV. VS. TS MS. KS. ŚB. ŚvetU

§285. The stem *āsan : āsya* See Wackernagel 3 p 317. An interesting blend form *āsyān*, loc sg, a cross between *āsan* and *āsye*, seems to have been the true Atharvan reading (AV GB Kauś, and possibly Vait ?) in the following; it has not been noted by the Conc or the grammarians See VV 2 §328 Most AV and Kauś mss. have *āsyān*, and Gastra adopts it for GB ; it is, to be sure, not noted by Garbe as found in Vait mss

vān ma āsan (MŚ. PG *āsye*) AV. TS GB TAA. Vait. ApŚ. MŚ Kauś. PG BDh

pari svayam cinuṣe annam āsyē (SV *āsani*) RV SV In a jagati stanza, read *āsīye* in RV, cf Edgerton, *Language* 10 253 ff

§286. The stem *yoṣaṇ(ā)* : *yoṣā* See Wackernagel 3 p 112 The only variant noted concerns the acc sg *yoṣām* : *yoṣanām*, both forms are metrically consistent with their surroundings (both RV), and there is no evidence of priority

ny ūhathuk purumitrasya yoṣām (and *yoṣanām*) RV (both)

§287. The stem *yūṣan* : *yūṣa* See Wackernagel 3 p 317

apo yūṣnā (TS KSA *yūṣena*) VS TS MS KSA

§288. Stems *raḥ* : *rayi* See Wackernagel 3 p 214 ff, and for the phonetic aspect of this variation VV 2 §396

agne samrād iṣe rāye (ApŚ *rayyā*) AŚ ApŚ · *iṣe rāye* VS MS ŚB TB MŚ (Conc also AŚ ApŚ. under the last, but the same passage is meant) *rayyā* is late and secondary

CHAPTER VIII

DIPHTHONGAL STEMS

§289. Compare the last section (*rai* : *rayi*). Besides this, the variants noted all concern the stem *div* (*dyu*), except one isolated case of instr sg *nāvayā* varying with *nāvā* from *nau*. On this latter see Wackernagel 3 p 224. The RV. original has *nāvayā*, which has been used to posit an otherwise unknown stem *nāvā*. Wackernagel however suggests that it is a poetic nonce-formation, substituted for *nāvā* on the analogy of the instr forms in *ā* : *ayā* from *ā* stems. The AV. *nāvā* is formally more regular, but metrically poor.

sa nah sindhum iva nāvayā (AV *nāvā*) RV. AV TA. For other related formulas see Debrunner, *Festschrift Winternitz*, 7.

§290. Coming to the stem *div* (*dyu*), we find a single anomalous genitive *dyaus* for *dyos*, one acc pl. *divas* varying with *dyūn*; and a considerable number of acc sg forms *divam* : *dyām*. The gen. *dyaus* occurs only in MS, for *dyos* of all other texts. No v. l. is quoted. This is a much clearer case than any of the other alleged instances of *dyaus* as abl-gen, on which see Wackernagel 3 p. 224 *infra*, with references:

sūryo rūpam kṛnute dyor (MS. *dyaur*) *upasthe* RV. AV. VS MS. TB.

Possibly a phonetic hyper-Sanskritism, cf VV 2 §732

§291. The accusative variants, both singular and plural, concern the extension of the stem *div* outside of its proper range, see Wackernagel 3 p 220. The one case of acc pl is:

ati dīdyūn (MS *dyūn*, TS KS TB ApŚ *divas*) *pāhi* VS. TS. MS. KS ŚB. TB ApŚ. The accent in TS TB. is *divás*, not the Rigvedic *divas*

§292. Otherwise only acc sg *dyām* varying with (secondary) *divam* is found. This shift is frequent. While *divam* is already common in the RV itself, the only variants concerning RV. have *dyām* in that text. This form is probably dissyllabic in RV. in all the three following cases; read *dyām* in the first, *dyām* in the second and third (and *prthvīm* in RV. in the third, cf. Edgerton, *Language* 10.252). *tad aslabhñā uto divam* (RV. *uta dyām*) RV. SV ArŚ.

dyām (AV. *divam*) *ca gacha prthivīm ca dharmanā* (AV. *dharmabhūh*)
RV. AV. TA

sa dādāhāra prthivīm dyām utemām (AV.* *prthivīm ula dyām*, **prthivīm*
divam ca, ApŚ. *prthivīm antarikṣam divam ca*) RV. AV. (bis) VS.
VSK TS MS KS KSA PB. ŚB ApŚ. N. According to Roth,
Ppp. has *dyām ulāmūm* for AV. 11. 5. 1.

§293. In one AV. variant the reading *dyām* seems probably secondary, and intended to patch the meter in a changed form of the mantra where the meter requires a monosyllable:

divam (Ppp. *dyām*) *antarikṣam ād bhūmim* AV. Ppp. *divam samudram*
ād bhūmim AV. The latter is probably original. It occurs in a
Rohita hymn, and is very appropriate to the context, which deals
with the sun's defensive activities. The other, with *antarikṣam*
for *samudram*, is magical rigmarole, describing the wearer of an
amulet; after *divam*, *antarikṣam* follows so naturally! We assume
that *divam samudram* was changed first to *divam antarikṣam*; and
that then Ppp tried to correct the meter by substituting *dyām* (here,
if a monosyllable, contrary to Sievers' Law) for *divam*.

§294. The remaining cases concern YV texts, and are chiefly prose, or if metrical, meter seems to play no part in the shift. Nor is there much clear indication of priority, KS, apparently the oldest YV text, prefers *dyām* in a number of cases, but not unanimously:

divam skabhāna KS : *dyām stabhānā* KS : *divam dṛiṇa* VS. TS MS KS
JB ŚB. TB MŚ

divam gacha VS TS MS KS ŚB. TB. TA ApŚ MŚ : *dyām gacha* MS
KS AB AŚ MŚ

divam (KS. ApŚ *dyām*) *te dhūmo gachatu* VS MS KS ŚB ApŚ MŚ.
divam agreṇa mā lekhīh (MS MŚ *hinsih*) TS. MS ApŚ. MŚ. *dyām mā*
lekhīh VS KS. ŚB KŚ

divam agreṇāsprkṣah VSK.† *divam agreṇāprāt* (VS *agreṇāsprkṣat*) VS
TB.: *dyām agreṇāsprkṣah* (MS KS * TB °*ṣat*) VS KS. (ter) MS
TB ŚB.

ud divam (KS *dyām*) *stabhāna* VS TS KS ŚB PB. ApŚ. *divam agre-*
ṇotabhāna MS MŚ

sūryena dyām (KSA *divam*) TS KSA.

ā yā dyām (MS *divam*) *bhāsy ā prthivīm orv* (KS *urv*) *antarikṣam* VS
TS MS KS. ŚB

drapsas te dyām mā skān (KS ApŚ. *skān*, MS. *te divam mā skān*) VS
MS.-KS ŚB ApŚ

ararus te divam mā skān TS ApŚ : *ararus te dyām* (KS ApŚ *ararus*

dyām) *mā paplat* MS. KS. ApŚ.: *araro divam mā paplah* VS. ŚB.
Varit

§295. The stem *diva* for *div* (*dyu*) is according to Wackernagel (2.1 pp. 109, 113, 146, and 3 p. 220) found in the Veda only in compounds. On *dive-dive* see §678. Tho this is a matter which does not strictly concern the theme of this volume (but rather Noun Formation), we record here in passing a clear case of locative *dive* in KS.; no other interpretation is at all possible:

divi (KS. *dive*) *gyotir ajaram* (MS. KS. *uttamam*) *ārabhclām* (MS. KS. †
thām) MS. KS. TB. ApŚ.

CHAPTER IX

ADJECTIVES AND NUMERALS

1. Feminine adjectives

§296. We find a number of variations between *ā* and *ī* stems, feminines to masculines in *a*; see (pending the appearance of Wackernagel II. 2) Thumb-Hirt, *Handbuch*, 197; Macdonell, *VGr.* 273 n 1, and for the usage in Classical Sanskrit, Renou, *Gr Scte* 279 f The variants reveal no general principles so far as we can see

samhitāsi vīśvarūpā MS KS ApŚ · *samhitāsi vīśvarūpī* (TS ApŚ °pīh)
VS TS ŚB ŚŚ ApŚ

upasthāvarābhyo dāśam VS : *upasthāvarībhyo bairdam* TB (so Poona ed)

śivā rulasya (VSK *śiva rlasya*, TS and v 1 of MS *śivā rudrasya*)
bheṣajī (MS °jā) VS VSK TS MS KS

śivā vīśvāha bheṣajī (TS *vīśvāhabheṣajī*, VS *vīśvāhā bheṣajī*, MS *vīśvāha bheṣajā*) VS TS MS KS

sakhā saptapadī (ApMB °padā) *bhava* AG ŚG SMB Kauś ApMB
MG . *sakhe saptapadā bhava* PG

pavitre stho vaiṣṇavyau (TB ApŚ °vī) VS ŚB TB ApŚ GG KhG ·
oṣadhyā (!) *vaiṣṇave sthah* MŚ

annādā (KB °dī) *cānnapatnī ca bhadrā ca* AB KB AŚ

vairūjī (KSA † °je) *puruṣī* (so KSA †) TS KSA We see no reason to
emend KSA, as v Schroeder would

śamgayī (MS ŚB °gavī, TB °gaye) *jīradānū* (ŚB *jīvadānū*) MS ŚB
TB AŚ ŚŚ

[*vaiśvadevy āmīkṣā* MS. KS † Conc *vaiśvadevāmīkṣā* for KS]!

§297. There are also a couple of cases in which the fem suffix *ī* is alternatively added to *u* stems, varying with the stem in *u* which may be of either gender; cf §225 above.

achīdrām pārāyīṣṇum (SMB °ṣṇvīm) TS SMB

dhiṣṇane vidū (VS VSK ŚB *vidvī*, KS *vīte*) *satī* (KS om) *vidāyethām*
(VSK *vīl°*) VS VSK. TS KS ŚB

§298. Otherwise we have noted in the Conc. only one erroneous quotation of fem forms in *atī* and *antī* from a *vant* stem.

[*ūrjasvatīr oṣadhīr ā riśantām* (KSA *viśantām*) RV TS KSA.† Conc. *ūrjasvantīr* for KSA]

2 Pronominal adjectives

§299. There are only a few cases of variation between pronominal and nominal endings in these adjectives See Wackernagel 3 p 579 ff. Perhaps the most interesting is the first quoted, in which, curiously, the late and secondary ApŚ substitutes a pronominal for a nominal form of *viśva*, changing its own Samhitā, the pronominal forms of this stem are in general prehistoric, the nominal forms secondary, see Wackernagel 3 p 581.

viśvārād asī viśvānām (ApŚ *viśvāsām*) *nāṣṭrāṇām hanlā* TS ApŚ
ye keśinah prathamāh (MŚ °me) *satram āsata* TB. ApŚ. MŚ This and
the next are quoted from an unpublished part of MŚ
viśvasrjah prathamāh (PB MŚ °me) *satram āsata* (MŚ °te) PB. TB.
ApŚ MŚ

dakṣiṇāyāmi (AŚ °nasyām) *dīśi māsāh pitaro mārjāyantām* TS AŚ
[*te virājam* (KS *samrājam*) *abhi samyantu sarve* MS † KS Conc *sarvāh*
for MS, but the reading is *sarvā* before a vowel, and *sarve* is
intended; so p p]

3 Numerals

§300. We have noted only the doubtful KSA reading *aṣṭabhyah* (with short *a* characteristic of the later language) for *aṣṭābhyah*, cf. VV 2 §495, Wackernagel 3 p 358:

aṣṭābhyah svāhā TS KSA † (Conc *aṣṭa°* for KSA; ed with ms *aṣṭā°*
in all three occurrences; first hand once *aṣṭa°*)
aṣṭābhyah śatebhyah svāhā TS. KSA Here the ms of KSA reads *aṣṭa°*;
ed em to *aṣṭā°*.

vrtraghna (MS KS. °ghnah) *slomā upa mām upāguh* (AV. *mema āguh*, KS. *mām ihāguh*) AV. TS. MS. KS Here both forms are made metrical

layā mām indra sam srja RVKh.: *layā mā sam srjāmasi* HG. ApMB. The RVKh form would be a syllable short (reading *mendra*) but for its use of *mām* before a vowel

tam mā sam srja varcasā RV. AV. etc.: *sam māgne varcasā srja* RV AV. KS ApMB : *sam mām āyusā varcasā* (TS adds *prajayā*) *srja* TS. MS KS The first two forms are both metrical, the last (prose) occurs in a different connexion

viśve devā abhū rakṣantu (KS. *anu tiṣṭhantu*) *meha* (AV. **tveha*, Ppp 5. 4. 4d. *mām iha*) AV. Ppp KS †

§304. Variants of *mām* and *mā* before a vowel in prose passages; cf VV 2 §812 (haplology or dittology):

tānu mām avantu SMB.: *te māvatām* AV.: *te māvantu* AV TS. PG.: *te māvata te mā jinvata* KS. ApŚ : *te mām avantu* KS. ApŚ : *tau māvatām* AV.

āyur brhat tad aśīya tan māvatu (MS. *mām avatu*) ApŚ. MS : *tan māvatu* (MS AG MG. *mām avatu*) PB. TA. TU. ApŚ MS AG. MG.: *tan māvit* MG.: *tan mām āvit* TA. TU.

ihaiva lṣemya edhī mā prahāsīr (ApŚ. °*hāsīn*) *mām amum āmuṣyāyaṇam* (AŚ *prahāsīr amum māmūṣyāyaṇam*, ApŚ † *māmum āmuṣyāyaṇam*) MS. AŚ ApŚ. MS. Caland assumes for ApŚ. the same text as MS

yā devy aśiṣṭaka (°*ke*) *sā mām upaśeṣva* (MS. *mopaśeṣva*) MS. KS ApŚ (four entries in Conc)

§305. Variations of *mām* and *mā* before a consonant or final. Here the variants are metrically equivalent, and there is no need to separate metrical from prose variants.

ā roha mām (AV. *ā mā roha*) *mahale-saubhagāya* RV AV.

uddhriyamāna ud dhara pāpmano mā (MS *mām*) AŚ ŚŚ ApŚ. MS ApMB

upa mām (TB. *mā*) *brhat saha divā hwayatām* ŚB TB ŚŚ. The next five all occur in the same passage in the texts which contain them:

upa mām sakhā bhakṣo (TB *mā bhakṣah sakhā*) *hwayatām* TB AŚ ŚŚ.

upa mām (TB. *mā*) *rathamtaram saha hwayatām* ŚB TB ŚŚ.

upa mām (TB. *mā*) *vāmadevyam hwayatām* ŚB. TB. ŚŚ.

upa mām (TB *mā*) *dhenuḥ hwayatām* TB. AŚ. ŚŚ.

upa mām (TB. *mā*) *divyāḥ* (TB. ŚŚ omit) *sapta hwayantām* TB AŚ. ŚŚ.

evam mām brahmacārīnah TA. TU.. *evā mā brahmacārīnah* Kauś.
evam mā śrīdhātūrah SMB : *evam mā saḥāyo brahmacārīnah* SMB.
gopāya mā (VāDh. *mām*) *śevadhīś te* (with varr.) 'ham asmi Samhito-
 paṇiṣadb. VāDh VīDh. N.

īrplā mā tarpayata (MG. *mām tarpayanṭu*) KS. MG

divo nu mām (HG ApMB *mā*) *bṛhalo antariṣṭāt* AV. IIG. ApMB.

Prs : *divo nu mām* GB Vait Kauś

na mām (N. *mā*) *brūyā vīryavālī tathā syām* VīDh VāDh. N

punanlu mā (RV *mām*) *devajanāh* RV AV. VS MS KS TB : Prs

punanlu mā (BṛhPDh *mām*) Vait Kauś BṛhPDh

punar dravinam aitu mā (AG MG *mām*) TA AG SMB HG MG

The same with *brāhmanam* for *dravinam*

prthivī mālar mā mā hīnsīh (VS ŚB *hīnsir mo aham tām*) VS TS ŚB.

ŚŚ · *mā mām mātā prthivī hīnsī* TS, MS

mā mā (KS *mām*) *hīnsīṣṭam svam* (KS. *yat svam*) *yonim* MS KS :

mā mā hīnsīh svām (svam) *yonim* VS KS ŚB TB Apś.

samiddho mā (ŚG *mām*) *sam arāhaya* VSK. ŚG

[*āyusmantam karota mā* (RVKh *Aufr karotu mām*, Scheft *karotu me*,

KS *lṛṇota mā*) RVKh KS TA BDh A dat or gen is uncon-
 struable, only *mā* or *mām* can be read in RVKh ; *me* has crept in
 perhaps from the end of the preceding verse, *dehi me*, or else by
 confusion with the similar *pādas dīrgham āyuh lṛnotu me*, *sarvam*
āyur dadhātu me]

§306. Variants of *tvām* and *tvā* followed by a vowel in metrical
 passages:

abhi tvām indra nonumah RV. SV *abhi tvā sūra nonumah* RV. AV SV
 VS etc A significant case, the final *m* of *tvām* is a sort of 'Hiatus-
 tilger' (cf VV 2 §309).

jayantam tvānu devā madantu (TS *tvām anu madantu devāh*) RV AV.

SV VS TS The original was read *tvānu*, TS implies the irregu-
 lar or later pronunciation *tvā-* (Edgerton, *Language* 10 247f), and
 tries to 'correct' the meter

tābhīṣ tvābhīṣīcām MG · *tena tvām abhi*° YDh The MG is 'cor-
 rected' in YDh as in prec

mahe cana tvām adrivah (SV *tvādrivah*) RV SV ŚŚ Both may be read
 metrically, but Sievers' Law requires *tv-*.

yam tvām ayam (TS KS *tvāyam*) *svadhītis tejāmānah* (*teṭijānah*, *tigma-
 teṭāh*) RV. TS MS KS : *ayam hi tvā svadhītis teṭijānah* VS ŚB

Sievers' Law would make RV hypermetrical

viśvantu (read *viśantu*) *tvām āhutaṣ ca sarvāh* MU . *viśvam tu* (read

viśantu) tvāhulayah sarvā(h) PrāṇāgU. The latter is metrically poor.

[*tena grhṇāmi tvām aham* (AV. *grhṇāmi te haslam*) AV. VS ApMB : *tena tvāham pratigṛhṇāmi tvām aham* HG.]

§307. Variants of *tvām* and *tvā* followed by a vowel in prose passages: *etat te tata (talāsau) ye ca tvām anu* (KS *tvānu*) TS. KS. TB. ApŚ ApMB. The same with *talāmaha*, *pitāmaha*, *pralātāmaha*, *prapitāmaha*, for *tata* (only KS reading *tvānu*): *ye ca* (Conc. wrongly omits *ca* for ŚŚ) *tvām anu* (ŚŚ *tvām atrānu*) ŚB. KŚ. ApŚ. ŚŚ : *ye cālra tvānu* MŚ GG KhG.

prajāś tvānu (TS. ApŚ *tvām anu*) *prāṇantu* VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. KŚ. ApŚ MŚ The TS form simulates meter, and also makes better assonance with the preceding formula, *prajāś tvam anu prāṇih.* It is of course secondary.

§308. Variants of *tvām* and *tvā* before consonants and final:

ajasram tvām (ApŚ *tvā*) *sabhāpālāh* TB. ApŚ.

alas tvā viṣṇuh pātu MS.: *viṣṇus tvām indriyeṇa pātu* (TS KS. *tvām pātu*) VS TS. KS. ŚB.

avatām tvām (VSK. KS. ApŚ. *tvā*) *dyāvāprthivī* VS VSK. KS. ŚB. ApŚ.

viśvās tvām (KS *tvā*) *prajā upāvarohantu* VS. KS. ŚB. ApŚ.

viṣṇus tvā (SMB. v. 1. *tvām*) *mayatu* SMB PG.: *viṣṇus tvām unnayatu* MG. Note that in MG. *tvām* is used before a vowel; 'hiatusstilger'?

[*tvā* (!) *manasānārtena vācā* . KŚ. Read *tvām* or *ā tvā* (so Weber in note), or *tvāmanasā*.]

[*tvām* (so Poona ed ; Conc *tvā*) *bhūlāny upaparyāvarānte* TA]

[*deva savitar etam tvā* (VSK Conc. *tvām*, by error) *vr̥ṇate* . VSK. TB etc.]

§309. Variants of dat sg. *mahyam*, *tubhyam* with *me*, *te* in metrical passages In all cases both forms are metrically sound:

anamivāh pradīśah santu mahyam ApŚ.: *asapatnāh* (Vait text *sapa*) *pradīśo me bhavanu* AV. Vait

tad astu tubhyam id ghṛtam TS . *sarvam tad astu te ghṛtam* (AV. *astu me śivam*) RV AV. VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB.

tubhyam (and *ye ta*) *āraṇyāh paśavo mrgā vane hitāh* AV. (both).

mahyam dhukṣva yajamānāya kāmān TB. ApŚ : *sā me. dhukṣva yajamānāya kāmān* (KS *dhukṣva sarvān bhūtīkāmān*) KS. TB. ApŚ. Both sound.

śivam mahyam madhumad astu annam AV.: *syonam annam madhuman me kṛṇomi* MS.

§310. Variants of dat sg. forms in prose passages:

agnir janavin mahyam jāyām imām adāt Kauś : agnir janitā sa me 'mūm jāyām dadātu svāhā ŚG

§311. Variants of gen sg *mama, tava* and *me, te*, mostly in metrical passages:

aṅgā parūṣi tava vardhayanti Vait : priyāny aṅgāni tava vardhayantiḥ TB · dhruvam aṅgam priyam yat tanūs te MŚ While MŚ is metrically poor, its deficiency occurs in the first part of the pāda and seems not to be connected with the use of *te* for *tava*

lat punīdhvam yavā mama ViDh : punīdhvam ca yavā mama ViDh sarvam punatīha me yavāh BDh All metrically sound

tava syāma (TS. syām) śarmans (TS. °man) trivarūṭha udbhau (TS udbhi) VS. TS : śarman (MS °mans) te syāma trivarūṭha udbhau MS KS TA The pāda is hypermetric in VS ; TS, apparently based on VS, corrects the meter by another change which introduces inconsistency in number (VV 1 p 253) Apparently MS KS. have the original.

śam u te tanve (TS tanuve) bhuwat TS. KSA · śam v astu tanvaḥ tava VS Both metrically sound

syāma te sumatāv apī RV TS : syāma sumatau tava AV Both may be read metrically

tava-tava rāyah MS KS MŚ : to-to (TS ApŚ to te) rāyah VS TS ŚB ApŚ : tava rāyah MS MŚ Prose

§312. Variants of acc pl *asmān, yuṣmān* and *nas, vas*, all in metrical passages:

abhyati na (SV. abhyeti na, AV asmān aty abhy) ojasā spardhamānā RVKh AV SV VS. The AV. is metrically poor, tho it can at a pinch be read metrically

arāyo ṭasmān abhiduchunāyate TB AŚ ApŚ · arāvā yo no abhi duchunāyate RV TAA Vait MŚ Both metrically sound

asmān (SV sā nah) sīte payasābhyāvartsva AV. VS TS MS KS ŚB cūasmān (KS evā mām) indro varuno bṛhaspatih MS KS . tenāsmān indro varuno bṛhaspatih AV : tena no rājā varuno bṛhaspatih TS ŚŚ All metrically sound

mā no andhe tamasy antar ādhāt (mss ādāt) MŚ . mā sv (Poona ed mo ṣv) asmāns tamasy antar ādhāh TA · mo śvatvam asmān tarādhāt (so, with p p) MS No metrical considerations involved in the variation

tebhīr no adya savitota viṣṇuh KŚ · tebhyo asmān varunah soma indrah Kauś · tebhyo na indrah savitota viṣṇuh ApŚ All sound

yo no drevṣṭi sa bhidyatām AŚ KŚ ApŚ Kauś ApMB BDh · yo 'smān drevṣṭi sa bhidyatām ŚB.† 1. 6 20d Both sound.

sa no aryamā devah PG.: so 'smān devo aryamā MG.: sa imām devo aryamā (ApMB. adhvarah) AG SMB. ApMB. Deficient meter in PG

§313. Variants between dat pl *asmabhyam* (*asme*) and *nas*; all in metrical passages:

athā nah (TS *athāsmabhyam*, AV *adhā nah*) *śam yor arapo dadhāta* (MS °*tana*) RV AV VS TS. MS KS. N.: *tad asme śam yor arapo dadhātana* RV. Hypermetric in TS.

dalto asmabhyam (KS *daltvāyāsma°*, AŚ. *daltāyāsma°*, SMB *daltāsma°*) *draviṇcha bhadram* AV KS AŚ SMB : *dadhatha no dravinam yac ca bhadram* MS. All sound, but MS. evidently secondary.

śāntā nah santv oṣadhīh AV.; *śivā asmabhyam oṣadhīh* KS. TB ApŚ. MŚ SMB PG. Both sound.

§314. Variants between gen. pl *asmākam*, *yuṣmākam* and *nas*, *vas*; in metrical passages; both forms are metrically sound each time:

apī jāyeta so 'smākam VīDh : *apī nah sa lule bhūyāt* MDh.

aham vo asmi sakhyāya śevah MS : *yuṣmākam sakhye aham asmi śevā* AV

2 Sporadic pronominal form variants

§315. Besides the variations between orthotonic and enclitic forms, only a few sporadic formal variants occur among the pronouns. Among the personal pronouns, the old form *tubhya* for *tubhyam* is found once in PG, HG reading *tubhyam* The PG. form is noted in Wackernagel 3 p 459:

tubhyam (PG. *mama tubhya*) *ca samvananam* PG. HG.

§316. The Rigvedic locative *tve* occurs in a number of secondary texts (only KS reading *tvayī*) in the following variant, which shows that we must modify Wackernagel's statement, 3 p 462, that there are no new occurrences of *tve* after the RV.:

āruroha tve sacā (KS. *tvayy apī*) KS. TB. AŚ. Vait ApŚ. MŚ

§317. The Rigvedic dual form *yuvabhyām* persists also in TS N. in the following variant, only KS. using the later *yuvābhyām* (if the edition is right; but the best ms. of KS. has *yuvā°*!). Wackernagel 3 p. 464 says that *yuvā°* is used exclusively outside of the RV. except for one AŚ. passage (Whitney 492b). Evidently this statement is true only if we understand it to apply to new passages, not repeated from RV : *athā* (KS *adhā*) *somasya prayatī yuvabhyām* (KS. ed. *yuvā°*, v. l. *yuvā°*) RV. TS. KS N.

§318. An anomalous form written *yuṣmān* before a vowel (i.e. *yuṣmām?* or *yuṣmān?*) seems to be intended as a genitive in the following.

It is not recognized by the grammars but is textually well established: *yuṣme* (GB † *yuṣmān*, Gaastra with all mss; all mss of AV also *yuṣmān*, Whitney, Index) *astu dīve dīve* AV. AB. GB JB ŚŚ No acc. can be construed; like *yuṣme*, the form can only be gen, dat., or loc, and of these it seems that gen is the best choice

§318a. The old dative or locative *asme* varies once with *nah* (and later *asmabhyam*).

athā nah (TS *athāsmabhyam*, AV *adhā nah*) *śam yor arapo dadhāta* (MS **tana*) RV AV VS TS MS. KS N *tad asme śam yor arapo dadhātana* RV

§319. The only other variants concern demonstrative stems (For fem. *yuṣmās* see §803) Once the gen-loc dual of *ena* varies between *enos* and *enayos*, as noted by Wackernagel 3 p 521.

na parā ygye katarāś canainoh (AV. *canainayoh*) RV. AV TS. MS KS AB

§320. Once TA. uses a wholly anomalous form *amī*, for AV *amū*, as nom dual fem. of *asau* No other form than *amū* for this case, in any gender, has been noted elsewhere, and this form of TA is ignored in the grammars It is particularly strange to find it used as a fem (the noun is *tārahe*), if it were masc. we might assume a use of the plural for dual. The comm interprets by *amū* but offers no remarks, and we can think of no explanation, unless the influence of *devī* nouns *amī ye subhage dīvi* TA · *amū ye dīvi subhage* AV

**SECOND PART: SYNTACTIC AND STYLISTIC
VARIANTS**

CASE, NUMBER, AND GENDER

CHAPTERS XI-XXIX

CHAPTER XI

VOCATIVE AND NOMINATIVE

§321. The vocative and nominative case forms are, in the dual and plural numbers (and sometimes also in the singular), formally distinguishable only by accent and consequently only in accented texts. We have tried to include cases where this accentual distinction is preserved, along with other cases in which a difference of stem or ending appears. It would have been clearly improper to include here instances from texts in which no accents are written, if the forms are identical, merely because our interpretation (however obvious and certain it may appear) makes a particular form vocative in one text and nominative in another. Since, however, the Concordance does not record accents, so that these variations can only be got from the original texts, it is likely that our collectanea are not complete in this regard.

Direct address and indirect statement

§322. As might be expected, a large proportion of the variants concerns shifts between direct address and third-person statement, of the sort treated from the point of view of verb forms (with shift between second and third persons) in VV 1 §§292, 327 ff. For example: *māle-vāsmā adīte* (ŚG. *adītiḥ*) *śarma yacha* (ŚG. *yansat*) AV. TS. MS. KS. TB TA ŚG ApMB, 'like a mother grant, O Aditi, (Aditi shall grant) protection unto him'. This sort of change requires no comment, unless perhaps this, that the direct-address form of the variant need not necessarily contain a second-person verb, tho it most commonly does. For instance: *svasā* (ŚG. *śakhā*, ApMB. *prīyā*) *devī* (HG. ApMB. *devānām*) *subhagā mekhaleyam* SMB. ApMB. PG. ŚG. HG: *śivā devī subhage mekhale mā riṣāma* MG. Here MG. changes the statement of 'fact' (really a magic formula implying a strong wish that it may be a fact) into a direct prayer, in which the personified power addressed is put in the vocative; but instead of saying 'protect us from harm!', the phrase 'may we not be harmed' is used. This of course means the same thing.

§323. In VV 1 §§293, 332 we have already seen that the case of the subject and the person of the verb in such variants are sometimes incongruous. The lists there given will be found only partly duplicated

by our lists below. The conditions are different when one approaches the subject from the point of view of the variation in case. Notably a nominative referring to the subject in a sentence of direct address can not necessarily be called a syntactic incongruity. It may easily be an appositional nominative, modifying the subject, as in: *ā tiṣṭha mitra-varāhanah* (AV. °na) AV. KS. TB.† ApŚ.†, 'approach increasing friends' or 'approach, O increaser of friends!' Here and in many similar cases both forms of the variant contain direct address, and usually a 2d personal verb; yet the nominative may fit as well as the vocative and is frequently the original form. In such cases it often appears that the change (in either direction) is due to form-assimilation to an adjoining word. Thus: *somam pīḁa vṛtrahā sūra* (TS. TA. MahānU. °hañ chūra) *vidvān* RV. VS. TS. MS. TA. MahānU., 'drink the soma, O hero, being the wise slayer of Vṛtra' or 'O heroic slayer of Vṛtra, being wise'. Of course the Taittiriya texts must be secondary, and no doubt the following vocative *sūra* helped the change to *vṛtrahan*, yet their sense is quite as good as that of the original. But as much can hardly be said for the TS. reading of the following, which shows the reverse change (nom. instead of voc.), also under the influence of surrounding forms, but yielding a much less satisfactory result since the name of the god Indra, who is addressed, does not go well as nom. in apposition to the subject of the verb: *sajoṣā indra* (TS. *indrah*) *sagaṇo marudbhīḥ* RV. VS. TS. MS. TA. MahānU. AŚ, 'O Indra, in association with the band of Maruts' or 'in association with the band of Maruts, being Indra'. This pāda immediately precedes the one quoted above, so that TS. has substituted a nom. for the voc. *indra*, while putting the voc. *vṛtrahan* in place of the nom.

§324. The last quoted instance may fairly be called a case of syntactic incongruity, since the nom. *indrah* of TS. is not really construable in an intelligent way. Other cases in which form assimilation to adjoining words seems to have determined the shift, and in which direct address is found in both forms of the variant, are quoted in §§335-6. In §333 will be found variants which shift between direct address and third-person statement, the case of the subject being in one form of the variant incongruous (voc. with 3d person or nom. with 2d). Sometimes three of the four theoretical possibilities are found in the same variant, e.g.: *madhvā yajñam nakṣati* (VS. TS. *nakṣase*) *prīṇānah* (AV. *prat°*), followed by: *narāsaṇso agnīḥ* (VS. TS. KS. *agne*), AV. VS. TS. MS. KS. Here AV. MS. (probably original) have 3d person verb with nom. subject; VS. TS. have 2d person verb with voc. subject; only KS.

is inconsistent, having 3d person verb with voc. subject. It might be suggested that KS. contains a blend of the other two forms. But more probably KS. represents the first change from the original reading (of AV. MS.), and VS. TS. have a further change based on KS. and designed to correct its poor syntax.

§325. It should be noted that not a few vocative-nominative forms differ only in the lack or presence of a final visarga—a very slight phonetic difference. They are collected and related to similar phonetic shifts in VV 2 §380.

§326. We shall list first the variants in which a nom. with 3d person verb varies with a voc. with 2d person. This is the simplest type, and requires no comment, the shift in either direction is extremely natural and common.

māteväsmā adite (ŚG. *aditih*) *śarma yacha* (ŚG. *yansat*) AV. TS. MS. KS. TB. TA. ŚG. ApMB.

indra vājam ajayit TS. TB. : *indra vājam jaya* VS. MS. KS. ŚB.

bhavati bhukṣām dehi Kauś. : *bhavān bhukṣām dadātu* AG.

indrah (SV. PB. *indra*) *suteṣu someṣu* RV. SV. PB. AŚ. ŚŚ. Followed, in RV. SV., by *kratum punīta* (SV. *punīṣa*) *ukthiyam*.

agne tān asmāt pra ṇudasva lokāt ApŚ. : *agnih tān asmāt pra ṇunottu lokāt* MŚ. : *agnih tān* (VS. *tān*, AŚ. *tāl*) *lokāt pra ṇudaty* (AŚ. °*tv*, SMB. *ṇudatu*) *asmāt* VS. ŚB. AŚ. ŚŚ. ApŚ. SMB.

aditih keśān (AV. MG.* *śmaśru*) *vapatu* AV. AG. MG. (both) ApMB. : *adite keśān* (and, *keśaśmaśru*) *vapa* PG. (both).

annapate 'nnasya (*annasya*) *no dehi* VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ.

MŚ. PrānāgU. AG. ŚG. MG. ApMB. : *annasyānnapatih prādāt* PB.

āyātu varadā devī, akṣaram brahma sammilam, gāyatrī chandasām mātā, idam brahma juṣasva nah TA. TAA. MahānU. : *āyān viraḥ devy, akṣare brahmasammate, gāyatrī chandasām mātā, idam brahma juṣasva me* MG. All the noms. in TA. etc. go with *āyātu*, which in MG. is changed to 2d person.

agnir hotā vetu AŚ. ŚŚ. : *agne vīhi* AB. ŚB. AŚ. MŚ.

jayanta upaspr̥ṣatu HG. : *jayantlopa spr̥ṣa* ApMB.

avasānapate 'vasānam me vinda TB. ApŚ. : *avasānam me 'vasānapatir vndat* MŚ.

sāvitrīm bho anu brūhi AG. ŚG. ApG. HG. : *sāvitrīm me bhavān anu bravitu* GG.

saṃ devī (KS. *devī*) *devyorvaśyā paśyasva* (KS.† °*vaśyākhyata*) TS. KS. ApŚ.

uṣnena vāya udakenehi (SMB. GG. *udakenaidhi*, ApMB. *vāyav udake-*

nchi, MG. *vāyur udakenet*) AV AG SMB. GG PG. ApMB. MG. The form *it* of MG. is a dubious imperfect, perhaps rather the particle *id*, cf. Kanver p. 165 s v *id*, and VV 1 p 87. In any case MG has an indirect reference instead of direct address.

prithi mālar mā mā hincih VS TS ŚB ŚŚ. *mā mān mālā prithi hincit* TS MS

agnināgnih samvadatām TA. *agne agninā samvadaria* TA ApŚ HG *pra candramās tirate* (TS † *tirati*, AV † *candramas tirare*) *dirgham āyuh* RV. AV TS MS. N. See VV 1 p 221.

purulṣu tvaṣṭā (MS *tvaṣṭah*) *surīṣam* (VSK. TS. *surīram*) VS VSK. TS. MS : *purulṣu deva tvaṣṭar* [text *tvaṣṭā*, accentless; followed by *r-*] AV : *tvaṣṭah poṣāya uṣya nābhīm asre* KS Verb *viṣyatu* or *viṣya*

indra (MS. *indrah*) *ślomena pañcadaena madhyam* (KS. °*daṣṇaujah*) TS. MS KS AŚ Verb *raṣatu* in MS, *raṣa* in the other. See VV 1 p 223

araro dīram mā paptah VS ŚB Vait : *ararur* (MS *ararus te*) *dyām mā paptat* MS KS ApŚ : *ararus te dīram mā ślām* TS ApŚ

yajñah praty u śthāt sumatau matnām MS : *yajñah pratyashāt* (v. 1 *praty u śthāt*) KS : *yajña pratitṣṭha sumatau suśevih* TB ApŚ

vīśvā ca deva (PG. *devah*) *prlanā abhiṣyāh* (PG.† °*syah*) KS PG : *vīśvā tdeva prlanā abhiṣya* TB. ApŚ HG See VV 1 p 242, and on *abhiṣyak* (for °*syat*), VV 2 §142, where this form might well have been mentioned.

tasya na iṣṭasya prīṭasya dravinchūgameh VS.: *tasya meṣṭasya vīṭasya dravinam ā gamyāt* (KS *dravinchūgamyāh*, ApŚ *dravinchūgameh*) TS. KS ApŚ : *tasya mā yajñasyeṣṭasya vīṭasya dravinchūgamyāt* MS : *tasya yajñasyeṣṭasya sriṣṭasya dravinam māgachatu* KS See VV 1 pp 61 f, 232

dīvo jyote (KS * *jyotir*) *vīasra* (MS *devajūte vīasvann*) *āditya te no devā(h) āsuradhīam* MS KS (bis). *vīasvān aditir devajūts te na ādityā* . *viyantu* TS See VV 1 p 239.

uṣo dadṛkṣe (PB *uṣā*, read *uṣā?*, *dadṛṣe*) *na punar yatira* RV. PB *vaptā* (ApMB. *vaptrā*, HG MG *vaptar*) *rapasi* (PG *vapati*) *leśaśmaśru* (AG PG MG *leśān*) AV. AG PG. ApMB HG MG. In PG. change to 3d person verb to agree with *vaptā* (originally not subject but appositional nom); in HG MG change to voc to mend the same syntax, felt as incongruous. See VV 1 p 241 f and below §334

yo (TS TB ApŚ. *yad*) *agnih* (TS TB ApŚ *agne*) *kavyavāhanah* (RV.

kravya°, TS TB ApŚ. °vāhana) RV. VS KS TS TB. ApŚ AŚ. Followed in RV. VS KS. TS by: *paññ yakṣad* (TS *yalṣy*) *ṛtūr-dhaḥ*; by a different pāda with 2d person verb in TB; only *pratika* in AŚ. ApŚ.

śrutalakṣo aram (SV. °lakṣāram) gave RV. SV. Preceded by *aram aśvāya gāyati* (SV. °ta). Note plural verb in SV; cf. VV 1 §372c [*indra karmasu no 'vata* VS KS : *indrah karmasu no 'valu* TB. But Poona ed. of TB text and comm. *indra 'vata*]

§327. In the following group the nom and voc. forms are distinguished only by the accents (see above, §321).

śvena mā (ApMB. *tvā*) *caḥṣuṣā paśyatāpah* (ApMB. *paśyantī āpah*) AV.

TS MS AB. ApMB. *āpah* is nom in ApMB., voc in the rest. *ghṛtena dyāvāpṛthivī prorn(u)vāthām* (MS MŚ. °tām) VS. VSK TS MS.

KS ŚB ApŚ MŚ.

aśvinā pibatam (VS. KŚ °tām) *madhu* (TB ApŚ *sutam*) RV. VS. TB MŚ KŚ

devā deveṣu śrayantām (TB. *śrayadhvam*) KS. TB.

tasmar te dyāvāpṛthivī revatībhiḥ AV.: *asmabhyam dyāvō° sahraribhiḥ* TB.

Cf VV 1 p 224, under *kāmam duhātām* etc

aśvinā eha gachātām RV AB. AŚ ŚŚ : *aśvinā eha gachātām* (TS. TB °tām, in same stanza in which RV. N have °tām) RV TS. TB N *svātām cat. āpo devīḥ svadatanam* TS ApŚ : *svātām sad āpo devīḥ svadantu* MS.: *āpo devīḥ svadantu* (VSK *sad°*) VS. VSK. ŚB (*abaddham mano*) *dikṣe mā mā hāsīḥ* (KŚ *hāsīḥ*) TS. KŚ BDh : *dikṣen* (sc *dikṣā-ud*) *mā mā hāsīḥ satapā* MŚ.: *dikṣe mā mā hūisīḥ* ŚG See VV 1 p 225. In KŚ read probably *dikṣen*.

apātām aśvinā gharman VS ŚB. ŚŚ LŚ : *gharman apātām aśvinā* (accented in MS. which is therefore inconsistent if not corrupt)

MS TA : *aśvinā gharman pātām* (MS. *pibatām*) VS. MS ŚB.

TA ŚŚ. LŚ ApŚ Consistent in all but the first MS. form.

viśve devāso adhi vocatā nah (TS. *me*) RV TS.: *viśve devā abhi rakṣantu* (KS. *anu liṣṭhantu*) *iveha* (AV.* KS † *meha*; AV. **pakvam*, SMB ApMB. HG. *paścāt*) AV. (thrice) KS. SMB. ApMB. HG

vājinau vājajitau . avajighratām (KS °tām) MS. KS. MŚ : *vājino vājajito vājam avajighrata* VS. TS. ŚB. ApŚ. Similarly:

vājino vājajito vājam . bhāgam avajighrata ni mṛjānāḥ (KS. *bhāge ni mṛjātām*; TS. *bhāge ni mṛjādhvam*) VS. TS KS. ŚB : *vājinau vājajitau . bhāge numṛjyethām* MS MŚ. In this and the prec. KS. has noms, the rest vocs

aśmnā bhuṣajāvalah (MS. °tām, TB. † °ta) VS. MS TB See VV 1 p. 71.

tēna brāhmāṇo vapatedam asya (ŚG. *adya*) AV. TB. AG. ŚG. PG HG ApMB : *tēna brāhmāṇo vapatu* MG See VV 1 p. 220 The MG form can of course only be nom ; the other is ambiguous except for the accent

ā mā ganta pitaro viśvarūpāh MS : *ā mā gantām* (VSK *gantam*) *pitarā mātārā ca* (VSK *yuvam*) VS VSK † 10 3 12c TS KS ŚB Add to VV 1 §337.

§328. In VV 1 §§295, 328 we have called attention to the apparent tendency of SV. to address Soma Pavamāna directly in second person forms, where RV. has third person references The following (all listed l. c) show correspondingly vocs in SV for noms. of RV.:

pavamāna vy aśnuhi SV. : *pavamāno vy aśnavat* RV.

ayā soma (SV. *soma*) *sukṛtyayā* RV SV

svāyudhah sotṛbhīh pūyate vr̥ṣā (SV *°bhīh soma sūyase*) RV SV.

Phrase inflection

§329. In another group the mantras are found in different contexts Thus we have the variant *hotrābhīr agnir (agne) manuṣah svadhvarah* The vocative form occurs in RV. AV, preceded by *sadāsi ranvo yavaseva puṣyate*: 'Thou art ever pleasant, as grazing land to the grazer, having fair sacrifices, O Agni, thru the offerings of man' The nominative form occurs in RV. in a quite different context as an independent sentence (with copula unexpressed): 'Agni has fair sacrifices thru the offerings of man' These constitute a sort of 'phrase inflection' (§§21-2):

hiraṇyavarṇā subhagā AV : *hiraṇyavarṇe subhage* AV Quite different contexts

evāyam daśamāsyō [*asraj jarāyunā saha*] VS. ŚB : *evā tvam daśamāsyā* [*sahāvehi jarāyunā* RV, *sāham jarāyunā pata* AV] RV AV In Ppp. (see Whitney on AV 1. 11 6): *evā te garbha ejatu nīr aitu daśamāsyō bahir jarāyunā saha*

bhāratīde sarasvatī [*yā vah sarvā upabruve tā naś codayata śrīye*] RV. *sarasvatīdā mahī* [*imam no yaṣṇam ā gaman, etc*] RV

indra (RV. **indro*) *viśvābhīr ūtibhīh* RV (both) AV SV

ośvāni deva (RV **devo*) *vayunāni vidvān* RV. (both) AV. VS TS MS KS ŚB TB. TA. In one RV passage and all others except AV preceded by *agne naya supathā rāye asmān*, in the other RV passage, by *r̥bhūś cakra idyam cāru nāma*, AV has different surroundings but requires a voc

upedam upaparcanam [*āsu goṣūpaprcyatām*] RV TB LŚ. *upehopa-parcana* [*asmin goṣṭha upa pr̥ñca nah*] AV. See VV 1 §85

antarīkṣena saha vājīnīvan (once, °vān) AV. (ter) Verbs are consistent sahamāne (PG HG ApMB * °nā, RV. AV. devajūte) sahasvati (HG.

ApMB * °tī, PG sarasvatī) RV. AV. PG. ApMB (bis) HG See also §346, devajūte

imam yajñam aśvinobhā brhaspatih RV. TS.: imam yajñam brhasnate 'śvinobhā KS. Followed respectively by: devāh pāntu yajamānam nyarthāt, and. indrāvata kāvyair daṁsanābhīh Yet the contexts are fundamentally the same (cf. below under devah savitā°, §399).

ā pavasva madāntama RV. SV.: sa pavasva madāntama RV. SV.: sa punāno madāntamah RV

samiddho agna āhuta RV. AV. TS. ŚB. TB. AŚ. ŚŚ ApŚ. (followed by 2d person verbs): samiddho agnir āhutaḥ [svāhākṛtaḥ pīpartu naḥ] KS ApŚ MŚ. The latter is a blend of the former (as in RV) with an unmetrical yajus text: iṣṭo agnir āhutaḥ pīpartu (KS. TB svāhākṛtaḥ pīpartu) na iṣṭam havih (TB. ŚB omit last two words) VS MS KS ŚB TB.

sa tvam no nabhasas pate (GB patih) TS GB : tvam no nabhasas pate AV. TS : ayam no nabhasas patih AV. GB Varit Kauś

ghṛtapratīko ghṛtaprṣṭho agne (KS ApŚ agnih) AV MS. KS ApŚ ApMB HG : ghṛtāhavano ghṛtaprṣṭho agnih AŚ : ghṛtam vasāno ghṛtaprṣṭho agne KS

hiranyaparna śakune PG.: hiranyapakṣah śakunih HG : hiranyavarṇah śakunah MU

agnis tigmēna śociṣā RV. AV. SV. VS. TS MS. KS ŚB ApŚ. MŚ : agne ti° śo° RV. AV.

pavamānah (RV * °na) kanikradat RV. (both) SV.

īndram soma (and, somo) mādāyan dāvīyam janam RV. (both). Followed or preceded respectively by. sindhor ivormih pavamāno arṣasi, and: ā vidyutā pavate dhārāyā sutah.

devebhyo havyavāhana RV : devebhyo (ApŚ. deveṣu) havyavāhanah RV. ApŚ : devebhyo havyavād asī ApŚ. See RVRep. on 3 9 6b. The second ApŚ variant occurs in a context different from any of RV. and belongs to §339

deva devebhyo havih (SV. devebhyah sutah) RV. SV.: devo devebhyah sutah RV. SV

sahasrote śatāmagha RV.: sahasrotih śatāmaghah RV.

sahasrapoṣam (AV. Kauś sahasrā°) subhage (TS * subhagā) rarāṇā RV. AV TS (both) MS KS. Kauś SMB. ApMB.

mitra satyānām pate (ŚŚ. satyānām adhīpate) TB ŚŚ.: mitrah satyānām (VS ŚB satyah) VS. TS MS. KS. ŚB. PG. Each in a series, with

2d or 3d person verbs expressed in the first member and implied in the rest. So next

savitā prasavānām (AV. add^s *adhipatīh*) AV. TS PG : *savitah prasa-vānām adhipate* ŚŚ As prec.

§330. The following are cases in which the variant containing direct address has no second-person verb form (cf. §322):

svasā (ŚG. *sakhā*, ApMB. *priyā*) *devī* (HIG ApMB. *devānām*) *subhagā mekhaleyam* SMB. ApMB. PG HIG ŚG. *· tīā devī subhage mekhale mā riṣāma* MG.

upa brahmāni saranāni rtrahā (SV. *°han*), *paramajyā rciṣamah* (SV. *°ma*) RV. AV. SV. Preceded in RV by: *ā no rīrāsu harya indrah samatsu bhūṣatu*, 'May Indra associate himself with us the slayer of Vṛtra' etc SV. misunderstands *bhūṣatu*, taking it in its later sense of 'adorn' or 'honor'. To make this meaning fit, Indra must be made the object of this verb, the subject being now the worshippers, the resulting first half verse is: *ā no rīrāsu haryam indram samatsu bhūṣata*, cf VV 1 p 199. But since *rtrahā* cannot (like *harya indrah*) be made accusative without metrical change, it is made voc, with very awkward supplying of an unexpressed verb, and *rciṣamah* then follows it

agnir yaścedam namah KS.: *agne yaśtar idam namah* TS TB 'Agni is the sacrificer, this homage (to him)': 'O Agni sacrificer, this homage (to thee).'

§331. Perhaps in this same group may be placed the following rather puzzling variant:

tasyās te devy aditā (Kauś *aditīr*) *upasthe* MS. KS Kauś *· upasthe te devy adite 'gnim* TS Followed in Kauś by *annādāyānnapatyāyā dadhat*, for which the others have versions containing *ādadh* (1st person), see VV 1 p 211. In all except Kauś there is a direct address to Aditī, thru whose intervention the desired result is expected, tho a first person verb is used In Kauś Aditī seems to be made the subject of the 3d person verb; but note that Kauś retains *te*, which apparently can only refer to Aditī! In fact Kauś is hardly capable of intelligent interpretation.

§332. Similar variants used in different contexts ('phrase-inflection') are:

sahasrākṣo amartyah AV *· sahasrākṣāyāmartya* AV. In the second passage a verse of homage, with direct address, is accompanied by the voc. of the deity addressed, the preceding pāda is *namas te rudra kṛṇmas*.

aśveva citrāruṣī [mātā gavām rāvarī, sakhabhūd aśvinoṛ uṣāh] RV. SV.:
[vayam hi te amanmahy, āntād ā parākāt,] aśve na citre aruṣī RV.
The latter is secondary; see RVRep 63.

abhūn mama (KS. nu nah) *sumatau vśvavedāh* TS. KS. PG.: *bhūyāsma*
te sumatau vśvavedah MS.† In the latter a direct address See
VV 1 p 218

indra somasya pītaye RV : *indrah somasya pītaye* RV.: *indrah somasya*
pītaye vśyate RV. A 2d personal pronoun accompanies the voc
yajīṣṭham havyavāhana RV.: *yajīṣṭho havyavāhanah* RV. Again a pro-
noun accompanies the voc

§333. We come now to variations between direct address and indirect
reference in which either the voc. or the nom. seems incongruous in one
form of the variant, as in *narāśanso agnīh* (*agne*), §324 Other cases of
this sort are:

agne (TS. KS. *agnir*) *manyum pratinudan pareṣām* (TS KS. *purastāt*)
RV AV TS KS. In the next pāda RV AV. TS have *pāhu*, KS
pātu All are consistent except TS, which has nom with 2d per-
son verb, cf VV 1 p 231.

sa no mayobhūh pīto (*pītav*) *āviśasva* (*āviśeḥa*, MŚ *pītur āviśeḥa*) TS. TB.
AŚ MŚ ŚG SMB PG.: *sa nah pīto madhumān ā viśeḥa* (Kauś
vīśeḥa) KS Kauś Only Kauś is incons tent, MŚ. (secondarily)
restores consistency with nom matching 3d person verb

apālām indra (MG *indras*) *triṣ* (*trih*) *pūtvī* (AV. *pūtvā*, ApMB.† *pūrtīy*
a-, MG *pūrtīy a-*) RV. AV JB ApMB. MG The verb in the
following pāda is 2d person in RV. AV JB, but 3d in MG. and
ApMB, despite voc. epithet in pāda b; see Winternitz, Introduc-
tion to ApMB, xvi, VV 1 p 233 f.

inder agnir (VSK ŚBK *agner*, MS. MŚ. *agne*) *nabho nāma* (MS MŚ
add *yat te*) VSK TS. MS ŚBK MŚ : *vided agnir nabho nāma* VS
KS ŚB See VV 1 p 233. TS. is inconsistent.

vy astabhñā (VS ŚB *aska*°, MS *aṣka*°, KS. *aṣṭa*°, TS *askabhñād*, TA
aṣṭabhñād) *rodasī viṣnav* (VSK. MS KS. *viṣna*, TS *viṣnur*) *ele* RV.
VS VSK TS. MS. KS ŚB TA —TB is secondary but consistent;
TA inconsistent, and apparently a sort of blend of the other two.
See VV 1 p. 232, where read VSK. *viṣṇa* (instead of VS.)

dyāvāprthivī urv antarikṣam AV TS : *dyāvāprthivī uro* (VSK † *urv*)
antarikṣa VS. VSK. MS KS. ŚB Context of AV. different from
all the others; there a simple third-person prayer. In the rest
either (as in MS.) directly addressed to the deities, altho there
also the verb is third person because the deities are not conceived

as the direct agents (the following pāda is *br̥haspatir no haviṣā vṛdhātu* TS MS), or, as in VS. VSK ŚB., the following pāda (*br̥haspataye haviṣā vidhema*) contains a first personal statement. In TS the nominatives, if not a direct reminiscence of the AV form of the variant, would be due to assimilation to *br̥haspatir*, subject of *vṛdhātu* in the next pāda. Kerth understands them as exclamations.

§334. We now come to variants both forms of which contain direct address, and generally a second-person verb. Nevertheless in one form a nominative appears where the other form has a vocative. Either may be the original form, since a nom. in apposition to the 2d person subject is often as easy to construe as a voc., in the example given in §323, *ā tiṣṭha mitravardhana* (°nah), it is hard to say which reading was the older. We shall quote first a group in which the nominative seems to be the original form and the vocative secondary:

pavamānah (SV °na) *samtanam eṣi kṛnvan* RV SV. A slight tendency for SV to prefer the voc. referring to Soma may perhaps be detected in this and the following; cf. VV 1 §328

aprosvān gr̥hapatir (SV °pate) *māhān asī* RV SV

śuddho mamaddhi somyah (SV *somya*) RV SV

sarūpavarṣā ehi MS *sarūpa vṛṣaṁ āgahi* SV JB

samrād asī kṛśānuh (ŚŚ °no) VS VSK TS MS KS PB ŚŚ. ApŚ

sūyavasād bhagavatī (KŚ. °ti) *hi bhūyāh* RV. AV. AB. KB AŚ KŚ

ApŚ N. Addressed to the cow, KŚ makes one of the predicate adjectives into a voc.

vaptā (ApMB *vaptṛā*, HG MG. *vaptar*) *vapasī* (PG °ti) *keśaśmaśru* (AG. PG MG *keśān*) AV. AG PG ApMB HG MG. The change of the nom. original of AV to a voc. in HG MG belongs here, see §326

āyusmān (MG. °mann) *idam pari dhatsva vāsah* ApMB HG MG; cf. also *āyusmatīdam* etc., AV. Lect. fac. in MG.

vasūn cārur (SMB *cārye*, ApMB *cāryo*, v 1 *cāryo*, HG *cāryo*) *in bhajāsi* (SMB *bhṛjāsi*), HG *bhajā sa* *jīvan* AV SMB HG ApMB. Nom. masc. in all but SMB (*ca āryo* in ApMB, for HG see VV 2 §244). In SMB adapted to a different context which requires a fem., (*ca*)*ārye*, voc. fem. Note however that SMB baldly retains the masc. form *jīvan* from the original! (*jīvantī* would be unmetrical). *sakhā* (PG *sakhe*) *saptapadī* (ApMB. PG °padā) *bhava* AG ŚG Kauś SMB. PG ApMB MG. The (predicate) nom. is clearly original. *purovāta* (KŚ. °to, TS °to *varṣān*) *jīnva* TS MS KS. Thus and the

adjoining formulas are pretty low bathos; but some of them have nom. forms even in MS

brahmacāry asi (SMB GG. *asy asau*) ŚB. AG. ŚG SMB. GG. KhG. PG. ApMB.: *agneś cāsi brahmacārīn mama ca Kauś* Perhaps, hardly to be called variants.

[*dhruvaidhi pošyā* (PG *pošye*) *mayi* RVKh. ŚG. PG. ApMB.: *mameyam astu pošyā* AV Stenzler and Oldenberg take *pošye* as voc. fem., addressed to the bride. If this be correct, the variation belongs here We have preferred to understand *pošye* as loc. sg masc., going with *mayi*, see §454]

§335. In some cases the change of case form seems to be due to the definite assimilatory influence of a neighboring word; see §323. These deserve special listing, the following are those in which the nom. seems to be the older form and the voc. secondary:

soman piba vṛtrahā śūra (TS TA MahānU. °*hañ chūra*) *vidvān* RV. VS TS MS. TA MahānU. §323

nicerur asi nīcupunah (TS TB *nicañkana*, MS. KS *nīcuñkunah*) VS. TS MS KS. ŚB TB LŚ. Preceded in all by *avabhṛtha nīcupuna* (etc., voc in all). Doubtless the nom. is original and the voc assimilated to that of the preceding pāda

sanjagmāno divah kavh (SV. LŚ. *divā kave*) RV SV. LŚ. Preceded by *ṛdhak soma svastaye* It is likely that the voc. *soma* had something to do with the change to voc. *kave*. The next pāda begins with *pavasva*, 2d person, which may also have helped.

agner agne puro agnir (KS.† KapS [Oertel 73] *agne*, TS.† TB.† *puro-agnir*, cpd.) *bhaveha* VS TS. MS. KS. KapS ŚB. TB. The orig. seems to be TS TB. ('harbinger of Agni', Keith); the second voc. of KS KapS. is apparently assimilated to the first *agne*

§336. In the rest the nominative seems to be secondary. We shall put first those cases in which form assimilation to a neighboring word seems to have operated, as in the preceding section; note that they are very much more numerous than the opposite shift:

sajoṣā indra (TS. *indrah*) *sagano marudbhīh* RV. VS TS. MS TA. MahānU. AŚ. §323

utso deva (SV. twice *devō*) *hiranyayah* RV. SV. The surrounding noms have been responsible for *devo*.

rjīṣi śavasas pate (SV. *pahh*) RV SV Preceded by *tvam indra yaśā asi pavasva devāyusaśak* (SV. *deva āyusaśak*) RV SV. PB. Benfey translates *deva* as voc; it is accented *devā* If this is correct and it is a nom., it might be explained by assimilation to *āyusaśak* if that is a nom.; but Oldenberg, *RVNoten* on 9 25 5 considers it a neuter adverb

34 VEDIC VARIANTS III NOUN AND PRONOUN INFLECTION

dyāvāpṛthivī uro (VSK.† *uro*) *antarikṣa* VS. VSK. MS KS ŚB.: *dyāvāpṛthivī urv antarikṣam* AV. TS The TS. reading belongs here, see §333.

agne sadakṣah satanur (KS † °*nūr*) *hi bhūtā* TS. KS.: *agnih sudakṣah sutanur ha bhūtā* MŚ The verb is 2d person in all, the nom. of MŚ. is attracted to the following noms.

vasupate vasudāvan RV VS. ŚB.: *vasudāvā vasupatih* TS. MS KS Preceded in all by *sa bodhi sūrur maghavā*; TS etc assimilate to these noms

kāmena kṛtaḥ (RV and p p of MS *kṛta*) *śrava icchamānah* RV MS TB *kṛtaḥ* partly suggested by *icchamānah*

praṇāpatis (ApMB. °*te*) *tanvami me juṣasva, tvaṣṭā devaḥ sahamāna indrah* (ApMB *tvaṣṭar devebhṥḥ sahasāma indra*, cf Winternitz, 111) ApMB MG Followed in MG. by: *viśvair devair ṛtubhiḥ samvidānah, punsām bahūnām mātaraḥ syāva*, in ApMB by: *viśvair devaiḥ rātubhiḥ samrarānah, punsām bahūnām mātaraḥ syāma* Both texts are poor and doubtless corrupt, but the vocs in ApMB are doubtless more original, and may have been changed into noms. in MG under the influence of the participle in pāda c, which is nom. in both

sahasrākṣa medha ā (VSK. *medhāya*) *ciyamānah* VSK TS KS: *sahasrākṣo medhāya ciyamānah* VS MS ŚB Preceded in all by *imam mā hinsir dvipādām paśum* (TS KS. *paśūnām*) Voc is likely to be original, attraction to *ciyamānah* in VS etc

śaravye brahmasambite (TS °*tā*) RV. AV SV VS TS: *śaro brahmasamśitah* TB ApŚ Preceded in all by *avasṛṣṭā* (TB ApŚ °*ah*) *parā pata* Voc was original, in TS. the adjective, and in TB ApŚ. both it and the noun, have been drawn into the nom. by the nom. adjective preceding

ado (MŚ *ato*, AV *ado yad*) *devi* (ApŚ MŚ *devī*) *prathamānā purastāt* (KS. ApŚ. MŚ. *prthag yat*) AV. KS. ApŚ MŚ Direct address and 2d person verb in all; voc original, nom. attracted to *prathamānā* *subīrana sṛja-sṛja śunaka* ApMB: *suwīrnah sṛja-sṛja* HG Preceding pāda ends with *suwīrnah*, which certainly caused the change (it may be a mere corruption in tradition)

sakhe (AV *sakhā*) *sakhāyam ajaro jarimṇe* RV AV In the latter attraction to the case of *ajaro*

vrikinām medha (MŚ *medhah*) *sumanasyamānah* TB. ApŚ MŚ Preceded by *tasmīn sīda* Attraction to the following nom. in MŚ

§337. In the rest a nominative is secondarily substituted for a vocative, in an expression of direct address, without any apparent assimilatory influence of surrounding forms:

indra (MS *indrah*) *svadhūm anu hi no babhūtha* RV. KS. MS. In the latter, 'thou hast presented thyself as Indra'

punar āgāh punarṇava (AV. *punarn°*; AV.* *°rah*) RV. AV. (both)

namas te astu sīsara (PG *sīsaro lapetāpahvara*) PG † 1. 16. 24, ApMB HG. The nom of PG. is hardly interpretable; indeed one is almost tempted to suppose that *sīsaro* is meant for voc (of an otherwise unknown *sīsaru*) It must refer to the same individual as *te* (a kind of dog demon).

agne ghṛtenāhuta (KS *°tah*) AV VS TS. MS KS. ApŚ In AV Ppp (Whitney on 6 5 1) *ghṛtebhur āhuta* Ppp and KS are awkward and secondary, the nom must be taken as predicate to the subject of the preceding verb [*ud enam* (ApŚ *asmān*) *utaram* (VS TS. KS. *°rām*, ApŚ *°rān*) *naya*]

rātri (TB *°rī*, KS v 1 *°rīh*) *stomam na jgyuṣe* (KS † TB. *°ṣī*) RV KS TB In all preceded by *upa te gū iwākaram*, *ṛṇīṣva duhitar dīrah* The nom must be taken in apposition with the subject of *ṛṇīṣva*. *ṛlena* (MG *ṛleva*) *sthūnām* (ApMB. HG *sthūnāv*, MG. *sthūnā*) *adhi roha vanśa* (MG *vanśah*) AV. AG HG. ApMB. MG The appositional nom of MG is awkward

indra kratvā (MS *indrah kṛtvā*) *maruto yad vaśāma* RV. MS. KS. Perhaps the direct address to the Maruts (*maruto*, voc.) in the same pāda made the redactor of MS. feel that the voc *indra* must be got rid of. The nom is however clearly inferior; perhaps 'When we, O Maruts, acting as Indra, desire' (?). On the original cf Oldenberg, *RVNolen*, on 1. 165 7. In VV 2 §380 we have called the nom 'hardly construable'

agne (MS *agnir*) *deveṣu pra vocah* (MS *voca*) RV. SV. MS. TA.

Vocative and nom of independent statement

§338. In a few cases the shift between nominative and vocative marks more radical changes in the relation of the word so changed to adjoining words Thus, a vocative epithet may be developed into a separate clause or sentence (cf §§32, 38). The first step in this direction is illustrated by this:

ṛṣṭhā ratham (TS *rathe*) *adhī tam* (VS. ŚB *yam*, TB *yad*) *vajrahasta* (TB *°tah*) RV. VS ŚB. TB Here TB, introducing a conjunction *yad*, makes what was originally a vocative epithet into a syntactically separate, tho still dependent, clause: 'since thou art vajra-wielder' instead of 'O vajra-wielder!' The awkward reading of VS. ŚB is intermediate and paved the way

§339. Note also the ApŚ variant *devebhyo havyavād asi*, under *devebhyo havyavāhana* etc §329; and the following, which show the final result of this tendency:

kāmyāsi PB. MŚ. GG : *kāmye* MS

agne prāyaścalle SMB. PG ApMB HG.: *agne prāyaścaltir asi* , ŚG

Similarly with *vāyo* . and *sūrya* (*āditya*)

agne grhapata upa mā hvayasva KS. ApŚ MŚ. *agnaya upāhvayadhvam*

Vait : *agnir me hotā sa mopahvayatām* ŚB Parallels rather than real variants?

adhvanām adhvapale pra mā tira svasti me VS : *adhvanām adhvapale svasti me* PB : *adhvano adhipatir asi svasti no* ŚŚ.

Transfer of epithet

§340. There remain, aside from textually dubious or corrupt variants, chiefly some cases of 'transfer of epithet' That is, the variant word is transferred from one person or thing to another, which involves change of case. Cf §14 above:

tava śravāṅsi upamāny ukthyā (SV. °ya) RV SV In RV. the adj goes with *śravāṅsi*, in SV. with Indra.

śrātās ta indra somā vātāpayo (KB TA *vātāper*, KS. ŚŚ. *vātāpe*) *havanaśrutah* MS KS (9. 8) KB TA ŚŚ. In MS *vāt°* agrees with *somāh*, in the others with Indra, either with the voc *indra* or with the pronoun *te* and the adj. *havanaśrutah*

satyasya dharmanas patī (ApŚ *pale*, Vait MŚ *satyasya dharmanā*, AŚ. *pari satyasya dharmanā*, PG *pari sakhyasya dharmanah*) ŚŚ Vait ApŚ MŚ AŚ PG. In ŚŚ (probably original) *patī* (dual) is part of the predicate of the two preceding pādas In ApŚ it is applied to an unspecified divine personage to whom the stanza is felt as addressed.

devā ājyapā juṣānā agna (VS *indra*) *ājyasya vyantu* VS MS KS In the original (MS. KS) addressed to Agni (voc.); but he is not one of those who are to participate in the action of *vyantu* The redactor of VS. felt therefore that there was no reason for bringing Agni in at all; the rest of the verse suggests that Indra is above all the god who is to 'taste the butter', hence *indra(h)*, as one of the *devā(h)* who are the subject of the verb

nvavann (VSK. °vān, TS. KS °va) *ādityaiṣa te somapūṭhah* VS VSK TS. MS KS ŚB MŚ The epithet belongs, as it should, to the sun (*āditya*) in all but VSK, which seems to apply it, incredibly, to *somapūṭhah*.

anu dyāvāprthivī supraṇītiḥ (ŚŚ. °te) AŚ ŚŚ. The original pāda d of AV. 7. 73 6 (see Whitney's note); Ppp. (Roth) agrees with AŚ., with nom. agreeing with *savitā* of pāda c, while ŚŚ. (secondarily) distorts it to agree with the subject of the 2d person verbs of pādas a, b.

sva devā (TS. TB. ApŚ. *suvar devān*) *aganma* (MS MŚ. *agāma*) VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB TB. ApŚ. MŚ. *devā* is accentless both times in VS, tho comm in one of them takes it as nom. (*vayam yajamānā devā bhūtā*). In KS. 14. 1 it is voc, in KS. 18 12 nom. (but two mss make it voc); in MS nom.; the Tait texts make it accus attracting it to the case of *sva* and making it a second object. If nom. it must, of course, agree with the subject (as comm. on VS says).

bhaga eva bhagavān astu devāḥ (AV.† *devah*) RV. AV. VS TB. ApMB. In AV the epithet (originally voc.) is transferred to *bhaga(h)*. Ppp. agrees with RV.

vṛttacakrā āsīnāḥ HG ApMB.: *avimuktacakra* (v. l. °rā) *āsīran* PG. See §454.

yat te susīme hṛdaye (SMB PG ApMB. HG. °yam) KBU. AG. SMB. PG. ApMB. HG.: *yat te susīmam hṛdayam* KBU. 2. 8 (not in Conc.) *susīme* is voc. fem; *hṛdaye* loc. See §457. If *susīmam* be allowed to stand, it is a case of transfer of the epithet to *hṛdayam*: 'the heart that is thine, of well-parted hair' (! despite the bizarre sound of this to us, it is perhaps not impossible in a Vedic text; the 'heart' is the essence of the person, and any epithet of the person may be applied to it). Deussen would read *susīme*, however.

agne vaiśvānara (MS * °rah) *svāhā* TS MS. (bis) TB. The subject is *gyotis* (neuter!), and if the nom. can stand it must be felt as going with it. But, altho no v. l is recorded, we suspect a corruption (final visarga added, VV 2 §380).

punar brahmāṇo (AV. *brahmā*) *vasunītha* (AV. °nītir, MS. °dhūte, KS.* °dhūtam, v l °tim, KS.* 38. 12b† °nītha, KapS. °dhūtam or °tim) *yajñaiḥ* (AV. MS. KS * *agne*) AV. VS. TS MS KS. KapS. ŚB. The voc. agrees with *agne* (understood in KS.); the nom. with *brahmā*; the acc with *tvā* (sc. Agni) of the preceding.

Miscellaneous

§341. One or two miscellaneous cases, hardly to be called true variants:

svāna bhrājāṅghāre bambhāre hasta suhasta kṛśāno VS TS. ŚB : *svān*

nabhrād aṅghāre bambhāre hasta suhasta kṛśāno KS : *suwān nabhrād aṅghāre bambhāre 'star ahasta kṛśāno* MS : *swāna bhrāt, aṅghār-rir bambhār-rh, hastah suhastah, kṛśānur vśvāvasuh* TA † In TA part of the *gandharvaganāh*; obviously based on the other list (addressed to the *gandharvas* at the soma purchase), but used in a different connexion

agnir ājyasya vetu vaṅghak ŚB : *agna ājyasya vyantu vaṅghak* ŚB Also *agninājya°*, *agnim ājya°*. Rigmale formulas, with repetition (with slight variations) of the same words attached to various cases of the stem *agn*, as required in a set of offerings

§342. We now append some nominative-vocative variants whose right to be called such is doubtful, either because of dubiety of interpretation of forms, or because textual corruption or editorial error is involved

svapnah svapnādhikaraṇe RVKh : *svapna svapnābhikaranena* AV . *svapna svapnādhikaranena* Ppp (Barret, JAOS 35 52) Probably *svapna* is a sandhi form for *svapnah*, VV 2 §980

sumṛdīkā sarasvatī (MG °tī) AV AA TA AŚ LŚ MG Read °tī in all, so v 1 of MG, and its comm *he sarasvatī* In TA 1 21 3 the Bibl Ind ed reads *sarasvatī*, but Poona ed °tī

sumanah suhiranyavān (ŚŚ °vah) AV ŚŚ But °vān is Roth's emendation and must be rejected

ud īrśvātah pativati (ApMB. °vatī) *hy eṣā* RV ApMB See Winternitz, xix, some ApMB. mss. °vatī, comm. °vati interpreted as a 'Vedic' nom ! A voc seems impossible

iyam oṣadhe (PG °dhī) *trāyamānā* PG ApMB HG Here too (cf prece) the voc is absurd, yet is clearly intended in ApMB HG, see Winternitz xxiv, and Oldenberg SBE 30 166 note

[*śṛtam havih samitāṣh* (TS ApŚ *havīṣh samitah*) TS MS ŚB. KŚ ApŚ MŚ. Conc *samitā* for MS MŚ, but both follow this word with *it*, intending *samitāṣh*]

dhānāsomān manihina indra (MŚ *indrah*) *śukrāt* TS KS MŚ See Knauer's note The nom is unconstruable as such

tām nah pūṣaṇ chivatamām erayasva HG, *tām pūṣaṇ* (AV. °an) etc RV AV ApMB . *sā nah pūṣā śvatamām eraya* PG The verb being still 2d person in PG, this might be classed with §337, but PG. is really hopeless

stomatrayastrinṣe bhuvanasya patnī TS. KŚ AŚ *stomas trayastrinṣe bhuvanasya patnī* MS In the latter apparently *stomas* and *patnī* are subject and predicate, the divergence of gender signalizes the badness of the reading

(*aśvakrānte rathakrānte*) *uṣṇukrānte vasumdhare* (TA. °rā), *śirasā dhārītā devī* (TA *dhārayiṣyāmi*), *raḥṣasva mām pade-pade* TA MahānU. The nom is impossible as member of a series of vocs, which are epithets of the personage addressed (*trām* must be supplied). With MahānU's *dhārītā*, it might, tho very harshly, be explained by attraction. In fact the comm on TA seems to have read *dhārītā devī*, and for *vasumdhārā* (which he does not quote; did he read °dhare?) he has: *he bhūme sarvāni vastūni dhārayantī satī*. Evidently the text of TA. is very uncertain.

indra (MS *indrah*) *śrutasya mahato mahāni* RV MS The nom is not construable

na vā ojīyo rudra tvad asti RV. TA : *ojīyo rudras tad asti* MS s p., but p p reads like RV but for *tad* instead of *tvad*. The MS. is corrupt and uninterpretable. Add to VV 2 §365 (*tvad : tad*).

praty etā vāmā (AŚ *sunvan*) *pratiṣṭhotopavaktar* (ŚŚ. °*vakta*, v. 1 °*vaktar*) *uta* KB AŚ ŚŚ Discussed VV 2 p. 180. All texts seem to have read °*vaktar*, but a voc seems unconstruable, and a nom must have been intended, whatever the form was.

yatra-yatra jātavedah sambabhūtha (TB. °*bhūva*, Poona ed °*tha*) TB ApŚ : *yatra-yatra vibhṛto* (KS. *bibhrato*, v. 1. *bibhṛto*, *bibhyato*) *jātavedah* AV KS The nom is very awkward; Whitney translates a voc *śarīram me vicarṣanam* (RVKh. *vicakṣanam*) RVKh TA. TU : *pratīkam me vicakṣanam* PG Scheftelowitz reads *vicakṣana* in RVKh, but this seems unconstruable.

śaviṣṭha (AA °*ha*, but Keith °*ha* with v. 1 °*haḥ*) *vajrinn ojasā* (AA Mahānāmnyah *ṛṇjase*) RV. SV AA Mahānāmnyah A mere corruption of tradition.

arvāguaso svasti te pāram aśīya MS KS. ApŚ.: *arvāguasur [iṭi trir ukṭvā]* MŚ (pratīka). It seems clear that MŚ's pratīka refers to the mantra of MS. There is no v. 1 recorded; but no nom can be really intended.

CHAPTER XII

VOCATIVE AND ACCUSATIVE

Direct address and indirect statement

§343. The variants between vocative and accusative are much less numerous than those between vocative and nominative, and naturally do not parallel them altogether; yet it is rather curious to observe the extent to which they correspond. Thus, to begin with, we find a group in which one form addresses directly a divine personage or a thing (vocative), while the other expresses the same prayer, wish, or statement indirectly, referring to the same personage or thing in the accusative. Generally in such cases the variants occur in different contexts, so that we have a sort of phrase-inflection, an adaptation of the same mantra material to different situations. But not always so, in a few cases the same passage undergoes this syntactic modification, just as in the first group of nominative-vocative variants (§326). Among the simplest cases of this sort are two in which a first person verb meaning in substance 'I invoke' is used in both forms of the variant, with the god invoked in the accusative or vocative:

indram (SV. *indra*) *dhenum sudughām anyām iṣam* RV SV. The preceding pāda is *ā tv adya* (SV. *tvādya*) *sabardughām huve gāyatrave-pasam*. SV., by reading *tvā(dya)* for *tv adya*, turns the verse into a direct address to Indra. 'I call upon Indra' or 'I call on thee, Indra'.

rcā yāmi maruto brahmaṇaspatim (SV. °*pate*), *devān* (SV. *devā*) *avo vare-nyam* RV SV. MS KS. 'With a hymn I approach the Maruts, Brahmanaspati, the gods, for excellent aid': 'I approach [you], O Maruts etc.'

§344. In a few other cases the accusative is the object of a causative or active verb, while the vocative goes with a corresponding intransitive; the action is thought of alternatively as performed by an outside agency upon the entity referred to, or by that entity itself. These are similar to many variations of the acc. with the nom., §372 ff.

īhaiva dhruvā (ŚG. *sthūne*) *prati tīṣṭha sālā* (ŚG. *dhruvā*) AV. ŚG. HG : *īhava dhruvām ni minomi sālām* AV. PG. HG. 'Right here stand thou firm, O house (pillar)', or: 'Right here I fix firm the house'

tasmai tvam śtana pra pyāya ApMB : *tasmai śtanam pra pyāyasva* HG.
'Swell for him, O breast!', or: 'Swell thy breast for him.' See
VV 1 §32

unnambhaya prthivīm TS. KS MS ApŚ.: *pra nabhasva prthivī* AV.
'Split open the earth' (addressed to Dhātār): 'burst open, O earth'
Ppp agrees with TS. etc, and AV. addresses Dhātār in pāda c;
AV. probably secondary.

§345. In the stray variant which follows the acc. is original ('the
sacrifice has gone to the gods '); AŚ. (the reading of which is repeated
by its comm.) makes it a direct address to the gods:

devān (MS *devān*, AŚ *devā*) *janam agan yajñah* MS KS AŚ. ApŚ MS †

Phrase inflection

§346. The remaining cases of shift between direct address and indirect
reference concern different contexts in the two forms of the variant:

satyadharmānam adhvare RV SV. (preceded by *kaviṃ agniṃ upa stuhi*):
satyadharmāno adhvaram (TS °re) RV. TS (preceded by different
pādas of direct address).

yajīṣṭham havyavāhana (and °nam) RV. (both). Voc. accompanies 2d
pers pronoun.

rudra jalāṣabheśaja AV.: *rudram jalāṣabheśajam* RV

śatamūle śatakrato RV : *śatamūlīm śatakratum* RV. Voc with *te*, acc.
with *indram*

stomebhīr havanaśrutam (and °tā) RV. (both). Acc. with *mahāntam*
(*indram*), voc (dual) with *indrāgnī* or *aśvinā*

stomebhīr viśvacarṣanam RV : *stomebhīr viśvacarṣaṇe* RV. AV.

utlānaparne subhage (Ppp °nām subhagām), followed by: *devajūte* (Ppp.
sahamānām) *sahasvati* (Ppp °tīm) RV. AV. Ppp. (JAOS 40 161).

The latter also in nom form, §329, *sahamāne*.

indav indrāya pītaye RV.: *indum indrāya pītaye* RV. SV.

indum (RV. also *indav*) *indrāya matsaram* RV. (both) SV.

indram (RV also *indra*) *somasya pītaye* RV. (both) AV. SV.

tasya ta iṣṭasya vītasya draviṇeha bhakṣīya TS ('may I enjoy the wealth
of thee '); *tasya na iṣṭasya prītasya draviṇehāgameh* VS ('wealth
of , come to us here'). And others; see VV 1 §104u, and §326
above The forms differ only in accent.

§347. We come now to the second large group of vocative-accusative
variants In these there is direct address both times; but the person
or thing addressed is syntactically the object of a verb, at least in one
form and generally in both, while one of its epithets is alternatively

expressed in the accusative, agreeing with the object, or in the vocative. While we can generally see which is the original form, on external grounds, both forms are often equally sound syntactically, as was the case with the corresponding nominative-vocative variants (§§334 ff). Usually the shift is due to attraction to a neighboring form.

§348. In the group to be mentioned first the accusative form is older than the vocative:

indram karmasv āvatam (MS VS **°ta*) RV AV. VS (both) MS KS. ŚB TB ApŚ : *indram karmasv avatu* MS *indra karmasu no 'vata* VS KS : *indrah karmasu no 'vatu* TB (but Poona ed *indra 'vata*) See VV 1 §354. The voc is attracted to the subject of the verb, which originally does not include Indra.

namasyāmas tvedyam (KS. *°ya*) *jātavedah* RV MS KS TB 'We pay homage to thee, the worshipful one, O Jātavedas' or 'to thee, O worshipful J'. The RV original makes the epithet *īdyam* agree with *tvā*, the KS attracts it to *Jātavedah*, but in either case it refers to the same person.

agnim (SV. *agne*) *ratham na vedyam* RV SV. On this complicated verse (cf. also *agnī ratho na vedyah* RV, and below §387) see *RVRep.* on 1 186 3, with references, and especially Oldenberg, *Prol* 288. There is no doubt that SV. is secondary. All the surrounding verses are addressed to Agni; this is doubtless the reason for SV's change to the vocative, which makes unsatisfactory sense, the pronoun *vah* (plural!) occurs in the first pāda, and is interpreted by the comm. as equivalent to *tvām*!

pra yā bhūmim (TS ApMB *°mi*) *pravatati* RV TS MS KS. ApMB N. Followed by *mahnā jīnoṣi* (MS *hinoṣi*) *mahini*. Addressed to Pṛthivī, 'earth', who is said to 'promote the land (*bhūmī*)', by a natural confusion TS ApMB assimilate *bhūmī* to the subject and make it vocative, along with (and specifically attracted by) the adjoining vocative epithets. Note that the short *i* of the ending is retained, which however implies a stem *bhūmī* instead of *bhūmi*; cf. *yās ca bhūmy*. etc, §180.

mahyā indram (ApMB *indra*) *svastaye* RV. ApMB. Occurs twice in ApMB, in different contexts, both different from that of RV. On one of them see Winternitz xxix f. Both are inferior in sense.

§349. The cases in which an older vocative varies with a secondary accusative in expressions of direct address are.

ye tvā rātry (MG. *rātrīm*) *upāsate* KS. MG : *yā tām rātrīm upāmahe* PG : *yām tvā rātry upāmahe* (TS *upāsate*, SMB. *rātri yajāmahe*)

AV. TS SMB. Direct address in all, even in PG, where the object pronoun is 3d person instead of 2d; PG and MG assimilate the form of the orig. voc to the object pronoun

indra śaviṣṭha satpate RV.: *indram śaviṣṭha satpatim* SV. Repeated in SV from RV 8 68 1, where the first three pādas are: *ā tvā ratham yatholaye sumnāya varṭayāmasi, tumkūrmim ṛṭṣaham* The acc epithets of the prec have attracted two of the three vocs of the last pāda; the curious thing is that one (*śaviṣṭha*) is allowed to remain even in SV.

śociṣkeṣam vcaḥṣaṇa (AV.* °ṇam) RV. ArS AV (both) TS KS. Preceded by *tvā. deva sūrya*. Clear attraction to the prec. acc. in AV

hotaś cakatvo (AV. °vann) *avṛṇimahiha* RV AV : *agne hotāram avṛṇimahiha* VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. In all texts *tvā* occurs in prec 'In that today we choose thee, O hotar (as hotar)'

punar brahmāno (AV. *brahmā*) *vasunūtha* (AV. °nūtir, MS. °dhūte, KS * °dhūtam, v 1 °dhūtim, and *†°nūtha, KapS °dhūtam or °dhūtim) *yajñān* (AV. MS KS.* *agne*) AV. VS. TS MS KS (bis) KapS ŚB. See §340.

svar devā (TS. TB ApŚ *suvar devān*) *aganma* (MS. MŚ *agāma*) VS TS. MS. KS ŚB. TB. ApŚ MŚ The acc certainly secondary, and perhaps influenced by *svar*; see §340.

vaha kāla (HG *kālam*, v. 1 *kāla*) *vaha śriyam mābhi vaha* ApMB HG. Undoubtedly ApMB is correct and original. Addressed to an elephant: 'Carry, black one, carry! Carry me towards fortune!' Probably *kāla* should be read in HG. with two mss.; certainly *kālam* does not make sense 'Carry the time' (Oldenberg) is ridiculous, and 'carry the black one' is little better

Transfer of epithet

§350. The remaining vocative-accusative variants, except a few corruptions, concern 'transfer of epithet' from one person or thing to another, involving change of case (and also, often, of number or gender): *rayam no dhehi subhage suvīram* (MŚ *suvīre*, PG † *suvīryam*) AV. TS.

MŚ. PG 'Grant us wealth with good sons, O auspicious one': 'grant us wealth, O auspicious one of good sons' There is little real difference in the sense, the possession of good sons by the deity addressed implies the granting of them to the petitioner.

vardhā samudram ukthyam (SV. °ya) RV. SV. In RV *ukthyam* modifies *samudram*; in SV it is transferred to *soma* (in pāda a)

indram sakhāyo (KS °yam) *anu sam rabhadhvam* (KS. *vyayadhvam*)
RV AV. SV. VS. TS. MS KS The voc *sakhāyo* refers to the
subject (warriors); the acc to the object (Indra)

subhage kāmṣīlavāsini TS KSA TB ApŚ · *subhadrikām kāmṣīlavāsi-*
nīm VS MS See Edgerton, *JAOS* 31 141, 144 The words are
applied differently, the accs to a rival queen, the vocs (spoken
by the priest) to the Maluṣī Both occur in an obscene passage
in the *aśvamedha* In the voc form note the absence of the impre-
catory suffix *ka*

kṛnuta dhūmam vṛṣaṇah sakhāyah AV : *kṛnuta dhūmam vṛṣaṇam sakhā-*
yah RV The whole AV verse is a wretched corruption of the
RV original All that need concern us here is that *vṛṣaṇam*, in
the orig an epithet of *dhūmam* (so Grassmann *Wbch*, Geldner,
Hillebrandt), is transferred to the following *sakhāyah*

kas tam indra tvāvasum (SV PB *tvā vaso*) RV. SV. AB. GB. PB. AŚ.
This involves a 'false division' of the type described in VV 2 §820,
where this variant might have been included The RV. has a
cpd adj. agreeing with *tam* The SV misunderstands it as con-
taining an acc *tvā*, and then makes the seemingly necessary correc-
tion to *vaso*, which is then a voc going with *indra*

āganma mitrāvarunā varenyā (MS *vareṇa*, KS *varenyam*) TS MS KS
In KS the epithet goes apparently with *bhāgam*, to be supplied
in sense (the following *pāda* is *rātrīṇām bhāgo yuvayor yo asti*)
In TS (probably a lect fac) it is made to agree with *mitrāvarunā*.
MS. has a different change, on which see VV 2 §326

achidram (SMB °rāh) *śarma yachata* RV AB SMB The unaccented
SMB makes the epithet go with the subject; it may be taken as
either voc or nom

svargam arvanto jayema Vait · *svargān* (AŚ text, *svagān*) *arvanto jayata*
(AŚ *jayatah*; AŚ also, as variant given in the text, *arvato jayati*)
SV. AŚ ŚŚ *arvanto* is voc in SV, nom. in Vait, in both cases
going with the subject (in ŚŚ either nom or voc). In the second
version of AŚ it is transferred to the object

ṛbhum ṛbhukṣano rayim RV.: *ṛbhukṣaṇam ṛbhum rayim* RV SV. In
the first passage *ṛbhukṣano* is a voc elliptic plural, 'O Ṛbhukṣan
(and the two other Ṛbhus)' In the second it is an epithet of
rayim See *RVRep.* on 4 37. 5

nāma svadhūvan guhyam (ApMB *svadhūvat svaryam*) *bibharṣi* RV.
ApMB. In RV. *svadhūvan* refers to Agni; in ApMB. it is made
an epithet of *nāma*

§351. There are two cases of the sort mentioned in §15; in both what is in the original form an object noun is treated in the secondary form as a vocative epithet. They differ from the preceding only in that the original accusative can hardly be considered an 'epithet':

avavyayann asitam deva vasma (TB. ApŚ *vasvah*) RV. MS K'S TB ApŚ The original: 'Removing the black garment, O god' In TB. ApŚ *vasvah* must apparently be meant as an epithet of *deva*; its meaning is obscure; Caland translates the RV. reading See VV 2 p 124.

viśvam ā bhāsi rocanam (AV.* °*na*) RV. ArS AV. (both) VS. TS. MS. TA. MahānU The subject is the sun; the object, in the orig., *viśvam rocanam* In AV *rocana* must be felt as an epithet of the subject, 'shining' This adjective is unknown to the RV., where *rocana* is always a noun.

Errors

§352. Finally, a few errors:

evā hīndra KB AA TA AŚ Mahānāmnyah. The Conc. follows the Bibl Ind ed of AA in quoting *hīndram*; but see Keith's AA., 142, n 12. Voc in all

kāma kāmam mā āvaritaya PB. MŚ : *kāmam-kāmam mā āvartaya* MS. But one of von Schroeder's best mss. reads *kāma kāmam* (both accented); this and the reading of MŚ, its ritual text, convinces us that the printed reading of MS. is merely due to bad editing
yām iṣum giriśanta VS MS. TS. KS ŚvetU. NīlarU The ed. of NīlarU used in Conc has *giriśantam* in text and comm. But the AnSS ed has °*śanta* in text (comm °*śantam*) The acc can be construed only with difficulty, Deussen assumes a voc.

devayuvam (TB *devā*) *viśvavārām* (AŚ °*vāre*) TS ŚB. TB AŚ. ŚŚ. Preceded by *ghṛtavatīm adhvaryo* (AŚ † °*yoh*) *srucam āśyasva* The accs. go with *srucam*, the spoon; perhaps AŚ means *viśvavāre* as a voc fem addressed to the spoon, but this is really nonsense, even if it be detached from the preceding and taken with the following (*īdāmahaḥ devān īdenyān*). Note that AŚ. is also corrupt in *adhvaryoh* (omitted in Conc ; to be added to VV 2 §381).

CHAPTER XIII

VOCATIVE AND OTHER CASES

A Vocative and instrumental

§353. The variations between vocative and instrumental are, as we should expect, few and scattering. Nevertheless there are certain conditions in which, rather unexpectedly, these two cases may be interchanged with substantially no difference of meaning. Thus, first, an associative instrumental may be used with a vocative of direct address of a person also addressed, while in another form a second vocative may function. The associative instrumental varies in this same way with other cases (§§55-7). The examples we have noted are

mo śū na indrātra (TS † *indra*) *pr̥tsu devān̄* (KS *devān̄*, TS MS *deva*)

RV VS TS MS KS ŚB. In the original, 'O Indra along with the gods', in KS., 'O Indra (and) ye gods'. The next pāda begins with *astu* and MS TS read *devāstu*, which however MS pp resolves as *devān̄*, *astu*—implying the reading of KS. The reading *deva* would of course be voc. sg., as epithet of Indra; this would be a case of 'transfer of epithet', as described in §15.

devīr-devīr (ApŚ *devīr devair*) *abhi mā nivartadhvam* MS ApŚ. In MS. an āmredita compound. 'Ye several goddesses'. In ApŚ: 'Ye goddess along with the gods'.

ā yāhi śūra haribhyām (SV KB ŚŚ *hariha*, AŚ *harī iha*) AV SV KB AŚ ŚŚ. See notes of Whitney and Keith. Apparently *harī iha* is intended in all but AV, *harī* would seem to be voc.: 'O hero (Indra) [and] ye two bay steeds!'

§354. In another way the voc. and instr. may be nearly equivalent in ultimate meaning in the following, which psychologically go with interchanges between direct address and indirect statement (cf. §29), here in the indirect form the personage which in the other form is directly addressed is put in the instrumental instead of the nom. (for the equivalence of these two cases in such contexts see §40).

jagatyamaṁ (AŚ °*ty enam*) *vikṣv ā veśayāmah* (MS KS °*ma*, AŚ °*m*)

TS MS KS AŚ. The AŚ reading may be only a phonetic variant or corruption (see VV 2 §709), but if genuine it could be interpreted

as meaning 'O jagatī (instead of 'by the jagatī') may I settle him in the tribes' That is, the potency which in the original is to be the instrument for bringing about the desired result is directly addressed for this purpose in AŚ

ātmāsy ātmann ātmānam (GB. *ātmanātmānam*) *me mā hiṁsīh* GB Vait Kauś. 'Thou art ātman; O ātman, injure not my ātman!' or, ' injure not my ātman by the ātman.' If textually sound, this would be substantially the reverse of the preceding change (assuming that here the voc is original) But Gastra reads in GB like the others (with v 1 in 2 mss)

§355. The following might be called a case of 'transfer of epithet' but for the fact that the two forms are used in quite different contexts, so that it is rather what we have called 'phrase inflection' (§§21-2): *devī devebhīr yajate* (and °*tā*) *yajatraiḥ* RV. (both): *devī deveṣu yajatā yajatra* RV. AV MS The latter is certainly secondary; *yajatra* is made to agree with a preceding *agne*, whereas in the original the word agreed with *devebhīr*. The contexts are different See *RVRep* 241

§356. In one stray case a voc varies with an adverbial instr : *sā nas samantam anu pariṇ bhadrāyā* ApMB : *sā mā* (MG. *nah*) *samantam abhi pary eṇ bhadre* MG SMB *bhadre* refers to the sacred belt, *mekhalā*: 'Do thou encircle me (us) completely, O fair one!' Instead of 'O fair one' ApMB says 'fairly', using the old RV. adverb Or this may be the original reading, and *bhadre* a sort of phonetic (Prakritic) reduction; the variant should in any case have been quoted in VV 2 §747

§357. The next is one of those cases which by a certain stretching of language may be called 'transfer of epithet' (cf. §15) A voc epithet of the subject is replaced by an instrumental of different appurtenance: *utūla parimīdho 'sī* PG · *ūlena parimīdho 'sī* HG : *ūlena pariṣīto 'sī* ApMB Original is apparently PG, *utūla* '(runaway) servant.' The word *ula* or *ūla* seems to mean some kind of wild animal, see Bloomfield *SBE*. 42 643 (on AV 12 1 49) But the interpretation of HG. ApMB is very obscure, Oldenberg abandons the text

B Vocative and dative

§358. Here again the variants are few But they show interesting psychological parallels to variations between the vocative and other cases Thus first we find some cases in which there is a shift between direct address, with vocative, and indirect statement, in which the per-

sonage addressed in the direct form is referred to in the dative (cf §30). The alteration may be helped by assimilation to a case-form already present in the older form of the variant, as in the first case.

agnaye (AV. Kauś *agne*) *saṃudham āhārṣam* (ŚG GG *ahārṣam*) AV Kauś AG GG SMB ŚG PG HG ApMB (The false emendation *agre* in AV is withdrawn by Whitney ad loc.) Lanman ap Whitney regards the voc *agne* as original on metrical grounds. More weighty to our minds is the fact that it seems to be a lectio difficilior. The rest of the verse refers to Agni (*Jātavedas*) in the 3d person, not in direct address, and more particularly, the datives *br̥hate jātavedase* follow immediately, and it is almost certain that *agnaye* has been assimilated to them, replacing *agne*. Note that the following stanzas are all directly addressed to Agni *Jātavedas*. However, the comm on AV reads *agnaye*, and so does one of SPP's reciters. 'O Agni (for Agni) I have brought firewood, for the great J'

br̥haspataye mahiṣa (TS *mahiṣad*) *dyuman namah* AV TS. *br̥haspate mahiṣāya dīve namah* Ppp (Barret, JAOS 26 273). *br̥haspate mahiṣa dyuman namah* MŚ. Followed by:

viśvakarman namas te pāhy asmān AV (and Ppp) *namo viśvakarmane sa u pātṛ asmān* TS. MŚ. In the first pāda there is a double case, *br̥haspate* varies with **pataye*, and *mahiṣa* with **ṣāya* of Ppp. Altho the AV comm reads *mahiṣad* like TS, we no longer hold the view expressed in VV 2 §§392, 826 that this is the original reading. All texts but TS have a form of the stem *mahiṣa*, we attribute special weight to Ppp *mahiṣāya* which we had previously overlooked. We now take the original to be MŚ. 'O Brhaspati, mighty one (or buffalo bull), glowing homage (to thee), homage to Viśvakarman, may he protect us'. Since no dative is expressed in the first pāda, one of the two vocs (which really indicate the recipient) is changed into a dative in all the other texts, hence *mahiṣāya* Ppp, *br̥haspataye* AV TS. The change to direct address in the second pāda in AV Ppp is also due to a sort of assimilation, it matches the direct address of the first pāda, to a different divinity.

§359. Parallel to the cases mentioned in §§334 etc are one or two instances in which there is direct address in both forms of the variant, but instead of the vocative one form has a dative, referring to the same person but differently construed. Here too the shift may be due to form assimilation. Thus in the first instance the voc is clearly original

and the dative assimilated to the pronoun *te*, which is found also in the older form:

kṣayadvīra (TS °*vīrāya*) *sumnam asme te astu* RV. TS Addressed to Rudra; the preceding is *āre te goghnam uta pūruṣaghnam* RV., *ārāt te goghna uta pūruṣaghne* TS. The meaning of TS is far from clear (Keith may be right in thinking that the variant word is felt as meaning 'hero-destroyer' in TS, instead of 'hero-ruler'; in other respects Keith's interpretation seems to us less happy; we think *sumnam* is felt as 'hymn' in TS) But the assimilation is clear.

vasavo rudrā ādityā etā vaḥ pannejanīh MS KS : *vasubhyo rudrebhya ādityebhyo viśvebhyo vo devebhyah pannejanīr gṛhṇāmi* TS. The former is clearly original. 'These are your footbaths, O Vasus etc' In TS we take it that there is still direct address, *vo* referring to the gods, and perhaps the datives may therefore be conceived as assimilated to the case of *vo* At any rate TS means: 'I take the footbaths for you Vasus, Rudras, Ādityas, All-gods' (or, at least, *vo* must certainly go with *viśvebhyo devebhyah*, as its position clearly suggests, rather than with *pannejanīr* as Keith takes it).

puṣṭipate (MS *puṣṭa*°; ApŚ. °*pataye*) *puṣṭis* (MS om) *caḥṣuṣe . . punar dhehi (dehi)* MS AŚ. ApŚ Caland adopts voc for ApŚ, but this involves also reading *puṣṭim* for *puṣṭis*, which is supported by AŚ and which MS lacks altogether. To us it seems that ApŚ. has a genuine (tho secondary) variant: 'To the Lord of Prosperity prosperity! Give back etc'

§360. The following may be said to be cases of 'transfer of epithet' (§14):

viprā ṛgātham gāyata yaj jujoṣati (AA °*ṣat*) AA ŚŚ : *viprāya ṛgātham gāyata yam jujoṣate* SV Preceded by *pra va indrāya vṛtrahantamāya* In SV. the epithet goes with Indra, in the others it is addressed to the priests (probably by a secondary transfer).

tasmai rudrāya namo astv agnaye (KS ApŚ. *astu devāh*, MŚ † 'stu devāya) AV. KS. ApŚ MŚ ŚirasU (Ppp has the pāda ending *astv adya*, TS. TA MahānU ending *astu*.) Lectio difficillima in AV. (probably original). The voc *devāh* cannot refer to the same personage as the dative of the others, whether Agni be identified or only associated with Rudra Add to VV 2 §909

§361. A couple of anomalous cases:

ekam iṣe TB ApŚ SMB KhG PG. ApMB. ApG. HG. MG. Followed, where more than a pratika, by *viṣṇus tvānvetu (nayatu)*. 'One (step) for nourishment; may Viṣṇu accompany (lead) thee.' Simi-

lar are *īṣa ekapudī* (sc *bhava*) AG ŚG : *īṣe tvā sumāṅgalī praṣāvatī suśīme* Kauś In these the formula is the first of a series employing the first seven numerals, with nearly uniform wording In TS we find the formula isolated, in a quite different context from any occurring in the others, as follows. *ekam īṣa (viṣṇus tvānu vi cakrame)*: 'One (step), O vigorous one, Viṣṇu has stepped along after thee' Keith denies any relation between this and the other mantras, but it seems to us probable that he is wrong TS has simply worked over and applied differently a formula remembered from other occasions It has turned *īṣe* into a voc, probably thinking of the RV. adjective *īṣa* (but comm *sarvadevair īṣyamāna*) [*kanyakumāryai* (TA **mārī*) *dhīmahi* TA MahānU Poona ed of TA **kumārī*, but with accent on the penult (!), and its comm **kumārī* Occurs in a series of formulas which are closely parallel, differing only in using various names; in all the others datives are found Neither a voc nor a nom is, in fact, conceivable here Perhaps TA has a mere phonetic corruption, belonging with VV 2 §§700-701 Comm understands a dative, adding *īṣṇādīvyatyayah sarvatra chāndaso draṣṭavyah* (very simple!)]

C. Vocative and ablative

§362. We have noted only a single stray variant, in which the vocative is surely original; it is subject of the following verb *yaja*: 'O broad atmosphere, along with god Vāta, [sacrifice]' In VS ŚB this is violently detached from the following phrase containing *yaja*, and apparently a verb meaning 'protect' must be understood (so the comms), but the reading is certainly poor

uro antarikṣa (VS ŚB *uror antarikṣāt*) *sayūr devena vātena* VS TS MS KS ŚB (Delete reference to KS 2 2)

D. Vocative and genitive

§363. Here again the variants are not numerous Some are essentially phonetic in character, involving presence or absence of final visarga; see especially §366 Most of them are cases of shift between direct statement, with vocative, and indirect reference in which the same noun is referred to in the genitive In quite a number of these, however, as in the nom-voc cases mentioned §333, one form or the other is more or less inconsistent with the context, producing stylistic harshness Thus

ghṛtaṇatī savitar (MS KS. **tur*) *ādhipatyē* (TS **tyah*) TS MS KS AŚ

Followed in all by *payasvatī ranīr* (MS *rātīr*) *āsā no asu*. In (by) [thy] lordship, O Savitar': 'in the lordship of Savitar' But the first part of the stanza is directly addressed to a different deity, and the voc *savitar* is harsh.

yena te te prajāpate TB ApŚ.: *yenante prajāpateh* MŚ Followed in all by *ijānasya nyavartayan*. Here the following gen *ijānasya*, which in the (doubtless original) TB. ApŚ. form agreed with *te* referring to Prajāpati, is doubtless connected with the alteration to *prajāpateh* But in MŚ's form *te* is lost and the statement becomes third-personal

tām savitāḥ satyasavām sucitrām AV.: *tām savitur vareṇyasya citrām* VS. TS. MS KS. ŚB ApŚ. Followed in all by *āham vṛṇe sumatim viśvajanyām* (AV *viśvavārām*) 'O Savitar, this [thy] favor . ': 'this favor of Savitar.' In the 3d pāda all, including AV, refer to Savitar in the 3d person, with *asya* This rather mild inconsistency does not prove that AV. is secondary; on the contrary it may suggest that the others have assimilated to the following context

apsu te rājan varuna AV.: *dvīpe rājño varuṇasya* KS. AŚ Followed by *grho hiranyayo mitāḥ* (AŚ. *mito hiraṇyayah*). 'A golden house is built for thee, O king Varuna (for king Varuna)' The 2d half verse refers to Varuna in the 3d person in AV.; but probably this inconsistency is original, and has been eliminated secondarily in KS AŚ; note that they are metrically very poor Form assimilation.

yad bhūmer hṛdayam, [*divi candramasī śrutam*] ApMB.†: *veda te bhūmi hṛdayam* PG HG Even ApMB addresses Earth directly with a voc in the following, it is metrically poor in the 1st pāda

viṣṇoh (ApMB *viṣṇo*, v. l. *viṣṇoh*) *śreṣṭhena* (RVKh Scheftelowitz *śraṣṭhyena*) *rūpena* RVKh ApMB MG. 'With the noblest form of Viṣṇu' 'with [thy] noblest form, O Viṣṇu' The same pāde, with different divine names, is found AV. 5 25 10-13, with vocatives; and Ppp (Barret, JAOS. 48 38), with *savitūḥ*, *viṣṇoh*, *ivaṣṭuh*, but *bhagah* (for *bhaga*?) Barret emends to vocs in all four cases in accordance with AVŚ, but this seems dubious procedure The deity is addressed directly in pāda c, with *ā dheh*, in all.

so 'ham *vājam saneyam agne* (KS. *sanāmy* *ṭagneh*) VS. TS. MS. KS 'May I win strength, O Agni (of, or from, Agni).'

ghṛtāvātīm adhvaryo (AŚ † *°yoh*) *srucam āsyasva* TS TB. ŚB. AŚ ŚŚ.

See §352, end; AŚ has a stupid corruption.

§364. One or two others are used in different contexts, so that they may be called cases of 'phrase inflection' (§§21-2).

punānāya prabhūvaso RV SV : *punānasya prabhūvasoh* RV. The voc agrees directly with *soma*, the gen. with *yasya* which refers to *Soma parnam vanaspater iwa* TB. TAA ŚŚ ApŚ PG HG · *parnam vanaspate 'nu tvā* SMB Quite different contexts

§365. We come now to a case or two in which both forms of the variant contain direct address, but a name or epithet of the person addressed is in one form put in the genitive These are similar to the variants of the voc with other cases mentioned in §§27 ff In the first, at least, we have a clear case for form assimilation

bṛhaspatīsutasya ta (KS om *ta*, leaving hiatus) *indo* (KS MS *inda*) *indriyāvatah patnīvantam* (KS °*vato*) *graham grhṇāmi* (MS *rādhya-sam*, KS *graham rādhya-sam*) TS MS KS *bṛhaspatīsutasya deva soma ta indo* (VSK *inda*) *indriyāvatah patnīvato grahān rādhya-sam* VS VSK ŚB It is evident that VS. has assimilated the voc of all other texts to the gen *te* and accompanying adjectives Note that only the Vāj texts have another voc (*deva soma*), which makes *indo* unnecessary

§366. The next variant is one of those which seem most clearly phonetic in character, the original voc *agne* becomes *agneh* by addition of the evanescent final visarga, on which see VV 2 §§378 ff (this variant was overlooked there and should be added to §381) It will be noted that not a few of the other voc-gen variants show this same phonetic relationship, and as we have suggested l c. it is quite likely that in some of them the phonetic moment is more weighty than the syntactic We doubt, however, whether Knauer (MG, Einleitung, xxxi f) is right in suggesting that this added visarga was intended as an external mark of an imperative or vocative form, we even question whether it indicated (as Knauer thinks) aspirate pronunciation of a following consonant *dā agne* (MG *agneh*) *prajāyā saha* RV AV PG ApMB MG (All MG mss actually read *dāgneh* with secondary crasis, this should have been recorded in VV 2 §989, Knauer emends) Preceded by *tu-bhyam agre* (MG *agne*, on this cf VV 2 §864) *paryavahan, sūryām vahatunā saha, punah* (AV *sa nah*) *patibhṛyo jāyām* 'Give back to (us) husbands the bride along with progeny, O Agni (with Agni's progeny?)' But note that MG also contains voc *agne* (for original *agre*), addressing the verse to Agni

§367. In a couple of cases a voc varies with a partitive gen (see §84): *tvam uttamāsy oṣadhe* RV VS : *uttamo asy oṣadhīnām* AV 'Thou art most excellent, O plant (most excellent of plants)' Same context The masc gender is peculiar, apparently there is thought of the

gender of *vrkṣa*. A tree is addressed. But fem forms occur in the following verses of AV.

atho rājann (AV *utā rājñām*) *ullamam mānavānām* AV. TB. Addressed to a king, either makes good sense. The gen *rājñām* might be assimilated to the following gen. ('also highest of human kings'), or contrariwise the voc. might be assimilated to the direct address preceding ('[who shall make thee] also, O king, highest of men')

§368. The last quoted variant might also be called a case of 'transfer of epithet' (§14) To that category certainly belongs the following:

purā krūrasya vīrpo virapśin (MS *°śinah*) VS TS MS KS. ŚB TB.

It is clear that MS has transferred the epithet *virapśin(ah)* into agreement with *krūrasya*. Otherwise the interpretation is dubious. Mahīdhara understands. 'Before the bloody (battle) with its rushings to and fro, O mighty one (Viṣṇu or the sacrificer)' So, with misgivings, Eggeling, *SBE* 12 64 n 3. Sāyana on TS 1 1. 9. 3: 'Before the secret departure of the cruel foe (perhaps Araru), O mighty one,' taking *vīrpo* as abl gerund. So Griffith and Keith; Eggeling thinks this much probable than the other.

§369. A stray case or two in which the construction is altered, with change from voc (or nom) to gen :

under agnir (VSK. ŚB. *agner*, MS. MŚ *agne*) *nabho nāma* (MS. MŚ add *yai te*) VSK. TS. MS ŚBK. MŚ : *vided agnir nabho nāma* VS KS ŚB. See §333. The nom is doubtless the original form; but certainly the voc is better than the gen, which must be construed in dependence on *nāma* ('mayst thou know Agni's name Nabhas'), leaving the subject indefinite or at least unexpressed.

ṣaṣṭis cādhvaryū (ApŚ. *°yo*, AŚ † *°yor*) *navatis ca pāsāh* AŚ ŚŚ. ApŚ.

A voc is clearly required (see §769), and there is no reason why the bonds should be called 'the adhvaryu's' Probably a misprint in the wretched edition of AŚ

E Vocative and locative

§370. First, a stray variant, resembling 'transfer of epithet' (cf. §15), an originally independent noun, in loc. construction, is made into a voc epithet of the subject:

apadyamānah prthivyām TA ApŚ : *apadyamānā †prthivī* TS ApŚ. : *avyathamānā prthivyām* (MS. once *°vyām* without v. l., once *prthivī* according to p p, but s.p. might also be read *prthivī*, and one ms. has *°vyām*) VS. MS. KS. ŚB. Followed in all by *āśā dīśa ā prna*. Except in TA. ApŚ. the firepan is addressed, and doubtless the

154 VEDIC VARIANTS III NOUN AND PRONOUN INFLECTION

loc is original. 'not falling to (wobbling upon) the earth'. In TS (ApŚ), and perhaps once in MS, the loc is assimilated to the subject and treated as a grandiloquent epithet of the firepan. The earth is a symbol of solidity and security —In TA ApŚ the same verse is used in a different ritual connexion which requires a masculine pple. (phrase-inflection)

§371. The next is probably not a real variation in case: -
sa supraṇīte (ŚŚ. °ī) *nṛtamaḥ svarād asi* AA ŚŚ Both forms may be taken as locs, and the text mss of ŚŚ actually read °īe, see VV 2 §695.

CHAPTER XIV

NOMINATIVE AND ACCUSATIVE

1 Interchange of active and intransitive phraseology

§372. The only large and characteristic group of variants between nominative and accusative is that which concerns shift between active (transitive, or causative) and passive (intransitive, or reflexive) expressions. In so far as these are signalized by corresponding shifts in verb forms, they have been already recorded in VV 1 (chapters 2 and 5). But of course the materials correspond only in part. For example, many variants between active and passive verb forms have no expressed nominative subject of the passive (or intransitive) variant, and hence no case variation. On the other hand, one or both of the variants may lack any verb form.

§373. A very simple case of this shift between causative and intransitive expressions is found in the set of formulas ŚG. 4, 9, 10 and BDh. 2, 5, 9, 10, which illustrates at the same time the point last mentioned, that the verb is very easily omitted. Here, in a long list of supernatural entities to which offerings of water (*tarpana*) are made, we find many correspondences of the type (*om*) *agnim tarpayāmi* BDh., *agnis tṛpyatu* ŚG. So with *vāyu*, *sūrya*, *viṣṇu*, *prajāpati*, etc. A similar set occurs in AG., with the verb understood thruout, and expressed (*tṛpyantu*) only at the end. The verb is also understood in some items of the list in ŚG.; hence such variants as *ṛṣayah* (sc *tṛpyantu*) AG.ŚG.: (*om*) *ṛṣins tarpayāmi* BDh. Finally, it must be noticed that in the middle of the list ŚG. suddenly shifts to a causative construction like that of BDh. (*śrutim tarpayāmi*), which prevails for six items (incidentally all these contain nouns not found in BDh.), after which, for no evident reason, it shifts back again to the intransitive form. Perhaps this very irregularity of expression may indicate greater antiquity of the ŚG. form. The Śāmbavya Gṛhya (quoted by Oldenberg, *IS.* 15. 153) has a similar list with the names all in the nominative.

§374. Cases also occur in which the same verb form, or at least a form in the same voice, is found in both variants, but is used now in active sense, governing the acc., now in intransitive, passive, or reflexive sense, with nom. subject. Naturally these would find no inclusion in

VV 1; yet they are psychologically of the same nature as active-passive or causative-intransitive shifts. Of these we find the following examples: *apochatu* (AV. °*chanitu*) *mithunā yā kimīdinā* (AV *ye kimīdinah*) RV. AV 'Let him (Indra) shine away the paired *kimīdins*', or 'let the paired *kimīdins* fade away.' The verb *apa-vas* is transitive in RV, in this AV. passage it seems impossible to avoid taking it as intransitive. In AV. 2 8 2 and 3 7 7 it is also commonly taken as intrans, but Bloomfield (*SBE.* 42 289, 338 f.) would make it trans. *ā no viśvāsu havyah* (SV. Svidh. *havyam*) RV. AV SV AA. ŚŚ Vart. Svidh. Followed in RV AV SV by *indrah* (SV. *indram*) *samatsū bhūṣatu* (SV °*ia*). The verb is used in a different sense; explained in §330.

ny aśvinā hṛtsu kāmā (ApMB *kāmān*) *ayansata* (AV *aranisata*) RV. AV. ApMB. In the RV. original. 'O Aśvins, (our) desires have stationed themselves in your hearts.' In ApMB, with less feeling for the middle: 'O Aśvins, they (people, indefinite) have stationed (their) desires in your hearts.'

ekapadī dṛpadī aṣṭāpadī bhuvanānu prathatām svāhā TS : *ekapādīm aṣṭāpadīm bhuvanānu prathantām* VS ŚB : *ekapādam dṛpādam tṛpādam catuṣpādam bhuvanānu prathantām* KS. Only TS seems to use the verb in the intransitive sense which it should have. 'let her (the cow) spread out over the worlds.' In the others it seems that it must be taken as transitive (= *prathayantu*; cf. the preceding variant), tho the form is certainly anomalous. Comm. on VS and Eggeling make *bhuvanā* subject. 'let the worlds spread her along' or (comm.) 'make her renowned.' Better indefinite subject, as suggested VV 1 p. 268.

somam rājānam oṣadhīṣu apsu VS TS MS ŚB : *somo rājauṣadhīṣu apsu* KS. Preceded by *vājasyemam* (KS *vājasya nu*) *prasavah suṣuve* 'gre' (TS *ṭagre*, add to VV 2 §908). In the original. 'The instigation of strength pressed out this King Soma,' etc. KS eliminates the pronoun *imam* and brings *somo rājā* into agreement with the subject of the verb, which is now felt as passive; probably it also understands *prasavah* as 'offshoot' 'The offshoot of strength, (viz.) King Soma, was pressed out.'

§375. A little different is the next, in which the sense of the verb is also changed, but is transitive both times, the subject and object being reversed.

avrato (AA °*tam*) *hinohi na sprśad rayīm* (AA *rayih*) SV. AA. Preceded in SV. by *na kāmam*, in AA. by *na somo*. 'The man who pays no

vows attains not his desire, wins not wealth', SV : 'Soma impels not him who keeps no vows, wealth will not come near (him)', AA. See Keith's note on AA

§376. Frequent in the YV. formulas are dedicatory expressions (cf. §126) in which no verb at all is expressed. In that case the formula ordinarily consists merely of the name of the deity, in the dative or genitive, and the name of the thing offered, in either the nominative or accusative according as a passive (or intransitive or copulative) verb form or an active verb form is in the mind of the speaker. These two alternatives may vary with each other in different texts; or either of them may vary with a form of the other in which the verb is expressed. So we get such variants as these, it would hardly be worth while to list them completely:

himavate (TS. KSA TA °to) *hastī* (TA *hastinam*) VS TS. MS. KSA.

TA. 'An elephant [is offered, or I offer] to Himavant'

dhūmrān vasantāyālabhate VS *dhūmrā vasantāya* MS. In the same passage, *prṣato hemantāya* VS., *prṣanto hemantāya* MS., and others.

anumatyai caruh (MS. *carum*) TS MS KSA.: *adityai viṣṇupatnyai carum* (KSA. *caruh*) VS. TS. MS KSA. And others.

agnaye 'nhomuce 'ṣṭākāpālāh TS KSA ApŚ.: *agnaye 'nhomuce purodāśam aṣṭākāpālam nirvapati* MS. In this and the following MS. alone has acc. thruout, all others nom; the verb *nirvapati* is however regularly omitted in MS. So:

agnaye vaiśvānarāya dvādaśakapālāh VS TS. KS KSA : *vaiśvānaram dvādaśakapālam* MS.

mitrāvaruṇābhyām āgomugbhyām payasyā (MS °yām) TS MS KSA.—

Similarly *marudbhyā enomugbhyām* etc; *agnaye gāyatrāya* etc., *brhaspataye pāṅktāya* etc

§377. In one passage with no verb expressed, the name of the deity is put alternatively in the acc and nom. The case to be expected is rather dat. or gen; cf §485 under *agnim svāhā* etc. The TB comm. supplies *uddhāya* to govern the acc. No explanation of the nom in ŚB. is offered by the comm. or by Eggeling. Perhaps an intransitive form of *ṛp* is to be supplied with the nom. and a transitive form of the same verb or of *yaj* (*hotā yakṣat*) with the acc. (cf §§373 and 459 under *svāhāgnim*) Parallel formulas in the vicinity present acc forms in ŚB.:

svāhā devā ājyapāh (TB *devān ājyapān*) ŚB TB.

§378. Next may be recorded a group in which a form of *kṛ* or *dhā*, 'make' or 'put', with acc, varies with a form of *as* or *bhū* with nom,

or with a nominal clause without expression of the copulaic verb Thus: *parā svapnamukhāḥ śucah* AV : *parah svapna mukhā kṛdhi* KŚ 'Away the dream-faced pains' (supply *santu* in AV, rather than 'I put' with Whitney), 'away, O sleep, put (thy) faces' (or, quite possibly, 'away put the dream-faces')

ojasvantam mām āyusmantam varcasvantam (MS *mām sahasvantam*) *manuṣyeṣu kuru* (Vait *āyusmantam manuṣyeṣu kṛnuhi*) TS MS AŚ Vait . *ojasvān aham manuṣyeṣu bhūyāsam* VSK. : *ojasvy aham manuṣyeṣu bhūyāsam* ŚŚ : *ojīṣho 'ham man° bhū°* VS ŚB *bhrājasvantam mām āyusmantam varcasvantam* (MS *mām varcasvantam*) *manuṣyeṣu kuru* TS MS. *bhrājasvān* (ŚG *bhrājasvy*) *aham* (VS ŚB *bhrājīṣho 'ham*) *manuṣyeṣu bhūyāsam* VS. VSK ŚB ŚŚ *jaradaṣṭim kṛnomi tvā* AV. : *jaradaṣṭir bhaviṣyasi* SMB Ppp (JAOS 42 125) reads as SMB.

brahma varma mamāntaram RV AV SV. ApŚ *brahmāham antaram kṛnve* (KŚ. *karave*) AV. KŚ 'Brahman is my inner defense' 'I make B my inner (defense)'

asmākam abhūr haryāśva medī AV . *asmākam kṛṇmo harivo medinam tvā* Ppp (JAOS 37 263 f) . *īha kṛṇmo harivo medinam tvā* KS . *asya* (RVKh Scheftelowitz *īha*) *kurmo* (RVKh. *kulmo*, Sch. em. *kurmo*) *harivo medinam* (RVKh Sch. *vedinan*) *tvā* RVKh. TS TB *viśvāhā dhattam anapasphurantīm* RV. VS. ŚB. ApŚ : *viśvāhā santu anapasphurantīh* AV TA

catuṣṭomo abhavad (MS KS *catuṣṭomam adadhād*) *yā turīyā* TS MS KS 'The fourth (brick) has become (has set) the Catuṣṭoma' *sugā vo devāḥ sadanā* (N °nam) *akarma* (MS. *kṛnomi*, KŚ Kauś *sadanāni santu*) AV VS MS ŚB KŚ Kauś N : *sugā vo devās sadanedam astu* KS : *svagā vo devāḥ sadanam akarma* (ApŚ *sadanāni santu*) TS ApŚ

ariṣṭām tvā (KŚ MŚ MG *mā*) *saha patyā dadhāmi* (ApMB. *kṛnomi*, KŚ MŚ MG *dadhātu*) RV KŚ MŚ MG ApMB : *ariṣṭāham saha patyā bhūyāsam* VS The contexts are essentially similar, but the forms with 1st person pronouns are spoken by a woman

aśmānam tanvam kṛdhi AV . *aśmā bhavatu nas* (AV *te*) *tanūh* RV AV VS TS MS KSA . *aśmā bhava paraśur bhava* ŚB BṛhU. KBV. AG SMB HG. MG ApMB The contexts are in part similar *ākhum te rudra paśum karomi* MS MŚ . *ākhus te paśuh* VS TS TB. ŚB ApŚ . *ākhus te rudra paśuh* TS ApŚ

yathā tvam (PG *tvam agne*) *suśravah suśravā asy* (SMB *deveṣu*) *evam aham suśravah suśravā bhūyāsam* (SMB *brāhmaṇeṣu bhūyāsam*,

AG. PG. MG *evam mām suśravah sauśravasam kuru*) AG SMB.
PG. ApMB. MG.

§379. In a couple of other cases forms of *dhṛ* (passive) or *pad* (middle) are found instead of the copula with the nom. form of the variant; otherwise they are exactly like the preceding.

evā te dhṛiyatām garbhah AV.: *evā dadhāmi te garbham* AV.: *evam garbham dadhāmi te 'sau* (ApMB *dadhātu te*, HG *dadhāmi te*) ŚB.
BrhU. ŚG. ApMB. HG.: *evam tam garbham ā dhecī* RVKh. MG :
evam tvam garbham ā dhatsva ApMB

uśvasya janor adhamam cakāra (RV.* AV. *adhamas padīṣa*) RV. (both)
AV 'He has made him lowest of every creature': 'may he fall lowest of every creature.'

§380 There remains a considerable group of other variants in which active or causative verb forms with acc vary with intransitive, passive, or reflexive forms with nom In most, tho not all, the varying verb forms derive from the same root, these are given first:

evam aham āyusā samundhe (SMB *samedhīṣya*) SMB. PG : *evam mām āyusā* (HG. *mām medhayā*) *samedhaya* ApMB HG 'Thus I am (may I be) inflamed' or 'thus inflame thou me'.

sahobhau caratām dharmam MDh : *saha dharmam cara* NāradaDh : *saha dharmas caryatām* GDh.

tvam yajñeṣv īdyaḥ RV AV. VS TS MS KS ŚB.: *tvām yajñeṣv īdate* RV.

vedim bhūmim kalpayitvā AV : *vedir bhūmim akalpata* AV. Both in same hymn; 'shaping the earth into a *vedi*'. 'the earth took shape as a *vedi*'

varca ā dhecī (KS *dhāyi*) *me tanvam* (KS *tanūh*) AV KS 'Set splendor in my body': 'my body has been set in splendor.' On *tanvam* see §249.

māmīṣām (TS TB. ApŚ *maiṣām*) *kam canoc chuṣaḥ* RV SV VS. TS. TB ApŚ · *maiṣām uccheṣi kim cana* AV · *māmīṣām moci kaś cana* AV 'Leave not one of them': 'let not anything of them be left' ('let not one of them be let go')

ganā (KS. *te*) *me mā vi tṛṣan* VS TS KS ŚB.: *ganān me mā vi tūtṛṣaḥ* (MŚ. *vi tūtṛṣat*, Vait *vy arirīṣaḥ*) TS Vait. MŚ

yukto vālo 'ntarikṣeṇa te saha PB · *yunajmi vāyum antarikṣeṇa te* (MŚ *tena*) *saha* TS. ApŚ. MŚ

yuktās tistro vimrjaḥ sūryasya PB : *yunajmi tistro vimrcaḥ sūryasya te* TS. ApŚ : *yunajmi tistro vimrtaḥ sūryaḥ sava* [ut] MŚ.

dviṣantam (AV. *sapatnān*) *mama* (RV. AV *mahyam*) *randhayan* RV. AV.

TB ApŚ : *divṣaṇs ca mahyam radhyatu* AV. : *divṣanto radhyantām mahyam* MŚ. All in the same verse Add to VV 1 §§79, 238.
mṛtyava ekaśatam parah Kauś : *mṛtyūn ekaśatam caye* ApŚ Others, §509 The verb in Kauś is *nir yantu*

pāpmānam te 'pahanmaḥ KŚ : *pāpmānam me 'pa jahṛ* (MG *me hata*)
 Kauś MG : *pāpmā me hataḥ* AG . *hato me pāpmā* AG MG
caritrāns te śundhāmi VS. ŚB : *buddhās caritrāh* TS. ApŚ

trayastrīṇsat (VS *catuṣtrīṇsat*) *tantavo ye vi tatnre* (MS *yam* [for *yān*']
vi tanvate, KS AŚ *yān vi tanvate*) VS TS MS KS AŚ ŚŚ
 ' . which were stretched out (which they stretched out) '

ād id ghṛtena pṛthivī vy udyate (AV † *pṛthivīm vy ūduh*) RV AV MS.
 KS. N : *ād it pṛthivī ghṛtaiv vy udyate* TS.

athaiṣām bhinnakah kumbhaḥ SMB : *bhinnadma te kuṣumbham* AV . *atho bhinnadma tam kumbham* Ppp

ayam var tvām ajanayad ayam tvad adhijāyatām asau svāhā ŚŚ *asmād var tvam ajāyathā ayam tvad adhijāyatām* (JB *thā eṣa tvaj jāyātām*) JB AG Kauś

yenākṣā (SMB **kṣān*, PG. **kṣyāv*, ŚŚ *yenā kṣām*) *abhyasicyanta* (ŚŚ SMB *abhyasīcatam*, PG *abhyasīcatām*) AV ŚŚ SMB PG

apahato 'raruh pṛthivyai (also *'vyai devayajanyai*, *'vyā adevayajanah*)
 TS ApŚ : *apārarum adevayajanam pṛthivyā devayajanāḥ* (ApŚ † *adevayajano*) *jahṛ* KS ApŚ : *apārarum pṛthivyai devayajanād bādhyāsam* VS ŚB : *apārarum pṛthivyā adevayajanam* (ApŚ **rum adevayajanam pṛthivyāh*) MS MŚ ApŚ. (the last apparently an abbreviated form of the KS formula)

§381. In the rest the psychology of the variation is essentially the same, but there is no such formal correspondence in the verb forms. Sometimes only a copula, or even no verb at all, is used with the nom form, as in §§376-7:

pumānsam putram janaya AV ŚG *pumāns te putro nārī* ApMB
 'Bear a male son' . 'a male son is thine, O woman'

antarikṣasya dhartrīm (TS *om an° dha°*) *viṣṭambhanīm* (TS *°nī*) *dīśām adhipatnīm* (TS *°nī*) *bhuvanānām* (MS *dīśām bhuvanasyādhipatnīm*) VS. TS MS KS ŚB In TS predicate noms with preceding copula, in the others agreeing with object of *sādayāmī*

sūryam cakṣur gamayatāt, vātam prānam anvavasṛjatāt MS KS AB TB
 AŚ ŚŚ . *sūryas te* (AV. *sūryo me*) *cakṣur vātaḥ prānah* AV TS
 KSA ŚŚ [Cf *sūryam* (TA *sūryam te*) *cakṣur gachatu vātam ātmā*
 RV TA *sūryas cakṣur vātaḥ prānam, puruṣasya vi bhejre* AV]
 In the same passages *antarikṣam. asum* MS KS AB TB AŚ ŚŚ .

antarikṣam ātmā AV TA ŚŚ : *prthivīm śarīram* MS KS AB TB
AŚ ŚŚ : prthivī śarīram (MŚ °ram asi) AV. ŚŚ. MŚ With the
 first quotation in these pairs a verb of sending, forming, or the like
 is to be understood. The second is a nominal clause (with 3d per-
 son copula 'understood', as used to be said) MŚ in a still unpub-
 lished passage was quoted by Knauer with 2d person *asi*, which
 would seem to require a voc. instead of nom *prthivī*, or else *prthivī-*
śarīram as a cpd

aganma yatra pratranta āyuh (MG *prataram na āyuh*) RV AV MG.
 'We have gone where they have extended life (where life is extended
 for us, or the like)'

§382. Others, in which the nom form is subject of other intransitive
 verbs, are-

ā te pīṇam svāmasi AV : *punas te prāna āyati* (TA *āyāti*, AŚ *āyātu*)
 TS † TA AŚ

sahasrṇa upa no māhi vājān (no *yanlu vājāh*) RV. (both).

iasya ta iṣṭasya vīlasya dravinēha bhakṣīya TS : *iasya meṣṭasya vīlasya*
dravinam ā gamyāt TS : *iasya yajñasyeṣṭasya svīṣṭasya dravinam*
māgachatu KS And others, see VV 1 §104u Cf also §326 above
ihava tiṣṭha nūmā (MG *nalarā*) AG ŚG MG ApMB : *ihava dhruvā*
 (ŚG *stihūne*) *prati tiṣṭha śāle* (ŚG *dhruvā*) AV. ŚG HG.: *ihava*
dhruvām ni minomi śālām AV PG HG

ūnamradasam (ūrṇā°) *tvā stṛṇām* (KS *ūnamradah prathasva*, Kauś
ūnamradam prathasva) *svāsastham* (VS † ŚB † °stham) *devebhyaḥ*
 VS TS KS ŚB TB Kauś ApŚ *ūnamradah* of KS might be
 taken as voc (since the text is here not accented), but Kauś sug-
 gests rather nom, and *svāsastham* can only be nom (neut)

yamāya somam sunuta (AV *somah pavale*) RV AV. TA

§383. We shall close this section with a variant which will serve as
 a sort of transition to the next subdivision:

sam ī vatsam na mātṛbhūh RV SV. AB. AŚ.: *sam vatsa iva mātṛbhūh* RV.
 SV AB. AŚ The first is followed by *śṛjālā gayasādhanam*, the
 second by *indur hinwāno ayyale* The calf is compared to soma in
 both, and the sense is essentially identical The two hymns are
 workings-up of the same material, see *RVRep* 13, and on 9 104. 2
 We find here something like the 'phrase inflection' found in the
 next group

2 Phrase Inflection

§384. Often, as is well known, a phrase or an entire pāda is lifted
 out of its original context and put into a new context. This, naturally,

may require a change in the case of one or more noun forms. To such alterations we have applied the term 'phrase inflection' (§§21-2). As regards nominative-accusative shifts of this sort, they differ from those listed above essentially only in that the context in the two forms differs in such a way that in one form the nom. is required, in the other the acc. (In the preceding variants the context is essentially the same, but their internal syntax has been altered in a way that involves shift of case.)

§385. A simple illustration is the *pāda kṣīreṇa pūrṇā (pūrṇān) udakena dadhṇā* (both AV.). In the first form the nom. agrees with the noms. of the preceding *pāda (ghṛtahradā madhukūlāḥ surodakāḥ)*, in the other (which occurs in the next stanza and is an obvious modulation of the first) it is thrown into agreement with *kumbhānś* of the preceding *pāda (caturāḥ kumbhānś caturdhā dadāmi)*

§386. Sometimes two entire *pādas* are thus lifted into a different context, involving change of case in several words. Thus the two following variant *pādas* are *pādas* b, c of a verse which in RV. has for a *tam ahyam bhurijor dhuyā*, but in SV. *esa sūryena hāsate*. The epithets of Soma are in RV. objects of *ahyam*, in SV. subjects of *hāsate*: *samvasānam* (SV. °no) *vvasvataḥ* (SV. °tā); followed by: *patiḥ* (SV. *patir*) *vāco adābhyaṃ* (SV. °yah) RV. SV.

§387. The long list of such variants now follows. It will be noted that sometimes shifts of number and gender as well as case are involved. For convenience these are listed separately, after the others (§388). Otherwise neither subdivision nor, as a rule, individual comment seems to be called for.

pavamāno vcarṣanīḥ RV.: *pavamānam vcarṣanam* RV. In quite different contexts; nom. subject of *arocayat*, acc. object of *gāyata tiro rajānsy asprītam* (RV.* °tāḥ, SV. *asprītaḥ*) RV. (both) SV *koṣa iva pūrno vasunā* SMB.: *koṣam na pūrṇam vasunā nyrṣtam* RV. AV *agnī ratho na vedyaḥ* RV.: *agnīm ratham na vedyam* RV. Cf §348. *hīranyābhīṣum* (and °sur) *asvinā* RV. (both). See RVRep. on 8. 5 28 *īśāno jagatas patiḥ* AV. TS. KS ApMB: *īśānam jagadānī śadā* PG *avyo vāre* (SV *avyā vārān*) *pari priyaḥ* (and, *priyam*) RV SV. (both in each).

pībasphākam udārathim AV: *pīvo vṛkka udārathīḥ* RV KS.

viśvasya jagato niśām (ArS *rātri*) RVKh ArS.

sindhulas pary ābhṛtaḥ (and °tam) AV (both).

sutam somam diviṣṭiṣu RV.: *sutah samo diviṣṭiṣu* RV SV.

suśamiddham (VS *susam*°) *varenyam* VS TB.: *suśamiddho* (VS MS. *susam*°) *varenyah* VS MS KS TB.

suṣvānam (and °no) *devavīṭaye* RV. SV. (both in each).

ṛṣabhū sambhṛtam rasam RV. SV. TB.: *ṛṣ° sambhṛto rasah* RVKh. SV. TB.

barhīś ca vedīś (ŚŚ. *vedīm*) ca MS. ŚŚ.

dogdhṛīm dhenum ŚŚ : *dogdhṛī dhenuḥ* VS. TS. MS. KSA. ŚB. TB. The verb is *āśvam* in ŚŚ, in the others *jāyatām*. Likewise, in the same passages, these acc. forms in ŚŚ. with corresponding noms in the others: *volhāram anadṣvāham*, *āśum sapṭīm*, *jīṣṇum ratheṣṭhām*, *puramdhum yoṣām*, *sabheyam yuvānam*. The entire passages are evidently related, one being based on a recollection of the other, but applied to quite different purposes, so that the contexts are fundamentally different, and they constitute a true instance of 'phrase inflection' on a large scale

bahubhyah panthām anupaspaśānam (AV.* °nah, TA † *anapaspaśānam*) RV. AV. (bis) MS TA. N. The same verse, with acc. governed by following verb, in all except AV. 6 28. 3, where the nom. occurs preceded by *yah prathamah pravatam ā sasāda*. This AV. passage has adapted the orig. to a quite new context; see Whitney's note and Pischel, VSt 2. 73.

tiras tamānsi darśatah (RV.* °lam) RV. (both) AV. SV. TB. ŚB. ŚŚ. The RV. acc. form and ŚŚ are followed by:

ghṛtāhavanam idyam RV.: *ghṛtāhavana idyah* ŚŚ. The nom. of RV. etc. is in apposition with *agnih*, subject of *sam idhyate*; the acc. is appropriate to its different context. In ŚŚ both occur as *nivids*; cf. RVRep 192.

agnih (RV. **agnim*) *śukreṇa śociṣā* RV. (both) KS.

apām patīm vṛṣabham oṣadhīnām KS.: *apām patir vṛṣabha* (KS om. *vr°*) *oṣadhīnām* MS. KS

ghṛtam duhānām aditīm janāya VS. TS MS. KS ŚB. TA.: *ghṛtam duhānādītir janāya* KS.

sahasradhārā payasā mahī gauḥ RV.: *sahasradhārām payasā mahīm gām* VS TS. MS. KS ŚB. Occurs thrice in RV., the nom. being subject of various verbs. In the others preceded by *yām asya kaṇvo aduhat prapīnām*, a pāda found also in AV., where it is followed by a variant of our pāda, viz. *sahasradhārām mahīṣo bhagāya*.

manah sahasravīryah AV.. *manīm sahasravīryam* AV.

yajīṣṭham havyavāhana (and °nam) RV.: *yajīṣṭho havyavāhanah* RV.

rathīlaman rathīnām RV. SV. VS. TS MS KS. ŚB. TB. *rathīlamo rathīnām* RV. See RVRep. on 1. 11. 1.

viśvajanasya chāyā (MS. KS. MŚ. ApMB. *chāyās*) TS MS. KS ApŚ. MŚ ApMB.: *viśvajanasya chāyām* ApŚ.

āyuh kīrtir varco yaśo balam HG : *āyuh kīrtim yaśo balam annādyam*
prajāṃ PG

sahasradhāram (TAA * °ro) *akṣitam* (TAA * °iah) RV AV TAA. (both).

In RV both words are acc masc, epithets of *indum*. In AV. twice in a wholly different stanza. *ud utsam śatadhāram, sah° akṣ°, evāsmākedam dhānyam, sah° akṣ°* This is recast in TAA thus: *yathā kūpah śatadhārah, sahasradhāro akṣitah, evā me astu dhānyam, sahasradhāram akṣitam* Ppp (JAOS 37 294 ff) agrees with TAA but for *akṣatah* and *akṣatam* (to be added to VV 2 §576), and the corruption *rūpaś* for *kūpaś*, which Barret l c failed to correct. The Ppp TAA reading makes both forms nom both times (once masc, once neut), and is simpler than AVŚ, which is certainly harsh, see Whitney on 3. 24 4, who takes the forms as acc depending on the verb of the prec. stanza In pāda d they might be taken as nom. neut, as in Ppp TAA; but in b this is difficult, since *utsa* is otherwise masc We feel however that accs are impossible, and that either *utsa* is here felt as neut, or the forms of pāda b are mechanically assimilated to those of d (nom neut) Cf the next, which is evidently related.

sahasradhāram śatadhāram utsam akṣitam AV. *sahasradhāra utso akṣi-
 yamānah* ApŚ. Cf. preceding Different contexts.

aṅgabhedam aṅgayaram AV : *aṅgabhedo aṅgayarah* AV

indram (RV * *indrāh*) *somasya pītaye* (RV * adds *vr̥ṣāyate*) RV (both)
 AV. SV.

duhśansam martyam ripum RV. *duhśanso martyo ripuh* RV

dhanamjayam rane-rane RV VS TS MS KS ŚB Vait MŚ *dhanam-
 jayo rane-rane* RV SV. TS MS KS

manhiṣṭham vājasātaye RV SV. *manhiṣṭho vājasātaye* RV AA ŚŚ

prajāpatih prathamajāṃ ṛtasya AV. MS. TS TA MahānU *prajāpatim
 prathamajāṃ ṛtasya* MS TB.

§388 The following are precisely similar except that the variant forms shift in number or gender, or both, as well as in case.

bahvīm prajāṃ janayanīm sa-ūpām (ApMB * *janayanī suratnā*, * *jana-
 -yanīau saretasā*) TA MahānU ApMB (bis)

aṣṭasthūno daśapakṣah Kauś. *aṣṭapakṣām daśapakṣām* AV

sīdhram adya divispr̥śam (RV * SV MS * KS °śah) RV (both) SV TS

MS (both) KS ApŚ N For *divispr̥śah*, nom pl rather than gen
 sg, see RVRep on 1 142 8

gaur aśvah puruṣah paśuh AV TA. *gām aśvam puruṣam jagat* (AV

paśum, RVKh *aśvān puruṣān aham*) RVKh AV TA MahānU

ApMB HG. *gā aśvān puruṣān paśūn* MŚ

viśvā rūpāṇi bibhrataḥ (AV.* °*iam*) AV. (both) MS.

samānam yonim anu samcarantam RV AV. VS MS. KS. ŚB.: *samānam yonim anu samcarantī* (AV MS. °*carete*) RV. AV. TS. MS. KS. ApMB.

manhiṣṭham vo maghonām RV : *manhiṣṭhāso maghonam* RV.

viśvair devair anumata (KS. TA. °*iam*) *marudbhīh* AV. VS TS MS. KS.

ŚB TA In both KS. TA different contexts; in KS neut. acc. adverb, see §817; in TA masc acc adjective, §849.

amṛtā martyebhyah RV.: *amṛtam martyābhyah* TB. ApŚ.

sahasrasā medhasātā sanīṣyavah (RV. KB. *medhasātāv va tmanā*, VSK. *medhasātā va tmanā*) RV. VS VSK. TS. MS. KS KB ŚB.: *sahasrasām medhasātāv va tmanā* RV. SV.

3 Interchange of subject and object

§389. We return to cases in which the internal syntax of the variant pāda is altered. But now there is no longer any change in the syntax of the verb, which is always transitive in a broad sense, that is, capable of governing an accusative, whether as what we call direct object, or as goal of motion or the like. Yet without any alteration in the form or meaning of the verb, the same noun is used now as subject and now as object. Indeed, in a number of cases the subject and object change places in the two forms of the variant, subject becoming object and vice versa. It is characteristic of the inflated, mystical language of the Veda that this can not infrequently be done without difficulty. Thus in the first example, it makes little difference whether we say 'Brahman has increased the gods' or 'the gods have increased brahman':

udgrābham ca nigrābham ca VS. TS ŚB. ApŚ : *udgrābhaś ca nigrābhaś ca* MS. KS MŚ. Followed in all but MŚ. by:

brahma devā (MS s p *devan*, KS *devān*) *avīṛdhan* (MS KS. °*dhat*).

The nouns in the first pāda are probably appositional to *brahma* rather than coordinate with it; cf. Keith on TS 1. 1. 13. 1

na tat prāpnoti nīrtīm parācaih (KŚ *nīrtiḥ parastāt*) KŚ. ApŚ.: *nābhī prāpnoti* (MŚ *prāpnuyur*) *nīrtīm parācaih* (AŚ MŚ *parastāt*) TB.

AŚ ApŚ. MŚ 'Destruction reaches it not' or 'it reaches not destruction'. For MŚ's verb see VV 1 p. 265

ojo na jūti (TB. *jūtim*) *ṛṣabho* (MS † *urṣ*°; add to VV 2 §359) *na bhāmam* VS. MS. TB. Followed by *vanaspatir na dadhad indriyāni* TB. makes *ojas* subject and *jūti* predicate of the first simile, reversing the relationship found in the others; but there is little real difference

tābhīh samrabdhām anv avindan (TB *samrabdhō avidat*) *ṣad urvīh* AV TB 'The six wide (directions) found out him, grasped by them'; or, 'he, grasped by them, found out the six wide (directions)' In a mystic verse to Rohita; one version makes as good or as bad sense as the other

viśvam anyām abhāvāra AV. (Ppp *viśvam anyābhi vavāra*, which Whitney adopts, tho Barret JAOS 26 223 alters it to *anyām abhi*) *viśvam anyābhuvāvṛdhe* TB ApŚ No doubt Ppp, supported by TB ApŚ, is more original in making *anyā* subject and *viśvam* object; but the AVŚ reading may be rendered 'the Universe has enveloped (?) one'. *jyok ca paśyātī* (PG °āsi, MG. °atī) *sūryam* (MG °yah) AG PG ApMB MG. 'And long may he behold the sun (may the sun behold him).' See VV 1 p 235

gamad indram (SV. *indro*) *vṛṣā sutah* (SV. *sutam*) RV. SV. Apparently the reversal of relationship in SV. was due to a feeling that *vṛṣā* is more appropriate as an epithet of Indra than of Soma (*sutah*) *tam varianur* (SV. °nīr) *anu vāvṛta ekam it puru* (SV *eka it*) SV. AV 'He, the One, has followed along the paths towards him' (SV.). 'the path has followed him, the One, manifoldly' (AV.). The latter is probably a secondary mouthing over of SV.

ā tvā sakhāyah sakhyā vavṛtyuh SV : *o cit sakhāyam sakhyā vavṛtyām* RV AV. In SV. the passage is extensively reconstructed, the original object *sakhāyam* is made subject (with change of number also), and so displaces the original unexpressed subject (1st person pronoun implicit in the verb), which is now represented by the object *tvā*.

§390. In the remainder there is no double nom-acc shift, but a single noun form appears now as subject, now as object (or goal, etc.), of the (always 'transitive') verb:

pra yakṣma ehu nurītm (AV. °ih) *parācah* AV. TB HG ApMB 'Let the fever, let perdition go far away' (AV.), 'let the fever go far away to perdition'

jajñānam (SV. °nah) *sapta mātaraḥ* (SV. *mātrbhīh*) RV SV. Followed by *vedhām āśāsata śrīye* RV., *medhām āśāsata śrīye* SV

sa sūra ā (ŚŚ *sūrye*) *janayañ jyotir indram* (ŚŚ *indrah*) TB ŚŚ Followed by *ayā dhīyā taranur adribarhāh* (ŚŚ *añgrasvān*). The TB comm makes *indram* acc of goal, supplying *gachatu*, the subject is *sūrah* = *ādityah* ŚŚ. makes *indrah* subject and *sūrye* loc *tṣṭhanam ava gūhah* (mss. °si) AV.: *tṣṭhanm evāva gūhasi* ŚŚ. No intelligible meaning in either form

agninā tapo 'nvabhavat (KS.† KSA † 'nvābhavat) TS KS KSA. TB. ApŚ.

Followed in TS. KS KSA by a long series of similar phrases, only partly identical in the things named. In the first formula the form *tapo* is ambiguous, but the parallels show that TS. and KSA. construe it as acc; this is doubtless the original construction: 'By Agni he has come up to (equalled, or attained?) *tapas*'. KS makes it nom: '*tapas* has come up to (equalled, attained, sc. him) thru (by) Agni'. Other phrases in the same lists are: *yamena pīlfn* (KS. *pītarah*); *indreṇa devān* (KS *devāh*; not in KSA); *vālena prāṇān* (KS. *vāyunā prāṇāh*; not in KSA); *vyāghrenāranyān paśūn* (KS *āranyāh paśavah*), *ṛṣabhena* (KS. KSA. *vrṣ*) *gāh* (KS. *gāvah*); *vr̥ṣṇānāvīh* (KS. *āvayah*); *yavenauṣadhīh* (KS. *dhayah*), *brāhmaṇena vācam* (KS *vācah*)

vi parjanyaṃ (TS. *°yāh*) *srjanti* (MS KS *pra parjanyaḥ srjatām*) *rodasī anu* RV. TS MS. KS. In RV. the subject is the Maruts and *parjanyaṃ* defines the *divah koṣam* of the preceding half-verse. The others make the subject the rain-god, or deified rain-cloud, and retain the same object, *divah koṣam*

muñcatu (KS. *muñcemam*) *yajñam* (ApŚ *yajña*, KS. adds *muñca*) *yajñā-patīm anhasah svāhā* MS. KS. ApŚ. In MS. preceded by *vardhatām bhūtir dadhnā ghṛtena*; in KS. by a similar but expanded phrase. It is evident that *bhūti* 'prosperity' is in both texts the agent that is to 'release the sacrifice and sacrificer from evil'. ApŚ. with the same context as MS. produces a lectio facilior by making *yajñah* the subject of *muñcatu*: 'let the sacrifice release the sacrificer.'

pra yam (SV. *yo*) *rāye ninīṣasī* (SV. *°ṣatī*) RV SV. In VV 1 p. 243 we have taken SV. (which read there for 'N') to mean 'who will bring [thee, Agni] to wealth', taking *yo* to refer to the sacrificer as in the following pāda (*maro yas te vaso dāsat*). But perhaps Benfey may be right in making *yo* refer to *te* (= Agni): 'what mortal shall worship thee, who will lead [him] to wealth'. As to the 3d person in such relative clauses cf. VV 1 §331, to which the variant should be transferred if this interpretation is correct

prasnāpayanty ūrminam RV.: *prasnāpayanta ūrmayah* SV. The acc. in RV. is an epithet of soma; in SV. it is replaced by an additional subject: 'the ten associates (i.e. fingers) wash (soma), and the waves.' See VV 1 p. 48; the SV. form must intend present middle. Delete this variant on VV 1 p. 143

śryam ca lakṣmīm ca TAA.: *śrīś ca lakṣmīs* TAA.: *śrīś ca puṣṭīs*

MahānU. Same context, in the first form there is no subject expressed, and comm supplies *paramātmā*
[*īndrah karmasu no 'vatu* TB . *īndram karmasv avatu* MS For the better reading of TB see §326]

§391. In a small but rather interesting group the variant word is so situated that it may be either subject of one clause or object of another. *samudrā* (MS °*drān*) *nadyo veśantāh* (MS °*tān*) AV MS. Preceded by *divam brūmo nakṣatrāni*, *bhūmim yakṣāṇi parvatān*, and followed by *te no muñcantu anhasah*. In AV. the three nouns of pāda c are made parts of the subject of *muñcantu*, in MS of the object of *brūmo*.

devā ājyapā juṣānā agna (VS *indra*) *ājyasya vyantu* VS MS KS . (svāhā) *devān ājyapān svāhāgnim hotrāj juṣānā agna ājyasya vyantu* TB. In the first version *devāh* is subject of *vyantu*, in the second it becomes an additional object of *yakṣad* in the preceding (*hotā yakṣad agnim svāhājyasya* [sc *devān*])

yenāvṛtaṁ kham ca divam mahīm (MahānU. *mahī*, v 1 *mahīm*) *ca* TA MahānU. Followed by *yenādityas tapati tejasā bhrōjasā ca* If the nom be read, *mahī* (with *kham* and *divam* which must then also be nom) would be subject of *āvṛtam*. 'by whom was enclosed the air, the sky, the earth ' But Deussen's translation implies the v. 1 *mahīm* in MahānU ; in that case all three nouns are objects of *tapati* in the next pāda: 'by whom the sun warms the atmosphere, enclosed by him, and the sky, and the earth ' The double relative is then harsh, but not inconceivably so

4 Nominative of separate statement varies with dependent accusative

§392. In a considerable group we find that what is originally an accusative dependent on a verb is developed into an independent statement, syntactically separate from the clause containing the original accusative Or, contrariwise, an originally independent statement is compressed into syntactic dependence, so that the nominative subject (or predicate) of the original clause becomes an accusative The latter is found perhaps most clearly and simply in variants where by the insertion of *āhus* 'they say' an original independent statement is made into a sort of indirect quotation Thus-

iyam vedih paro antah prthivyāh RV AV. VS. ŚB. AŚ LŚ : *vedim āhuh param antam prthivyāh* TS KSA. In the same verse:
ayam yajño bhuvanasya (AV. *viśvasya bhu°*) *nābhik* RV AV VS LŚ
yajñam āhur bhuvanasya nābhum TS KSA And likewise:

ayam somo vṛṣṇo āsvasya retah RV. AV VS LŚ : *somam āhur vṛṣṇo*

TS KSA —In the fourth pāda of this stanza the nom. remains in all texts Cf also:

dirgham yac cakṣur aditer anantam AŚ : *yad āhuḥ cakṣur aditāv anantam*

PB JB (see Oertel, *Disjunct Use of Cases*, §48. 24 R) Here the forms are identical, being of neuter gender; and here the form with *āhus* is likely to be older.

§393. The accusative seems to be the original form and the nom of independent statement a secondary development from it in most of the following In the first the particle *iti* is brought in with the nom to make the syntax clear, in the second a verb is inserted in which the secondary nom is the subject, thus expanding the pāda into a complete sentence:

nirṛtim tvāham pari veda viśvatah VS MS ŚB : *nirṛtir iti tvāham pari veda viśvatah* (AV *sarvatah*) AV TS KS The meter seems to indicate that the acc. is original; it is to be noted also that the preceding pāda in all texts has a similar phrase with *iti*, which may have attracted *nirṛtim* into its own construction 'I know thee completely as Nirṛti'

atas tvā rayim abhi (SV *rayim abhy ayat*) RV. SV. The RV. original makes *tvā* object of *bharat* in pāda c, and *rayim abhi* probably an adverbial phrase, 'unto riches'. (Otherwise but implausibly Grassmann) SV. by a lect fac expands into a separate sentence: 'thence may riches come unto thee'

anāgaso yajamānasya vīrāh (MŚ *vīrān*) .AV. ApŚ. MŚ (Mss of MŚ *anuśaso* for *anā*°, and all but one *vīram*) The original has an independent sentence with nom; MŚ attracts the noun into apposition with *no* in *yo no dveṣṭi* preceding

nābhā prthivyāh samidhāne agnau (TS °*dhānam agnim*, MS. KS. °*dhāno agnim*) VS TS. MS KS. ŚB The only possible construction for the nom in MS KS seems to be as part of an independent statement, of which Agni is implied as the subject; *agnim* is object of the verb in the next pāda (*rāyasposāya brhate havāmahe*): 'He (Agni) is kindled at the navel of the earth; we call Agni unto great increase of wealth' The very harshness of this may, however, be considered an argument for its greater originality, the other texts look suspiciously like lect fac

tvām (TB *tvam*) *rāya ubhayāso janānām* RV MS. KS. TB Preceded in all by *tvām vardhanti kṣitayah prthivyām* In RV. etc supply *vardhanti* also in this pāda (*rāya ubh*° subject, *tvām* object). In

TB this is made an independent statement (*tvam* subject, *rāya ubh°* predicate; comm *atsampādaka ity arihah*).

agnim (SV *agnih*) *sudīṭaye chardih* RV. AV. SV. (Cone VS. for SV)

In RV AV *agnim* and *chardih* are both accs with the preceding *idīṣva*. In SV.: 'Agni is the chardis.'

adhvarakṛtam (TA °*krd*) *devebhyah* VS. ŚB TA. Preceded by *tvā ādade*; in VS. ŚB *adhvarakṛtam* agrees with *tvā* But TA inserts between the two passages *abhrir asī nārīr asī*, thus it makes our variant pāda an independent statement, understanding *asī*

indrāya bhāgam pari tvā nayāmi AV : *indrasya bhāgaḥ suvite dadhātānc* ApŚ The latter is scarcely intelligible, *bhāgaḥ*, if construable at all, must be taken as an independent statement with some verb (or the copula) understood The plural *dadhātāna* is inconsistent with the singular verbs which follow.

tām (HG *tām nah*, PG *sā nah*) *pūṣaṇ* (AV *pūṣan*, PG *pūṣā*) *chivata-mām* (PG. *śiv°*) *erayasva* (PG. *eraya*) RV. AV. ApMB ApG. HG PG. The PG has clearly a corruption, which as it stands can only mean 'She (the bride) is Pūṣan (felt as 'prospering one') to us; bring her hither, most felicitous' The comm. so takes it, Stenzler and Oldenberg translate the other reading

agnim hotāram iha (MS. MŚ *upa*) *tam huve* TS MS ApŚ MŚ : *agnir hotāra tam huve* KS 'I call here (hither) Agni the hotar': 'Agni is the hotar, I call him hither'

akṣatam ariṣṭam ilāndam SMB : *akṣatam asy ariṣṭam ilānnam gopāyanam* ŚG In both preceded by a formula containing acc *tvā*, with which the epithets agree in SMB, in ŚG they are made predicates of a separate sentence It is hard to say which is original here.

§394. A special group of the preceding type is formed by variants in which the nom. of independent statement is part of a relative clause, of which it is the predicate, while the acc. is part of the main clause Thus:

tam u śuṅhi yo antah sindhau AV : *tam u śuṅhi antahsindhum* AŚ Followed by:

sūnuh (AŚ *sūnum*) *satyasya yuvānam* 'Praise him who is within the waters, the son of truth, the youthful.' The relative clause is here original, AŚ compresses it into an epithet, and necessarily alters *sūnuh* also to *sūnum*. Note the chastic, rhetorically involved order of AV. (*yuvānam* at the end agreeing with *tam* at the beginning) AŚ has a lect. fac., involving case attraction; but all the epithets refer to the same person.

§395. The reverse of this appears in the next, where the relative clause with predicate nom. appears only in the secondary PG.:

samvatsarasya pratimām (PG. °mā) AV. TS. KS. ApŚ. SMB. PG. HG.

MG The following pāda in PG. is *yā tām rātrīm upāsmahe* (for the others see VV 1 p. 213); only PG. has *yā* as subject of the relative clause, in which *pratimā* is predicate.

§396. Similarly, the following variants show such shifts in both directions:

tam kravjādam aśīsamam AV.: *yah kravjāt tam aśīsamam* Kauś.

veda te bhūmi hṛdayam PG. HG : *yad bhūmer hṛdayam* [†dvi candramasī śritam] ApMB. The latter is metrically poor and doubtless corrupt

yo devo vīśvād yam u kāmam āhuh AV.: *vīśvādam agnim yam u* . MS :

hulādam agnim yam u KS.: *yam hulādam agnim yam u* . ApŚ.

The nom. is doubtless original, the acc. being due to attraction to the case of the following; note that ApŚ. still has a relative clause, but attracts it into the same construction as the following.

dhattād asmabhyam draviṇeha bhādam (TS. ApŚ. *asmāsu dravinam yac ca bhādam*) TS MS ApŚ : *datto asmabhyam* (etc., see Conc.)

draviṇeha bhādam AV. KS AŚ. SMB : *dadhatā no draviṇam yac ca bhādam* MS.

apāsya ye śīnāḥ pāsāḥ KS ApŚ. ('off what fetters are bound on him'):

apāsyaḥ satvanāḥ pāsān Kauś. Followed by *mṛtyūn* (KS. ed. *mṛtyor*, but best ms. *mṛtyūn*) *ekaśataṁ suve* (Kauś. *nude*). The secondary change in Kauś. is due to the influence of *mṛtyūn*.

tābhīr vahaṇam sukrīṭām u lokam (TA. *vahemam sukrīṭām yatra-lokāḥ*)

RV. AV TA : *vahāsi mā* (KS † *vahānsi sā*) *sukrīṭām yatra lokāḥ* (KS † *lokah*) KS TB. ApŚ.

prchāmi (LŚ °mo) *yatra bhuvanasya nābhīḥ* RV. VS LŚ.: *prchāmi tvā*

(AV. *vīśvasya*) *bhuvanasya nābhīm* AV. TS. KSA. TB.

§397. In the next following group there is in both variants a relative clause; the nom. is construed as part of this, while the acc. is syntactically part of the main clause (both refer to the same entity). As in some of the preceding, the alteration is usually due to formal case attraction:

āranyān (AV. VS °yā) *grāmyāś ca ye* RV. AV. (bis) VS. TA. Preceded by *paśūn* (*paśūns*) *tānś cakre vāyavyān* In RV. TA *āranyān* goes with the preceding accusatives; in AV. VS. it is attracted into the relative clause. In AV. 11 5. 21 the pāda occurs in a different context.

jyeṣṭho (SV. °ṭham) *yo vṛtrahā gr̥ṇe* RV. AV. SV. There are no other

accs in the stanza, SV has turned *jyeṣṭho* into an acc to provide an object for the verb *gr̥ne*

citrabhānum (TB ApŚ °bhānū) *rodasī antar urvī* RV. SV MS KS TB ApŚ. Preceded by *aganma mahā namasā yaviṣṭham, yo dīdāya samiddhah sve durone* (with unimportant variants) The acc goes with *yaviṣṭham* as object of *aganma* in the first pāda; because of the intervening relative clause, it is natural that secondary texts attract it into agreement with *yo* This assumes that the TB comm is right in understanding °bhānuh, nom sg, instead of dual (with *rodasī*), which would be formally possible

kakṣivāntam ya auśijah (TS TA °jam) RV SV. VS TS MS KS ŚB TA N. The Tait version can only be interpreted by referring *ya(h)* to the god addressed: 'who (didst make famous) K A' So Sāyana, who supplies *kṛtavān aśi*, and Keith Of course the other reading (in which K° goes with the preceding object acc and is referred to by the relative, 'K who is the A') is original (cf Oldenberg, *Noten* on 1 18 1).

yathā mītrāya varunāya śamtamah (SV °mam) RV SV Preceded by *punātā dakṣasādhanam, yathā śardhāya vītaye* 'Clarify the strength-producing (Soma), that (it may be) for a refreshing draft for the throng, that (it may be) most gratifying to M and V' So RV, making *śamtamah* subject of a separate clause, SV brings the word into direct connexion with the preceding *dakṣasādhanam*

yadī śrāto (AV. *śrātam*) *juhōtana* RV AV ApŚ MŚ Followed by.

yady aśrāto (AV °iam) *mamattana*, same texts The preceding pāda of RV. AV. makes clear the antecedent *indrasya bhāgam rtvīyam* 'If cooked do ye offer it, if not cooked, delay' The AV has attracted the adjectives into direct agreement with *bhāgam* (The comm on AV, however, takes them as nom neuter, supplying *havih*)

svarpatim yad īm vṛdhe RV AV *svahpatir yadī vṛdhe* SV The acc agrees with *indram* (*somasya pīlaye*) in the preceding pāda, SV makes it subject of *vṛdhe*

5 Case attraction

§398. The preceding cases taper off into another group which is a little hard to define further than by saying that (as in some of the variants quoted above) case attraction, that is the influence of a neighboring case-form, is responsible for the variation The varying word still refers to the same person or thing, so that there is no proper 'transfer

of epithet', but the syntactic shift is hardly of the same type as the immediately preceding cases, unless perhaps in the first two examples: *hotā yakṣat tanūnapāt sarasvatīm* (TB. °ā) VS MS. TB. It seems fairly clear that TB has attracted *saras°* to the case of *tanūnapāt*; but how either of the noms is to be construed is not obvious. Comm. on VS. dares to say that *tanū°* is nom. used for acc.! Perhaps the TB version may be understood as containing an independent statement: 'Let the hotar worship' (The deities are) *Tanūnapāt, Sarasvatī* '.

anyam (AV. *anya*) ā *śu tvam* (AV omits *tvam*) *yamy anya u tvām* RV.

AV N See Whitney on AV. 18 1 16. We believe that *anya* is due to stupid and mechanical assimilation to the following *anya apsu dhūto nṛbhīh sutah* RV. *apsu dhautam nṛbhīh sutam* SV. Preceded by *śubhram andho devavātām*, and followed by *svadanti gāvaḥ payobhīh* Sāyana takes the RV pāda as parenthetical (pādas a and c going together), which is probably the best way out, tho all three pādas might be taken as syntactically separate, understanding *somam* or the like as object of *svadanti* SV assimilates the particles in b to the case of *andho*, an easily comprehensible lect fac Grassmann's suggestion that RV is incorrect is implausible

§399. As in the two variants just quoted, not a few of the following passages are dubious of interpretation, and at times under suspicion of corruption:

hṛtsu kratum ṭvaruno (MS °ṇam) *vikṣv* (RV *apsv*, MS *dikṣv*) *agnim* RV VS TS MS KS ŚB. The subject is originally Varuṇa, who has instilled 'insight into hearts, Agni into dwellings' MS has an unintelligent assimilation of V to the surrounding accs., perhaps with the thought that Agni is still the subject as in the preceding stanza

tebhīh (AV. VS VSK *tebhīyah*) *svarād asunūtim etām* (AV *asunūtir no adya*) RV AV VS VSK It seems clear that AV, which Whitney calls unintelligible, contains a stupid assimilation of *asunūtim* to the case of the adjoining word. The following pāda is *yathāvaśam tanvam* (AV *tanvāh*) *kalpayāti* (RV *kalpayasva*)

viṣnum agan varunam pūrvahūtiḥ AV.. *viṣṇū agan varuṇā pūrvahūtau* (MS °im) VS MS ŚB. TB AŚ ŚŚ In AV the prayer itself is the subject of *agan*; in MS it is made the goal, along with Viṣṇu and Varuṇa, the subject being the offering or the water used with it The other texts use a locative which might be one of goal, agreeing in sense with MS; but see §457

gāyatrī chanda indriyam, tryavir (KS. *trīya*^o) *gaur vayo dadhuh* VS MS KS. TB.: *gāyatrīm chanda indriyam, tryavim gām vayo dadhat* VS. TB The noms are subjects of *dadhuh*, the accs. objects of *dadhat*, being assimilated to *indriyam* and *vayo*, which are accs (objects) in both versions. These are the first of a series of formulas, all of the same type, the meter names (in nom. form) are *uṣṇik* (*uṣṇihā*), *anuṣṭup*, *brhatī*, *pañktīś*, *triṣṭup*, *jagatī*, *virāt*, *dvipadā* (*dvipāc*), *kakup* (*kakuc*), *alichandā*. For the epithets of cattle (*dityavād*, *pañcāvīr* etc.) see the passages, which can easily be found in the Conc

ukhām (MS. KS.† *ukhā*) *svasāram adhi vedim asthāt* MS. KS ApŚ. Preceded by *syātā devebhīr amṛtenāgāh* (MS. KS *°āgāt*). The word *ukhā* is subject in MS. KS. and it seems scarcely possible to interpret ApŚ (which addresses it directly in the 2d person) otherwise; yet ApŚ. stupidly assimilates the form to the following accs. Caland translates *ukhā*, but notes that Hir Ś. also reads *ukhām*, so that the corruption is evidently very old.

devah savitābhīmātīśāhah AV.: *savitā devo 'bhīmātīśāhah* Ppp : *devam trātāram* (TS. KS *savitāram*) *abhīmātīśāham* RV TS KS Assuming (cf. Oldenberg, *Prolog.* 326 f.) that the acc., as in RV TS. KS, is original (tho it is difficult), the change to the nom in AV. is easy to understand. In AV. the preceding pāda is *dhātā vdhātā bhuvanasya yas palih*, and the following: *ādityā rudrā āśvinobhā, devāh pāntu yajamānam nīrtāt*. For o the others have a different version beginning *īmam yajñam* (cf. §329); it is significant that this acc. is also eliminated in AV.

yajñasya (AV. *cittasya*) *mātā* (SMB *mātaram*) *suhavā me* (AV *no*) *astu* AV. TB. SMB. Preceded by *ākūlīm devīm manasū prapadye* in SMB., which has put the epithet *mātā* syntactically into the preceding clause, making it agree with *devīm*, the meter proves it secondary.

samyag āyur ūyājñō (MŚ *yajñam*) *yajñapatau dadhātu* (MŚ *dhāh*) KS MŚ. See VV 1 p 100 In MŚ *yajñam* is attracted to the case of *āyur*.

īdāno (KS *°nā*) *vahnir* (KS. *vahnim*) *namasā* AV VS VSK. TS. MS KS Followed by:

agnim (AV. *agnih*) *sruco adhvarēṣu prayatsi* (AV *prayakṣu*), same texts The original readings are doubtless given by the texts which agree, VS TS MS A verb of approaching occurs in the preceding 'The carrier (approaches), praising, with adoration, to Agni (approach) the spoons as the sacrifices proceed' In AV *agnim* is

changed to *agnih* to agree with its synonym *vahnih*, and the two pādas are more closely connected than in the original. In KS. the attraction has worked in the opposite direction; *vahnir* becomes *vahnīm* to match *agnim*, *sruco* is now the subject, *idānā* agrees with it, and the preceding pāda (*achāyam eli śavasā ghṛtena* [AV *ghṛiā cīt*], see §467) is changed to *achāyam yanti śavasā ghṛtācīh* (note retention of *ayam*, now ungrammatical!): 'here come with might the ghee-filled spoons, praising with adoration Agni the carrier . . .' Ppp has *ide vahnīm namasāgnim sruco 'dhvareṣu prayatsu*, which is translatable but obviously secondary.

gāyatrīm triṣṭubham jagatīm anuṣṭubham (MS. **tīm virājam*) AV. TS. MS.: *gāyatrī triṣṭub jagatī virāt* KS. This is the 3d pāda of a verse whose 4th pāda in TS. MS. KS. (omitting slight variants) is *arkam* (TS *bṛhad arkam*) *yujjānāh svar ābharann idam* It appears that KS has allowed the names of meters to be attracted into agreement with *yujjānāh* (the leaving *arkam* to be the object of the participle, which is the construction of all of them in TS. MS.); they thus become subjects of the verb *ā-abharann*. The AV. varies considerably and is difficult, probably corrupt; its accs. must depend on the verb of the 1st pāda.

yuvō ratho adhvaram (AV.† GB † **ro*) *devavīṭaye* RV. AV. AB. 6. 12. 7 (add in Conc) GB Followed by the verb *yāti* (*yātu*). 'Your chariot goes to the sacrifice. . . ' In AV. *adhvaro* is awkwardly attracted to the case of *ratho*: 'let your chariot, the sacrifice, approach. . . ' Ppp. is reported to read *adhvaram*.

ā gharṃ agnim ṛtāyann asādī (TA. *asādī*) RV. TA.: *ā gharṃ agnir amṛto na sādī* MS. In the latter *agnim* has been changed to the case of *gharṃ*.

kāmam (AV. PB. *kāmah*, KS *kāmas*) *samudram, ā viśa* (AV. *viveśa*, KS. TB *viśat*) AV. KS. PB. TB. TA. AŚ. ApŚ. There seems little doubt that the nom. is original, and it is likely that the acc. is due to attraction to the case of *samudram*. The two accs. must be taken as in apposition. According to the comm. on TA. the subject is *dakṣiṇā*. TB. has the following explanation of the meaning: *samudra iva hi kāmah, neva hi kāmasyānto 'sti, na samudrasya. nayanto garbham vanām dhūyam dhūh* RV.: *nayantam gīrbhīr vanā dhūyam dhāh* SV. Highly problematical; *nayanto* agrees with the indefinite 3 plural subject ('they', really the singers); *nayantam*, like the other accs. in the verse, must be object of *dhāh*. In SV. the subject is Agni and the accs. probably refer to the singer (now singular).

6 Transfer of epithet

§400. A very large number of nom-acc variants concern what we have described above (§14) as 'transfer of epithet'. That is, an epithet is transferred to a different entity, involving change of case. Often formal case-attraction is also involved, as in the last group; but there is this difference, that the variant word no longer applies to the same person or thing as in the other form. As in all cases of 'transfer of epithet', these variants have no bearing on the uses of the varying cases. There is nothing that need be said about them as a whole except that they may of course show shift of number or gender or both, as well as case, such instances are given separately. No other subdivision of the long list needs to be made.

§401. The following show no change in number or gender:

- vyartī dhūmam aruṣam* (MS KS °ṣo) *bharibhrat* RV. VS TS MS KS ApMB '(Agni) comes clothed in ruddy smoke', original, changed in MS KS to read 'the ruddy (Agni) comes clothed in smoke'
- svar devā* (TS TB ApŚ *devān*) *aganma* (MS. MŚ *agāma*) VS TS MS KS ŚB TB ApŚ MŚ See §§340, 349. The nom or voc is certainly original, the acc secondary. The nom would apply the epithet *devāh* to the priests: 'we have gone to heaven as gods'; the acc, 'we have gone to heaven, to the gods'
- ye ca devān* (ŚŚ. *devā*) *ayaṇanta* AV ŚŚ The passage deals with generous sacrificers and givers of *dakṣiṇā*, AV. means 'who have sacrificed to the gods' (referring to *maghavāno* which follows). In ŚŚ *devāh*, if nom, must refer to *maghavānah*, as in the preceding variant. It might however be voc (again as in the preceding)
- mā no hṛṇātām atithir* (SV *hṛṇātā atithim*) *vasur agnih* RV. SV. Followed by *puruprasasta eṣah* RV.: 'let not Agni, our kindly guest, be ashamed of us; much praised is he.' The SV distortion makes *atithi* object of the verb, referring to some vague person (the *yajamāna*?) other than Agni, to whom it originally belonged. It seems to mean, 'be not ashamed of our guest (O Agni), Agni is kindly, much praised is he'
- didīhi deva devayuh* (SV °*yum*) RV. SV. Preceded by *abhi dyumnam bṛhad yaśa śaspate*. In RV. *devayuh* is in logical apposition with the subject of the imperative; in SV. it is apparently made to refer to the worshiper (so Benfey), as a second acc with *didīhi*
- jaitram indra* (KS *jaitrāyano*) *ratham ā tiṣṭha govt* (AV *govidam*) RV SV. AV. VS TS. MS. KS Whether the epithet is applied to Indra or to his chariot makes little practical difference. But the

rest of the stanza is triṣṭubh, and it is curious that AV. turns this pāda into a jagatī by this otherwise harmless alteration. One or two mss are quoted by Lanman as reading *govit*, but Ppp (JAOS. 40 151) supports *gondam*.

uprasya vā yac chaśamāna ukthyam (AV. °yah) RV. AV. Followed by *vājam*, with which the adjective agrees in RV.; in AV. (with the help of the adjoining *śaśamānah*) it is transferred to the subject (Agni).

pari dyukṣam sanad rayim SV.: *pari dyukṣah sanadrayih* RV. In RV. both epithets agree with the subject (Soma) of the verb of the following pāda; in SV. *sanad* is made a separate verb (subject Soma) with *rayim* as object, and *dyukṣam* agreeing therewith.

āreśatrum (TS AŚ ŚŚ. MŚ *āre śatrūn*) *kṛṇuḥ sarvavīram* (TS AŚ. ŚŚ MŚ. °vīrah) AV † TS AŚ ŚŚ. MŚ. In AV. the epithet goes with the object (*imam* of the preceding pāda), in the others, with the subject.

punar brahmāṇo (brahmā) *vasunītha* (°nītur, °dhīte, °dhītim, °dhītam) *yajñānah* (agne); see §340

ava priyā (AV. *priyān*) *adhūṣata* RV AV. SV VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. If *priyā(s)* is really nom., as commonly assumed, it must agree with the subject (in RV. apparently the Maruts, in the others, secondarily, the pitrs); *priyān* is of course object, but it is not clear to what it refers Grassmann takes *priyās* as acc fem., supplying *giras* (Most AV mss read *avā 'priyān*, with wrong accent, see Whitney's note)

ūrdhvo adhvaram divi deveṣu dhehi VS. ŚB : *ūrdhvam* (VSK. °vo) *imam* (VSK omits) *adhvaram* *hotrā yacha* VS VSK TS. MS. KS. ŚB TA

jāmum (KS † *cam*, see VV 2 §57) *mā hūṣīr* (AV. *mā jāmin moṣīr*) *amuyā* (MŚ *anu yā*) *śayānā* (AV. KS † °nām) AV. KS TB. ApŚ. MŚ. The nom. agrees with the subject, the acc. with the object *jāmin*.

svargān (svargam, svagān) *arvanio* (arvalo) *jayata* (jayema, jayatah, jayati), see §350

lam akraṭum (KU °tuh) *paśyati vītaśokah* TA MahānU KU SvetU. *pre go jagñe vidvān* (AV °vān) *asya bandhum* (AV. *bandhuh*) AV. TS KS. Followed by *nītvā devānām* (TS. *nītvāni devo*) *janimā vivakti*. The verse is mystical and its real sense obscure. It is, however, clear that *bandhuh* must be construed with or as the subject of *vivakti*, and *bandhum* as one of the objects of *vivakti* or object of *vidvān*.

urudrapso viśvarūpa induh TS ApŚ · *purudasmo viśurūpa induh* VS ŚB : *purudasmaṇḍa viśvarūpaṇḍa induh* KS. Followed by *pavamāno* (VS ŚB. *antar*) *garbham* (TS *dhīra*, VS. ŚB *mahimānam*) *ānaṣṭa dhīrah* (TS. *garbham*). The adjectives agree with the object *garbham* in KS, with the subject *induh* in the others

samārabhyordhvo adhvaro divispṛśam TS TB : *ūrdhvo adhvaro divispṛk* MS KS In the ritual the TS passage (followed by *ahruto yajño yajñapaleh*) is addressed to the sacrifice (*āghāra*), and can only be interpreted (understanding *āghāra* as object of *samārabhya*, as TB does): 'lofty is the cult, undertaking the heaven-touching (*āghāra*); the sacrifice of the sacrificer is undisturbed' The reading of MS seems to be a lect. fac., *samārabhya* is taken easily with the preceding formula, and *divispṛk*, assimilated to the preceding nouns, applies to *adhvaro* (KS omits *samārabhya*) Keith considers the 'TS TB' reading a corruption, but with doubtful justice.

sarasvatī vayasā peśo antaram (TB and ms. of KS °*raḥ*) VS MS. KS TB. Preceded in all by *taḍ aśvinā bhīṣajā rudravartanī* 'The Aśvins and Sarasvatī make(s) his inner form.' *antaram* agrees with *peśo* But *antarāḥ*, read by the sole ms of KS as well as TB (v Schr emends), is difficult The TB comm seems to have no qualms about making it agree with *Sarasvatī* (or does he mean to take it as an adverb, = *antar*? *yā tu sarasvaty antarāḥ śarīramadhye*) All we can say is that it certainly no longer goes with *peśo*

ud aśthām amṛtān anu VSK TS MS KS ŚB TA AŚ ApMB · *ud aśthāmāmṛtā vayasā* (HG *abhūma*) AV HG 'I have risen up after the immortals': 'we have risen up immortal.' The AV. verse seems on the whole to be secondary to that of the Yajus texts, and to have transferred the epithet to the subject

asmin yajñe suhavām (AV °*vā*) *johavīm* AV MS KS. TS AŚ ŚS. N. Preceded by *kūhūm devīm suktam vidmanāpasam* (with slight variants) AV comm also has *suhavām*, agreeing with *kūhūm*, if *suhavā* be kept it agrees with the subject, who then has to be conceived as a woman.

prajāpatiḥ yam prathamam jīgāya ŚS ApŚ MŚ ApMB *prajāpatiḥ prathamam 'yam jīgāya* AŚ Preceded by *īyānāntam kāmam aham jayāni* In AŚ (*a*)*yam* goes with the preceding nouns. 'this Prajāpati was the first to win (it)' The other, with *yam* referring to *kāmam*, is doubtless original

§402. Transfers of epithets between nominative and accusative forms which involve also changes in number or gender or both are:

viśvāni yo amartyo (havyā marṣeṣu ranyati) RV. : viśve yasminn amartye (havyam marṣāsa indhate) SV. See §457.

tad ayam (MG. idam) rājā varuno 'numanyatām AG SMB. PG. ApMB.

HG. MG. *ayam* agrees with the subject *rājā* etc., *idam* with the object *tad*.

devān aśhā na majmanā RV. : deva indro na majmanā SV. In SV. the epithet deva is attracted into agreement with the subject.

yā rājānā (TS °nam) saratham yātha (MS yāta) ugrā TS MS. KS Subject is Mitra and Varuna, with which rājānā agrees: 'ye (MS. they) two kings who, terrible, go against the (warrior) with his chariot' TS. transfers it to the object: 'ye two who, terrible, go against the king with his chariot.'

agnis tad viśvam (AV. mss viśvād) ā prnāti (AV. °tu) vidvān RV. AV. TS. MS KS With Whitney and SPP. viśvād (epithet of Agni) must be kept in AV.

anāhanasyam vasanam jarisnu (ŚG car°, PG. °ṇuh) ŚG. PG. HG. ApMB. See VV 2 §57.

anuttā carṣanīdhrīh SV. : anuttā carṣanīdhrīh RV Preceded by tvam vṛtrāṇi hanīsi (anuttā with vṛtrāṇi, °taś with tvam = Indra).

ayā san (MS. ŚŚ ayāh san, KS ayās san, Kauś ayāsyam) havyam ūhiṣe MS KS TB AŚ. ŚŚ ApŚ. Kauś ApMB. HG. The original epithet of Agni is, with phonetic changes resulting in a different word, applied to havyam

devatrā yantam avase sakhāyah (KS. °yam) VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. Followed by anu tvā mātā pīlaro madantu The original applies sakhāyah to mātā pīlaro, KS. transfers it to tvā (influenced no doubt by yantam)

vapāvanam (MS °to) nāgmnā tapantah TS. MS TA Preceded by añjanti yam prathayanto na viprah; MS transfers the epithet from object to subject

prānyā tantūns tirate dhatte anyā AV : avānyāns tantūn. kirato dhatto anyān TB In AV anyā anyā refers to weaving maidens, in TB. it is transferred to the threads.

viśvāni yo amartyah RV : viśve yasmin amartye SV. The n. acc viśvāni of RV agrees with the following havyā; the masc. nom. viśve with the following marṣāsa

dawim (VS. devīm) nāvam svaritrām anāgasam (AV. °sah) RV. AV. VS TS MS. KS In AV. anā° is transferred to the subject of āruhema.

sā śantīh (SV. °tā, TB ApŚ. śantīcī) mayas karad apa sridhah RV. SV. TB. ApŚ. śantīh is epithet of mayas; on the other see VV 2 §156.

bhūristhātrām bhūry āvesayantīm (AV °*tah*) RV. AV See Edgerton, *Studies in Honor of Maurice Bloomfield* 126, and §14 above
viśvavidam (AV. °*do*) *vācam aviśvaminvām* (AV *aviśvavinnām*) RV AV
 Discussed by Edgerton, l c 128, the AV is secondary.

yavena (AV * *yavena vā*) *kṣudham puruhūta viśvām* (AV.* *viśve*) RV
 AV (quater) The AV once transfers the epithet 'all' from
kṣudham to the subject (of *tarema* in the preceding)

dhīnām antah sabardughah RV · *dhenām antah sabardughām* SV In
 RV the adjective agrees with *vanaspatir* (= *Soma*), in SV it is
 attached to the false form *dhenām*

parāsutṛpo abhi śośucānah RV : *parāsutṛpah śośucatah śṛñhi* AV In
 RV śo° agrees with *Agni*, subject of preceding *śṛñhi*, in AV with
 the object (*mūradevān*)

dyumantam sam idhīmahi RV SV VS TS ŚB TB ŚŚ *dyumantah*
sam idhīmahi AV TS MS KS Acc with preceding object *tvā*
gharmam śocantah (AŚ °*ta*, ŚŚ °*tam*) *pravaneṣu* (AŚ ŚŚ *pranaveṣu*)
bībhṛatah AB AŚ ŚŚ In AB nom with *dhiṣanāh* in preceding,
 in ŚŚ acc with *gharmam*. On AŚ cf VV 1 p 165

āmum naya (RV MS *āyum na yam*) *namasā rātahavyam* (RV † MS †
 °*yāh*) RV AV MS In RV MS *rā°* goes with nom *pañca janāh*
 in the following

achidram (SMB °*rāh*) *śarma yachata* RV AB SMB The unaccented
 SMB may understand voc rather than nom

vande dārum (? see VV 1 p 218) *vandamāno vivakmī* RV *vandadvārā*
vandamānā vivaṣṭu SV Preceded by *indrasyeva pra tavasas kṛtām*,
 in SV. *vanda°* is attracted into agreement with *kṛtām*

vandārus te (VS ŚB °*ruṣ te*, add to VV 2 §958, MS KS °*rum te*) *tan-*
vam (*tanuvam*) *vande agne* RV VS TS MS KS ŚB The mean-
 ing here shifts with the form, nom 'praising', acc 'praiseworthy'
 (fem gender, with *tanvam*, tho the masc would have the same form).

akhidrāh (ApŚ *aghorah*, VS ŚB *achinnapatrāh*, MS *achinnapatrah*)
prajā abhivīṣya (VS MS ŚB *anuvīkṣasva*) VS MS KS ŚB
 ApŚ

viśvāh pṛtanā abhībhūtaram naram (SV. PB Svidh *narah*) RV AV
 SV PB AŚ Vait Svidh Followed in RV AV SV. by *sayūs*
tataḥśur indram jajanus ca rājase

ahruto maho dharunāya devān (AV *devah*) RV AV Followed by
divīva jyotiḥ svam ā mumīyāh (AV † °*yāt*) In AV (which has
 reconstructed the passage extensively) *devah* is made an epithet of
 the subject (a horse)

trīn samudrān samasrpaṭ svargān (MS °gah) VS MS ŚB.: *samsarpa* (KS °pan) *trīn samudrān svargān* (ApŚ *svargānī lokān*) KS ApŚ. *ye ceme* (TS *cemām*, VS *cainam*) *rudrā abhīlah* (MS NīlarU. *abhīto rudrāh*) VS. TS. KS MS NīlarU. The nom *ime* goes with *rudrāh*; *enam* (= Rudra) or *imām* (apparently the earth) is construed as object of *abhīlah*

śukrām vayanṭy asurāya nīrñjam RV.: *śukrā vi yanṭy asurāya nīrñje* SV. 'They weave a bright garment for the Asura': 'the bright (soma-drops) stream variously for the adornment of the A.'

sa nah prīthu (TB. °uh) *śravāyā* RV. SV. ŚB. TB. *prīthu*, object: 'the broad (space).' In TB. transferred to the subject (Agni).

ny adhūr mātrāyām (KS. *mātrayā*) *kavayo vayodhasah* (KS °sam) MS. KS. In MS *vayo*° goes with *kavayo*, in KS. with *agnim* in the following

apo mahi vyayahi cakṣase tamah RV : *apo mahi vṛñute cakṣuṣā tamah* SV PB *mahi* refers to the subject Uṣas, *mahi* refers to the darkness which she uncovers

sūryam cāmū rīśādasah (RVKh °sam) AV. RVKh ŚŚ (ed. of AV. *cāmum rīśādasam*, but mss as ŚŚ, RVKh. Scheftelowitz p 157). The original nom pl agrees with *devāh* in the next sentence; RVKh. has acc sg with *sūryam* (The form *am* is probably neut. pl. of *asau*, cf. Wackernagel 3 p 350)

ulokam u dve upa jāmim īyatuh RV.: *lokam u* (ApŚ. *id*) *dve upa jāmī īyatuh* MS ApŚ *jāmī* transferred from object to subject.

agnim bharantam (MS. KS °tā) *asmayum* VS TS MS KS. ŚB Preceded by *yuñjāthām rāsabham yuvam, asmin yāme vṛṣaṇvasū* The acc agrees with *rāsabham*, the nom dual with the subject of *yuñjāthām* (the adhvaryu and yajamāna). The mss. of MS (s.p and p.p) read *asmayuh*, perhaps they intend *asmayū*, which would be another transfer

tā (TS. *te*, VS ŚB *yā*) *te* (RV. KS. N. *vām*) *dhāmāny* (RV. KS N. *vāstūny*) *uśmasi gamadhya* (TS °ye) RV VS TS MS KS ŚB N. Only in TS. the pronoun (*te*) is made to agree with the subject (Keith suggests that it is a mere blunder due to the following *te*, enclitic from *tvam*)

suvrāh prajāh prajānayan parīhi TS. MS. KS TB ApŚ : *suvrō vīrān prajānayan parīhy* . . VS ŚB

varenyakratūr (AV °tur) *aham* RVKh. AV : *īdenyakraṭūr* (text *īde*°, doubtless misprint) *aham* ApŚ. Scheftelowitz reads °tur in RVKh. The form °tur is acc. pl fem. agreeing with the waters; and thus is a simpler reading than °tur (nom sg. masc.), going with *aham*.

182 VEDIC VARIANTS III. NOUN AND PRONOUN INFLECTION

Jhvāntam vātāgram anusamcarantau (PB *abhīsam*°) TS PB TB PG ApMB.: *dhvāntā vātā agnim abhi ye samcaranti* MŚ MG The mss of MŚ MG. read *dhvāntā* (nom dual, going with the subject in the preceding pāda) *vātāgnim* (for which *vātāgram* is doubtless the true reading, cf VV 2 p 402)

ā raśmīn (RV. *raśmīm*) *deva yamase* (TB. *yuvase*) *svaśvān* (RV TB *svaśvaḥ*) RV. VS ŚB TB

jyotiṣmatī (MS °tāh) *prati muñicate nabhaḥ* TS MS. KS PG Followed by *devī rātrī sūryasya vratāni*, or an equivalent In MS *jyo*° (originally epithet of *rātrī*) is made an object of the verb

jīvam (comm *jīvan*) *devebhya uttaram strṇāmī* AV.. *devebhyo jīvanā uttaram bharema* TA In TA *jīv*° is epithet of the subject; so *jīvan* if this is intended in AV. (then with variation of number only); *jīvam*, if intended, must go with the object *uttaram*

hiraṇyārūpam (MS. KS MŚ °varṇam) *uśaso vyuṣṭau* RV MS KS MŚ : *hiraṇyārūpā* (TS. TB °varṇān) *uśaso* (TS TB °sām) *viroke* VS TS ŚB. TB Followed by:

ayasthūṇam (TS. *ayasthūṇāv*) *udītā* (TS MS KS °tau) *sūryasya* RV TS MS KS. In both of these the dual noms agree with the subject, Mitra and Varuna, the accs with the object, *gārtam*

ekaviṃśa r̥bhavaḥ (VS † °va) *stutam* (VS *stutāḥ*) VS MS KS TB One of six consecutive and parallel verses in all, the remaining five have (before *stutam* or *stutāḥ*): *rudrāḥ pañcadaśe*, *vasavaś trivṛtā*, *stome saptaśe*, *trayastrinṣe* 'mṛtam' (°tā, VS), *trinave marutaḥ* (TB. *ma*° *tri*°) In VS *stutāḥ* agrees with the subject, a group of gods that varies in each verse In the others it agrees with the object in pāda d (*haviṣ indre vayo dadhuh*)

hiraṇyayāḥ (MS °yayā) *śucayo dhārāpūtāḥ* RV MS Preceded by *trī rocanā dhvyā dhārayanta*. In RV. *hir*° agrees with the subject, the Ādityas; in MS with the object *rocanā*

mātā yad vīram dadhanad dhanīṣṭhā (MS *vīram jajanaḥ janiṣṭham*) RV VS. MS KS TB

urv (AŚ. *ūrvy* and *urvy*) *antarikṣam vīhi* VS. MS KS ŚB Vait AŚ. (bis) ApŚ MŚ The nom must agree with the subject of *vīhi*

sa praty ud (MS. *sa pratyāññ*) *and dharuṇam* (TS. MS KŚ *dharuno*) *madhvo agram* AV TS. MS KS KSA AŚ ŚŚ KŚ Part of a mystic verse; the sense is no better and no worse, whether the adjective goes with *sa* or with *agram* But it may be based on *juhoma te dharuṇam madhvo agram* RV. AV, in a ritualistic connexion; this rather points to the originality of the acc

mahiṣam nah subhvaṁ tasthivānsam MS · *samudram na subhvaḥ svā abhiṣṭayah* RV : *samudram na suhavam* (AV *subhavas*, TB Poona ed *suhavam*) *tasthivānsam* AV TB ApŚ — Ppp reads like MS, but for *subhavas* (Barret *subhavas*, suggesting *subhavam* as a better reading, JAOS. 35. 46) Same context in all except RV, the acc. agrees with *samudram*, the nom with the subject of the verb in the next pāda. Perhaps AV is influenced by recollection of the RV passage (i.e. shows contamination of two passages).

yajā no (or *yajāno*, so Poona ed of TB with MS, see VV 2 p 376) *devān* (MS *devo*) *ajarah suvīrah* MS TB AŚ ApŚ. Nom *devo* is construed as epithet of subject Agni, acc as object of *yajā* (or *yajāno*), referring to the gods to whom Agni ministers.

avīraghno (ApMB † °nī) *vīratarah* (HG. °*lamah*, AŚ. ApŚ. ApMB. *vīravatah*) *suvīrān* (HG. *suśevān*) AŚ. ApŚ ŚG HG ApMB : *vīram hi* (read *avīraghni*?) *vīravatah suśevā* MG The accs refer to *gṛhān*, the noms to the subject *aham*, which is fem in ApMB. MG Presumably the masc. *aham* is more original, *vīratarah* would be impossible in ApMB MG. Further than this we can hardly go as regards the original form of that epithet But the nom *suśevā* of MG alone is certainly secondary

vi mamarṣa rohito viśvarūpah TB · *vi rohito amṛśad viśvarūpam* AV. The latter original (*viśvarūpam* object)

parīmam rāyo manuṣyam KS : *parīmam rāyas poṣo yajamānam manuṣyāh* TS And others, see §§442 etc In KS *manuṣya* is applied to the *yajamāna*, 'let wealth invest this man' In TS *rāyās* is gen., and *manuṣyāh* must be taken with Keith as a second subject, 'men', or, with thought of *darvīr viśo* in the preceding, 'human clans'

codad rādha upastutaś (ArS °*lam*) *cid anvāk* RV. AV. ArS. MS. TB. In RV etc the adjective goes with the subject, Indra, in ArS. with *rādha(s)*

apo devā (TS MS. KS ApŚ *devīr*) *madhūmatīr agrbhñan* (TS ApŚ *agrhnan*, MS KS *agrbhñām*) VS TS. MS KS ŚB ApŚ The acc fem *devīr* is doubtless orig; *devā(h)* goes with the subject

ayam (TA *īdam*) *devo vanaspatih* AV. TA Preceded by *varano vārayātai* (*vārayāt*) TA feels the need of an object, and alters *ayam* to *īdam*

ādityā rudrā upariśprśo nah (KS °*śam mā*) AV. KS : *vasavo rudrā ādityā upariśprśam mā* RV VS TS Followed by *ugram cettāram adhrājānam akran* (AV. *akrata*) The adjective, orig. going with *mā*, is transferred to the subject in AV.

ād im aśvam na helārah (SV. °ram) RV. SV. Followed by *aśūsubhann amṛtāya, madhvo* (SV *madho*) *rasam sadhamāde*. 'As drivers (deco-rate) a horse', RV.: in SV. *helāram* is Soma (attracted to the case of *rasam*), 'the inciting one'.

yasya dyāvo na vicaranti mānuṣā (SV °ṣam) RV. SV. The meaning of RV is doubtful Oldenberg: 'whose (Indra's) gifts to men go their course like the days', Geldner: 'for whom the ages (*yugāni*) of men pass like (his) days' In SV *mānuṣam* is assimilated in case and number to the epithets of Indra in the verse, and is taken to mean 'friendly to man', the relative clause might mean 'whose heavens do not pass away' (so Benfey).

taḥ jānatīr abhy anūṣata vrāh RV.: *tā jānatīr abhy anūṣata lṣāh* ArS In RV *taḥ* is object of *jānatīr*, in ArS. *tā(h)* attracted to agreement with it

indra jaḥharam navyo (SV AŚ. ŚŚ °yam) *na* AV. SV. AŚ ŚŚ For the difficulties involved see Whitney's note; in any case the word goes with Indra in AV., with *jaḥharam* in the rest

tvam ṣamudram prathamō vi dhārayah (SV. °drah *prathame vidharman*) RV SV. Radical reconstruction, with transfer of object to predicate nom 'You first arranged the sea (for the gods)'- 'du bist das Meer im allerhochsten Träger' (Benfey).

indram juṣāṇā vṣaṇam (VS *janayo*) *na patnīh* VS. MS KS TB Here different words are involved 'Taking delight in the manly Indra, like wives': 'taking delight in Indra, like wedded women'

§403. In some of the preceding cases it is perhaps doubtful whether the term 'transfer of epithet' applies strictly In these which now follow it can certainly apply only by stretching the term Namely: in them one form of the variant shows a noun or pronoun of independent construction, which cannot properly be described as an 'epithet' of any other word. In several cases, moreover, the words are different, as in the last variant in the preceding section Cf. §15

pratnam nī pātī kāvyam RV ('he protects the ancient wisdom')- *ṣpratnāni* (Conc *pra tvā nī pātī kāvyah* KS ('he [Soma], the wise, protects the ancient things [laws, or the like]')

prajāpatim aham tvayā samakṣam rāhyāsam GB Vait : *prajāpatir aham tvayā sāksād rāhyāsam* MŚ. 'May I cause Prajāpati to prosper visibly with thee': 'may I, a very Prajāpati in person, prosper thru thee' The latter is original; see Caland on Vait

achāyam eti śavasā ghṛtēna (AV *ghṛtā cit*) AV Ppp VS. TS MS : *achāyam yanti śavasā ghṛtācīh* KS See §467.

samdhātā samdham (MS. *samdhir*) *maghavā purūvasuh* (*puro*°, *puru*°)
RV. AV. SV. MS. PB. TA. KŚ. ApMB. The nom. can only be
felt as going with the subject; but the whole verse is very corrupt
in MS

gīrah somah (SV *gīra stomān*) *pavamāno manīṣāh* RV. SV. 'The puri-
fied soma (has inspired) our songs and devotions', RV.: 'the puri-
fied one (soma, has inspired) our songs, praises, and devotions'

evam tam garbham ā dheh RVKh MG.: *evam tvam garbham ā dhatsva*
ApMB

asmabhyam indav indrayuh (SV *indriyam*) RV. SV. The verb is
pavasva, to which SV. supplies an object *indriyam*; *indrayuh* is
nom., epithet of the soma-drop (*indu*).

duraś ca viśvā avṛnod apa svāh RV AV.: *turaś cid viśvam ṛjnavat tapas-
vān* AV. *duraś* is object of *avṛnod*; *turaś* apparently felt as epithet
of the subject

samudhyamānah prathamānu dharmā (TB. ApŚ *prathamo nu dharmah*)
RV † TB ApŚ (RV. p.p *prathamā, anu, dharmā*) The original
neut pls were misunderstood later and made into noms sg. masc.,
epithets of the subject, Agn. Doubtless the adjacent *samudhya-
mānah* helped Caland translates ApŚ. as if it had the RV. read-
ing, tho he has no note.

yusmāns ca dāyam ma upetā (ŚŚ *dāyam copetām*) AB. ŚŚ. Followed
by *vidyām yām u ca* (ŚŚ *uta*) *vidmasi upetā* is nom. sg. of a
nomen agentis used as periphrastic future: 'he shall obtain you as
an inheritance from me, and also the knowledge which we know.'
In ŚŚ. we have *upetām*, past pple, attracted into agreement with
vidyām; in this form there is no verb to govern the accs

dharṣā (VSK °*ṣān*) *mānuṣah* (KS °*ṣam*, TS ApŚ. °*ṣān*) VS VSK TS
MS KS ŚB ApŚ MŚ In KS. TB. ApŚ acc object of the verb,
in the others subject, or agreeing with the subject: 'be bold, as a
man (VSK let the man be bold)'

tasmā devā amṛtāh (AV °*tam*) *sam vyayanām* (AV. °*tu*) AV. TS MS
KS ApMB. In AV *amṛtam* is a noun, object of the verb

7. Neuter acc adverbs varying with nom. adjectives

§404. In a small and simple group we find neuter accusative adverbs
varying with nominative adjectives, virtually equivalent in meaning:
subhūh svayambhūh prathamah (AŚ ŚŚ °*mam*) VS ŚB. AŚ. ŚŚ
somah prathamō vivide RV PG. HG ApMB : *somasya jāyā prathamam*
AV 'Soma was the first to marry (thee)': '(thou wast) Soma's
wife first.'

caraty ananuvratā ApMB. HG : *ncaranty apativratā* ŚŚ . *yac cacārā-nanuvratam* (adverb) ApŚ. Preceded by *yan me mātā pralulubhe* (or the like).

ava tara (TS. *avattaram*, AV. *avattaro*) *nadiṣv ā* (AV *nadinām*) AV VS TS. MS KS. ŚB. *avattaram* apparently an adverb; *avattaro* adj agreeing with the subject MS p p. has *avalaram*, which seems to be what Keith means to translate by 'lower' in TS

svasti naḥ pūrṇamukhaḥ pari krāmatu (HG °*mukham pari krāmantu*) ApMB. HG We now would render (rather than as in VV 1 §359). 'Happily may he, with his face turned full towards us (HG they, with their faces), walk round us ' So better than Oldenberg's interpretation of HG , 'walk round our full face ' The Sūtra itself renders by *pradakṣinam*

aśambādḥā yā madhyato mānavebhyah MS.. *aśambādham badhyato* (read *ma°*, VV 2 §241) *mānavānām* (Ppp *mānaveṣu*) AV Ppp Kauś See §623.

dyumad vibhāti bhāratebhyah śucīḥ (VS *śuci*, comm *śucīḥ*) RV. SV VS TS MS KS. *śuci* may be taken as an adverb *mśvar devair anumatā* (KS TA °*am*) *marudbhīḥ*, see §388 above KS. has an adverb

viṣvak patantu didyavaḥ RV : *viṣvañco asmac charavaḥ patantu* AV *āgne yāhu suvidatrebhir arvāṇ* (MS *arvāk*, p p *arvāṇ*) RV AV MS TB N.

prāṇ (VS TB *prāṅk*, MS *prāk*, p p. *prāṇ*) *somo atidrutah* VS VSK. MS ŚB. TB ApŚ

pratyak (p p *pratyāṇ*) *somo atidrutah* MS *pratyāṇ* (VS TS MS † ŚB † TB *pratyāṅk*) *somo atidrutah* (VS * †10 31b, MS *atidrutah*) AV † (see Whitney's note) VS (bis) VSK TS MS. KS. ŚB (bis) TB ApŚ

§405. Once we have what seems to be perhaps a fem acc adverb varying with a nom adjective, but the form is very suspicious: *didāyānudhmo* (MS °*mām*) *ghṛtanirṇag apsu* RV TS MS '(Agni) shone in the waters without kindling ' If MS is sound it would seem to have an adverb All s p mss agree; curiously the p p reads *didāya, asme ity asme*

8 Miscellaneous and doubtful

§406. The remaining nominative-accusative variants are hardly classifiable Many are textually dubious, and in most the interpretation of one form, or both, is troublesome

sakṛd yat tvā (KS. *te*) *manasā garbha* (KS *garbham*) *āśayat* TS. KS
Here *garbha* is used in different senses: 'embryo' (TS) and 'womb'
(KS)

amāsi sarvān (AŚ. *sarvān*) *ast praviṣṭaḥ* AŚ Kauś : *amo 'sī sarvān asi*
praviṣṭaḥ ŚG : *amā hy asi sarvam anu praviṣṭaḥ* SMB The origi-
nal is certainly *sarvān* (from *sarvāñc*); the accs are lect fac

apa snehitṛ (SV *snihitum*) *nṛmanā adhatta* (SV. *adhad rāh*, KS.† *nṛma-*
nām adadhrām) RV. AV SV KS : *upa stuhi tam nṛmṇām* (Poona
ed. *snuhi tam nṛmanām*) *athadrām* TA The latter is plainly
corrupt The acc *nṛmanām* (bad form in any case) may be felt
as a second object, correlative with *snehitṛ*; but the interpretation
is certainly dubious

sūryasya marīcīḥ TA : *sūryo marīcim ādatte* TA. Probably the two are
not really related The former occurs in a list of the *patnīs* of
various gods; the latter in a cosmic verse about creation.

udādāya prthivīm jīvadānum (TS TB ApŚ *jīradānuh*, MS KS *jīra-*
dānum) VS TS MS KS TB ŚB ApŚ The nom. is clearly
established as the reading of the Tait school, but there is no main
verb in the stanza and it is hard to construe Both Keith and
Caland think an acc (agreeing with *prthivīm*) must be read

kratum dadhikrā (MS **krām*) *anu samtavītvai* (VS MS KS. ŚB *samsa-*
nīṣyadat) RV VS VSK TS. MS KS ŚB N. The context is
identical in MS, and only a nom seems construable: 'Dadhikrā,
showing his strength according to his will' Is the final *m* in MS.
mere 'Hiatusilger'? Cf. VV 2 §308 ff.

samānam ajmam (PB. *jayman*) *pary eti* (TA ApŚ. *ajmā pari yāti*)
jāgruh RV PB TA ApŚ. In RV.: 'watchful he (Agni) goes about
his accustomed course' The nom *ajmā* is apparently felt as an
epithet of Agni (TA comm *svargagamanaśilak*), but is scarcely to
be called anything but a corruption (Caland on ApŚ) Comm on
PB. understands *ajman* (for *ajman*) as a loc, but probably mis-
understands the intention of its text On *y* for *j* in PB. see Caland's
translation, xxv. The Conc should be corrected for this and
īyunaymī te prthivīm agnīnā saha, and these interesting variants
added to VV 2 §192 Cf also Raghu Vira, *Kaṇiṣṭhala-Kaṭha-*
Samhitā, 5, Oertel 28

bṛhaspatim yajñam akrītvata rṣim RV : *bṛhaspatir yajñam atanuta rṣiḥ*
AV The same passage in both texts, dealing otherwise wholly
with Yama; the introduction of a statement about Brhaspati's
action is evidently a stupid blunder

yāns (TA. Poona ed. text and comm. *yās*) *te soma prānāns tām (tām, tām) juhomi* TA. MahānU. Either reading must intend approximately 'those breaths which are thine' Comm on MahānU. supplies *pātyāmi* as governing the acc. The nom. *yās* makes construction simpler (*prānāns* for *prānās* being then attracted to the following *tām*).

sam takṣā hanti cakrī vah (HG. *cakrīnah*) ApMB. HG. Obscure and likely to be corrupt in both forms. According to Oldenberg, HG would mean 'the carpenter hammers at (the chariots) that have wheels.' *cakrī*, if sound, would seem to be felt as an epithet of *takṣā*!

brahmānam (TB °na) *indram vayodhasam* VS. TB. After *hotā yakṣad*, only acc seems construable Comm. on TB in fact takes *brahmānas* as acc. pl (agreeing with *dvāras* preceding)!—as if from *brahman*, with strong stem for weak.

manyum (AV *manyur*) *viśa īdate mānujūr yāh* (TB † *īdate devayantīh*) RV AV. MS. TB The nom is carelessly repeated from the preceding half verse, where it occurs three times It is really unconstruable, as Whitney observes; comm reads *manyum* Yet Ppp. has *manyur*, which seems to suggest that it is the actual reading of the Atharvan schools

purāṇān (TA °ṇā) *anu venati* RV. TA N. Comm. on TA *purāṇān devān* In fact neither a nom. pl masc nor a nom or acc pl fem is construable.

narāśansena nagnahum (KS TB. °huh) VS MS. KS TB The form must be acc in all Von Schroeder emends KS to °hum, TB. comm keeps the form °huh but regards it as acc. neut

parīdam vāy ajnam (PG °dam vājinam) *dadhe 'ham* (HG *ajnam dhatsvāsau*) ŚG PG HG ApMB Haplology in PG. (VV 2 p. 362); *vājinam* not construable

bahuprajā nirṛtam (AV °tur) *ā viveśa* RV. AV N Whitney assumes an acc in AV, but the passage is mystic nonsense and perhaps anything is possible This might be classed with 'case attraction' above, since apparently AV has made *nirṛti*-agree with *bahuprajā* *ā viveśāparājītām* (TA °iā) AV TA Only the acc. can be construed, so comm on TA interprets the form, tho he reads °iā like both editions

tlvīlāstām vrāvatīm AG †. *tlvīlā syād vrāvatī* ApMB, and others, always with nom Stenzler (note in transl of AG p 83) regards the acc ending as certainly erroneous

- §407. In the rest there seems to be no genuine variant at all:
sindhum (MahānU. v. 1. *sindhur*) *na nāvā duritāti parṣi* RV. MS. TB.
 TA MahānU. The v. 1. is worthless; no nom could be construed.
havyam pārāvatebhyah AV. ŚŚ. So mss of AV.; R-Wh. emend wrongly
 to *havyah*.
pūṣaṇvān karambham MS. KS AB *karambhah* is read by Von Schroeder
 in MS by emendation, and the Conc quotes KS. as °*bhah*,
 erroneously All texts should read °*bham*.
śimāh kṛṇvantu śimyantah TS KSA Conc. quotes *śimām* for KSA ,
 this is a false reading of one ms , rejected in the ed.
tān sma mānuṣaṣṭkṛtāh AŚ': *ete nānuṣaṣṭkṛtāh* Vait. But all mss of
 Vait read *ca tāmsamānuṣaṣṭkṛtā(h)*; with Caland we should
 probably assume that they intend the AŚ. reading.
trptām juhur mātulasyeva yoṣā RVKh.† N† Conc reads *trptā* for
 RVKh, with Aufrecht, but see Scheftelowitz, p 87.
vāyavyah śvetah puche VS MS.: *vāyavyam śvetam* ApŚ. The latter
 should be deleted in the Conc ; it is no mantra but the beginning
 of a Brāhmana passage, TS. 2 1 1. 1
iṣamāṇa (ŚŚ °*nā*) *upasprśah* AV. ŚŚ Read in AV as in ŚŚ. (with
 mss, SPP, Whitney's Index; and see Bloomfield's note on 20.
 127 2).

CHAPTER XV

NOMINATIVE AND INSTRUMENTAL

1. Instr of means or agent and subject nom

§408. The instrumental in one aspect expresses the means or instrument, or the agent, by which an action is performed. Naturally, therefore, it sometimes varies with the nominative as expressing the performer of the action. In its simplest form this change merely accompanies a shift between an active or transitive verb and a passive or intransitive one, as in:

ya im vahanta āśubhiḥ RV. : yadī vahanty āśavah SV. 'Whoso travel by horses': 'when the horses carry (him)'
manyur akārṣin manyuh karoti .TAA : manyunā kṛtaṁ manyuh karoti .BDh

§409. It is not even necessary that the verb form should vary; once, at least, the same verb is taken as either passive or middle-deponent: *na karmaṇā lpyate pāpakena ŚB TB. BṛhU BDh. : na karma lpyate nare VS. IśāU.* 'He is not stained by evil action': 'action does not stick to (stain) a man'

§410. A little different in psychology is the next group—all occurring in the same passage—in which the instr. of means varies with what would be, with the active voice, an acc of direct object, but becomes nom as subject of a passive verb:

antar dadhe parvataḥ HG ApMB : antarhitā girayah ŚG 'I interpose with mountains': 'mountains are interposed.'—In same context: *antar mahyā pṛthivyā HG.† ApMB †, antar dadha rīubhiḥ, ahorātraiś ca samdhībhiḥ (HG. "iraiś susamdhībhiḥ); ardhāmāsaiś ca māsaiś ca—all HG. ApMB : antarhitā pṛthivī mahi me; antarhitā ma rīavaḥ; ahorātraiś ca samdhīyāḥ; māsaiś cārdhamāsaiś ca, all ŚG.*

§411. More often there is no such change in the verb, whether in form or meaning. The variation in case may be said to signalize a lack of clear distinction between the concepts of agent and of instrument. 'Indra by his might has done so and so' is equivalent to 'Indra's might has done so and so' In such religious literature as the Veda, where personification and apostrophe of inanimate things and qualities

are so common, such a shift is particularly easy; it would indeed not be difficult anywhere. It suggests a characteristic trait of Homeric diction (βίη Ἡρακλείη). But it is noteworthy that the possessive adjective, or genitive, or equivalent, which appears in such Homeric phrases, is rarely found among our variants, the first example is perhaps the only one.—Instead of the subject nom., the voc. of direct address is also found varying with the instr. in the same way, §354

pra te divo na stanayanti śuśmāh (MS. °yanta śuśmaiḥ) RV. TS. MS.

'Thy (Agni's) furies thunder like (the thunders) of heaven': 'they (sc. *gṛah*) have thundered to thee with furies like (those) of heaven.'

abhi stomair (RV.* SV.* *stomā*) *anūṣata* RV. SV. (both in each) AV.

VS 'Songs of praise shouted to thee': 'they shouted to thee with songs of praise'

vājasya mā prasavena (VS. ŚB. °vāh) VS TS. KS MS ŚB. ApŚ. MŚ.

Followed by *udgrābhenod agrābhūt* (*ajigrahām*, etc.). 'He has (I have) exalted me (myself) with increase of strength, with exaltation': 'increase of strength has exalted me with exaltation'

taṁ tvābhūh suṣṭutibhir vājayantah RV.. *taṁ tvā gṛah suṣṭutayo vāja-*

yant SV. 'Strengthening thee with these fair praises': 'songs of fair praise strengthen thee'

punantu manavo (RV. *vasavo*, VS. KS. *manasā*) *dhiyā* (VS. KS. *dhiyah*)

RV AV. VS MS KS TB. 'Let men (*Vasus*) purify with prayer': 'let prayers purify with mind.'

The change of *manavo* to *manasā* introduces a different word; but it is to be noted that it replaces the instr. *dhiyā*, and so in a way restores the original construction of the sentence.

abhi yo mahinā divam RV. AŚ : *abhīmam* (TS. MŚ.† °mān, MS. MŚ.

v. l. °mān) *mahinā* (VS. °mā; delete MŚ v. l. in Conc.) *divam*

(MS. *divah*) VS. TS. MS. TA ApŚ. MŚ. Followed by *mitro* (VS.

vipro) *babhūva saprathāh*. 'The far-spreading *Mitra* has surpassed

this heaven (these heavens) by his majesty'; VS substitutes *vipro*

for *mitro* (phonetic shifts, VV 2 §§180, 235), and turns *mahinā* into

mahimā, nom.. 'the wise, far-spreading majesty has'

kṣatram agne (AV. *kṣatrepāgne*) *śuyamam astu tubhyam* AV. VS. TS.

MS KS. In AV. neither the *pāda* itself nor the context furnishes

a subject for *astu*. Apparently indefinite subject: 'by dominion,

Agni, let it be of easy control for thee.' The other reading is sup-

ported by Ppp and is much simpler, but for that reason perhaps

to be suspected of secondariness: 'let dominion, Agni, be of easy

control for thee.'

śukro brhan dakṣinayā (TB. *brhad dakṣinā tvā*) *pīpartu* AV. TB 'Let the bright one, the mighty, endow [me] with the sacrificial fee': 'let the bright one, the mighty [comm, the *sāman*], (and) the sacrificial fee endow thee.' On this use of root *pr* see Bloomfield, *AJP*. 17. 408 ff (esp 409)

2. Associative instr and (collateral) subject or predicate nom.

§412. An associative instrumental attached to a noun in any other case might theoretically be replaced by a form in that other case. So we find such instr forms attached to a subject or predicate nom, varying with a nom as collateral subject or predicate. For the same variation with other cases than the nom see §§55-7 With the nom. this is particularly easy in constructions with words whose meaning suggests the instr, expressions of mingling, union, equality, comparison, or the like Such words occur in most of the following variants:

ā dadhnaḥ kalaśair (ApMB. °śir, MG °śam) *aguh* (with varr) AV. AG ŚG PG HG. ApMB. MG Preceded by *ā vatso jagatā saha* Ppp. reads *ā dadhnaḥ kalaśaś ca yah*. The ApMB substitution has phonetic aspects (VV 2 §701), but Ppp. supports it in sense by reading a nom (Note, however, that the fem stem *kalaśi* is post-Vedic) 'They have come with pots of sour milk': '(they and) pots of sour milk have come' Knauer prints MG as *kalaśam airayam*, but the mss are clearly corrupt, the syllable *air* must conceal the true case-ending of *kalaśa* Read *kalaśair ayam*, as in Kāṭhaka G (see Caland's ed and note on this). In the same context-

emām paṛisrutah kumbhah AV : *enam paṛisrutah kumbhyā* ŚG : *ā tvā paṛisṛtah* (°sṛtah, °sṛtah, *hīranmayah*) *kumbhah* (ApMB † °āh) AG PG. MG ApMB HG

yaśasā (ArS *yaśo*) *mā dyāvāprthivī* ArS PG MG The verb to be supplied is a form of *vid* 'find', from pāda c: *yaśo bhagaś ca mā vidat* (MG *riṣat*) PG. MG, *yaśo bhagasya vindatu* ArS ('let glory of fortune find [me]'; so correct rendering in VV 2 p. 98).—So, in same stanza, between these two pādas-

yaśasendrābhraspatī PG. MG : *yaśo mendrābhraspatī* ArS Cf. prec 'With glory let find (come to) me.' However, the dual forms might be taken as vocs, supplying a 2d person verb: 'with glory (come) to me, O . .' (So Oldenberg on PG)

yavā (MS *yavar*) *na barhī bhruv kesarāni* VS MS KS TB 'The hairs on his eye-brow are like barley and sacred straw (like sacred straw with barley)'

samā bhavantūdvalo (TS °*vatā*) *npādāh* RV TS KS 'The heights and depths shall be equal': 'the depths shall be equal with the heights' *teṣām iṣṭān sam iṣā madantī* RV VS TS MS N.: *sam no, mahān sam iṣo mahantām* KS A far-reaching reconstruction in the latter, it vaguely suggests the psychology of the variants in this section. *satyā eṣām* (AG *etā*) *āśiṣah santu kāmāh* (ApMB HG. *santu kāmāh*; SMB Jorgensen *santu kāmāh*, v 1 *kāmāt*, AG *santu sarvāh*, VS *samnamantām*) VS. VSK AG SMB. Kauś ApMB HG 'Let their prayers, their desires, come true': 'let their prayers with their desires (or perhaps, according to their desires' of the v 1 *kāmāt*) come true'

ādityas (ApMB °*yais*) *te vasubhir ā dadhātu* HG ApMB. Preceded by *indro marudbhir ṛtudhā* (HG *iha te*) *kṛṇotu* (HG. *dadhātu*) 'Indra with the Maruts, Āditya with the Vasus': 'Indra with the Maruts with the Ādityas, with the Vasus'.

sam revatīr jagatībhiḥ prcyantām VS ŚB ŚŚ : *sam revatīr jagatībhir* (VSK °*bhiḥ sam*) *madhumatīr madhumatībhiḥ srjyadhvam* (VSK *prcyantām*) TS VSK TB : *sam revatīr jagatīh* MŚ The latter belongs to the same context as the others, but the verb of mingling is postponed to the next pāda (*śvāh śvābhiḥ sam asṛkṣatāpah*), and the original instr which was paired with *revatīr* is made into a supplementary subject

tan mṛtyunā nṛtīh samvidānā AV . *tan mṛtyur nṛtyā samvidānah* TB. Here nom and instr. change places, without real change of meaning 'Destruction in unison with death': 'death in unison with destruction'

tām viśvair devair (KS *viśve devā*) *ṛtubhiḥ samvidānah* (KS. °*nāh*) VS. TS MS KS ŚB ApŚ Followed by *prajāpatīr viśvalarmā vimuñcatu* (ApŚ *yunaktu*) KS turns the original complementary instr. (dependent on *samvidānah*) into a collateral subject of the verb in the next pāda

śukrah śukraśociṣā VS. TS. KS. ŚB TB ApŚ . *śukrau śukraśociṣau* MS. 'The bright with the bright-shining one': 'the two bright, bright-shining ones'

tayor (TS TB *tasyām*, MŚ *yasyām*, v. 1 *asyām*) *devā adhīsamvasantah* (MŚ *abhisamvśantah*) TS TB ApŚ. MŚ : *tasyām devair samvasanto mahitvā* AV In AV. the following verb is *madema*, in the rest *mādayantām* or °*yadhvam* 'The gods, dwelling together': 'may we, dwelling together with the gods'

parīman yajamānam manuṣyāh saha rāyas poṣeṇa prajāyā ca vyayantām

MS : *parīmam rāyas poṣo yajamānam manuṣyāh* TS The associative instr is pointed with *saha* See §§402 etc
indrāghoṣas (MS KS. °ṣās) *tvā vasubhīh purastāt pātu* (KS † *tvā vasavaḥ pu° pāntu*, MS *tvā purastād vasubhīh pāntu*) VS TS MS KS ŚB : *indrāghoṣā vo vasubhīh purastād upadadhatām* TA. Followed by the next two, *q v*
manojavās tvā pītṛbhīr (KS *pitaro*) *dakṣīnatah pātu* (KS *pāntu*) VS TS KS ŚB : *pitaras tvā manojavā dakṣīnatah pāntu* MS . *manojavaso vah pītṛbhīr dakṣīnata upadadhatām* TA See next
pracetās tvā rudraḥ paścāt pātu VS TS KS ŚB : *rudrās tvā pracetasaḥ paścāt pāntu* MS : *pracetā vo rudraḥ paścād upadadhatām* TA This and the two preceding all occur in the same passage, which also contains a fourth phrase (*viśvakarmā tvādityair*) in which all texts have the instr When a nom is substituted for the instr (as twice in KS and twice in MS) it may be felt as a second subject, so belonging in this group Yet it is at least as likely to be felt as sole subject, modified by the word (*indrāghoṣās* etc) which in the other version is the substantive subject, but here may be felt as an adjective

3 Instr of karmadhārayas (or separate instr) and nom of bahuvrīhis

§413. Occasionally we find the same compound stem used now as an instr (a karmadhārayā), and again in the nom as a bahuvrīhi, an adjective epithet of the subject The instr seems generally to be felt as associative, tho this shades over into the instr of means In general psychology this group is similar to the preceding one Sometimes the instr occurs in separate, uncompounded forms

aśvānām sadhastutī (TB °tīh) RV TB The verse reads *ye me pañcāśatam dadur, aśv° sadh°, dyumad agne mahi śravo, brhat kṛdhi maghōnām, nṛvad amṛta nṛnām* 'Who have given me 500 horses, of (these) patrons do thou, Agni, with joint praise (TB having joint praise), make great the fame' etc

dame-dame suṣṭutī (AV KS °tū, TS °tīr, MS °tī) *vām vyanā* (TS MS KS *vāyrdhānā*, AV °nau) AV TS MS KS AŚ ŚŚ If MS intends nom dual, its variation with AV. KS is like the foregoing: 'having good praises' or 'along with good praise' But *suṣṭutī* may be taken also as instr TS makes the form acc. pl depending on the pple, and AŚ ŚŚ are hopelessly corrupt

tam tvā bhrātaraḥ suvṛdhā (ApMB °dho, HG *suhṛdo*) *vardhamānam* AV ApMB HG The following verb is *anu jayantām* AV. 'after

thee, growing with good growth, may brothers be born.' ApMB : 'after thee, growing, may brothers be born whose growth is good' Even closer to the preceding cases would this variant be if *svyrdhā* were taken with the following verb rather than with the participle; but the order seems against this.

prāno agnih paramātmā pañcavāyubhir āvṛtaḥ PrānāgU.: *prāno 'gnih paramātmā vai pañcavāyuh samāśritaḥ* MU. 'The Paramātman is surrounded by the five breaths': ' . has entered in with (or, perhaps, as) the five breaths' Here the psychology is a little different; the instr. seems clearly one of means

vi yo mame rajasī sukratūyayā RV : *vi yo rajānsy amimīla sukratuh* RV. Here a different but related word (with abstract suffix) is used in the karmadhāraya

tripād ūrdhva ud at puruṣaḥ RV ArS VS TA : *tribhīḥ padbhir dyām arohat* AV. Here two separate words are used instead of the karmadhāraya compound

4 Nom and instr of part dedicated in offering

§414. In the long list of dedicatory formulas used in presenting parts of the horse's body to various deities in the *Aśvamedha*, we find a number of times variation between instr of the part dedicated (and acc of the deity), on the one hand (with verb of 'gratifying' understood), and on the other hand nom. of the part dedicated and gen or dat of the deity (with copula 'understood'). Cf §126 on the various types of dedicatory formulas We shall not record a complete list here; others will be found in the same vicinity:

pūṣaṇam vaṁṣṭhunā VS MS. ('Pūṣan [we gratify] with the *van*^o): *pūṣno vaṁṣṭhuh* TS. KSA ('the *van*^o is for Pūṣan')—Similarly: *andhākān* ('he, ^oheh) *sthūlagudayā* (*sthūra*^o, *gudā*), and others, same texts

5 Transfer of epithet

§415. As usual we find in a number of cases that the shift between nom and instr is due to the transfer of an epithet from one person or thing to another In most cases there is a variation in number or gender as well as case, we quote first those in which case alone varies: *svāveśayā* (VS. ŚB. ^oveśa) *tanvā samvīśasva* VS. MS. KS. ŚB. In VS.

ŚB the adjective, if as we believe (cf §174) it is nom., agrees with the subject (*iṣṭakā*), in MS KS with *tanvā*.

prātaryāvāno adhvaram RV. VS TB : *prātaryāvabhīr adhware* SV. Pre-

ceded by: *śrudhi śrutkārṇa vahnūbhur, devair agne sayāvabhīh, ā sīdantu* (SV. *sīdatu*) *barhiṣi mitro* (TB. adds *varuṇo*) *aryamā* In the original *prātara*^o agrees with the subject; in SV. it becomes an associative instr. attached to the subject, doubtless influenced by the instrumentals in the preceding.

nuttāś carṣaṇādhṛtā SV : *anuttā carṣaṇādhṛtā* RV. In RV. *carṣaṇādhṛtā* is an epithet of doubtful reference (cf. Oldenberg, *Nolen*, 1 p 162, n 1), in SV. it is transferred to the subject (*tvam* = Indra).

§416. In the rest there is variation in number or gender or both, as well as case:

paro devebhīr (MS *°bhīyo*) *asurair* (MS. *°ram*) *yad asti* (TS *asurair guhā yat*) RV. TS MS KS. Preceded by *paro divā para enā prthivyā*, except in MS. which has *paro divah para enā prthivyāh* (abls for instrs); MS. makes *devebhīr* over into *devebhīyo* in accord with this, and since the meter does not permit *asurebhīyo*, it transfers this word to the subject *yad*

ghnanto (MS ApŚ *ghnatā*) *vṛtrāṇy aprati* AV. MS KS TS ApŚ. Nom goes with subject *vayam*, instr. with associative *indrena indrena sayujō* (AV. *°jā*) *vayam* AV TS. ApŚ 'We allied with Indra': 'we with Indra as ally.'

indrena devīr (MŚ *devair*) *vīrudhah samvānāh* TS MŚ.

ta ā vahanti (MS *tayā vahante* [so p p]) *kavayah purastāt* TS MS TB : *tad āharanti kavayah purastāt* KS In MS *tayā* by attraction to preceding *svadhayā*, cf. VV 2 §342

udyan bhrājabhṛṣṭibhīr (PG *°bhṛṣṭir*) *indro marudbhīr asthāt* SMB. PG GG.

suddha (SV *suddhair*) *āśtrvān mamattu* RV. SV. Preceded by *suddhair ukthair vāṛdhvānsam*, to these instr forms the SV. has assimilated *suddha(h)* of RV.

aganma mahā (KS. *maho*) *namasā yaviṣṭham* RV SV. MS KS AB KB TB ApŚ AŚ ŚŚ We have come with mighty homage (KS mighty with homage) unto the youngest (Agni) KS apparently understands a nom pl with its *mahā*

abhakhyā bhāsā brhatā suśukvanīh RV · *dr̥śe* (MS *dr̥śā*) *ca bhāsā brhatā suśukvanīh* (KS. *°vabhīh*, MS *suśikmanā*) VS TS MS KS ŚB In MS *su°* is made an epithet of *bhāsā* In KS. too the epithet no longer agrees with the subject (Agn). It is perhaps made coordinate with *bhāsā*, or possibly it is meant to agree with *suśastubhīh* in the following pāda (but in that case the form would be irregular since the latter word is fem)

ayasā manasā dhṛtaḥ ApŚ. ApMB HG.: *ayāsā manasā* (AŚ. *vayasā*) *ṛtaḥ* AŚ ŚŚ Kauś.: *ayā san*† (MS. *ayāḥ san*, KS. *ayās san*, ms. *ayāsā*) *manasā hṛtaḥ* (MS *ṛtaḥ*, p p. and KS. *ṛtaḥ*) MS. KS. TB ApŚ ApMB. HG. Followed by:

ayasā havyam ūhiṣe ApŚ ApMB. HG.: *ayā san* (MS ŚŚ. *ayāḥ san*, KS *ayās san*, Kauś. *ayāsyam*) *havyam ūhiṣe* MS KS. TB. AŚ. ŚŚ. ApŚ. Kauś ApMB. HG.: *ayā no yajñam vahāsi* KŚ. In the first of these two pādas, the instrs. are transferred to *manasā* from agreement with the subject (Agni); in the second they are attracted to the like construction by the preceding *ayasā*.

uruvyacasō dhāmnā pātyamānāḥ VS TS. MS. KS.: *uruvyacasāgner dhāmnā pātyamāne* AV. The AV. version is corrupt; by a false verse division *uru*° (originally epithet of the divine doors, *dvārah*) is transferred to *dhāmnā*. Ppp. agrees with the others

pāvakayā yaś (TS. *pāvaka ā*) *cīṭayantyā kṛpā* RV. VS TS MS. KS ŚB. The nom. in TS. is really due to phonetic alteration; cf. Oldenberg, *Prol* 453, and VV 2 §343 But it is construable in agreement with the unexpressed subject of the verb *ruruce*.

saṃjagmāno abibhyuṣā RV AV. SV. N: *saṃjagmānā abibhyuṣāḥ* (MS. *avīhṛutāḥ*) AV. MS. The instr. goes with a preceding *indrena*, the nom with the *gāvaḥ* who are addressed. But the contexts are quite different, and the pādas in their original forms probably unrelated. We take it that AV. has a contamination of the MS. pāda with that of RV etc.

§417. The following cases are also classed as 'transfers of epithet' as explained in §§15-6 They show in one form a true 'epithet', in the other sometimes a form of the same, sometimes a different word, not properly an epithet of anything but independently construed:

acūtibhūś cakṛmā yac cid āgah RV. MS KS: *avīdvānsaś cakṛmā kac canāgah* TS

apa dṛuhā (AV. *dṛuhus*) *tanvam gūhamānā* RV. AV. The instr. of manner, virtually an adverb, of RV. becomes in AV. an epithet of the subject, a she-demon.

harṣamānāso dhṛṣṭā (TB. *dhṛṣṭā*) *marutvaḥ* RV. TB N: *harṣamānā dhṛṣṭāso marutvan* AV. In TB. the orig. nom epithet becomes an adverbial instr. of a different but related stem.

indrādhipatīḥ (MS KS. °*patyāḥ*) *pipṛtād ato naḥ* TS. MS. KS. AŚ 'O Indra, as overlord (with thy overlordship) . '

vaiśvānarah pavayān naḥ pavitraiḥ TA.: *vaiśvānarah pavitā mā 'punātu* AV The TA reading seems to be intended by Ppp. (Whitney on 6 119 3). 'May V. with purifiers (V the purifier) purify us (me).'

yena prajā (MS *ya imāh prajā*) *vśvakarmā jajāna* (TS *vyānat*) VS TS MS. KS ŚB. In MS. *yah* goes with *vśvakarmā* The original 'by which V produced creatures'

yenaḥa bhūtas tiṣṭhaty (MahānU MundU *bhūtais tiṣṭhate hy*) *antarātmā* TA MahānU. MundU (2 1 9) Deussen reads *bhūtas* in MahānU. but observes that a *v* 1 has *bhūtais* and that this is a better reading The nom is secondary and attracted to *antarātmā* See also Deussen's note on the MundU passage

tenā (TS. *sā*) *no yajñam pipṛha mśvavāre* AV. TS Nom is secondary. *candro* (SV *candrar*) *yāh sabhām upa* RV SV Preceded by *śvātra-bhājā vayasā sacate sadā* Apparently under the influence of the preceding instrumentals, tho not in agreement therewith, the nom is changed into an associative instr The 'shining ones' are soma-drops according to Benfey.

prīyah kavīnām matī (SV *matih*) RV SV In RV *matī* is instr. 'by the hymn of the seers' In SV it is anomalously made into an epithet of *indu*

sūyavasīnī manave (RV TA *manuṣe*, KS *mānuṣe*) *daśasyā* (TA *daśasye*, TS MS KS *yaśasye*) RV VS TS MS KS ŚB TA The original *daśasyā* is instr of stem *daśasyā*, 'benevolently' TA's *daśasye* seems, like *yaśasye*, to be dual nom fem agreeing with the subject

6 Nom of independent sentence and instr

§418. Twice a dependent instr of one form of the variant is paralleled in the other form by an independent sentence, with nom, which is however resumed by a pronominal instr in the same construction as the nominal instr of the variant form See §33:

gavā te krīṇāni TS ApŚ : *vyam gaus tayā te krīṇāni* MŚ 'With a cow let me buy of thee' 'here is a cow, with her'

etat te rudrāvasam tena (VSK † *etena rudrāvasena*) *paro mūjavato 'tūh* VS VSK ŚB 'This is thy food, O Rudra; with it depart' 'with this food, O Rudra, depart'

7 Miscellaneous

§419. The remaining nom-instr variants are scarcely classifiable They mostly involve various reconstructions of the material, in sense at least, and usually in form, extending far beyond the mere change in case-form

vi śloka etu (AV *eti*, TS ŚvetU *ślokā yanti*) *pathyeva* (KS *patheva*) *sūreh* (AV MS † *sūrih*, KS † *sūrah*, TS. ŚvetU *sūrah*) RV AV

- VS TS MS KS. ŚB. ŚvetU. In RV VS. ŚB *pathyā* may be taken as nom, with Grassmann and Oldenberg: 'as the path of the suri'. In all the others, at any rate, we have an instr.: 'like the lord on his path'. Cf. §284.
- gaṇar mā mā vi tīrṣata* MS : *gaṇā me mā vi tīrṣan* VS. TS. ŚB.: *gaṇān me mā vi tīrṣah* (MŚ °ṣat) TS MŚ See §§380, 459.
- jajñānam* (SV. °nah) *sapta mālarah* (SV *mātrbhūh*) RV. SV. Followed by *vedhām aśāsata* (SV. *medhām āśā°*) *śrīye*. 'The seven mothers instructed their holy child unto fortune': 'the child of seven mothers prayed to the wise one unto fortune'
- yajñam hinwanty adribhūh* RV *yajñāya santu adrayaḥ* SV. Preceded by *tam duroṣam abhī narah, somam viśvācyā dhīyā* In RV *narah* is subject of *hinwanty* and *somam* its object In SV. it seems that *narah* must be taken with Benfey as voc, with no verb expressed, and that *pāda c* must be completely detached from the preceding *tan nau samvananam kṛtam* MG : *tena samvaninau svake* HG 'That concord has been made for us': 'thereby we are concordant'
- taṃ devāḥ sutam ā babhūvuh* TS KSA. TB : *sā no asmin suta ā babhūva* VS MS 'Therewith the gods mastered the libation': 'it is present for us at this libation.' See Keith on TS 4. 1 2 1, n-6
- prthivīm bhasmanāprna* (MS. KS. *bhasma*) *svāhā* VS MS. KS. ŚB. ApŚ. 'Fill the earth with (thy) ashes.' In MS KS. *sc gachatu*: 'let (thy) ashes (go) to earth.'
- ya indreṇa saratham yāti devah* AV.: *yenendrasya ratham sambabhūvuh* MS KS ApŚ
- maṇḍūkya su sam gamah* (TA *gamaya*) RV.† TA.: *maṇḍūky apsu śam bhuvah* AV. (corrupt)
- āptam manah* TS MS KS TB MŚ. ApŚ.: *āpāma manasā* VS ŚB. 'Mind has been obtained': 'may we obtain by mind'. Ritualistic rignarole
- ārohātmaṁnam* (MŚ *ārohātmanātmānam*) *achā* TB. AŚ. ApŚ. MŚ. 'Mount as self (with thy self) upon (my) self.' TB. Bibl. Ind. reads *ārohātyātmānam*, text and comm.; Poona ed. like the others.
- mūrā* (SV *mūrar*) *amūram purām darmāṇam* RV. SV. Benfey: 'den durch Thoren unbethörten'. Obscure.
- tam devās sam acikṣan* KS.: *tām devāḥ sam aṅgamam* TS The sense is radically altered 'Him the gods have fashioned': 'her I have united with the gods.' Both preceded by *aṅgānī ahrutā yasya* (TS *yasyai*).
- sam indro viśvadevebhīr aṅktām* VS. ŚB.: *sam indreṇa viśvebhīr devebhīr aṅktām* TB. ApŚ In the latter the barhus is the subject

sam aryamā sam bhago no ninīyāt RV ApMB . *sam bhagena sam aryamnā* (followed by *sam dhātā sṛjatu varcasā*) AV See Whitney on AV. 14.1.34, which doubtless understands *bhagena* and *aryamnā* as parallel with *varcasā*

kālena bhūtam bhavyam ca AV *kāle ha bhūtam bhavyam ca* AV vulgate, but by emend for *kālo* of most mss, kept by SPP *kālena* of the other form is also an emendation for *kāle ha*, which SPP keeps. This last emendation seems justified and is supported by Ppp (JAOS 46.37f). In the other, while the loc would be possible, there seems no reason to reject the well attested nom form, which is adopted by Bloomfield and Whitney. The contexts are different tho related (found in the same hymn), and the variant could be called 'Phrase Inflection'

[*krānā* (SV *prānā*, AV *prānah*) *sindhūnām kalaśān avīvaśat* (SV AV *acikradat*) RV. SV AV *krānā* is problematic; Oldenberg takes it with Lanman as instr, see RVRep 136 for a different view. In SV we have a phonetic shift (VV 2 §152) which defies interpretation; in AV an attempt at rationalization of SV, which is grammatical ('the breath of the rivers has made the jars resound') but silly.]

CHAPTER XVI

NOMINATIVE AND DATIVE

1 Dative of purpose varying with nominative

§420. Since the dative of purpose often denotes something attributed to or desired for the logical subject of the sentence, it may vary with a nominative form, of the same or a related word. This nominative may be an epithet of the entity to which the dative of purpose relates, as in: *mandrā dhanasya sātaye* (KS. °yah) TS MS. KS TB. KŚ MŚ ŚG

Waters are referred to 'Fair ones, unto the winning of wealth': 'fair winnings of wealth'. All mss of KS. agree on the form

pade-pade pāśinah santhi setavah (AV. °ve) RV. AV KS. ApŚ. 'On every spot are snare-bearing bonds (snare-bearers for a bond).'

agner apunann uśyo amṛtyavah RV.: *agner akrṇvann uśyo amṛtyave* MS : *devā akrṇvann uśyo amartyave* ApŚ In RV. *amṛtyavah* is adj., 'immortal ones', agreeing with the subject; in MS a noun, 'unto immortality', meaning about the same thing ApŚ doubtless intends the same meaning as MS ; cf. VV 2 §650.

prajāvatīr (MŚ °varīr, v l °vatīr) *yaśaso* (MŚ. °se) *viśvarūpāḥ* TB ApŚ. MŚ In TB ApŚ *yaśaso* is adjective: 'here come the cows) with many calves, renowned, varied in appearance.' In MŚ. *yaśase* is evidently the noun, 'unto renown', the ultimate meaning is substantially the same

āgyam uktham avyathāyai (TS *avyathayat*, KS *avyathāya*) *stabhnātu* (MS °notu) VS TS. MS KS ŚB Comm on TS. *tvām avyathayad vyathārahitam kurvat*, which is practically the meaning of the datives of purpose of the other texts—The same with *praūgam uktham*, *marutvatīyam u°*, *mṣkevalyam u°*, *vaiśvadevāgnimārute ukthe* *aso yathā* 'no 'vitā vṛdhe ca (SV *vṛdhaś cat*) RV. SV. 'That thou mayst be our helper, and for (our) increase', RV. In SV. the dat of purpose becomes a nom of a nomen agentis, being assimilated to *avitā* ' and (mayst be our) increaser.'

ayam sahasram ā no dṛṣe kavīnām matir jyotir vidharmani AV.: *ayam sahasram ānavo* (Benfey and Caland assume *sahasramānavo*) *dṛśah kavīnām matir jyotir vidharma* (ApŚ °mā) SV. ApŚ MŚ Comm.

on SV takes *dr̥śah* as nom (= *draṣṭā*), 'seer' or 'eye'. If this be accepted the variant would belong here, *ūr̥śe* is a dat (infinitive). But Caland apparently assumes an infinitive as meant in ApŚ. (perhaps abl-gen in form?).

sājātānām madhyamasthā edhī (AV *madhyameṣṭhāh*, MS KS *madhyameṣṭheyāya*) AV VS TS. MS KS : *sājātānām madhyameṣṭhā yathāsānī* AV.

§421. Slightly different are a couple of cases in which the nom form of the variant is the subject of an independent statement attributing the quality denoted to the person referred to:

tava praśastayo mahīh (SV *praśastaye mahe*) RV. SV Preceded by *tam tvā madāya ghr̥ṣvaye, u lokahr̥tnum imāhe*. In RV our pāda is an independent statement: 'great are thy praisings' In SV, it is assimilated to the datives of pāda a: 'unto great praising of thee' *rāyaspoṣā* (MŚ °*poṣāya*) *yajamānam viśantu* KS ApŚ MŚ Preceded by *imām devā ajuṣanta viśve* 'Let increase of wealth dwell with the sacrificer' In MŚ the subject *devāh* of the preceding clause holds over: 'let them (the gods) dwell with the sacrificer unto increase of wealth' The psychological difference between such variants and those of the preceding paragraph is slight, since the gods are logically the subject even in the reading of KS ApŚ; it is thru them that the desired result is expected Cf *rāyaspoṣā yajamānam sacantām*, in a similar context, for which one ms of MŚ also reads *rāyaspoṣāya* Such variants remind us of the quite similar use of the associative instrumental in variation with the nominative, §412.

ā yāhīma indavah RV. AŚ : *ā yāhy ayam indave* SV. This clearly belongs here, even tho the dative of SV may not be quite properly described as one of purpose. 'Come! Here are the soma-drops': 'come, thou here, to (for) the soma.'

§422. We may record here a variant in which the logical object of an infinitive is in the original version nom, subject of a nominal clause (copula 'understood'), while in the secondary version it is assimilated to the dative infinitive, in accordance with familiar usage (cf Delbrück, AIS. 89, where it is suggested that 'case-attraction' does not properly describe this dative):

mayah patibhyo janayah (AV. °*ye*) *pariṣvaje* RV. AV. ApMB. 'A joy to husbands (are) wives to embrace': 'a joy to husbands (it is) to embrace a wife'

§423. In the only other variant involving this dative 'object' of a dative infinitive, the nominative form is attracted to agreement with a preceding nominative (in a relative clause):

brahmadviṣe (RV. also °*diviṣah*) *śarave hanlavā u* RV. (both) AV. The nom form is preceded by *tapurmūrdhā tapatu rakṣaso ye*. 'may he whose head is flame burn those (that are) brahman-hating ogres, so that his arrow may slay (them)' See *RVRep* on 10 125. 6.

2 Dative varies with nom of secondary adjective in dedications

§424. In dedicatory expressions (cf §126), the deity may be expressed either by the dative or by the nominative of a secondary adjective, these variants resemble some of those quoted above in §420, except that the dative is not one of purpose:

kapota (MS °*tā*) *ulūkah śaśas te nirṛtyai* (TA KSA. *nairṛtāh*) VS. TS MS KSA 'These are for Nirṛti (Nirṛti's)'
vāyusavitr̥bhyām āgomugbhyām payah MS : *vāyosāntra āgo° caruh* TS.
 KSA P p of TS *vāyosāntrah* On the formation see VV 2 §716.
ātṛ (TS KSA *ātṛ*) *vāhaso darvidā te vāyave* (TS. KSA. *vāyavyāh*) VS.
 TS MS KSA

3 Dative varying with nominative of independent statement

§425. Like other cases (cf §§32-8), a dative may be replaced by a nominative of independent statement, or vice versa:

tasmā elam bharata tadvaśāya (and, *tadvaśo dadāh*) RV. (both). 'Bring this to him who desires it': 'bring this to him—he desires it and is generous'

§426. Elsewhere the independent nominative is thrown into a relative clause, and is resumed by a dative of a demonstrative pronoun in the main clause—

agninnetrebhyo devebhyah purahsadbhyah svāhā VS ŚB.: *ye devā agninetrah purahsadas tebhyah svāhā* VS ŚB : *ye devāh purahsado agninetra* (KS. 'gn̥^o) *rakṣohāṇas tebhyah svāhā* MS KS : *ye devāh purahsado 'gninetra tebhyo namas tebhyah svāhā* TS Others similar (VS 9 35-6, MS. 2 6 3, KS. 15 2)

namo vah pīlaro ghorāya (VSK. adds *manyave*) VS VSK. TS. TB AŚ ŚŚ SMB GG. KhG : *namo vah pīlaro yad ghoram tasmai* AV. MS. And, in same passage. *namo vah pīlaro jīvāya* VS TS. KS. TB AŚ ŚŚ. SMB GG. KhG.. *namo vah pīlaro yaj jīvam tasmai* VSK. MS Note the difference in phraseology in VSK.

4 Phrase inflection

§427. There are a few cases of repetition of a whole clause or pāda in a different context, requiring shift of case between nom and dat , in short, of what we call 'phrase inflection' (§§21-2):

patir (VS ŚB *patye*) *viśvasya bhūmanah* RV SV VS KS ŚB Followed in RV SV KS by *vy akhyad rodasī ubhe*, in VS. ŚB by *juhomi viśvakarmaṇe*

sahasrākṣāyamartya AV : *sahasrākṣo amartyah* AV Preceded respectively by *namas te rudra kṛnmaḥ*, and *anyatrāsman ny ucyaṭu*

prācī diḡ agnir adhīpatir asito rakṣitādityā iva AV. : *prācyai tvā dīṣe 'gnaye 'dhīpataye 'sitāya rakṣitra ādityāyeṣumate* AV. The first is followed by *tebhyo namo 'dhīpatibhyas* etc , the second by *etam parī dadmaḥ* Similar variants in the five following verses; see Conc under *dakṣiṇāya tvā* , *prācīya tvā* . , *udīcya tvā* . . , *dhruvāya tvā* . . , *ūrdhvāya tvā* .

janāya vṛktabarhiṣe RV : *janāso vṛktabarhiṣah* RV. Different contexts *stotāra indra gīrvanah* RV SV.. *stotṛbhya indra gīrvanah* RV. The nom is preceded by *vayam ghā te api śmasi*, the dat by *yad dītsasi stuto magham*

5 Transfer of epithet

§428. The general nature of such variants differs in no wise from those concerning other cases (§14) We quote first those which show shift in case alone, not in number or gender. But it must be noted that not one of them is quite strictly a case of typical 'transfer of epithet' In the first three an original dative of independent construction, not an 'epithet' of any other word, is attracted into agreement with the subject in a secondary text In the fourth the dative (probably secondary) also does not agree syntactically with any expressed noun or pronoun Cf §15.

tasmā u brahmaṇas patih RV. KS : *ayam ca brahmaṇas patih* AV. VS TS MS KS TB. ApŚ Preceded by *tasmāi somo (devā) adhi bravaṭ (bruvan)* The pronoun is transferred from the recipient of blessing to the god: 'And him (may) Brahmanaspati (bless)': 'and (may) B. here (bless him)'

juṣṭo vācaspataye (MS. °*patih*, KB ŚŚ °*pateh*, TB °*patyuh*) TS MS KB. GB JB AŚ ŚŚ Vait KŚ In all preceded by *juṣṭo vāco (vāce) bhūyāsam* 'May I be pleasing to speech, pleasing to the lord of speech': in MS, 'may I be pleasing to speech, (may I be) a pleasing lord of speech'

vyam te rāṇ mitrāya (KS *mitro*) *yantāsi* †*yamanah* VS. KS ŚB. 'Thou art a guiding controller for thy friend (KS, a guiding friendly controller).' We suspect that KS is secondary, since it has what looks like form-assimilation to the following noms.

pitarah pitāmāhāḥ pare 'vare (KS *'varebhyas*) *te nah pāntu* (MS. omits *te nah p*°) *te no 'vantu* TS MS KS 'Let the fathers . the earlier and the later, guard us ' In KS. *avarebhyas* is made to refer to the petitioners. 'Let the fathers, the earlier ones, for (us) the later ones, guard us ' Note however that we should expect an acc agreeing with the following *nah*

§429. Transfers of epithet involving change of gender or number as well as case are:

suprāvyē (AV. °*vyā*) *yajamānāya sunvate* RV AV Preceded by *aham dadhāmi dravinam* (AV °*nā*) *haviṣmate* RV. makes *su*° go with *yaja*° *sunvate*: 'I (Vāc) give riches to the giver of oblations, to the zealous sacrificer who presses the soma' Whitney adopts the RV reading following the AV comm and one ms., but notes that AVPr. 4. 11 proves that the Atharvan reading was *suprāvīd* It may be taken either as acc pl neut with *dravinā*, or better as nom sg fem with the subject In any case it must be derived not from the stem *suprāvī* but from its equivalent *suprāvīd* (RV.).

ūrjāmrādā yuvatīr (AV. °*mrādāḥ prthivī*) *dakṣiṇāvate* (TA °*vatī*) RV AV. TA 'The maiden (earth, this is what RV. TA also mean) soft as wool to him that gives *dakṣiṇā*', in TA. the epithet 'possessed of *dakṣiṇā*' is applied to the earth, doubtless implying 'bounteous' *vaiśvānarāya matir navyasī* (ArS °*se*) *śuciḥ* RV ArS In ArS the epithet is transferred from *matir* to *vaiśvā*°.

te asmā (KS. °*smā*) *agnaye* (ApŚ and v. 1 of MS °*yo*) *dravnam* (KS °*nāni*) *dattvā* MS. KS ApŚ 'They, giving wealth to this Agni': 'these Agnis, giving wealth to him (the sacrificer)' The subject *te* refers to Agnis just mentioned, hence the secondary *agnayo* by attraction.

nābhā samdāyī navyasī (SV. °*dāya navyase*) RV SV Preceded by *yad dha krāṇā vvasvati* (SV. °*te*) In RV. *navyasī* agrees with the subject of *samdāyī*. (Oldenberg understands *nābhīḥ*), in SV. with *vvasvate*. The stanza is somewhat problematic in both.

§430. Only one form of the variant shows a proper 'epithet' in the following; in the other appears a word of independent construction (in one case with change of meaning, a nomen actionis instead of an adjective). Cf. §15

āre te goghnam uta pūruṣaghnam RV . *ārūt te goghna uta pūruṣaghne* TS
See under *kṣayadvīra* (TS °*vīrāya*) *sumnam asme te astu* (which follows this), §359 'Far off be thy cattle-killing, and thy man-killing' (RV.). In TS the nomina actionis are made adjectives going with *te* (Rudra)

rāyas poṣam cikituṣe (AV °*ṣi*) *dadhātu* (ŚŚ. *dadātu*) AV. TS MS KS ŚŚ. 'Let her (Kuhū), the wise, grant increase of wealth': 'let her grant to the wise' Possibly the dative is secondarily attracted into parallelism with *dāsuṣe* of the preceding pāda (in Ppp and all others but not in AVŚ, which has a quite different pāda c)

rātri (KS v. 1 *rātrih*, TB *rātrī*) *stomam na jigyuṣe* (KS † TB °*ṣi*) RV KS TB The epithet (in RV referring to some unspecified 'conqueror') is transferred to Night

6 Miscellaneous

§431. The remaining nominative-dative variants are unclassifiable.
ādityās (MS °*yebhyas*) *tvā prabrāhantu* (MS *pravṛhāmi*) *jāgatena chandāsā* TS. MS 'Let the Ādityas pluck thee forth': 'I pluck thee forth for the Ādityas'

yathainam jarase nayāt AV.: *athainam jarimā nayet* HG The latter is evidently poor; perhaps 'then may old age lead him'? Or is it felt as *jarim ānayet*, as if involving a stem **jar-*? Note lingual *n* in *nayet*!

vyam (AV MS *yā*) *teṣām avayā durīṣṭyai* (AV MS *durīṣṭih*) AV TS MS Followed by *sviṣṭim nas tām* (AV. wrongly *tām*) *krnotu* (AV *krnavad*) *viśvakarmā* (MS *vi°* *krnotu*) Ppp has *yā durīṣṭā*, *sviṣṭam tad vi°* *kr°* TS: 'this is their expiatory sacrifice for a vitiated sacrifice, may V make it for us a perfect sacrifice' AV MS could apparently only mean 'that expiatory sacrifice of theirs which is a vitiated sacrifice, may V make it' This does not fit the requirements, it seems to be due to some sort of misunderstanding or corruption Whitney emends to *durīṣṭeh* Ppp *durīṣṭā* might be interpreted as a loc. of *durīṣṭe*, 'in case of an imperfect sacrifice', conceivably this might have been the middle stage which (misunderstood as nom sg fem of *durīṣṭā*) led to the further change to *durīṣṭih*.

yathā prthivyām agnaye samanāman evā mahyam samnamah sam namantu AV . *yathāgnih prthivyā samanāmad evam mahyam bhadrāh samnatayah samnamantu* TS KS † 5 20 And others in the same passage

vāk palamgāya dhīyate (TS *śīśriye*, MS. *hūyate*) RV. AV. SV. ArS VS.

TS MS. ŚB · *vāk palamgo aśīśriyat* (KS. °*gā aśīśrayuh*) AV. KS.

The verse is desperately obscure, we can contribute nothing to its elucidation

eka eva rudro 'va tasthe na dvitīyah N.. eka eva rudro (ŚvetU. *eko hi ru°*,

ŚirasU *eko ru°*) *na dvitīyāya tasthe* (ŚvetU. *tasthuh*, ŚirasU *tasmai*,

but Poona ed. with comm *tasthau*) TS. ApŚ SvetU ŚirasU In

N 'one only is Rudra, there is no second existent', in the others,

'one is Rudra, he does not (or they, indefinite, do not) tolerate

('stand for', Hume) a second' Add to VV 1 §§76, 359

[*kanyakumārya*] (TA. °*māri*, Poona ed. °*māri*) *dhīmahi* TA. MahānU.

See §361]

[*jyeṣṭhāya* (ŚŚ *jyeṣṭho*) *yad apracetāh* AV. ŚŚ. But AV. mss. *jyeṣṭho*]

CHAPTER XVII

NOMINATIVE AND ABLATIVE OR GENITIVE

A *Nominative and ablative*

1. Ablative of source and subject nominative (passive : active)

§432. Variations between these two cases are few and scattering. Rarely do they fall into typical pattern-groups, and yet more rarely do they illustrate typical syntactic relations of the two cases. In the following an ablative of source with passive forms of the root *jan* 'beget, bear' varies with subject nominative of an active form of the same root. The two expressions are virtual equivalents, and the variation is of the same sort as the much commoner shift between instrumental and nominative with passive and active verbs (cf §40).

ayam var tvām ajanayad ŚŚ : *asmād var tvām ajāyathā*. JB AG
Kauś : *asmāt tvam adhi jāto 'si* VS ŚB TA KŚ Karmap. 'He has begotten thee': 'thou wast produced from him'

2 Independent nominative and dependent ablative

§433. The variants found here belong to §§32-8. In the first the relative pronoun justifies construction of the nom. as independent, tho it is really equivalent in sense to the dependent abl., cf §32, end. This meaning is probably more likely to be right than the alternative interpretation which would make the nom. correlative with the subject of *chumbhantu*, a construction impossible in the locative form of the variant. Cf. §§450, 669.

(*āpo mā tasmāc chumbhantu*) *agneh samkasulāc ca yat* (Ppp. *agnih samkusikāś ca yah*) AV Ppp. (sīse mṛddhvam nade mṛddhvam) *agnau samkasuke ca yat* (Ppp. as before) AV. Ppp. 'May the waters purify me from that and (from) (him who is) Agni S'

viṣṇoḥ, viṣṇos *sthānam asi* (MS MŚ *sthāmāsi*, KS *sthāmnah*) VS TS MS KS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ MŚ. In KS construed with the following, *ita vandro vīryam akṛnot*. According to ApŚ also the two are connected in sense; see Keith, *HOS* 18 p. 14 n. 2

3 Phrase inflection

§434. We have noted only one variant which seems to belong to this category (cf §§21-2), in that a pāda is repeated in a different context which requires change of construction of the noun:

pāpebhyaś ca pratigrahaḥ (RVKh. °hāt) RVKh. TA. MahānU. BDh.

The contexts are different tho similar

4 Transfer of epithet

§435. This occurs a few times; in some instances, as usual, number or gender varies along with case:

pra skannāḥ (KS *skannam*, v. 1 °nāñ) *jāyatām havih* KS KŚ ApŚ
Probably the abl. is original. 'let oblation be born from the (part of the offering that has) fallen (on the ground).' If KS really intends a nom., it would agree with *havih*: 'let the oblation that has fallen be born.'

ud asya śuśmād bhānur nārta (MS *bhānor nāvyaḥ*) RV. MS TA ApŚ
In the original *bhānu* is applied in the simile to Agni, subject of the verb. In MS it seems to apply to *śuśmād*. (Understand doubtless *na avyāḥ*, despite p p which does not divide.)

pāpāt (KŚ *pāpah*) *svapnyād* (KŚ °nād) *abhūtyāḥ* (KŚ °yaḥ) AV KŚ
Preceded by *paryāvarie duḥsvapnyāt*. In KŚ *pāpa* seems to be transferred to the subject

apahato 'raruḥ prthivyā adevayajanaḥ (*prthivyai devayajanyai*) TS ApŚ
(both in each) The epithet is (altered and) transferred from *araru* to *prthivī*, or vice versa

§436. In another case the original, and perhaps only correct, form of the variant shows an ablative which is independently construed, while the nominative form (if textually sound) is attracted into agreement with the subject. Cf §15

parāmr̥tāḥ (TA °mr̥tāt) *parimucyanti sarve* TA MahānU MundU KaivU
The ablative seems to be original, and is read by Deussen in MahānU, and by the Poona ed (*Upaṇṣadām Samuccayāḥ*) in KaivU. Deussen understands 'the immortal' from which 'all are freed' as *prakṛti*, 'material nature'. If the nom. is read it would apply to the subject in a pregnant sense '(so as to be) immortal'

5. Miscellaneous

§437. The rest are unclassifiable; some are under suspicion of corruption.

adbhyaḥ sambhṛtaḥ (TA ApŚ. *sambhūtāḥ*) *prthivyai* (KS † MS °vyā)

rasāc ca (KS *rasah*) VS KS MS. TA ApŚ. Followed by *ratā aramānā samavartatādhi* (VS **lāgrā*). Comm. on VS. supplies *yo rasah* as subject; the KS reading is double secondary (certainly unmetrical), and seems to have been suggested by a like understanding of the passage. In fact, however, the original subject must have been the *puruṣa*, understood from the original context *gārhapatyah* (ŚŚ **tyāt*) *prajāyā* (VSK *prajānān*) *rasuṣṭamāh* VS VSK, ŚB, AŚ ŚŚ. Preceded by *ayam agniḥ gārhapatiḥ*. Original: 'he, Agni Gārhapatya, is the house-lord, most liberal in good things to our offspring' ŚŚ seems to mean 'he, Agni, is house-lord, most liberal dispenser of good things from the gārhapatya-fire to our offspring'. This is barely intelligible.

madhu rito (KS *madhur ato*, TS *madhor ato*) *mādhavah patr amān* TS, MS KS AŚ. KS has the simplest and, in this case, probably the original reading: 'let Madhu and Mādhava (the two spring months) protect me.' TS: 'let Mādhava protect us after (or, from) Madhu.' MS, AŚ seem likely to contain a corruption, MS p.p. *madhuh, amlah*, pointing towards the KS reading. As it stands the reading may be rendered: 'let the sweet seed and Mādhava protect us', or 'let Mādhava protect the sweet seed and us'. Either is bathetic, but perhaps no worse than many Yajus passages.

nakṣatrānām sakāśān mā yausaṁ MS. *nakṣatrānām mā saklāśā ca pratikāśā cāvalām* Vait Kauś. 'May I not be cut off from the presence of the nakṣatras': 'may the presence and the gleam of the nakṣatras aid me.'

satyā tā dharmaṇas pati ApŚ: *satyād ā (satyādā?) dharmānas pati* (ŚŚ *dharmānā*, Vait MŚ *dharmānas* [but MŚ mss *dharmānā*] pari) AŚ ŚŚ. Vait MŚ. See VV 2 §65.

apāraram adavayajanam prthivyā ddevayajanāy (ApŚ *adavayajano*) *jahi* KS, ApŚ. Caland would read *adavayajanān* (acc. pl. masc.) in both. We too find ApŚ. uninterpretable, since *adavayajano* can scarcely fit the subject of *jahi*. But KS could mean: 'Smite away Araru, that sacrifices not to the gods, from the earth, from the sacrifice to the gods'. Caland, like the Conc., misquotes KS as *adavayajanāy*.

mṛtyor (I) *me pāhī* TAA: *mṛtyor mā pāhī* TS MS KS TB AŚ. Comm. on TAA says that *mṛtyor* is for *mṛtyor, vyatyayena*. The variant should doubtless be added to VV 2 §716.

satyā eṣām (AŚ *etā*) *āśīṣah santu lāmāh* (ApMB HG *lāmāh*, SMB Jorgensen *lāmāh*, one ms *lāmāt*, AG *santu sarve*, VS *sannaman-*

tām) VS VSK AG. SMB. Kauś ApMB HG See §412 The abl could mean 'according to their desire', like the instr.

B. Nominative and genitive

1 Partitive genitive and nominative

§438. First among the nominative-genitive variants may be put a group of cases where the two forms are virtual synonyms. Namely: in one form is used a partitive genitive (almost appositional at times), depending on a nominative, while in the other the two words are both nominatives, in syntactic agreement (See §84). Most simply this appears in formulaic lists such as *dakṣiṇā* (and *prāci*, *ūrdhvā*, *prāci*, *udīci*) *dik*, 'the southern (etc.) quarter', AV. VS. TS. MS KS ŚB TB. ApŚ ApMB. Besides this list, TS also has one with the variant *dīśām* in each case: 'the southern (etc.) one of the quarters'. Quite similarly in a list of the seasons, VS MS KS ŚB have *vasanta* (and *grīṣma*, *varṣā*, *śarad*) *ṛtuh*, and *hemantaśiśirāv* (°*rā*) *ṛtū*, 'the spring (etc.) season', while TS in each instance reads *ṛtūnām*, 'the spring (etc.) of the seasons.'

§439. Less formulaic, but of the same character, are the following:

subhūr asī (ŚŚ *subhūr nāmāsi*) *śreṣṭho raśmar* PB ŚŚ · *subhūr asī śreṣṭho raśmīnām* TS. ApŚ: *svayambhūr asī śreṣṭho raśmih*.

VS. MS ŚB ŚŚ MS 'The best ray' · 'the best of rays'.

yathāmā (RVKh *yathāmāśām*, AV *yathaiśām*, SV *yathaiśām*) *anyo anyam na jānan* (KVKh AV SV *jānāt*) RVKh AV SV. VS. 'That those may not know the one the other': 'that of those one may not know the other'.

trivṛd bhuvanam yad rathavṛt KS †: *trivṛd yad bhuvanasya rathavṛt* TB. ApŚ *yad bhuvanasya* must mean the same as *bhuvanam yad*, 'what land'.

yās (TS. *yeśām*) *tsrah prathamajāh* (TS. KS TA *paramajāh*) TS. MS KS TA. In TS preceded by *ye grahāh pañcajanānāh* · 'what cups pertain to the five peoples (i.e. are five in number, cf. Kerth's note), of which three are first-born'. Followed by *teśām* (*tāsām*) *iśam ūṛjam sam agrabhīm*. The other texts vary considerably, and do not mention the number 'five'. On the fem. gender see §835.

amṛtasya nidhur hitah RV TB TA *amṛtam nihitam guhā* SV. Preceded by *yad ado vāta te grhe* 'What store of nectar is placed in thy house': 'what nectar is placed in secret in thy house.'

mitrah satyānām (VS ŚB *satyah*) VS TS MS. KS ŚB PG. Parallel formulas have gen. even in VS. It is not certain that they are partitives; cf. *mitra satyānām pate* (°*nām adhipate*) TB ŚŚ (in different contexts, to be sure).

Here we place also the following, tho the TS. is poor and less close in meaning to the original:

yad enaś cakṛvān baddha ṛṣa AV : *eno mahac cakṛvān baddha ṛṣa* MS : *enaś cakṛvān mahi baddha cṣām* TS The last seems to mean 'the one of them who, having committed a great sin, is bound', otherwise Keith Undoubtedly *ṛṣa* is the proper form.

2 Possessive or descriptive genitive and nominative

§440. Not very different from these are a group in which the genitive is no longer partitive but rather descriptive or possessive, and so substantially equivalent to a nominative epithet of the subject, which may vary with it (cf §85):

vr̥ṣāsy ūrmih TS. TB : *vr̥ṣormir asi* MS KS MŚ : *vr̥ṣṇa ūrmir asi* *rāṣṭradāh* VS ŚB 'Thou art a bull-wave (a bull's wave) .'

martānām (AV *martāsaś*) *cid urvaśir akṛpran* RV. AV 'Even of (or, for) mortals *Urvaśis* (even mortal *Urvaśis*) have been fashioned' See Bloomfield, JAOS 20 183

pratiṣṭhe sitho devate (MG *devate dyāvāprthivī*, ApMB *devatānām*) *mā mā samtāptam* HG ApMB MG 'You (a pair of shoes) are standing-places, deities (of the deities)'

mā no rakṣo abhi nad yātumāvatām (AV **māvat*) RV AV The variation accompanies a change in the meaning of *rakṣas* (abstract in RV, but in AV used in its later concrete sense): 'Let not the injury of the sorcerous ones (the sorcerous ogre, *rakṣas*) get at us' *apām sakhā* (GB *yonih*) *prathamajā ṛtāvā* (GB. *ṛtasya*) RV GB Here the nom. of an adjective derivative varies with a genitive: 'first-born, *ṛta*-full' or 'first-born of the *ṛta*'. GB quite naturally falls into the familiar rignarole expression *prathamajā ṛtasya* instead of the RV phrase, which is more recherché, so much so, indeed, that Grassmann was moved to suggest that *ṛtasya* should be read for *ṛtāvā* in the two places where the phrase occurs

§441. In a different way the two variants result in the same meaning when the possessive genitive with an abstract noun varies with a nominative plus the nominative of a corresponding concrete, in predicate relationship:

yavāś cāyavāś cādhipataya āsan VS MS KS ŚB : *yāvānām cāyāvānām cādhipatyam āsīt* TS 'The Y and A were overlords': 'the overlordship belonged to the Y and A'

ta (MS *ta, u*) *evādhipataya āsan* VS MS KS ŚB . *teṣām ādhipatyam āsīt* TS 'These same were overlords' 'theirs was the overlordship'

§442. In the remaining cases there is a more substantial difference of meaning between the two forms of the variant. Still fairly close to each other, and hence to be mentioned next, are a few cases in which the genitive depends upon a word which, in the other form, is a complementary subject or predicate, parallel with the nominative which replaces the genitive:

yaśo bhagaś ca mā vdat (MG. *riṣat*, mss mostly *riṣak*) PG. MG.: *yaśo bhagasya vndatu* ArS. 'Let glory and fortune find me', PG., doubtless original. ArS. makes *yaśo* object, and *bhagaś ca* is then changed (with phonetic shift, VV 2 §189) to a gen : 'let him find glory of fortune'. The real sense, in spite of all this, is not very different. *parīmaṁ yajamānam rāyo manuṣyāṇām* VS. ŚB : *parīmaṁ rāyas poṣo yajamānam manuṣyāḥ* TS : *parīmaṁ yajamānam manuṣyāḥ saha rāyas poṣeṇa prajāyā ca vyayantām* MS : *parīmaṁ rāyo manuṣyam* KS. Only the relations of VS and TS. concern us here (for MS. see §412, for KS §402). The former: 'riches (subject) of humans'. The latter: 'increase of riches (and) humans' (both subjects; §402). *āpah prajāpatir yajño* (ApŚ. *prajāpateh prānā*) *yajñasya bheṣajam asī* (ApŚ. omits *asī*) KŚ ApŚ. 'Thou art the waters, Prajāpati, sacrifice, .': 'the waters are Prajāpati's life-breaths .' Here ApŚ substitutes a different word for the correlative nominative in its extensive reconstruction.

ahorātrayor vṛṣṭyā (VS. *ahorātre ūrvaṣṭhīve*, MS *ahorātre ūrvaṣṭīve*) *bṛhadraṭhantare ca me yajñena kalpetām* (VS.† *kalpantām*) VS. TS. MS In all preceded by a long list of noms, parallel subjects of the verb. By a clearly secondary distortion (with phonetic aspects, VV 2 §§803, 840) TS allows a discordant phrase to intrude, changing the following word to a different one. Original: 'May the vrata, day and night, thighs and knees, the Bṛhat and Rathamāntara sāmāns, prosper for me thru the sacrifice.' TS.: 'May the vrata by the rain of day and night, the Bṛhat' etc.

§443. Such cases shade off into a rather miscellaneous group in which the genitive (possessive or vaguely descriptive) no longer depends on the nominative with which, in the other form of the variant, the other nominative (replacing the genitive) is somehow correlated. The only connecting link in this group is this: of two nominatives, correlative subjects or subject and predicate, in one form of the variant, one is replaced in the other form by a genitive dependent on some other word than the remaining nominative. Thus:

[dyutānas (MS. KS. *nītānas*) *tvā māruto minotu* (MS. KS. *nhantu*)]

mitrāvarunau (TS KS °*varunayor*) *dhruveṇa dharmanā* VS TS MS KS ŚB.: [*varunas tvā dhṛtāvratō dhūpayatu* (TA °*vratā ādhūpayatu*)] *mitrāvarunau* (TA °*varunayor*) *dhru° dhar°* MS. TA [Conc fails to divide these sentences properly] 'Let Dyutāna (Nītāna) fix thee (or the like), (and) Mitra-Varuna with firm ordinance (or, with the firm ordinance of M-V)'

vi śloka etu (*etu, ślokā yantu*) *pathyeva* (KS *patheva*) *sūreh* (*sūrih, sūrah, sūrāh*) RV AV TS MS KS ŚB SvetU See §419

agnir hotā vetu (ŚB and TB Poona ed *vetu*) *agnir* (ŚB AŚ *agner*) *hotram vetu* (*vetu*) *prānitram* ŚB. TB AŚ. ŚŚ 'Let Agni the hotar enjoy (know), let Agni enjoy (know) the office of hotar' 'let Agni the hotar enjoy (know) Agni's office of hotar'

dawe vede ca gāthnām (ŚŚ. °*nāh*) AB ŚŚ Preceded by *adhīyata* (ŚŚ °*te*) *devarāto, rikhayor ubhayor ṛṣih, jahnūnām cādhrīpatye* (ŚŚ *cādhrīasthīre*) See Keith, *HOS* 25. 308 n 8 In ŚŚ what was originally possessive gen ('and in the divine sacred lore of the Gāthn[a]s') is turned into a complementary subject

pitaro nārāśansāh sannāh (VSK. *sādyamānāh*) VS. VSK : *pitaro nārāśansāh* KS : *pitrnām nārāśansāh* TS Soma is referred to, it is 'when settled, the Nārāśansa fathers' (VS VSK); 'the fathers, as Nārāśansa' (KS), 'Nārāśansa, as belonging to the fathers' (TS) All are banal rubbish without intelligent sense

vāyuh pūtah pavitrena VS. TS MS KS ŚB TB. *vāyoh* AV VS VSK MS ŚB Kauś The contexts are the same; *pūtah* applies to Soma, with which in the first variant (doubtless original) Vāyu is identified. 'Soma, (who is) Vāyu, purified by the strainer' The other reading looks like a rationalizing lect fac; it turns *vāyuh* into a gen, 'purified by Vāyu's strainer.'

§444. Sometimes this change of case-form seems to be due to formal attraction to the case of an adjoining word:

śivā no asti aditer (TS. KS °*tir*) *upasthe* TS MS KS AŚ *aditer upasthe* is a standard cadence (see e.g. *RVRep* 659), and *upasthe* without a dependent gen. is scarcely conceivable The orig must have meant 'may she (the Viṣṇupatnī referred to in a preceding pāda) be favorable to us in the lap of Aditi' In TS KS there is felt to be need of a specific name of the deity referred to by the preceding series of nom epithets; hence *aditir* is substituted for *aditer*, by a sort of case attraction

yena dhātā brhaspateh (ŚG °*tih*) VSK AG (1 17 12a) ŚG : *yena pūṣā brhaspateh* SMB GG ApMB HG. MG Followed by *indrasya*

cāvapac churah; the *ca* here confirms the obvious secondariness of ŚG, which clearly has assimilated *brhaspateḥ* to the case of *dhātā*. *mātā* (MS *mātur*) *mātari mātā* MS KS. ApŚ. Preceded by *prthivī prthivyām sīda*, with which the first *mātā* doubtless goes in sense: 'Earth, sit on earth as mother, mother on mother' In MS.: 'Earth, sit on earth, mother on mother's mother' If MS. is original, the other form may have assimilated *mātur* to *mātā*; but anything is possible in such rigmarole.

caḥsur yad eṣām manasaś ca satyam AV.: *caḥsuṣa eṣām manasaś ca samdhau* TS *caḥsuṣa* in TS.'s lect fac. may be partly due to formal assimilation to *manasaś*

dyumattamā supratīkasya sūhoh (AV. *supratīkah sasūnuh*) AV. VS TS. MS KS. ŚB. The gen. of the YV texts agrees with the preceding *agneh* In AV. (but not in Ppp, which agrees with the rest) a closer connection is sought with the following noms (*tanūnapāt* etc.), beginning a new sentence and also referring to Agni. See Whitney on 5 27. 1.

3 Independent nominative varying with dependent genitive

§445. As with other cases (§§32-8), so with the genitive, a nominative out of syntactic relation (generally as subject or predicate of a separate nominal sentence) may vary with another case in dependent relationship of any kind. Where the nominative occurs, it is generally, but not always, resumed by a genitive pronoun referring to it. Thus:

agner aham svīṣṭakṛto devayajyayāyuh pratiṣṭhām gameyam KS : *agneh svīṣṭakṛto 'ham devayajyayāyusmān yajñena pratiṣṭhām gameyam* TS : *agnih svīṣṭakṛd yajñasya pratiṣṭhā tasyāham devayajyayā yajñena pratiṣṭhām gameyam* MŚ. Note *tasya* in MŚ., resuming *agnih*.

agnīṣomayor aham devayajyayā cakṣuṣmān (and, *vṛtrahā*) *bhūyāsam* TS ApŚ : *agnīṣomau vṛtrahaṇau tayor* (MŚ. *vṛtrahaṇāv agnīṣomayor*) *aḥam devayajyayā vṛtrahā bhūyāsam* KS. MŚ

somasyāham devayajyayā reto dhiṣṭya (dhe°) TS. ApŚ. MŚ.: *somo retodhās tasyāham deveyajyayā. reto dhiṣṭya* KS.

catuspāda uta ye dvipādah KS. MŚ.: *catuspadām uta yo* (TS. *ca*) *dvipadām* AV. TS Preceded by *yeṣām* (AV. *ya*) *īṣe paśupatīḥ paśūnām* AV. looks original; the gen depends on *īṣe* In ultimate sense KS MŚ. mean the same

devānām patnīr agnīr grhapatir yajñasya mithunām (KS. *°patir mithunam yajamānasya*) *tayor aham deveyajyayā* TS. KS : *devānām patnīnām aham devayajyayā*. MŚ

mlkptī yā (and, *mlkptyā*) *brhaspate* AV (both) In two near-by stanzas which are otherwise practically identical Whitney would emend *mlkptyā(s)* to *mlkptī yā*, but it is quite construable as partitive gen depending on *aśnīyāt* of *pāda c* 'of the *mlkptī* (cow) he shall not eat' The relative clause paraphrases the same sense The variation has phonetic aspects VV 2 §791a

4 Transfer of epithet

§446. The usual group of variants due to 'transfer of epithet' (§14) appears here also We begin with examples where neither number nor gender, but only case, varies:

na vaṣ śvetasyādhhyācāre (AG *śvetas cābhyāgāre*, HG *śvetasyābhyācārena*, MG *śvetasyābhyācāre*) AG PG HG ApMB MG Followed by *ahir jaghāna* (PG *dadarṣa*) *kaṁ* (AG MG *kim*) *cana* Most texts 'In the domain of the white one (the serpent-demon) a serpent has killed no one' or the like AG (by a phonetic shift, VV 2 §188) makes *śveta* an epithet of *ahir* 'In the house (?) the whiteserpent has killed nothing' The original genitive is, strictly, no 'epithet' of any expressed word, so that the variant perhaps belongs in §448 *adhvanām adhvaspate śreṣṭhah svastyasyādhvanah* (ApMB *śreṣṭhasyādhvanah*, MG † *śraṣṭhyasya svastyasyādhvanah*, see VV 2 §707) *pāram aṣṭya* AŚ ApMB MG. In AŚ *śreṣṭhah* is an epithet of the subject, in ApMB it becomes an epithet of *adhvanah*

uprasya dhārayā kavīh RV: *uprah sa dhārayā sulaḥ* SV In RV *uprasya* is the human priest, SV transfers it to Soma (cf VV 2 §189).

pibā sulasya matir na (AV *mater iha*) AV. SV AŚ ŚŚ In either reading the meaning is obscure, if there is no corruption, this much is certain, that *matir* must refer to the subject of *pibā*, and *mater* to *sulasya*

uprā uprasya brhato vpaścītaḥ RV VS TS MS KS ŚB TA ŚvetU: *upro uprasya sahaso vpaścī* AV In the original 'The priests of the great wise priest' (Savitar, so if *vpaś*^o be taken with Grassmann and Ludwig as gen sg, the alternative would be to take it as nom pl with *uprah*) In AV. the context is different, the *pāda* describes the goat that is being sacrificed: 'The sage (was born from) the sage's (heat), acquainted with power' or the like.

viśām kavīm viśpatīm mānuṣīnām (and, *mānuṣīr iṣah*) RV. (both) The gen goes with *viśām*, the nom. with *iṣah*
mahāns te mahato mahimā AV.: *mahas te sato mahimā panasyate* (SV.

paṇiṣṭama) RV. AV SV. VS. In RV etc *mahas* agrees with *te*; in the AV. variant it is attracted to *mahimā*.

§447. The rest involve change of number or gender as well as case. *śrātūs ta indra somā vātāpayo* (KB. TA. °*per*, KS ŚŚ °*pc*) *havanaśrutah* MS KB. (fragment) TA. ŚŚ Add KS. 9 8 in Conc. In MS the epithet *vāt°* goes with *somā(h)*, in KB. TA. with *te* (= Indra), in KS ŚŚ with *indra* directly.

yeṣām (AV Kauś. *ya*) *iṣe paśupatiḥ paśūnām* AV. TS. KS MS. Kauś *vasuḥ* (TS ApMB. *vasoh*) *sūnuḥ sahaso apsu rājā* RV. VS. TS. KS MS ApMB In TS ApMB *vasoh* is attracted into agreement with *saḥaso*, instead of *sūnuḥ*

mahaś (TS MS. KS. *mahī*) *cid yasya* (TS. *hy asya*, KS *devasya*) *mādhūṣo yavyā* (KS † °*vayāh*) RV. VS. VSK TS. MS KS ŚB. The adjective is transferred from *yasya mādhūṣo* to *yavyā* (*avayāh*)

garbho yas te yajñnyah KS : *yasyar* (VSK. °*yās*) *te yajñnyo garbhah* VS. VSK ŚB.: *yasyās te harito garbhah* TS ApŚ The relative agrees in KS. with *garbhas*, elsewhere with *te* (the cow). Followed by: *yasyar* (VSK. *yasyā*) *yonir hiraṇyayā* VS. VSK ŚB : *yonir yas te hiraṇyayah* KS. Same conditions

śuciḥ śukre ahany ojaśinā (MS *ahann ojaśine*, KS † *śukro ahany ojasye*, AŚ °*hany ojaśinām*) TS. MS. KS. AŚ. If *ojaśinām* is not a mere corruption or misprint in the (imperfect) edition, it seems to be a gen pl fem. of a stem **ojasa*, going with *dīśām* in the preceding *pāda*

maho gotrasya kṣayati svarājah (AV.* °*jā*) RV. AV (both). In RV. *svārājah* is gen (from *svārāj*), epithet of (neut.) *gotrasya*. AV, doubtless stumbling over the less familiar form, substitutes the nom. of *svārājan*, making it an epithet of the subject.

asya prānād apānatī (AV.* MS °*lah*) RV. AV. SV VS. ArS TS MS. KS ŚB *apānatī* apparently goes with *rocana* in the preceding *pāda*, as nom sg fem, *apānataḥ* with *asya*. See Oldenberg, RVNoten on 10. 189 2, and Whitney on AV. 6 31. 2

mahām (SV. *mahān*) *avīnām anu pūrnyah* RV. SV. The adjective is transferred in SV. from *avīnām* to the subject

imau te pakṣāv (VSK MS. KS *pakṣā*) *ajarau patatrīṇau* (VSK. TS † KS °*nāh*) VS. VSK TS. MS. KS. ŚB : *yanu te pakṣāv ajarau patatrīṇau* Kauś The nom agrees with *pakṣau*, the gen. with *te*. The variant is really phonetic, for the actual reading is *patatrīṇo*, before a sonant: see VV 2 §732

§448. Cases in which one or the other form of the variant word is in strictness not an 'epithet', but construed independently (§15), are:

juṣṣo vācaspataye (MS °tṣh, KB ŚŚ °tṣh, JB °tyuh) TS MS KB GB JB. AŚ ŚŚ Vait KŚ. See §428 Here is no variation of number or gender; the rest show change of one or the other.

yayo rathah satyavartmarjuraśmih AV : *yo vām ratha rjuraśmih satya-dharmā* TS. MS KS The relative is resumed in all texts (with slight anacolouthon except in AV.) by *mitrāvaruna(u)*.

agner apunann uśijo amṛtyavah RV : *agner akrṇvann uśijo amṛtyave* MS.. *devā akrṇvann uśijo amartyave* ApŚ In ApŚ *devā(h)*, substituted for *agner*, goes with *uśijo*

viśvā devānām (TS *viśvān devo*) *janmā vivakti* AV. TS KS. 'He declares all the births of the gods' becomes in TS 'he, the god, declares all births'. Ppp *viśvām devā*, looking perhaps a little in the direction of the TS reading—which however is certainly secondary.

yaj (TS MS KS *yasya*) *jātan janitavyam* (TS MS KS *janamānam*, *ca kevalam* AV TS MS KS Preceded in AV by *yasyedam pradīṣṭi yad virocate*: 'In whose direction is what is born and to be born' In the YV. texts *yasya* refers to the following *agnim*: 'whose is what is born and being born'

āvr bhuvad (ArS *bhuvann*) *arunīr yaśasā goh* (ArS *gāvah*) RV. ArS In RV *goh* (gen sg.) depends on a noun (something like 'milk') understood with *yaśasā* (adj.), as Oldenberg shows, *RVNoten* on 4 1 16 In ArS it is attracted to *arunīr*, felt now as nom. pl.; the two words are interpreted as 'ruddy rays' The starting-point of the change is the ambiguous form *arunīr*, which in RV. is nom. sg

5 Miscellaneous

§449. The remaining nominative-genitive variants are hardly classifiable. For the most part they concern rather radical reconstructions of very varied character. In the first two the 2d personal pronoun forms *tvam* and *tava* interchange, without essential difference of sense in the first at least

tam u tvam (SV *tava tyan*) *māyayāvadhīh* RV. SV Preceded by *yad dha tyan māyīnam mṛgam tava*, of course, goes with *māyayā*

hastagrābhasya didhṛṣos (AV *da°*) *tavedam* (TA *tvam etat*) RV. AV. TA Followed by *patyur janitvam abhi sambabhūtha* (TA °bhūva). 'Thou hast entered into this spouseship with thy second husband who takes thy hand.' On the verb form of TA cf. VV 1 p 178 It is there suggested that the 3d person may be due to thought of

forms with subject *bhavan* (*bhavati*), which would be in effect 2d person (comm. glosses as a 2d person). If this be held too violent an assumption with *tvam* preceding as subject, the preceding pāda may be taken as a separate sentence: 'thou belongest to a second husband who takes thy hand'

vayam te rudrā syāma RV : *vayam vām mitrā syāma* SV. 'May we be such (yours),' *tē*, n pl

ayah(h)sthūṇam (TS °*ṇāv*) *uditā* (TS MS KS °*tau*) *sūryasya* RV TS MS KS : *ubhāv indrā* (ŚB *indro*) *udithah sūryas ca* VS ŚB. See VV 2 §188

vider agner nabho nāma VSK ŚBK.: *vider* (*vided*) *agnir* (*agne*) .., see §333

upasthāsānām mitravad astv ojah TS MS AŚ : *upasthāsā mitravatīdam ojah* KS. Both TS and MS accent *upasthā'sānām*, i.e. *upasthā' ā'sā*, but MS p p. apparently misunderstands and reads *upāsthe ā'sā*. KS has no accents. The meaning of *upasthā'* is obscure, on AV. 12.1.62 Whitney renders *upasthā's te* by 'standers upon thee', which is purely etymological; Ludwig and Bloomfield, 'thy laps', which would imply *upāsthās*. Keith on TS renders 'the stay of the earth', implying that this phrase is equivalent to *dhartṛi dīśām*, the subject in the preceding pāda. Whatever the meaning, in MS TS AŚ *upasthāsānām* goes with the preceding, while in KS *āsā* is to be taken either as a further epithet of *dhartṛi* in the preceding, or as subject of an independent sentence

anu sūrya uṣaso anu raśmīn AV : *anu sūryasya purutrā ca raśmīn* VS. TS MS. KS. ŚB. TB '(Agni 'has looked) as a sun, along the dawns, along the rays': '(Agni has looked) many times along the rays of the sun'

garbham aśvatary asahāsau PG : *garbham aśvataryā va* ApMB HG.

prathamachad (KS *parama*°) *avarān* (TS *paramachado vara*) *ā viveśa* RV. VS TS MS KS The nom is an epithet of the subject (*Viśva-karman*). Keith on TS. 'hath entered into the boon of the first of coverers', a purely mechanical rendering, which may be quite right in suggesting that the passage is nonsense.

brahma varma mamāntaram RV AV. SV. ApŚ.: *brahmāham antaram kṛnve* (KS *karave*) AV KS

yā (MS *ya*) *ṣavo yātudhānānām* VS TS MS ŚB. NīlarU. ApMB : *yeṣu vā yātudhānāh* KS 'What (serpents) are the arrows of sorcerers': '(serpents) in which are sorcerers' On KS cf. VV 2 §990.

stomo yajñas ca (TB *yajñasya*) *rādhyo hanṣmatā* (TB °*tah*) RV TB See VV 2 §188

śṛṇvantu (TS ŚvetU °ti) *mśve amṛtasya putrāh* (AV *amṛtāsa etat*) RV.
AV VS TS. MS KS ŚB ŚvetU.

dhryā martah śaśamate (SV. *martasya śamatah*) RV SV Preceded by
ṛdhad yas te sudānave RV , *sa ghā yas te divo narah* SV. See VV 2
§189

yasya śrutarvā bṛhann [ārṇṣo anīka edhata] RV : *ya sma śrutarvann
ārṇṣye [bṛhadanīka idhyate]* SV.

nedīya ut sṛnyah (TS † *sṛnyā*) *pakvam eyāt* (AV. *ā yavan*, TS MS KS
āyat) RV. AV. VS TS MS KS ŚB N See §589 Without
change of form, AV reinterprets the originally genitive sg form
(‘may the ripe fruit of the sickle come nearer’) as a nom pl : ‘may
the sickles draw the ripe grain nearer’

apsarasāv anu dattām ṛnāni (AV *ṛnam nah*) AV TB TA : *apsarasām
anu dattānṛnāni* MS The latter is a phonetic corruption; VV 2
§237

pādo 'syehābhavat (AV *pādasye°*, TA † °*bhavāt*, both edd , add to VV 1
§137) *punah* RV AV ArS VS TA Whitney adopts the nom
with comm and a few mss The gen seems not construable
somah prathamam vṛide RV. PG HG ApMB . *somasya jāyā prathamam*
AV. See §404

[*tasya mṛtyuś* (KS *mṛtyoś*, but read *mṛtyuś* with two mss , TB. *mṛtyau*)
carati rājasūyam AV KS TB.]

[*agniḥ tad dhotā kratuṁd vijānan* RV KB TB ApŚ Conc wrongly
janānām for *vijānan* in KB]

CHAPTER XVIII

NOMINATIVE AND LOCATIVE

1. Independent nominative and dependent locative

§450. Variations between nominative and locative are fairly numerous, but quite miscellaneous. Except for the usual group of 'transfers of epithet', few of them fall into recognizable categories. A couple of cases occur in which a nominative of independent statement varies with a dependent locative, the first example is particularly simple and clear, in it the independent nominative is obviously secondary:

kr̥te yonau (KS *kr̥to yonir*) *vapateha bījam* (VS ŚB *vījam*) RV. AV. VS.

TS MS KS ŚB 'In the prepared womb scatter here the seed':
'the womb is prepared, scatter' etc

nābhā pṛthivyāh samidhāne agnau (MS. KS *samidhāno agnim*, TS. *samidhānam agnim*) VS. TS MS. KS. ŚB. See §393.

(*sīse mṛddhvam nade mṛddhvam*) *agnau samkasuke ca yat* (Ppp *agnih samkusikaś ca yah*) AV. Ppp.: (*āpo mā tasmāc chumbhantu*) *agneh samkasukāc ca yat* (Ppp as before) AV. Ppp. On the interpretation of AV see §§433, 669 Whitney considers Ppp. the correct reading. The same sense must be intended in both: 'and (wipe off) on Agni S.'

§451. Once a locative of a noun varies with a nominative of a secondary adjective from the same noun, yielding the same meaning ('in the atmosphere, in the earth': 'atmospheric, earthly'):

antarikṣa uta vā pṛthivyām AV.: *yā antarikṣa uta pāṛthivīr yāh* TB.

ApS : *yā antarikṣyā uta pāṛthivāsah* (AG *pāṛthivīr yāh*) KS. AG.

The ms of Ppp has *āntarikṣa uta pāṛthivā yāh*, for the first word we may read *āntarikṣa* with Barret, or perhaps better *āntarikṣā* (adjective)

2 Phrase-inflection

§452. One case may be called an instance of 'phrase-inflection'; the pāda is repeated in (here three) different contexts, requiring change in the case-form:

sutāsa indra girvanah RV 8 95 2b: *suteṣu indra girvanah* RV SV.

3 Case attraction

§453. A few variants may be grouped together in that the motive for the shift seems to have been a more or less mechanical assimilation of one word to the case of a neighboring word. Other instances, as usual, will be found among the cases of 'transfer of epithet'

gahanam (ŚB. °*ne*) *yad inahṣat* RV VS. ŚB Vait. ApŚ. MŚ. Preceded by *dāre cattāya chantaṣat* 'To him hidden afar may the hiding-place which he has reached be pleasing': 'may it be pleasing to him hidden in the distant hiding-place which he has reached'. Apparently ŚB assimilates to the case of *dāre*. Remarkable is the divergence of ŚB from even VS as well as all other texts.

rūpam indre (MS *indro*) *hiranyayam* VS. MS TB. The subjects in the original are Sarasvatī, Idā, and Bhūrati, who are to put *rūpam hiranyayam* upon Indra. In MS, Indra is attracted to the case of the subject nouns and treated as a supplementary subject, no loc being expressed.

itlhā hi soma in made (SV. *madah*) RV SV AB. KB ŚB AA. AŚ ŚŚ. Followed in RV SV by *brahmā* (SV °*ma*) *caḥāra vardhanam*. In RV the subject is *brahmā* alone, *soma* (= *some*) and *made* locs, in SV *soma* (= *somah*) and *madah* are coordinate subjects.

vardhamāno (TS °*nam*) *mahān* (TS MS *maha*) *ā ca puṣkare* (TS °*ram*) VS TS MS KS ŚB. The loc is clearly original; it is apparently to be construed with *ā*, 'on the lotus leaf', altho *ā* regularly follows a loc. In TS both *vardha*° and *puṣ*° are made noms; this and the next pāda are now felt as addressed to the lotus leaf (see §837), undoubtedly the noms of the preceding half line have helped in the change. It is not clear how TS understands *ā*.

4 Transfer of epithet

§454. The following cases of simple transfer of epithet (§14) occur; in all but the first two there is change of number or gender, or both, as well as case.

ā haryato arjune (SV °*no*) *atke avyata* RV SV. In RV, the adjective agrees with *atke*, in SV, it is transferred to the subject, *Soma*. *tatrāpi dahre* (MahānU *dahram*) *gaganam viśokah* (TA comm and Poona ed text with v. 1 °*lam*) TA MahānU [TAA 10 12 3, Poona ed, reads *viśokah* in text and comm, the latter calmly refers it to *brahma* without explanation]. In TA *dahre* goes with *tatra*, which refers back to *dahram* in the preceding 'in that small place'. In MahānU *dahram* is an epithet of *gaganam*.

śarman (MS °mans) *te syāma* (VS *tava syāma śarmanś*, TS. *tava syām śarman*) *trivarūṭha udbhau* (TS *udbhū*) VS TS MS. KS TA. In TS. *udbhū* is an epithet of the subject; in the others *udbhau* is loc with *śarman* (Comm on VS understands it as from a stem *ud-bhū*! He interprets it, however, as if from *ud-bhū* The stem is of course *udbhū*, declined as a short *u* stem) In all but TS. *trivarūṭha* stands for °the and goes with *śarman* In TS it might also be so interpreted, but p p °thah, nom, and so Keith

tvam śsamudram prathamō vi dhārayaḥ (SV *samudrah prathamē vidharman*) RV SV See §402, end.

asūrte (MS KS °tā, KapS °tāh) *sūrte rajasī niṣatle* (MS °tā, KS *na sallā*) RV. VS MS KS KapS N · *asūrtā sūrtā rajasō vimāne* TS. See Oldenberg, *Prol* 313, and *RVNoten* on 10 82 4 Certainly both *asūrte* and *sūrte*, and perhaps also *niṣatle*, go with *rajasī*; *asūrtā* is transferred to *bhūlāni*, *asūrtāh* to *rṣayah*, either *niṣatllā* or °tāh is possible, referring to either of these nouns

priyo (TB ApŚ *priye*) *devānām paramē janitre* (AV. TA *sadhasthe*) RV. AV SV. KS TB TA ApŚ MŚ. Addressed to the spirit of the dead man; *edhī* precedes The original *priyo* agrees with the subject, *priye* with *janitre*

dhruvādhi poṣyā (PG. ṭpoṣye) *mayi* RVKh ŚG PG ApMB 'Be thou steadfast with me, prosperous' We take *poṣye* as loc with *mayi*, contrary to Stenzler and Oldenberg, who understand it as voc. fem addressed to the bride (which is also possible; in that case this variant would belong in §334)

arepasah sacetasah (etc) *svasare manyumattamās* (SV. ApŚ *manyumantāś*) *cite goh* (SV. *citā goh*, ApŚ *cūdākoh*) AV SV. ApŚ. MŚ. A very obscure verse, in AV. *cite* must clearly go with *svasare*, in SV. with *arepasah* etc (here nom masc., tho in AV. apparently acc fem.) On ApŚ see VV 2 §§47, 61.

vyrtlacakrā āśināh HG ApMB (epithets of *prajāh*): *avimuktacakra* (v 1 °rā) *āśiran* PG · *nviṣṭlacakrāsau* AG (here an independent sentence, with *nadī* understood; in Conc under *somo no*) If °cakra is the true reading of PG, it may be a voc fem agreeing with the name of a river to be supplied for the following, *asau* (so Oldenberg), or it may be loc with the following *tīre*.

viśve devā anśusu nyuplah (VSK *nyupyamāneṣu*) VS VSK 'He (soma) is the All-gods, when he is offered in the anśus (when the *anśus* are offered)' Reference is made to the *graha* called *anśu* (ŚB. 4 6 1. 1 ff). Probably VSK is original

śucih śukre ahany ojasinā (MS *ahann ojasine*, KS *†śukro ahany ojasye*, AŚ *'hany ojasinām*) TS MS KS AŚ *ojasinā* is nom fem agreeing with *ugrā* (sc *dik*) of the preceding, *ojasine* and **sye* go with *ahann* (*ahany*) *śukre* goes with the latter; *śukro* of KS (and presumably *śucih* which in the others goes with *ugrā*) apparently goes with *indra* of the following (*indrādhīpatyah pīptāt*)

samsr̥ṣṭāsu yutsu indro ganeṣu MS . *samsr̥ṣṭā sa yudha indro ganena* RV AV SV VS TS KS See §548

§455. The rest of the cases grouped under the heading 'transfer of epithet' can be so described only by stretching the term (§15) We find first a group in which an independent locative noun, not the epithet of anything else, is changed into a nominative, becoming then an epithet of the subject The nom seems to be always secondary in this group All but the first few show shift of number or gender as well as case.

uruṣayāh saganā mānuṣāsah (TS **ṣeṣu*) AV TS We take it that TS is original 'widely dwelling with their troops among men' The AV assimilates to the preceding noms, making *mān*° an epithet of the subject, the Maruts

na yonā (TS. *yonāv*, KS *yonir*) *uśāsānaktā* (KS **naktāgneḥ*) VS TS MS. KS The verse deals with Agni 'Dawn and night, as in his native place' In KS *yonī* is made to agree with *uśāsānaktā*, called 'the native place' of Agni

sūr asī suvanasya relah (MS *relā iṣṭakā svargo lokah*) MS ApŚ *sūr asī svar aṣiṣṭakā svarge loke* KS 'Thou art the brick, the world of heaven (in the world.)' Probably KS. is orig, and MS has attracted to the case of *iṣṭakā*

ayam sahasram ā no dr̥ṣe kavīnām matir jyotir vidharman AV . *ayam sahasram ānavo dr̥ṣah kavīnām matir jyotir vidharma* (ApŚ **mā*) SV. ApŚ MŚ Mystically obscure in both versions, but we believe AV comes closer to the orig (cf VV 2 §833) The nom of SV etc. may be due to attraction into agreement with the subject

vājnam tvā vājno 'vanayāmah (MS *vājny avanayāmi*) MS TA ApŚ 'Thee, the whey, we, the strong ones, pour' 'thee, the whey, I pour into the strong (*mahāvīra*-vessel)' MS is doubtless orig *yā* (MS *ya*) *iṣavo yātudhānānām* VS TS MS ŚB NīlarU ApMB *yeṣu vā yātudhānāh* KS See §449

mahī vīspatnī sadane (KS **nī*) *ṛtasya* KS TB ApŚ MŚ Addressed to the firesticks 'Ye that are two mighty queens, (come hither) to the seat of the *ṛta*'. 'that are two seats of the *ṛta*, (come hither)' *devānām sumne bṛhate* (TB ApŚ *sumno mahate*) *ranāya* VS MS KS

ŚB TB ApŚ. Preceded by *svair dakṣair* (TB. ApŚ *sve dakṣe*) *dakṣapiteha sīda* The loc, 'in the favor of the gods', is made an epithet of the subject, 'agreeable to the gods' Caland adopts *sumne* in ApŚ, but thus needlessly violates the clear intent of the Tait school

saṃveśane (noun, 'at entrance') *tanvaś* (AV. *tanvā*) *cārur edhi* RV. AV.: *saṃveśanas* (epithet of subject, understood as 'entering?') *tanvai* (*tanve*) *cārur edhi* SV. KS TB. TA. ApŚ. MŚ

yāh parastāt rocane (MS. °*nāh*) *sūryasya* TS. MS : *yā rocane parastāt sūryasya* RV VS. KS ŚB 'Which, in the light-space beyond the sun': in MS., 'which bright ones, beyond the sun.' Refers to waters

jyotirjarāyū rajaso vimāne (KS °*nah*) RV. VS. TS. MS KS ŚB N. Preceded by *ayam venaś codayat prṣṇugarbhāḥ*. KS. is secondary but by no means 'absurd' (Keith on TS); it takes the word as an epithet of the subject, meaning 'traverser' or the like, a sense familiar in RV (see e.g. 3. 26. 7). No need to emend KS with Raghu Vira KapS. p. 27, n. 2, Oertel 5.

§456. In a few cases, contrariwise, an original nom. epithet is changed in a secondary version to an independent locative:

pūrvam devebhyo amṛtasya nābhāḥ (ArS *nāma*, TA. TU. NrpU *nābhāyī*) ArS TB TA. TU NrpU N Preceded by *aham asmi praihamajā ṛtasya* The nom is original: 'I am the nave of immortality.' The loc is rationalizing.

ukṣā samudro (MS. KS °*dre*) *aruṇah* (RV. *aruṣah*) *suparṇah* RV. VS TS. MS KS ŚB In the original *samudra* is an epithet of the sun This seems quite recherché to the redactors of MS KS., which understand it of the real ocean; the loc is rationalizing and secondary but perfectly sensible (the verb *ānveśa* follows), and by no means to be described as a 'mere blunder' (Keith on TS)

āpīr no bodhi sadhamādyo (SV. °*dye*) *vr̥dhe* RV. SV. In RV the word is an epithet of *āpīr*: 'be our friend, convivial, unto increase' In SV it may be felt as agreeing with *vr̥dhe*, conceived as loc; but Benfey takes it as an independent noun, = *sadhamāde*, 'in dem Göttermahl'

5 Miscellaneous

§457. The remaining nom.-loc variants seem hardly capable of classification, though many of them are interesting individually:

pumān putro jāyatām (ApMB ŚG *dhīyatām*) *garbho* (ŚG *garbhe*) *antah*

HG ŚG. ApMB The double meaning of *garbha*, 'womb' and 'embryo', is responsible for this change Similarly:

garbhe (MS. *garbhah*) *sañ* (*san*, *sam-*) *jāyase punah* RV VS TS MS. KS ŚB As prec.

yac ca kincij jagat sarvam (MahānU and TA comm *jagaty asmin*) TA MahānU. 'Whatever (in) this whole world is seen or heard' (followed by *drśyate śrūyate 'pi vā*) The loc seems to be rationalizing and secondary.

yatrādhi sūra udito vibhāti (TS. *uditau vyeti*) RV VS VSK TS Different words; cf VV 2 §732

viśvān yo amartyo, *havyā marṣeṣu ranyati* RV : *viśve yasminn amartye*, *havyam marṣā indhate* SV. 'What immortal (Agni) rejoices in all offerings among mortals': 'in what immortal all mortals kindle oblation'

pibāt somam mamadad (AŚ. ŚŚ *somam amadann*) *enam iṣṭe* (AŚ ŚŚ *iṣṭayah*) AV AŚ ŚŚ Cf VV 2 §746

vṛṣā vanam (ApŚ *vane*) *vṛṣā madah* (ApŚ *made*, SV *sutah*) RV. SV. ApŚ. 'A bull (is) the wooden (soma-vessel), a bull the exhilaration'. Addressed to soma. ApŚ has a natural rationalization: ('thou art) a bull in the vessel, a bull in the intoxication'

tasya mṛtyuś (KS *mṛtyoś*, read with v. 1 *mṛtyuś*, TB *mṛtyau*) *carati rājasūyam* AV. KS. TB Perhaps TB means something like 'his coronation walks in the presence of death', i.e. proceeds in spite of the powers of destruction? Comm. *tasya rājño mṛtyau duṣṭaśikṣā-rūpamarananamītabhūte satī rājasūyam carati*

vājasya hi prasave (TS *vo*) *nannamīti* (TS *namna*^o) TS MS KS Keith: 'the instigation of strength is propitious' Better perhaps with active meaning (well established for *namati*): 'the impulse of strength bows (causes to bend)' MS. KS perhaps, with intransitive meaning and indefinite subject: 'on the impulse of strength one bows' This and the next belong to a series of mantras which show continuous play on various meanings of *vāja*

viśvam astu dravnam vājo (KS. *vāje*) *asme* (KS. *†asmin*) RV BS TS MS KS Original: 'let all riches and wealth (or, strength) be ours' KS. takes *vāja* as 'struggle': 'let all wealth be (mine) in this conflict' Cf. prec

apriyah prati muñcatām AV.: *apriye prati muñca tat* (Kauś *†muñcatam*) AV. Kauś Contexts somewhat different, and voice of verb varies (VV 1 §30).

viṣṇum agan varuṇam pūrvahūtīh AV.: *viṣṇū agan varuṇā pūrvahūtāu*

(MS. °hūtim) VS MS ŚB. ŚB. TB. AŚ ŚŚ. See §399. The loc might here be the equivalent of the acc of goal But Mahidhara takes it, probably rightly, as true loc, 'at the morning prayer', and so Griffith and Eggeling. A still different interpretation is found in the comms. on ŚB and TB, which make it not loc. but a dual adjective going with *viṣṇu varunā*

agnir jyotir jyotir agnih SV. VS MS KS. etc : *agnau jyotir jyotir agnau* KS The contexts are different

ūrdhvo adhvare asthāt (VS ŚB 'dhvare āsthāt, KS. 'dhvare sthāh, ApŚ. *adhvare sthāt*) VS MS KS ŚB. ApŚ 'He (Agni) stood upright at the sacrifice': 'the sacrifice stood upright'

ṛtasya garbhah (MS *dhāman*, KS. *dhāma*) *prathamā vyūṣuṣi* TS MS. KS PG 'She who first lighted up (i.e. Uṣas) was the child (abode, or the like) of the *ṛta*': 'first lighting up in the abode of the *ṛta*'

ya sma śrutarvann ārkṣye SV : *yasya śrutarvā brhan* RV. See §449

yat te susīme hṛdaye (SMB PG ApMB HG. °yam) KBU. AG SMB PG ApMB HG. 'What in thy heart': 'what heart is thine' KBU 2 8 has the version *yat te susīmam hṛdayam*; see §340

yo antarikṣe rajaso vimānah RV. VS. VSK TS. *yad antarikṣam rajaso vimānam* AV. Different contexts; AV. has used a vague reminiscence of RV 10 121 5c in a quite secondary way 'He who measures out the space in the atmosphere': 'what atmosphere measures out space'

atha viśve arapā edhate gr̥hah TS : *adhā vīśvāhārapa edhate gr̥he* VS The latter: 'he (the child) flourishes unharmed at all times in the house' TS corrupt; *viśve* translatable only as nom sg, cf §731; *gr̥hah* seems to have been attracted to the case of the subject: 'all (?) the house flourishes unharmed.'

prapitāmahan bibharti pinvamānah (TA † °maham bibharat pinvamāne) AV. TA : *svarge loke pinvamāno bibhartu* ApŚ Preceded by *sa bibharti pitarām pitāmahan* AV, *sa nah* (TA. *tasminn eṣa*) *pitarām pitāmahan* TA ApŚ Both *sa* and *tasminn* (with which *pinvamāne* agrees) refer to *utsa* of the preceding, while *eṣa* refers to *Vaiśvānara* The loc. of TA is almost 'absolute'.

§458. There remain a few corruptions and errors:

vasūni jāte janamāna (SV *jāto janamāny*) *oṣā* RV. AV. SV. VS. N Followed by *prati bhāgam na dīdhima* (SV. °mah) The SV reading seems hopeless

tarī mandrāsu prayakṣu AV. *stanī mandras suprayakṣuh* KS : *sa im*

(TS *ī*) *mandrā suprayasah* (TS *mandrāsu prayasah*, MS *mandrā suprayasā śarīman*) VS TS MS Very dubious, and likely to be corrupt in all. Cf VV 2 §839

[*varṣma divah, nābhā pṛthivyāh* TB : *varṣman divo nābhā pṛthivyāh* ApŚ Poona ed of TB correctly *varṣman*, a loc is necessary]

[*ula vām uṣaso budhi* (GB. *budhih*, but Gaastra with all mss but one *budhi*) RV GB.]

CHAPTER XIX

ACOUSATIVE AND INSTRUMENTAL

1 Associative instrumental complementary accusative

§459. Among variations of the accusative and instrumental we find first a group of cases in which an associative instrumental varies with an accusative which is parallel with and complementary to another accusative. Such variants occur also between the instrumental and other cases (§§55-7). The meaning is virtually the same. Thus:

asthi majjānam māsaraṁ (MS °ram) VS MS KS. TB Followed by *kāṇḍarena dadhāto gavāṁ tvaci* 'Putting upon the cattle's hide his (soma's) bone and marrow, with (the drink) *māsara* and the straining-cloth' In MS there is no real difference of meaning, tho *māsara* is there made an additional direct object, parallel with *asthi* and *majjānam*.

tapūṣy (MS *tapobhir*) *agne juhvā patamgān* RV VS TS MS. KS Followed by *asamdito vi sṛja viśvag ulkāḥ* The original correlates *tapūṣy*, *patamgān* and *ulkāḥ* as objects of *vi sṛja* MS substitutes *tapobhir* for the first, probably as an associative instr., tho it might also be one of means, correlated with *juhvā*.

rūpāṁ apīśad (TB * *rūpāṁ pīśan*) *bhuvanāni viśvā* RV. AV. VS MS KS TB (both) N 'He shaped all beings along with their forms' 'shaping all forms and beings'

svāhā vanaspatim priyam pāṭho na bheṣajam (MS °jaih),

svāhā somam indriyam (MS °yaih);

svāhāgnim na bheṣajam (MS °jaih), all VS. MS TB. The words *hotā yakṣad*, 'let the hotar worship', are understood with all, and it seems that the instrs. of MS must be understood as associative. Without the comparison of the two forms of the variant, we might be tempted to interpret them differently, as instrs. of means or cause ('with' or 'by reason of' . .)

hastyaśvāśvatarāḥ rathāḥ RVKh Conc (Auf.) *hastyaśvāśvāgave ratham* RVKh Scheft : *bahvaśvājagavedakam* MG

sapta ca mānuṣīr (AG ŚG MG *vārunīr*, PG. *vārunair*, v̄ 1 °nīr) *imāḥ* (PG MG *imāḥ prajāḥ*) ApMB HG AG. ŚG PG. MG Followed by.

sarvās (ApMB HG. *tisraś*) *ca rūjabāndhaviḥ* (PG °*vaiḥ*, MG °*vyah*, ApMB °*bandhaviḥ*, HG °*bandhavaiḥ*) ApMB HG AG ŚG † PG. MG (Conc puts *prajāḥ* with the second pāda in PG MG) The preceding verb is *apa jāhi* in all but the plainly corrupt MG (see Knauer's note) The instrs are associative, equivalent to correlative objects

māsarena pariśrutā (TB. *pariśkr̥tā*, KS *parisrutam*) VS MS KS TB Preceded by *gobhir na somam aśvinā*, and followed by *sam adhātām sarasvatyā*, *svāhendre sulam madhu* *Parisrut* is the name of a kind of liquor, and is one of a series of gifts made by the Aśvins to Indra Some (*somam*, *sulam*, *madhu*) are made direct objects in all, others associative instrs; *parisrut* is treated in both ways in different texts In TB *pariśkr̥tā* must be meant as nom dual with *aśvinā*; this is a reminiscence of *māsarena pariśkr̥tāḥ* (so VS TB, in MS *parisrutā*), which shortly precedes in the text

vi kumāram jarāyunā AV : *vi garbham ca jarāyu ca* TS KS The verb, continued from pāda a, is *bhīnadmi* 'the child with (that is, here, 'from') the afterbirth'. 'the embryo and the afterbirth (I separate)' *īdam aham rakṣo 'bhī* (MS * *rakṣobhīḥ*) *sam dahāmi* (MS KS MŚ *sam ūhāmi*) TS MS (bis) KS MŚ The instr occurs in the brāhmana passage corresponding to the mantra *rakṣo 'bhī*, it seems as if this latter must be intended both times (VV 2 §383). Yet it is strange that, to judge from the editor's silence, there is no v 1 At a pinch *rakṣobhīḥ* could be associative, with *īdam* felt as the direct object

gaṇān me mā vi tūrṣaḥ (MŚ °*ṣat*, Vait *vy arīṣaḥ*) TS Vait MŚ *ganair mā mā vi tūrṣata* MS 'Cause not my troops (me with my troops) to thirst.'

§460. Peculiar are the following two cases; it seems doubtful whether the acc in them is of quite the same character as in the preceding. *sam mā sṛjāmy adbhīr* (TS. *apa*) *oṣadhībhiḥ* VS TS MS KS If TS means 'I unite myself, [unite] the waters, with the plants,' as it seems to on its face, it is rather bathetic Keith, both here and in the following, seems to understand *apah* as a secondary acc., as if of goal (cf the use of the locative in §462), at least he renders 'I united [unite?] myself with waters and plants', which is the meaning of VS. MS KS Is it, literally, 'I unite myself unto waters, with plants'? Cf §59

sam tvā nahyāmy adbhīr (TS *apa*) *oṣadhībhiḥ* TS MŚ MG As prec §461. Sometimes, especially where a verb of joining occurs in the

phrase, there is an interchange of acc. with instr. and of instr. with acc., the two case-forms changing places:

tvaṣṭā rūpena samanaktu yajñam AŚ.: *tvaṣṭā rūpāni samanaktu yajñāni* ŚB TB ŚŚ. KŚ 'Let Tvaṣṭar unite the sacrifice with form' or 'forms with sacrifices'

§462. In at least two such cases a locative appears to be involved. In the first it, rather than the instr., varies with the one acc. after a verb of joining, while the other variant has instr. plus acc.:

aṅgāny ālman (MS *aṅgair ālmānam*) *bhiṣajā tad aśvinā* VS. MS. KS. TB. 'The physician Aśvins joined his limbs upon his body (self)', or 'his body with his limbs.' MS. is evidently influenced by the following pāda: *ālṁānam aṅgair samadhāt sarasvatī* (in all).

§463. In the other, where there is no verb of joining, the locative nevertheless is bracketed with the acc. in one form, while in the other we have acc. plus instr.:

samudre yasya rasām id āhuh AV.: *yasya samudram* (MS. KS. Ppp. *samudram yasya*) *rasayā sahāhuh* RV. Ppp VS. TS MS KS. 'Whose, they say, is the ocean, together with the (stream) Rasā.' AV. as it stands would mean 'the Rasā in the ocean', which may be defended; but note that the meter needs an extra syllable, which the instr. *samudrena* would furnish. If we dared emend AV. thus, we should have a double interchange exactly like that of §461.

2. Other accs and instrs, substantially equivalent

§464. The associative instrumental, as we have seen, may in theory vary with any other case as a correlative to another form in that case. We now come to other variants in which, in one way or another, an acc. and an instr. may be nearly or quite equivalent in meaning. We here approach a sphere in which the uses of these two cases converge more specifically, to be sure, among the variants last quoted, especially those containing expressions of joining two entities, we already entered a more restricted region where we should scarcely expect to find, normally, other cases than these two (altho curiously we found the locative also cropping up).

§465. We now take up, first, some cases in which what is sometimes called a 'cognate' or 'inner' accusative varies with an instrumental of means or manner, both meaning much the same thing. The type is exemplified by such expressions as 'sing songs' or 'sing with songs', 'walk a path' or 'walk on (in Sanskrit, 'by') a path'. Thus: *ghṛtenārkaṁ abhy arcanti vatsam* AV.: *tam arkair abhy arcanti vatsam*

TB 'They sing with ghee a song unto the young' 'they sing with songs unto the young'

yam putrina ākramante viśokāh AB.. *yenākramante putrino (ye) viśokāh* ŚŚ Preceded by *eṣa pañihā urugāyah suśevah* (ŚŚ *vitato devayānah*) '(The path) which they tread' or 'by which they tread'

tantum tatam peśasā samvayanti VS *peśasvatī tantunā samvayanti* (KS TB *samvayanti*) MS KS TB Dawn and Night are referred to as 'weaving a thread' or 'weaving (rolling up) with a thread.'

yena tvābadhnāt (KŚ *mā*², TS ApMB * *yam abadhnāta*, MŚ MG *yaj jagrantha*) *santi suśevah* (AV °*vāh*, TS ApMB * *suketah*, MŚ MG *satyadharmā*) RV AV TS MŚ KŚ ApMB (bis) MG '(the bond) with which he bound thee' or 'which he bound.' Note that the 'inner' acc is not used with the acc of the person

§466. Quite close to the preceding are cases of a sort of 'resultative' accusative, varying with an instrumental, of the offering made, after forms of roots *hu* and *yaj*

tasmā indrāya sutam ā juhota (TB. ApŚ *juhomi*) VS VSK MS KS ŚB TB ApŚ MŚ.. *tasmā indrāya havīṣā* (TB *havir ā*) *juhota* MS TB 'Offer (with) the drink (oblation) to Indra here'

srucājyāni juhvatah AV *srucājyena juhvalah* TB (so Poona ed for the corrupt *srucānyena juhvata* of Bibl Ind ed) 'Offering (with) ghee with a spoon' Preceded by *medasvatā yajamānāh*, the instr *medasvatā* (which because of gender cannot agree with *srucā*, and seems to need a noun) may have caused the change to *ājyena*, which we believe is clearly secondary

§467. With a verb of motion an acc of goal is a very natural construction. If however there is contained in the verb also the notion of joining, that is if it means something like 'go to join', an instrumental is equally admissible.

marya iva yuvatibhīh sam arṣati (AV *iva yoṣāh sam arṣase*) RV AV SV 'He rushes as a male to join the maidens', RV (Grassmann, 'mit jemand eilend zusammenkommen') The AV comm reads *yoṣā*, instr, and Whitney calls the construction with *yoṣāh* 'lame', which seems to us unjust. The acc of goal is surely quite natural, indeed with this verb the instr strikes us as more recherché, tho comprehensible (suggested by the associative idea in *sam*)

śunam kīnāśā abhi (AV. *anu*) *yantu* (MS *kīnāśo abhy etu*) *vāharh* (AV TS *vāhān*) RV AV VS TS MS KS ŚB 'Prosperously let the plowmen (plowman) go along with (after) the draft-animals'

trayo gharṁā anu rela āguh AV : *trayo gharṁāso anu jyotiṣāguh* (MS

KS. *relasāguh*) TS MS. KS ApMB. 'Three cauldrons have followed (with) the seed (light)' In a mystical passage; the real meaning is obscure

achāyam eti śavasā ghr̥tena (AV. *ghr̥tā cit*) AV. Ppp. VS MS. TS : *achāyam yanti śavasā ghr̥tācīh* KS. In the sequel, as we have shown §399, both AV and KS seem to be secondary. In VV 2 §824 we have treated AV *ghr̥tā cit* as secondary to KS. *ghr̥tācīh*. We now think, however, that *ghr̥tā cit* may well be the original reading: 'here comes (Agni) with might unto the ghees (offerings)' It is perhaps easiest on this basis to explain both *ghr̥tācīh* (agreeing with *sruco* which is made the subject in KS, 'the ghee-filled ladles,' see §403) and *ghr̥tena*, which would be at least partly due to form-assimilation to the case of *śavasā*. It would be harder to understand the change from either of these to *ghr̥tā cit*, a *lectio difficilior*

§468. To these may be appended the following, in which the verb is not one of motion but one of speech, we may however remember that such verbs in Sanskrit have constructions parallel to verbs of motion, taking an acc of the goal of speech. In this variant that case varies with an associative instr., if the reading is acceptable:

grāvā vaded (KS Conc with v. 1 *grāvāvādīd*) *abhi somasyānsum* (ApŚ. °*sunā*) KS ApŚ. Followed by *indram* (KS. ed. *endram*, Conc. with v 1 *indram*) *śukṣemendunā sutena*. Caland assumes the KS reading for ApŚ: 'may the pressing-stone cry out in greeting to the shoot of soma, may we present Indra with the pressed drink' But it seems that the instr. can stand: 'may the pressing-stone cry out along with the shoot of soma,' etc

§469. Other instances, in which the associative idea of 'joining' is hardly felt in the instr., which nevertheless interchanges with an acc., are: *devair uktā* (Ppp *sr̥ṣṭā*, KS *nutā*, ApŚ MŚ *nyuplā*) *vyasarpo mahitvam* (Ppp KS ApŚ. MŚ °*tvā*) AV Ppp KS ApŚ MŚ. In AV., 'thou didst expand unto might' In the others, with quasi-adverbial instr., 'thou didst expand with might (mightily)'

ye śapraṭhetām (AV ArS °*thām*) *amulebhur ojobhūh* (AV. *amitā yojanāni*, ArS *amitam abhi yojanam*) AV. ArS TS MS KS. 'Who have spread out unto unmeasured leagues': 'who have spread out with unmeasured strengths' The change in the noun is connected with the change of case. Add to VV 1 §331

pra rādhasā (SV *rādhānsi*) *codayāte* (SV °*yate*) *mahitvanā* RV SV. 'Let him promote (he promotes) us with favor (unto favors), with might,' or, SV might mean 'he sends favors unto us with might'

See Oldenberg, *Proleg.* 287 f., against Grassmann's suggestion that SV. is original.

pra śmaśru (SV. *śmaśrubhr*) *dodhuvad ūrdhvalhā bhāt* (SV. *°dhā bhuvat*) RV. SV. 'Shaking (with) his beard.'

sa virājam (KS. *°jā*) *pary eti* (MS. *etu*, KS *pari yāti*) *prajānan* TS MS KS. 'He goes about knowing majesty': 'he goes about with majesty, intelligent' or 'intelligent with majesty'. The VS parallel has *sanemī rājā pari yāti vidvān*, which is evidently based on a misunderstanding of KS., taking *virājā* as nominative

aham (AB. AŚ. *idam*) *tad* (MS *tam*) *asya manasā śvena* (MS * *ghṛtena*) VS. MS (bis) KS. AB. ŚB. TB. AŚ. ApS. *tenāham adya manasā sulasya* KS. The contexts are the same; both are followed by *somam rājānam iha bhakṣayāmi*. The pronouns *tad* (*tam*, *tena*) refer back to the preceding '(what is left over of the juicy draft, of which Indra drank mightily,) that (with that) of him with auspicious mind—I here partake of King Soma' KS *tena* seems to be a rationalizing reading, avoiding the harshness of *tad* followed by *somam*, both really referring to the same thing. For a similar reason MS changes *tad* to *tam*, to agree with *somam*

[*vanema pūrvīr aryo manīṣāh* (p.p. *°jā*) RV. The s.p. has *manīṣā agnīh*, implying *manīṣāh*, which is vigorously defended by Oldenberg *Proleg.* 385, *SBE* 46 71, *RVNoten* on 1 70 1: 'may we, the poor, succeed in many pious thoughts' The p.p. must understand an instr.; it is followed by Geldner *Ved. St.* 3 87, 89]

3. Acc. of bahuvrīhis : instr. of karmadhārayas (or separate instr.)

§470. The nature of the instr. is such that in a karmadhāraya cpd it may express the same idea which may be elsewhere expressed by a bahuvrīhi cpd agreeing with a noun, which might in theory be in any case at all. We found above (§413) such variations between instr. and nom. forms; here we record one of instr. and acc., as well as another somewhat similar case in which instead of the instr. karmadhāraya we have the cpd broken up into its parts, an adjective (acc.) with dependent instrumental:

rākām aham suhavam (AV. *°vā*) *suṣṭutī huve* RV AV TS MS KS SMB ApMB. N. Comm. on AV. and Ppp read *°vām*; if *°vā* can stand, it has been attracted to the case of *suṣṭutī* 'I call upon Rākā of good call (with good call), with fair praise.'

adhṛṣṭam dhṛṣṇvojasam (SV. *dhṛṣṇum ojasā*) RV AV SV. Epithets of Indra; 'endowed with resistless might' or 'resistless with might.' The meaning is practically identical Cf. VV 2 §818

4. Adverbial accusatives and instrumentals

§471. The adverbial accusative, called 'accusative of specification', is precisely equivalent to the instrumental of the same meaning, and varies with it sometimes without difference of meaning:

juhūr, upabhr̥d, dhruvāsi ghṛtācī nāmnā TS (intending *juhūr asi ghṛtācī nāmnā, upabhr̥d asi* , etc.): *ghṛtācy asy upabhr̥n nāmnā* (VSK *nāma*) VS. VSK. ŚB. (also with *dhruvā* and *juhūr* for *upabhr̥d*): *juhūr asi ghṛtācī nāmnā* TB.: *dyaur asi janmanā juhūr nāma*. MS. (also with *pr̥thivy dhruvā* . , and *antarikṣam. upabhr̥n*)
pra hansāsas tṛpalam (SV. *lā*) *manyum* (SV. *vagnum*) *acha* RV. SV
 The RV *tṛpalam* is apparently an adverb, 'joyfully'. In SV. if the p p is correct it must also be considered an (instr.) adverb (but *tṛpalāh*, 'joyful,' n. pl. adjective, may be intended by the s p.).

§472. In other cases only one of the varying case forms is adverbial, while the other has various non-adverbial constructions:

yad vo 'suddhāh (VSK † °dhah) *parā jaghnur* (VSK. *jaghānataḍ*) *idam vas tac chundhāmi* VS. VSK. ŚB.: *yad āsuddhah parā jaghāna tad va etena śundhantām* KS In the latter *etena* is hardly adverbial: 'by this (procedure).' In VS. etc it seems to be represented by *idam*, which is apparently an out-and-out adverb: 'Whatever of yours the impure have (has) defiled, that here do I now (*idam*) purify': 'whatever the impure has defiled, as to that for you thru this let them purify themselves (or, let them purify that for you thru this).' In MS *yad vo 'suddha ālebhe tañ śundhadhvam* there is no such pronoun.

yas te rājan varuna deveṣu pāśas tam ta etenāvayaje (KS. *ta elad avayaje*) MS. KS. In KS. *elad* is a pure adverb: 'I now (or, here) sacrifice that (fetter) of thine away.' In MS *etena* (as in the preceding) means 'by this (rite).' See also the similar entries surrounding this in the Conc, and those beginning *yas te deva varuna*, which occur in TB. and have *etena*

priyena dhāmnā (TS. TB. ApŚ *nāmnā*, VSK. *nāma*) *priyam sada āsida* (VSK TS TB ApŚ.* *prīye sadasi sīda*) VS. VSK. TS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ. (bis). *sedam priyena dhāmnā priyam sada īāsida* (VSK *priyena nāma priye sadasi sīda*) VS VSK. ŚB. In TS etc. texts *priyeṇa nāmnā* = 'with thy dear name', associative instr. Conc. suggests reading *nāmnā* in VSK., but this is unnecessary; 'with that which is dear by name' is good Vedic thought.

yad ahañ dhanena prapananś carāmi ApMB.: *yad vo devāḥ prapanam carāma* HG : *yena dhanena prapanam carāmi* AV. *yad* is used as a conjunction; *yena* with *dhanena*.

5. Case attraction

§473. We come now to cases in which there is a more pronounced reinterpretation of the variant passage, and first to instances in which the change seems to be due to more or less external attraction to the case-form of an adjoining word (a motive which, as we have seen, is frequently perceptible in the variants listed above)

vyaciṣṭham annaḥ (TS MS KS *annam*) *rabhasam dṛṣṭānam* (TS † KS. *vidānam*) RV. VS. TS MS KS ŚB In the original *annaḥ* is instr. of means with either *vyaciṣṭham* or *rabhasam*, which refer to Agni: 'broadly extended by food, impetuous, beautiful' In TS MS KS it seems to be mechanically assimilated to the case of these adjectives, becoming thus a complementary object along with *agnim* of pāda 2 Keith takes *annam* as object of *vidānam*; but the position seems to be against this, and certainly in MS no such construction is possible

haviṣā yajña (TB *yajñam*) *indriyam* (VS °*yajñ*) VS MS KS TB Preceded by *tam indram paśavaḥ sacā, aśvinobhū sarasvatī, dadhānā abhyanūṣata* In most texts *indriyam* is a collateral object: ' (praised Indra), his heroism, with oblation, at the sacrifice ' In VS it is attracted to the case of *haviṣā*: ' with oblation, at the sacrifice, for his heroic deeds ' In TB. in a similar way the loc *yajñe* is attracted to the case of *indriyam* '(praised Indra) with oblation, (praised) the sacrifice, his heroism ' In such ritualistic rigmarole it makes little difference how the words are construed; this is a striking example, and proves little about the use of cases, but much about ritualistic psychology and style

agner jihvām abhi (MS *jihvābhi*, p p *jihvām, abhi*, AV. KS. *jihvayābhi*) *ṣṛṇūtam* (AV. *ṣṛnata*) AV VS TS MS KS Preceded by *daivyā* (AV. *davā*) *hotārā* (AV. °*ra*) *ūrdhvam* (KS *hotārordhvam imam*, MS *ūrdhvam imam*) *adhvaram nah* The instr. of AV KS is original: 'greet our high oblation with the tongue of Agni' In the others the preceding accs. have attracted *jihvayā* into their case: 'greet the tongue of Agni, our high oblation ' On MS see §174

6 Other miscellaneous variants

§474. The rest contain miscellaneous reinterpretations, sometimes accompanied by rather radical reconstructions of the material:

vidhes tvam asmākam nāma (AB AŚ *nāmnā*) MS KS AB TA. AŚ ŚŚ Preceded by *vidhema te nāma*, in AB AŚ the words *dyām gacha* are added, and with this phrase the instr. is construed. 'Let us

reverence thy name; do thou reverence our name': (in AB. AŚ.)
'...do thou do reverence, with our name go to heaven'

lavē u stomaiḥ (SV. *stomaiḥ*) *ciketa* RV. AV. SV. The root *cit* governs either acc. or gen., so that it is permissible for SV. to reinterpret *tava* (originally possessive) as object of *ciketa* 'I have thought only on thy praise': 'I have thought only on thee with praises.'

cakṣuḥ cit sūrye sacā RV.: *cakṣuṣā sūryam dṛṣe* SV. In RV. *cakṣuḥ* is governed by *ā dade* of the preceding: 'he unites our eye to the sun,' cf. Bergaigne, *Rel. véd.* 1. 184. The SV. has a lect. fac.: 'that we may see the sun with our eye.'

pratiprasthātāḥ paṣunehi KŚ. ApŚ.: *pratiprasthātāḥ paṣum upakalpa-yasva* MŚ. 'Come with the cow': 'bring the cow near.' Change of verb.

yajñam yad yajñavāhasaḥ AV.: *yajñair vā* (TB. *vo*) *yajñavāhasaḥ* RV. TS TB. The context of RV. TS. is wholly different from that of AV. TB. In RV. TS followed by *viprasya vā matinām, marutā śṛnutā havam*; for the interpretation see Oldenberg, *RVNoten* on 1 86. 2. In AV. TB. followed by *śikṣanto nopa* (TB. *āśikṣanto na*) *śekma* AV. makes *yajñam* object: 'in so far as we, O sacrifice-bearers, desiring to effect the sacrifice, have not been able to effect it' TB. is variously interpreted; the comm. is worthless To us it seems to mean, if anything: 'seeking power by sacrifice to you, O sacrifice-bearers, we have not succeeded.' But the real explanation is that TB., in repeating the AV. stanza, has contaminated it with the similar pāda from a totally different context, which is remembered from RV., or more likely from TS

stomair (guro) vardhanty atrayaḥ, gīrbhūḥ (gīrah) śumbhanty atrayaḥ RV. (both). 'The Atris increase (the god) with praises, the Atris decorate (him) with songs': 'the Atris increase songs (for the god), .. decorate (i.e. fashion) songs (for him).' The first is preceded by an acc referring to the god, the second by a dat

dīvyam suparnam vāyasam (AV. *payasam*, VS. TS.* KS ŚB. *vayasā*, TS.* MS *vayasam*) *bṛhantam* RV. AV. VS. TS. (bis) MS. KS. ŚB. AŚ. Suparn. The original *vāyasam* 'bird' is changed into the instr. *vayasā* 'with strength', from a wholly different stem.

pra tad viṣnu (viṣnuh, viṣnus) stavate vīryena (AV. *vīryān*, TB. ApŚ. *vīryāya*) RV. AV. VS. MS. KS. ŚB. TB. AŚ. ApŚ. NṛpU. The original means: 'Viṣnu is praised here for his deed of heroism', *stavate* having passive force. In AV, unless *pra stavate* is understood in its later sense of 'begins' (which seems unlikely), it must

apparently be taken with active force. 'V. here praises his (own) heroic deeds' Instead of this foolish perversion, TB ApŚ. have another one, with a dative of result: 'V is praised unto (so as to perform) heroism' Cf §558

ghṛtēna tvam tanvam (TS *tanuvo*) *vardhayasva* RV. VS TS MS ŚB ApŚ MŚ N : *ghṛtasyāgne tanvā sam bhava* KS MŚ Kauś MG Parallels rather than true variants, tho the contexts are in some texts the same

nīcād uccā svadhayābhī pra tasthau Ppp TS KS : *nīcār uccār svadhā abhī pra tasthau* AV The meaning of the always troublesome word *svadhā* is doubly doubtful in this obscure verse of an obscure hymn AV. *svadhā* is generally taken as acc : 'he set forth unto the sacrificial drinks (? cf *gharmam* of the preceding verse)' The others: 'he set forth according to his own will (?)' Bloomfield in a note suggests that *svadhā* may be nom sg in spite of the sandhi, which in more than one connexion is problematic Macdonell, *Ved Gr.* 251, notes that this word, originally apparently a stem in radical *ā*, sometimes appears in the nom sg without *s* in the p p. but with hiatus in the s p, and refers to RPr 2 29 TPr 10 13 teaches the sandhi *svadhā asī* If nom, the word would mean here '(the first-born's) will set forth' The variant may be partly phonetic in character, it suggests the use of *y* as 'Hiatus-tilger' (VV 2 §§338 ff; this might have been mentioned in §342)

viśvā āsāh pramuñcan mānuṣīr bhūyah VS TS : *viśvā* (MS *vy*) *amīvāh pramuñcan mānuṣībhuḥ* (KS °*śebhyah*, MS °*śānām*) AV. MS KS See §573 The VS TS reading has all the earmarks of a lect fac, with *mānuṣīr* understand *kr̥ṣṭis*. 'freeing men from fear'. Cf VV 2 §§783, 832

udagrābhasya namayan vadhasnāh (SV °*snum*) RV SV There seems to be no object for *namayan* in RV, SV feels it necessary to specify who is 'brought low', and makes it 'the weapon-bearer', doubtless thinking vaguely of demoniac enemies

dame-dame suṣṭutur (°*tyā*, °*tir*, °*tī*) *vām iyānā* (vāvr̥dhānā, °*nau*), see §413 The instr is clearly original, acc secondary.

[*mā me 'vān nābhīm atigāh* TS KŚ MŚ · *mām evā gnābhīr abhigāh* Vait Read in Vait *mā me 'vāg nābhīm*]

7 Phrase inflection

§476. We find a single case which seems to belong in this group (§§21-2):

tuvidyumna yaśasvataḥ (RV.* °*vatā*) RV (both) AV. See *RVRep.* on 1. 9 6.

8. Transfer of epithet

§476. Of the instances of transfer of epithet (§14) causing a shift between acc. and instr., all but the first involve change of number or gender, or both, as well as case:

iha tvā goparīnasā (SV. °*sam*) RV AV SV. Followed by *mahe mandantu rādhasē*. 'Here thee with (soma-juice, supplying *sutena* with Grassmann) rich in milk': 'here thee rich in milk'. Oldenberg suggests as an alternative *goparīnasāḥ* (sc. *somāḥ*), nom. pl., implying that RV p p is incorrect

yam nīrmanthato aśvinā RV. ApMB. HG. MG : *yābhyām nīrmanthatām aśvinau devau* ŚB. BṛhU. Preceded by *hranyayī aranī*, and followed by *tam te garbham havāmahe (dadhāmahe)*. In the first *yam* refers to *garbham*, in the latter *yābhyām* to *aranī*.

pathā madhumatā bharan (MS. *madhumad ābharan*) VS. MS. TB. Followed by *aśvinendrāya vīryam*. The adjective goes alternatively with *pathā* or *vīryam*.

yebhūr vācam viśvarūpebhir (TB °*rūpām*) *avyayan* (TB. *samavyayat*) KS. TB. The adjective is transferred from *yebhūr* (TB comm *yaiḥ karmakauśalaḥ*) to *vācam*

ava bādhe pṛtanyauḥ (ApŚ. °*tā*) MS. ApŚ. And others, see Conc. Preceded by *indreṇa manyunā yujā*. In MS *pṛt°* means 'enemies'; in ApŚ. '(friendly) champion', agreeing with *indreṇa*. Caland translates the MS reading without comment; we see no reason to abandon the text of ApŚ, tho it is of course secondary and based on MS. For *pṛtanyau* with Indra as subject and a hostile object cf. RV. 1. 54 4. The next following word in both is *ghnatā*, agreeing with *indreṇa*.

yayāśīṣā dampatī vāmam aśnutah AV : *yam āśīrā dampatī vāmam aśnutah* TS. : *yad āśīrdā dampatī vāmam aśnutah* VS. The original *yayā* is transferred to agree with *vāmam*; on the gender-change cf. §809.

abhi tvādhām sahīyasā RV. ApMB. : *upa te 'dhām sahīyasīm* AV. Preceded by *upa* (AV *abhi*) *te 'dhām sahamānām*. 'I have laid under (AV. over) thee the strong (plant), I have covered thee with the stronger (thing; AV I have laid under thee the stronger [plant])'. The instr. in the original does not go with the plant, as the gender shows (§808); by a natural shift it is transferred to it in AV.

§477. There are also a couple of instances of the type described in §15,

in which a word which in the original is not properly an 'epithet' at all is altered in form so as to become a true 'epithet' of another entity: *hastacyuti* (SV. °*cyutam*) *janayanta* (SV. † °*yata*) *praśastam* RV. SV. KS.

KB. ApŚ. MŚ. N. The original form is a Vedic instr., 'by hand-motion'; like the parallel *didhūtibhiḥ* in the preceding, it goes with *janayanta*, whose object, Agni, is modified by a series of acc epithets (of which *praśastam* is one). In SV. *hasta*° is made into another epithet of Agni.

savātarau na tejasā (TB. °*si*) VS. TB. The word *savātarau* (said by the scholasts to mean 'having a common calf', with *dhenū* preceding) is one of a series of epithets of *uṣe* 'dawn and night' (in *holā yakṣad uṣe...*). VS *tejasā* is an instr. of means with the following verb (*oatsam indram avardhatām*), of which *savātarau* may also be considered the subject: 'they two have increased Indra with splendor'. It can hardly be doubted that TB. *tējasī* is a simple case of form-assimilation to the fem. dual; the comm takes it as an adjective (*tejasvinau*), but the accent shows that it is rather a noun, 'the two brilliances'.

CHAPTER XX

ACCUSATIVE AND DATIVE

1. After verbs of motion and the like

§478. Among the cases in which accusative and dative constructions approach one another, doubtless the most striking and familiar are those in which after a verb of motion an accusative of goal may vary with a dative. In the Veda, this dative is, as a rule, perhaps not to be regarded as a psychological equivalent of the accusative, Delbrück (AIS. 143) has made out a plausible case for the theory that it regularly contains a suggestion of the interested party, rather than the goal of motion, pointing out that it generally occurs with persons. Or, in other cases, the dative may be final in character (Delbrück 147 f.), approaching, or even attaining, the status of an infinitive. We shall not enter into these questions, contenting ourselves with recording 'the variants which occur *kāmena mā* (TA *me*) *kāma āgan* (TA *āgāt*) AV TA. 'By love love has come to (for) me'

ado māgachatu MS. KS. MŚ : *ado ma āgachatu* ApŚ. (Delete ApŚ. 4. 13. 8 under the former heading in Conc and add it under the latter.)

If ApŚ. has anything other than a peculiar sandhi variation (cf VV 2 §990), it would belong here

§479. Examples of a more strictly final dative varying with an accusative of goal after a verb of motion are:

sā paprathe prthivī pāṛthivāni (KS. MŚ °*vāya*) KS. TB. ApŚ. MŚ.

'This earth spread out unto the earthly (regions)' or 'so as to reach the earthly (region)'

sindhur avabhṛtham avaprayan TS : *sindhur avabhṛthāyodyatah* VS.

'Sindhu going down into the purification-bath': 'Sindhu lifted up for the p b' The verbal expression is changed in VS. to one which more naturally goes with a dative.

śubham yātām (MS. *śubhe kam*) *anu rathā avṛtsata* RV. TS MS. KS.

Here both *śubham* and *śubhe* may be classed as infinitives.

§480. Here may be mentioned the following, in which *svasti* is rather ambiguous; Keith takes it as an independent interjection ('hail!'), to us it seems most likely to be an adverbial acc.:

tam (TS *tad*) *āśvinā pari dhattam svasti* (MŚ °*taye*) TS KS. MŚ 'O Āśvins, encompass this one comfortably (unto well-being).'

§481. After a verb of sending, a dative of 'indirect object' varies with an acc of goal tagged with the postposition *upa*:

athem enam (AV. *athemam enam*) *pra hinvāt pītṛbhyah* (AV † *pītṛn upa*)

RV.† 10 16 1d, AV TA. 'Then send him forward unto the fathers' AV. comm reads *pītṛbhyah* but also comments upon *upa*! (as if blending the two readings)

§482. Verbs of placing, especially *dhā*, may be construed with either acc. or dat of person, with (we may suppose) different psychological turns (see §72)-

svargam me lokam yajamānāya dhehi Vait : *suvarge loka yajamānam hi dhehi* (and, *dhehi mām*) TB ApŚ (both in each). 'Grant the world of heaven to me the sacrificer': 'place (me) the sacrificer in the world of heaven.'

§483. Verbs of revering or the like are also found with either dat or acc of person:

yajñasya tvā (MŚ *te*) *yajñapate sūktoktau* (TS *hamrbhah*, KS *saha*) VS.

TS MS KS ŚB. Followed by *sūktavāke* (omitted in VS MS ŚB) *namovāke vidhema* (VS ŚB add *yat svāhā*, MS adds *svāhā*)

tvaṣṭrimantas (MS MŚ *tvaṣṭri*°, ApŚ *tvaṣṭu*°) *tvā sapema* VS MS. KS. ŚB ApŚ : *tvaṣṭrimatī* (TS ApŚ. *tvaṣṭi*°, and so TA Poona ed with v. 1 °*ṭri*°) *te sapeya* TS. TA ApŚ. We need not accept Pischel's improbable theory that *te* is acc (see Oldenberg's judicious critique, *RVNoten* 1 25 ff.). The construction of *sap* 'devote oneself to (a god)' with dat is exceptional, but may easily have been suggested by this construction with *sac* (see e.g. RV 8 60 18)

§484. Other verbs:

ābhūśantas te (TB *tvā*) *samutau navāyām* RV. AV. TB The usual interpretation makes *te* a gen with *sumatau*, which is dependent on the participle: 'presenting ourselves to thy fresh favor' Without denying this possibility we suggest that *te* may be a dat depending directly on the participle, used in the same sense as the simple *bhūṣ* with dat (BR *studere*, *colere*). For this in TB an acc is substituted (comm. on TB *sarvataḥ praśansantah*): 'attending thee in a new song of praise'

tam indram abhi gāyata RV. 8 32 13; *tasmā indrāya gāyata* RV. 1. 4 10, 5 4; AV Both: 'sing praises to this Indra' The compound *abhi-ga* takes acc, the simple *ga* dat RV 8 32 13 = 1. 4 10 entire.

2 Dedicatory expressions

§485. In formulae of dedication, accusatives and datives are variously used in substantially equivalent phrases (see §126):

digbhyah śrotam (sc. *ālabhate*) TB † 3 4. 18 1: *diśah śrotam* (sc. *anva-vasrjatāt*) AB TB. AŚ ŚŚ '(He takes) the ear for the directions'. '(send) the ear to the directions.'

agnim svāhā MS : *agnim agnau svāhā* (here a verb of placing is understood) ApŚ MŚ. ApMB : *svāhāgnim* KS ŚB. TB ŚŚ : *agnaye svāhā* AV. etc Likewise: *svāhā prajāpataye* (TB. °*patim*) TS KSA TB : *svāhā sarasvatyar* (KS °*tīm*) TS KS etc ; *svāhā vīśvān devān* KS : *svāhā vīśvebhyo devebhyah* VS. TS KSA ŚB And many other similar phrases. The contexts are often quite different. The dat is felt as governed by *svāhā*, 'hail to. .' The acc. is sometimes dependent on some verb supplied from the context, but at times no such verb is clearly suppliable, and then the acc. is commonly understood in a sort of interjectional fashion, however, one may always understand, if one wishes, a verb such as 'we worship'. Cf next, and §377. The TB comm supplies *uddiśya* Cf. also §459, *svāhāgnim* .

ākūtim (MS MŚ MG °*tam*) *agnim prayujam svāhā* VS TS. MS. KS. ŚB. MŚ. MG : *ākūtyai prayuje 'gnaye* (MS. KS *agnaye*) *svāhā* VS. TS MS KS ŚB ApŚ Cf. prec., and see especially TS. 4. 1. 9. 1, where the acc. form occurs in a list of several such acc. formulae, followed by two in the dat

3 Dative of purpose : accusative (object or goal)

§486. The dative of purpose is sometimes used to express the same idea which is otherwise expressed by the same word in the accusative, either felt as immediate object or goal, or in apposition to another word so used Theoretically the dative in this use might, indeed, vary with any other case, see §70 Thus:

rāyas poṣaṇ vi śyatū (RV. MS TB * *śyatām*, AV. MS * *śya*) *nābhīm asme* (AV *asya*) RV AV VS TS MS (bis) TB. (bis) ApŚ.: *tvasṣah poṣāya vīśya nābhīm asme* KS '(Our seminal fluid, *turīpam*) release (let him release, etc) for increase (as increase of wealth) ..for us (etc)'

mahyam sūro abharaj jyotiṣe ham AV.: *mahyam jyotiṣ abharat sūryas tat* KS 'The sun brought (it) to me in order to light': 'to me the sun brought that light.' The AV. (probably original) understands the object from the preceding pāda; KS. by a lect fac. makes *jyotiṣ* the object

asamātīm gr̥heṣu nah AV : *gr̥hāṇām asamarīyaḥ* TS In AV the acc is governed by the preceding *abhi rakṣatu*; Bloomfield, following Sāyaṇa: 'preserve for us (possessions) without measure [literally, unmeasuredness] in our house' In TS is added another pāda, *bahavo no gr̥hā asan*, the two constituting an independent sentence: 'may our houses be many, for unharmedness of our house' *tam te gr̥hnāmi yağñīyāḥ ketubhāḥ saha* KS : *tam te* (AŚ *tvā*) *harāmi brahmanā* TB Vait AŚ ApŚ MŚ The preceding pādas are *yo aśvatthah śamīgarbha āruroha tve sacā* (KS *twayy apī*) 'The *aśvattha* ... that has grown up by thee (TB comm *tve twayi nimittabhūtāyām satyām*), that for thee I take' In AŚ the meaning is essentially the same, but instead of the dative (TB. comm *tvadartham*), referring to the firestick, we have an appositional acc. 'that I take as thee (the firestick)' The firestick of course is made from the *aśvattha*

vṛṣane śuṣmāyāyuṣe varcase TS *vṛṣaṇam śuṣmam āyuṣe varcase kṛdhī* MŚ Preceded by *mā mā rājan vibībhīṣo mā me hārdī* (MŚ *°dim*) *tvṛṣā* (MŚ. *dvṛṣā*) *vadhīh* 'Terrify me not, O king, smite not my heart unto (i.e. so that I may have) manly fury, life, splendor' 'make (for me) manly fury, unto life, splendor.' It is hard to say which is original, if MŚ be considered so, TS could be explained by case-attraction to the accompanying datives [*pra tad vṛṣnu* (*vṛṣnuh*) *stavate vīryena* (*vīryānī*, *vīryāya*), see §§474, 558 The instr. is the original form]

4 Miscellaneous final dative : accusative

§487. There remain a few miscellaneous cases in which a final dative varies with an accusative, variously construed, other changes in the phraseology unite with this to produce a rather extensive reconstruction of the variant.

śukrām vayanīy asurāya nīrñyam RV : *śukrā vayanīy asurāya nīrñye* SV 'They weave a bright garment for the Asura' 'the bright (soma-drops) stream variously to adorn the Asura' The noun of RV becomes an infinitive in SV, perhaps by case-attraction to the adjoining *asurāya*; but cf. also *nīrñye* in RV 10 49 7 *am* (MS *tan*) *mā devā avantu śobhāya* (MS *°ya*) TS MS TA 'May the gods aid me unto splendor' The MS form seems to be neut. acc. of a stem **śobhāyān*, doubtless adverbial, 'splendidly' *pr̥chāmi tvā citaye* (LŚ *°yam*) *devasakha* VS ŚB AŚ ŚŚ Vait LŚ The dat. *citaye* may be considered an infinitive 'I ask thee for

understanding (i.e. that I may understand).' LŚ. *citayam* (repeated in the comm.) would seem to be fr. 1 an unrecorded **citaya*, an adjective agreeing with *tvā*: 'thee that understandest'. Cf. next

kavīn prchāmi vidmane (AV. *vidvano*) *na vidvān* RV. AV. 'I ask the sages, I ignorant, to one that knows (those that know)' The AV. is a lect. fac., attracting the adjective into agreement with *kavīn*. The change resembles that in the last.

5. Miscellaneous dative of interest : accusative

§488. Similarly a dative of the interested party varies in miscellaneous ways with an accusative; usually there is extensive reconstruction of the passage, involving sweeping changes in other forms also:

dyaus ca ma idam pṛthivī ca pracetasau AV.: *dyaus ca nah* (KS TB *tvā*) *pṛthivī ca pracetasā* RV. KS TB. The RV. passage is in a different context from all the others. In KS TB the pronoun is object of *pṛpartu* 'protect' Ppp. according to Roth ap. Whitney has *mā*, acc., for AV. *ma idam*, this latter Whitney finds 'embarrassing', but without reason as it seems to us, *idam* is object of *pṛpartu*, *me* dative of interest. We may render with Ludwig 'Dyaus und Pṛthivī fördere mir dies', or we may take *pṛpartu* from *pṛ* 'fulfil' in the sense of 'fulfil, grant'.

ajīgapatendram vājam VS ŚB: (*ajīgapata*) *indram vājam* (MS MŚ. *indrāya vācam*) *vimucyadhvam* TS. MS. KS TB. MŚ 'Ye have caused Indra to win the prize; (be released).' So essentially all but MS MŚ, which mean 'ye have caused Speech to win for Indra'.

vanaspatīn vānaspatyān, oṣadhīr uta vīrudhah AV. 8.8.14ab, 11.9.24ab; *devān punyajānān pūrān* AV. 8.8.15b, 11.9.24d: *vanaspatībhyo vānaspatyebhyaḥ oṣadhībhyo vīrudhbhyaḥ sarvebhyo devebhyo devajānebhyah punyajanebhyah* Kauś. In Kauś. a dedicatory formula, based on vaguely remembered fragments of AV. passages (used in AV. in quite other, and mutually different, contexts)

yajñam hinvanty adribhīh RV.: *yajñāya santu adrayah* SV. 'They promote the sacrifice with stones': 'let the stones be for the sacrifice' See §419; same context, loosely rephrased.

madhu tvā madhulā cakāra (Ppp. *karat*, MS. *kṛnotu*, TA ApŚ. *karotu*) RV Ppp. MS TA. ApŚ.: *madhu me madhulā karah* AV. Change of person in pronoun; 'the honeyed one has made (shall make) thee into honey': 'mayst thou, honeyed, make honey for me.'

pāvamānyah (SV. MG °nāh) *punantu mā* (SV *nah*, MS *tvā*, YDh *te*) RVKh SV TB MG YDh 'May they purify me (us, thee)' 'may they purify for thee', with indefinite and unexpressed object Cf. next

sa tvā (Kauś *no*, VīDh *me*) *raḥṣatu sarvataḥ* AV Kauś VīDh In VīDh no object is expressed, unless *me* be taken as acc. as Jolly seems to take it (cf. above, §483; we take no stock in *me*, *te* as accs.) As in the preceding 'may he protect for me on all sides' *kas te yunakti*, and *kas te vimuñcati*, MG *kas tvā yunakti*, and *kas tvā vimuñcati*, VS TS KSA ŚB TB ApŚ *ko vo yunakti*, and *ko vo vimuñcati*, ApŚ MŚ. MG On the 'yoking' and 'unyoking' of a rite see Caṇand on ApŚ 4. 16 10, it is simply a mystically grandiose expression for 'beginning' and 'ending'. In most texts *tvā* is used referring to the rite In MG twice *te* is used referring to the *devatā*, the object (the rite, as in the others) being understood MG. 'Who yokes (unyokes) [the rite] for thee?'

asmin yaḥṇe yaḥamānāya sūrim AV. *imam yaḥṇam yaḥamānam ca sūrau* ApŚ Preceded by *indrāya bhāgam pari tvā nayāmi* AV, *indrasya bhāgaḥ sunte dadhātana* ApŚ Both unintelligent and scarcely translatable, *yaḥamāna* and *sūri* ought to refer to the same person, but only Ppp (as reported by Roth ap Whitney, *yaḥṇapatiś ca sūriḥ*) has them in the same case (the nominative, in other respects Ppp is hardly more intelligent)

tanā (SV *tmanā*) *kṛnvanto arvate* (SV °*tah*) RV SV Preceded by *sugā tokāya vājnah* Subject is the soma-drops, with which *vājnah* agrees in RV. 'creating welfare uninterruptedly for the offspring, they the swift ones, and for the steed.' SV 'the swift ones, creating welfare and horses for the offspring by themselves', or 'creating welfare and swift horses' ?

amā ma edhi mā mṛdhā na (AŚ ṛ*ma*) *indra* AŚ ŚG *apāma edhi mā mṛthā na indra* SMB 'Do not abandon us (me)' 'do not die for us' (!) See VV 2 §78

abhi tvādhām sahīyasā RV ApMB *upa te 'dhām sahīyasīm* AV See §476

agnau vā tvā gārhapatyē 'bhiceruh AV *yām te caktur gārhapatyē* AV The latter 'what (spell) they have made for (against) thee', while in the former *tvā* is object of *abhicerur* 'bewitched'

samudhah (ApŚ MŚ *samudbhayah*) *preṣya* ŚB ŚŚ ApŚ MŚ In all texts addressed by the adhvaryu to the maitrāvaruna 'order (the hotar to recite the formula) for the firesticks' The dative is usual with

the stereotyped *preṣya* to denote the *devatā* to which offering or prayer is made, the acc. to denote the rite or action ordered (e.g. *sāma* or the like, see BR s. v *iṣ + pra*). Here *samidhayaḥ* is originally the proper form, the kindling sticks being the *devatā* of the formula which the hotar is commanded to recite. But by figurative transference *samidhah* is treated as the name of the rite: 'order (the recitation of the formula for) the firesticks.' See on this sort of 'name' Edgerton, *Mīmāṃsā Nyāya Prakāśa*, §§204, 300.

tan mā (Vait. *mā*) *āpyāyatām punah* GB. Vait. In GB.: 'let that be strong for me again.' Note hiatus in Vait. If it really intends *mā*, it must understand the verb in an active sense, as in Mbh. 5 508: 'let that make me strong again.'

[*yatra vṛkṣas tanuvai yatra vāsah* HG.: *yatrāspṛkṣat tanvo yac ca vāsasaḥ* (ApMB *tanvam yatra vāsah*) AV. ApMB. *tanuvai* might be gen. with ending *ai* (§144), cf. the AV. reading which is certainly gen. But since HG. is otherwise corrupt (Oldenberg adopts the AV. reading), little reliance can be placed upon it.]

6 Transfer of epithet

§489. Transfer of epithet (§14) between dative and accusative forms appears in the following cases. First, some in which number and gender are not changed:

dakṣāya dakṣavrdham (TS °*dhe*) TS MS KS. Preceded by *prajāpataye tvā jyotiṣmate jyotiṣmantam grhṇāmi*. In MS. KS the adjective agrees with *tvā*, carried over from the preceding; in TS. it is attracted into agreement with *dakṣāya*.

dyaus te (AŚ. PG. *tvā*) *dadātu prthivī* (PG. adds *tvā*) *prati-grhṇātu* AŚ. PG. HG. The dative refers to the recipient of the gift, the acc. to the gift; 'may heaven give (to) thee'. The next two are similar.

śukram te śukrena grhṇāmi TS. KS ApŚ.: *śukram tvā śukra śukrāya grhṇāmi* MS MŚ: *śukram tvā śukra ādhunomi* VS ŚB. As in prec. and next, the pronouns refer to different things.

śukram te (MS. *te śukra*) *śukreṇa krīṇāmi* (MS omits *krī*) *candram candrenāmṛtam amṛtena* (MS. adds *krīṇāmi* .) TS. MS KS.: *śukram tvā śukrena krīṇāmi* VS ŚB. *te* refers to the seller of the soma, *tvā* to the soma; cf. preceding two.

athaitān aṣṭau virūpān (TB † 3. 4. 19. 1 *athaitān arūpēbhya*) *ālabhate* VS VSK TB. In VS. VSK. the adjective refers to the eight 'malformed' men who are dedicated (in these texts) to Prajāpati; in TB the same eight are dedicated to *devatās* called 'formless'.

dhaitam rayim sahavīram (ApMB *daśavīram*) *vacasyave* RV ApMB *rayim dhehi sarvavīram vacasyam* AV. The epithet *vacasya* is attracted in AV. into agreement with *rayim*; in the original it referred to the recipient of the gift

yajñāya stīrnabarhiṣe vi vo made RV. AŚ · *yajñeṣu stīrnabarhiṣam vval-ṣase* SV In the original the adjective agrees with *yajñāya*, in SV with *tvā* of the preceding (*hotāram tvā vṛnīmahe*)

indrāya tvā bṛhadvate vayasvata ukthāyuvē (VSK MS °yuvam, VS ŚB KS † *ukthāvyam*, VS VSK ŚB °am *grhnāmi*) VS VSK. TS MS KS. ŚB. The acc goes with *tvā*, the dat with *indrāya* Add to VV 2 §805 (stems *ukthāyu* : *ukthā-vi*)

§490. With change of number and gender as well as case:

īdīṣvā hi mahe (ApŚ *mahī*, v. 1 *mahe*) *vṛṣan* SV ApŚ Followed by *dyāvā hotrāya pṛthivī* (ApŚ °vīm, see §746) In SV the adj agrees with *hotrāya*, in ApŚ (if *mahī* be read) with *dyāvā*.

§491. In the following an originally independent noun seems to be attracted into functioning as epithet of another word, if we are right in taking KS TB ApŚ as secondary Cf §15:

ayakṣmāya tvā samsrjāmi prajābhyah VS TS MS. KS ŚB · *ayakṣmā vah prajāyā samsrjāmi* KS TB ApŚ 'I unite thee unto health, unto progeny': 'I unite you, diseaseless, with progeny'

§492. Contrariwise, in the next what is in the original form an epithet is made into an independently construed noun (here, a dative of purpose) in the following:

ūrjasvatī rājasvāś (MS KS *rājasūyās*, TS *rājasūyāya*) *cītānāh* VS TS MS KS ŚB The waters are originally described as 'king-creating', in TS. this adjective is replaced by the noun 'for king-crowning', which depends on *cītānāh* ('caring for, having regard to'), cf. BR s v 4 *cīt*, 2 See next

svāhā rājasūyāḥ MS MŚ : *svāhā rājasūyāya cītānāh* TS · *svāhā rājasvah* VS ŚB Supply *apah* in all, we have here a reminiscence of the formula just quoted, q v

CHAPTER XXI

ACCUSATIVE AND ABLATIVE

1. With verbs of separation, guarding, etc.

§493. The accusative and ablative approach interchangeability chiefly in connexion with certain verbs and certain prepositions. Thus, first, verbs of separation, guarding, purifying, or the like, since they suggest separation of two things or of a thing and a quality, may in principle put either of the two separated entities in either the acc or the abl. Hence the following variants:

iyam duruktāt (PG. 'tam) *paribādhamānā* ŚG. SMB PG. ApMB. MG
'This (girdle), guarding (its wearer) from slander' or 'warding off slander (from its wearer).'

āpas tvā tasmāj jīvalāh AV · *āpas tat sarvām jīvalāh* ApŚ. Followed by *ṇantū* (ApŚ *śundhantū*) *śucayah śucim* 'May the pure living waters purify thee pure from that' or 'purify (thee) pure as to that all', in ApŚ *tat sarvām* may either be understood as a quasi-adverbial acc, or as a second direct object, so that we should then have in ApŚ a blend of the two constructions, 'purify that (thing which is impure)' and 'purify thee (from that).'

sakhyam te mā yoṣāh sakhyam te mā yoṣthāh SMB.: *sakhyāt te mā yoṣam sakhyān me mā yoṣthāh* TB ApŚ. ApMB HG The root *yu* is either transitive, 'separate', or intransitive, 'be separated'. In SMB the two clauses, one with active and one with middle verb, seem to be equivalent in meaning (transitive in both!): 'Withhold not thy friendship.' The others. 'may I not be separated from thy friendship, nor thou from mine.'

2. With prepositions

§494. Similarly both cases are used after various prepositions, with or without difference of meaning. Notably *ā* in the meaning 'up to, until' may take either acc or abl., while in the meaning 'from' it takes only the abl, never the acc. Also *pari* is used with both cases, tho with different meanings.

ūrjo mā pāhy oḍṛcam MŚ : *ūrdhvo mā pāhy oḍṛcaḥ* TS : *ūrdhvo mā pāhy*

añhasah, āsya yaññasyodṛcah VS ŚB *ā-udṛcah* (°cam) in all means 'until the end (of this sacrifice)' The variant *ūrjo* for *ūrdhvo* (obviously a silly blunder if not a misprint) should be added to VV 2 §160.

orv antarikṣam ŚŚ . *āsmāt sadhasthād oror antarikṣāt* TB ApŚ Here the contexts are quite different, and the preposition has different meanings, 'to' with acc, 'from' with abl Probably not true variants

ye jātās tanvas (PB. °vam) *pari* RV. MS PB. ŚB TA 'Who are born from the body (of Aditi)'. 'who are born about (near) [her] body' But Caland is doubtless right in assuming that PB has a mere corruption of the RV. reading

3 Miscellaneous

§495. Of the rest—barring those classified under 'transfer of epithet'—there is little to be said They concern miscellaneous reinterpretations of the passages, usually with other changes besides the one with which we are concerned, and oftener than not yielding very poor sense in the secondary version, so that the term 'corruption' begins to apply. In not a few cases the variation is between *n* and *t*, suggesting possible graphic variation (VV 2 §871)

rūpam varnam paśūnām mā nirmṛkṣam ApŚ : *rūpād varnam mā nirmṛkṣat* MŚ 'May I not wipe out the form, the color of the cattle': 'may he not wipe away the color from the form.' ApŚ is a little less banal than MŚ

yakṣmā yanti janād (AV ApMB *janān*) *anu* RV AV ApMB In RV abl of source: 'from the (malicious) folk;' *anu yanti*, 'follow' AV ApMB. construe *anu* as postposition with acc *janān*. Altho Bloomfield (*The Atharvaveda* 50) mentioned this as an instance of a superior AV. reading as compared with RV., we think he would perhaps retract this opinion now; see Oldenberg, *RVNoten* on 10 85 31. If there is a single case in which AV shows itself superior to RV. in a variant reading, we do not know of it.

ūvadyam vātam (MS *vātāt*) *sabvam* (TB Poona ed *sabuvam*) *tad ārāt* VS. MS. KS TB Preceded by *apāmatim durmatim bādhamānāh* 'Driving away undigested food, wind, and digested food' (? but *sabvam* is quite uncertain) Does MS *vātāt* mean '(arising) from wind,' referring to *ūvadyam* and *sabvam*? Or is it to be taken as abl. with *bādhamānāh*, or with *ārāt* felt as an adjective (a sort of 'case attraction')? In any event it is secondary and poor.

dvaś cid antāḍ upamām (TA *†upa mām*, RV. *antān upamān*) *ud ānaḥ* RV. AV. SV TA Some AV mss read *upa mām* with TA., and Whitney adopts this Benfey, Glossar, defines *upamām* as 'nahe' (adverb), but he translates 'zu allen Himmelsenden drang er aufwärts,' which seems to imply *antān*. The RV. alone is easily interpretable: 'he has attained unto the utmost bounds of very heaven.' The others perhaps: 'even from the bounds of heaven he has attained unto me (? unto the highest)'

ta āvarṭran sadanād ṛtasya (TS *sadanān kṛtvā*, KS * *sadanān rātvā*) RV AV. TS. MS KS (bis) N 'They have turned hither from the abode of the *ṛta* (having established their abodes, etc) ' Add to VV 2 §§421, 665

rudrasya gānapatyān (VS ŚB. °yam, KS. °ye) *mayobhūr eḥ* VS TS MS. KS ŚB In TS. °patyāt is certainly intended; this is read not only in the p.p. but in the pratika 5 1. 2 3, which see for the rather forced interpretation required by the form But for this we should assume that °patyān is acc pl, which is probably the intention of MS (whose p.p. would seem to read so, judging from the silence of von Schroeder; see §§526, 705)

antān pṛthivyā divah TB. ApŚ : *tad antāt pṛthivyā adhi* MŚ. Preceded by *yad gharmah paryavartayat* (MŚ *paryāv*). *pari-vṛt* caus probably = 'has brought hither.' The TB ApŚ stanza is very obscure; its last half is different from that of MŚ.

ālebhanād ṛṣṭībhir yātudhānāt RV.: *utārebhānān ṛṣṭībhir yātudhānān* AV. Preceded by *utālabdham* (AV. *utārabdhān*) *spṛnuh jātavedah* 'Win away, O J, him who is seized from the sorcerer who has seized him with spears' So RV. The secondary AV. takes *spṛnuh* zeugmatically as meaning 'win away' = 'set free' in a, but 'win' = 'conquer' in b: 'win away both those who are seized, and (conquer) the sorcerers who have seized (them) with spears'

viduḥ pṛthivyā dwo jantram (PB °trāt) RV. PB. Followed by *śṛnvanty* (PB °tv) *āpo adha* (PB. °dhaḥ) *kṣarantīḥ* RV.: 'they know the birthplace of earth and heaven' In PB. *jantrād* would seem to be felt as abl of source with *kṣarantīḥ*, 'flowing from the birthplace' But this leaves *viduḥ* apparently without object Caland calls it incomprehensible and adopts the RV. reading.

yato (KS *yad id*, TS. *yadī*) *bhumim janayan viśvakarmā* RV. VS. TS MS KS On KapS cf Oertel 16 For the abl pronoun KS. (and TS) have adverbial forms used as conjunctions.

§496. Yet more dubious, or certainly corrupt, or based on misunderstandings or misquotations, are the following:

atrā (AV. *tatra*) *yamah sādānā* (TA. °*nāt*) *te minotu* (AV. *kr̥ṇotu*) RV. AV.† TA. 'Here let Yama fix a resting-place for thee' The TA reading (conim *sthāpananimittam*) can hardly be anything but a phonetic blunder (VV 2 §405).

jāmim utvā mā vivitsi lokān TA.: *jāmim rtvā māva patsi lokāt* AV. The latter is original: 'having gone to my relatives let me not fall from heaven' TA Poona ed *jāmī, utvā . lokāt* (v 1 *lokān*). The comm, tho he reads *lokāt*, seems to understand an acc: *lokam naiva la-syasi*. In any case TA. is scarcely interpretable See VV 2 §198 (besides §871).

yat tvemahe (SMB *te mahe*) *prati tan no* (Kauś *prati nas taj*) *juṣasva* RV. TS MS Kauś SMB. PG. ApMB On SMB see VV 2 §§365, 835, where three different commentarial explanations of its seemingly impossible reading are quoted

[*yamād aham vavasvalāt* RV.. *yan me yamam vavasvalam* PB So Conc; but the facts are obscured both by this comparison and by Caland's remarks on PB 1 5 18, which Caland identifies with RV. 10 60 10. The truth is that PB. 1. 5 18 is a combination of RV. 10. 58 1ab with 10. 60. 10cd, when this is realized it will be seen that there is no case variation]

[*pañcadaśāt prasūtāt pītryāvataḥ* KBU: *tam ardhamāsam prasūtān pītryāvataḥ* JB Conc, but read *ardhamāsyam prasūtāt pītr°*, Oertel, JAOS 19 (2) 112, 115]

[*devūnām vakṣe priyam ā sadḥastham* VS TS. MS KSA Conc *sadhas-thāt* for KSA]

4. Transfer of epithet

§497. Transfer of epithet involving change between accusative and ablative forms (sometimes also shift of gender) has been noted mainly in cases where one of the variant forms cannot properly be called an 'epithet' but is rather a form of independent construction The following is perhaps the only case of true 'transfer of epithet', and even in it this term perhaps requires stretching It is in any case clear that the acc forms of VS MS KS. ŚB. are original, and that they are correlative with, if not exactly 'epithets' of, the accs of the preceding *pāda*; also that the abl forms of TS. have been drawn into agreement with the preceding *utās*:

rtasya dhāmno amṛtasya yoneḥ TS.: *rtasya yonim mahiṣasya dhārām* VS KS ŚB: *ghṛtasya dhārām mahiṣasya yonim* MS Preceded in all by *īṣam ūryam aham ita ādam* (*ādade, ādī*)

§498. In the next group a word originally of independent construction has been drawn into agreement with another word (§15):

prākto apācīm anayam tad enām AV : prācīm avācīm ava yann ariṣṭya

TA. The adverbial *prākto* of the original AV. is attracted to the case of *avācīm*, which in both texts agrees with *yuvātīm* of *pāda* a

imam adhvānam yam agāma dūrāt (LŚ dūram) RV. LŚ.: yam adhvānam agāma dūram AV. Again the adverbial form of the original RV. is

made in AV. LŚ. into an adjective agreeing with *adhvānam*.

anamitram no adharāt AV.: anamitram me †adharāk (KS no adharāk)

VSK KS.: followed by:

anamitram na uttarāt AV : anamitram udak kṛdh VSK. KS. Again the

original has ablative adverbs This time the acc forms might also be regarded as adverbs, but it is at least as likely that they are adjectives going with *anamitram*. 'Freedom from enemies ..

(from) below, (from) above'

yakṣmam śronibhyām (ApMB. śronī) bhāsadāt RV. AV. ApMB.: yakṣ-*

mam bhasadyam śronibhyām bhāsadam AV. The original 'from the

rump' is turned into an adjective agreeing with *yakṣmam*, 'of the rump'. The verb is *vi vrhāmi*. In the text of AV. there are in

fact two such adjectives, synonyms; one is doubtless an ancient gloss (Whitney ad loc., and Bloomfield, *The Atharvaveda*, 47)

§499. We have noted only two instances, closely parallel and in the same context, of the reverse of this, in which what is originally an epithet is changed into a noun of independent construction (§15):

avatān mā vyathitam (VS ŚB °iāt) VS TS ŚB. ApŚ.: avatād vyathitam

MS KS. ŚB. 'Protect me distressed' or 'from distress'.

avatān mā nāthitam (VS. ŚB. °iāt), same texts.

CHAPTER XXII

ACCUSATIVE AND GENITIVE

1. With verbs governing both cases

§500. Accusative and genitive touch each other most closely with certain verbs which may be construed with both cases. See in general Delbrück *AIS.* 158 ff ; our variants supplement and modify slightly, at certain points, his treatment. We shall refrain from discussing, as Delbrück does, possible differences of connotation between the two interchanged cases after these verbs, since in the nature of things there can be no proof of such distinctions, any one is at liberty to assume them subjectively if he desires.

§501. Verbs of eating and drinking and the like (partaking) constitute the largest group among the variants. Here there is, of course, no doubt that the genitive is partitive in character. Yet the accusative may also be used in cases where the partitive idea would seem to us to be especially demanded, as in the first variant, where KŚ ApŚ. certainly cannot mean that the whole of the River Sarasvatī was drunk!

papuh sarasvatyā nadyāh (MŚ °*tyām nadyām*, KŚ ApŚ °*tīm nadīm*)

Vait KŚ ApŚ MŚ 'They drank (of, or MŚ in) the River Sarasvatī'

sa bhādrām ahar yo nah somam (AŚ *somasya*) *pāyayīṣyati* AŚ ŚŚ ApŚ.

indra piba sultānām RV . *imam indra sultam piba* RV SV PB AŚ ŚŚ ApŚ

yad indro apibac chacibhīh VS KS AB ŚB AŚ ApŚ . *yasyendro apibac chacibhīh* KS : *yam asyendro apibāṇī śacibhīh* MS

vācaspataye tvā hutam prāśnāmī TS ApŚ *vācaspatinū te hutasyeṣe prānāya prāśnāmī* (ŚŚ *hutasya prāśnāmīṣe prānāya*, ŚB *hutasyāś-nāmī*) ŚB AŚ ŚŚ

vasumadganasya upahūtasyopahūto bhaḥṣayāmī (MŚ *upahūta upahūtām bhaḥṣ*) TS MŚ

tan me 'śīya HG : *tasya te bhaḥṣīya* (ApMB 'śīya) TS ApŚ ApMB

mano jyotir (VS ŚB LŚ *jūtir*) *juṣatām ājyasya* (TS TB *ājyam*, AŚ

ājyam me) VS VSK. TS MS KS TB ŚB AŚ Vait LŚ KŚ

The texts that have acc and those that have *jyotir* with gen must

mean 'may mind and light enjoy (partake of) the butter' Those with *jūtar* and gen. may be construed in the same way (so Mahidhara and Hillebrandt), but other interpretations have been suggested; see Eggeling, *SBE.* 12. 215 n. 1.

lekāḥ salekāḥ sulekas te na ādityā ājyam juṣāṇā vyanṭu TS : *salilāḥ saḥgāḥ sagaras te na ādityā haviṣo juṣāṇā vyantu svāhā* MS. KS
In the same context also passages beginning *ketāḥ saketaḥ*. , see Conc

§502. Verbs of offering; cf. Delbruck 160, where the gen construction with *hu* is noted for Brāhmaṇa prose but not for RV, altho the RV version of the first variant seems a clear instance:

tasmā indrāya sulam ā juhota (TB ApŚ *juhomi*) VS VSK MS. KS ŚB. TB ApŚ. MŚ : *tasmā indrāyāndhaso juhota* RV : *tasmā indrāya haviṣā* (TB. *haviṣ ā*) *juhota* MS. TB. See also §466, etc

indrāgnibhyām chāgasya haviḥ (ApŚ MŚ *haviṣah*) *preṣya* KŚ ApŚ MŚ. Also: *indrāgnibhyām chāgasya vapāyā medasah* (KŚ. *vapām medah*) *preṣya*, *indrā° purodāśasya preṣya* (KŚ *purodāśam*, supplying *preṣya*), same texts And similar items containing *anu brūhi* instead of *preṣya* We should render the first variant 'prompt (to the offering) of an oblation of a goat to Indra-Agni' The gen. seems to be dependent on an expression of offering understood, cf. Schwab, *AITieropfer* 119 Otherwise Delbruck 161.

apo (TS KS *udno*) *dattodadhīm bhṛnta* (KS * KapŚ *dehy udadhīm bhṛndhi*) VS TS MS KS KapŚ (Oertel 76) Cf. §711; *apas* best taken as acc. of plurale tantum stem *ap*.

§503. Verbs of ruling:

indro viśvam virājati AA : *indro viśvasya rājati* SV. VS. AŚ Svidh.

§504. Verbs of 'intellectual activity' (Delbruck 158):

pra tad voced amṛtasya (Ppp VS *amṛtam nu*, TA MahānU. *voce amṛtam nu*) *indvān* AV Ppp VS TA 'Knowing immortality.' No significance can be attached to the fact that the adjectival-participle *indvān* is used; obviously it is construed just as a finite verb form would be

§505. Verbs of robbing are recognized as taking two accusatives, but we have found no recognition of their use with an acc. of the person and a gen. of the thing. In TB. the following variant seems to show such a use, unless we take *goh* as acc. pl (!) as the TB comm seems to do: *yad amuṣṇāṃ avasam paṇim gāḥ* (TB *goh*) RV TB 'When you two stole the food, (robbed) the Paṇi of his cow(s)'

2. With nouns containing verbal force

§506. In several variants we find the acc varying with the gen after nominal periphrases of verbal ideas, cf Delbrück 181, Whitney 271h: *mām anuvratā bhava* HG ('be faithful to me'): cf *agner* (AV. *patyur*) *anuvratā bhūtvā* AV TS KS TB MŚ ApMB Tho in different contexts, AV. and HG both use the formula in the marriage ceremony.

apaścādaghvānnasya (MS MŚ ApŚ. *apaścādaghvānnam*) *bhūyāsam* AV. MS MŚ ApŚ 'May I not be failing of food'

śāntir no astu MS : *śāntir me astu śāntih* TA *sā mā śāntir edhī* VS
The acc seems to depend on the verbal force still felt in *śānti*;
Mahidhara mā mām prati

§507. With nouns of agent in *tar*, a difference of accent is said to distinguish *dātā vasūni* from *dātā' vasūnām*, and according to Pān 3 2 135 unaccented *tar* should denote a habitual condition, accented *tār* a specific act The actual conditions are hard to reconcile with this theory; see Wackernagel, *AIGr.* 3 201, 597. We find one such variant *pāda*; the phrase refers to Aryaman, who surely must be characterized as a habitual 'giver of good things' in both texts, in our opinion it would be pedantry to try to find a distinction in meaning between the two forms of the variant:

dātā vasūnām puruhūto arhan TS . *dātā vasūni vidadhe tanūpāh* MS
TS accents the second syllable of *dātā*, MS the first, which accords with the rule of accent The accusative cannot be taken with *vidadhē* in MS since that word is accented, and this can only mean that it begins a new sentence

3 Dedicatory expressions

§508. In many lists of dedicatory formulas we find an acc of the deity and instr of the offering varying with gen (or dat) of the deity and nom of the offering (see §126) We content ourselves here with two examples:

andhāhīn (TS 'he, KSA 'heh but ms 'he) *sthūlagudayā* (TS KSA *sthūragudā*, MS *sthūragudayā*) VS † TS MS † KSA '(We gratify) the blind-worms with the large intestines' 'the large intestines are for the blind-worms'

pūṣanam vaniṣṭhunā VS. MS : *pūṣno vaniṣṭhuh* TS KSA.

4 Descriptive or possessive genitive : modifying accusative

§509. In a considerable number of cases we find a descriptive or possessive genitive, sometimes approaching what is called the 'apposi-

tional genitive', varying with an adjective or noun or pronoun in syntactic correlation with the other (accusative) form. Such genitives may be found in principle varying with any other case, depending on the case of the noun on which they depend; see §85. The practical meaning of the two forms of the variant is in many cases substantially the same: *avyo* (SV. PB *avyam*) *vāram v dhāvah* RV. SV. PB. 'He (soma) flows thru the sieve of wool (woolen sieve)'

vr̥ṣṭm divah pavasva r̥it̥m apām (SV. *apah*) RV. SV. 'Let stream the rain of heaven, the stream of waters (SV. the stream, the waters).'

In view of the rarity of singular forms of stem *ap*, we prefer to construe *apah* thus as an acc. pl. (in apposition), rather than with Benfey as a gen. sing.

[*apāsya* (ApŚ *apāsmān*) *nair̥t̥ān pāsān*,] *mṛtyor* (ApŚ *mṛtyūn*) *ekaśatam caye*, [*apāsya ye* *śnāh pāsāh*,] *mṛtyor* (ApŚ *mṛtyūn*) *ekaśatam suve* KS. ApŚ. Also, in a different tho similar verse, [*apāsyaḥ satwanah pāsān*,] *mṛtyūn ekaśatam nude* Kauś 'I remove from him the bonds of destruction, the 101 (bonds) of death (the 101 deaths).'

nāsām āmitro (TB. *nainā amitra*) *vyathir ā dadharṣati* RV. AV. TB. 'No enemy does violence to their wandering course (to them [or] to [their] wandering course).' TB. comm. takes *vyathir* (on the meaning of which see Oldenberg, *RVNoten* on 1. 117. 15) as a nom. attribute of *amitra*, which is obviously absurd.

ūrjo bhāgam pṛthivyā (KS ApŚ *°vīm*) *yāty* (KS *ety*, ApŚ. *etv*) *āpr̥nan* MS KS ApŚ 'He (Savitar) goes filling the earth' lot with food': 'he goes (let him go) to the earth, filling it (and) its lot with food,' or the like. Here the occurrence of the verb of motion makes a complication, the acc. is doubtless felt as goal of that verb, but perhaps also as one of the objects of *āpr̥nan*.

agnim (VS ŚB. *agner*) *jyotir nīcāyya* [*pṛthivyā abhyābharat*] VS TS. MS. KS ŚB. SvetU 'Fire (and) light' or 'Agni's light'

somānam (SV. *°nām*) *svaranam* RV. SV. VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. TA. ŚŚ ApŚ MŚ N The SV. is certainly worthless; on the crucial *somānam* see Oldenberg, *RVNoten* on 1. 18. 1.

§510. In a couple of cases of this sort, the noun on which the genitive depends is in the other form of the variant made into an adjective agreeing with the (now accusative) form which replaces the genitive.

ava devānām yaḥa heda agne (KS. *yaḥe hīdyān*, MŚ. *yaḥe hedyān*) AV. KS MŚ : *agne devānām ava heda iyakṣva* (KS. *ikṣva*) KS ApŚ : *ava devān yaḥe hedyān* TB ApŚ In the last variant *hedyān* is an adjective agreeing with the acc *devān*: 'the wrathful gods' instead of 'the wrath of the gods.'

pra samrājo (SV. °*jam*) *asurasya praśastim* (SV °*tam*) RV SV KB
Here too SV. has an adjective ('the praised lord') for the acc noun
of RV ('praise of the lord')

§511. Essentially similar are the following cases involving pronominal forms.

ādityā rudrā upariśprśo nah (KS °*śam mā*) AV KS . *vasavo rudrā ādityā upariśprśam mā* RV. VS TS '(Make) me a king' or 'make our king'

ā te aṅna idhīmahi RV SV TS MS KS PB AŚ ŚŚ MŚ *ā tvāṅna idhīmahi* AV Kauś Followed by *dyumantam devāṅaram* This is one of the passages cited by Pischel (ZDMG 35 714 ff) as containing acc *te*, but see Oldenberg, *RVNoten* p 28 (a word for 'flame' or the like is to be supplied with the following adjectives) AV has a lect fac, feeling the need for expression of an accusative noun or pronoun with the adjectives, it supplies the need by changing *te* to *tvā*

puṣyema (AA *puṣyanto*) *rayim dhīmahe ta* (AA *tam*) *indra* SV AA ŚŚ Keith (AA 285 n 7) would make this an instance of variation between acc and gen after a verb of 'intellectual activity' (cf §504), understanding the verb as connected with root *dhī* He follows Sāyana in this Most western scholars have taken *dhīmahe* from *dhā*, *te* is then possessive gen with *rayim*, and *tam* also refers to *rayim*

devasya te (AG MG *tvā*) *savituh prasave 'śvinnor bāhubhyām pūṣno hastābhyām hastam grhnāmy asau* AG SMB GG MG 'At the command of god Savitar I take hold of thy hand (of thee [by] the hand)'

§512. In the following the secondary reconstruction goes farther
tiro mā santam āyur mā pra hāsī (AŚ *santam mā pra hāsīh*) TB AŚ
ApŚ : *tiro me yajña āyur mā pra hāsīh* (Conc reads *hāsī* with one ms) MŚ *hāsīh* in MŚ may be kept 'desert not my life at the sacrifice'

§513. Sometimes the acc is the sole goal of the verb, either no goal is expressed in the variant containing the gen, or the goal of that variant is made into an associative instrumental (equivalent to a coordinate acc, §459) in the alternate form in which acc is substituted for gen.
etam (GB *etasya*) *tvam prajānaya* AB GB The context is the same.

The pronoun refers apparently to the yajamāna, who is to be 'generated' by the recitation of the Sukīrti (RV 10 131) and other hymns which follow (Otherwise Keith, who seems to us to mis-

understand AB) With the gen. of GB is doubtless understood an acc of *ātman* and other parts of the *yajamāna*, specified in the sequel 'Propagate him' or 'propagate his [body, etc.]', or possibly 'propagate [such things] for him.' In such mystic rubbish almost anything is possible

ganān me mā vī tīrṣah (MŚ. °ṣat, Vait *vy arīrṣah*) TS. Vait. MŚ.: *ganair mā mā vī tīrṣata* MS: *ganā me mā vī tṛṣan* VS TS ŚB. 'Make not my troops to thirst (etc.): 'make not me with (my) troops to thirst': 'let not my troops thirst'

§514. We may append here a case in which a descriptive gen varies with a postpositional phrase containing an acc:

ye vā (MS. omits *vā*) *vanaspatīnṛ anu* (MS NīlarU *vanaspatīnām*) VS TS MS KS ŚB NīlarU. ApMB. 'Or (serpents) which are of the trees (among the trees)'

5 Partitive genitive : modifying accusative

§515. Quite similarly a limiting genitive which is 'partitive' in character ('genitive of the whole', 'des geteilten Ganzes') varies with a coordinate acc, as with other cases (§84):

imam indra vardhaya kṣatriyaṁ me (TB *kṣatriyāṇām*) AV. TB. Kauś. 17 28 (only *pratīka* in Kauś 14. 24) 'Increase this kṣatriya for me': 'increase him of (all) kṣatriyas' TB. comm *kṣatriyāṇām madhye*

imam mā hṁsīr ekaśapham (also, *dvīpādām*) *paśum* (TS KS *paśūnām*) VS TS MS KS ŚB 'This whole-hoofed (two-footed) animal (one of animals)'

vīrudham (AV °*dhām*) *balavattamām* (AV * °*mah*) RV. AV. (bis) ApMB 'Most mighty herb (of herbs)'

atho jīva śaradah śatam VSK: *adhā jīvema śaradām śatān* AV. Others similar, see Conc

§516. The following is in reality doubtless only a case of sandhi, or perhaps better of orthography:

oṃṣīhaś carṣaṇisahām (TB °*sahān*) VS. TB. Preceded by *divo varṣman samudhyate*, and followed by *vetv ājyasya* 'Upon the height of heaven is kindled the mightiest of the rulers of men; let him taste the butter' An acc. is quite impossible; yet both edd and the comm have the same reading in TB The comm seems to regard the acc. as equivalent to a partitive gen.: *tān uddīkya teṣām* (*devānām*) *madhye* Final *m* and *n* before *v* in the Veda might both be pronounced alike, as a nasalization (Wackernagel 1 §§281a, 283c);

thus confusion between the two may have arisen, and the TB may have incorrectly restored *n* instead of *m*. This variant might have been mentioned in VV 2 §944, tho the original final is clearly *m*, not *n*.

§517. In a few others an acc direct object varies with a partitive gen depending on a relative word:

yat tvā (KS *te*) *kruddhah parovapa* (AV. *kruddhāh pracakruh*) AV TS MS. KS ApŚ MŚ In KS: 'whatever of thee I in anger have rejected' The others: 'whatever (to whatever extent) thee I in anger (thee angry men) have rejected', or possibly with *yat* as conjunction, 'if I in anger have rejected thee'.

yat tvā (ApŚ. *te*) *śikvah parāvadhū* AV ApŚ Followed by *takṣā hastena vāsyā* 'Inasmuch as (or, to whatever extent) the skilful smith has struck thee off...': 'what of thee the skilful smith has struck off' *yatrāsprkṣat tanvo yac ca vāsasah* (ApMB *tanuvam yatra vāsah*) AV ApMB: *yatrā vṛkṣas tanuvai yatra vāsah* HG 'Wherever on thy body, whatever of thy garment it has touched': 'wherever it has touched thy body, thy garment' On HG. see §144

6 Phrase inflection

§518. Phrase inflection (§§21-2) occurs in the following:
bhndhi darbha sapatnānām (*sapatnān me*) AV. (both). Initial pādas of consecutive verses; different constructions required by what follows
kṛtyākṛtaṁ valagṇam AV *kṛtyākṛto valagṇaḥ* AV Different contexts
sumatim satyādharmanah (TS ApMB *satyarādhasah*, VS *satyarādhasam*, AV *viśvarādhasah*, AŚ *vājñvatah*) AV. VS TS MS AŚ ŚŚ ŚG ApMB N The VS. form is in a different context

7 Miscellaneous

§519. There remain a considerable number of variants between acc and gen which involve miscellaneous, and often quite radical, reconstructions of thought:

indrasya vāyoḥ (SV. *vāyūṁ*) *sakhyāya kartave* (SV *vardhayan*) RV. SV 'For doing a friendly act for Indra (and) for Vāyu' 'increasing Vāyu unto the friendship of Indra'

sakṛd yat tvā (KS *te*) *manasā garbha* (KS *°bham*) *āśayat* TS KS The word *garbha* shifts in meaning; 'an embryo has entered into thee,' 'it has entered into thy womb.'

vājo nah (MS *me*, KS. *mā*) *sapta pradīśah* VS TS MS KS. Followed by *atasro vā parāvatah*, *vājo no* (MS KS *mā*) *viśvair devair, dhana-*

sātāv (**iā*) *ihāvalu* KS makes the pronoun in pāda a object of *avalu*, anticipating *mā* in pāda c, MS. makes it possessive gen with *vājo*; the others, with *nah*, are ambiguous and may be taken either way.

rāyaspoṣā yajamānam sacantām (TS **mānasya santu*) AV. TS MS. MŚ.
'Let increase of wealth attend the sacrificer (be the sacrificer's).'

[*yo adya saumyo vadho 'ghāyūnām udīratī*] *viśūkuham wa dhanvānā*
[*vyasyāh paripanthīnam sadasapataye namah*] AŚ.: [*yo 'dya saumyo*
vadho 'ghāyūnām udīrate] *viśūkuhasya dhanvānā* [*pa tām varuno*
dhamat] PB The obscure word *viśūkuh(a)* seems proved to refer to some hostile power by LŚ. 3 11. 3 This makes PB (rendered by Caland 'blow them away by means of the bow of Viśūkuha') seem corrupt, as it suggests that V. is a friendly power AŚ thus seems more plausible. But the word cannot be interpreted with any confidence

tad āsata (Ppp N *atrāsata*) *ṛṣayah sapta sākam* AV Ppp N.: *tasyāsata*
ṛṣayah (TS TAA **sate harayah*) *sapta tīre* TS ŚB. BṛhU. TAA
In AV. *tad* is direct complement of *āsata*, in place of the more usual loc (cf BR s v 2 ās, 1), the loc *tīre* has this construction in the other texts, and *tasya* (substituted for *tad*) depends on it 'Sit upon it (its bank) .' Ppp and N have the loc adverb *atra* instead

apām na yanty ūrmayah RV : *apo nayantī ūrmayah* SV. Preceded by *pṛa somāso vṛpāscitah* RV : 'the somas rush forth like waves of water' SV. by a false word-division (VV 2 §829) produces 'the somas, (as, i e in) waves, carry forth the waters.'

imam rātam (SV *asya rātau*) *sutam piba* RV SV. In RV. *imam rātam* agrees with *sutam*; in SV. *rātau* is a different word from *rātam*: 'at this man's offering'

dhīnām antah sabardughah RV : *dhenām antah sabardughām* SV. We take it that *dhīnām* depends on *sabardughah*, *antah* being an adverb. That SV is botched is shown by the false accent of *dhenām*, on the final syllable, but doubtless *dhénām* (dependent on *antah*) was meant Even the RV. passage is obscure, for a different interpretation see Oldenberg, *RVNoten* ad loc

ṛtur janatrī tasyā apas (GB *apasas*, but Gaastra *apas*) *pari* RV. GB See VV 2 §810.

8. Transfer of epithet

§520. Transfer of epithet (§14) between accusative and genitive forms occurs in the following In the first group there is no change of gender or number:

amanmahī mahatā (MS KS *mahad*) *ṛtasya nāma* TS MS KS TB

The gen. agrees with *ṛtasya*, the acc with *nāma*

manyum janasya dūḍhyah (SV **yam*) RV SV. KS. 'The wrath of the evil-minded man': 'the evil-minded wrath of man.' The ed of KS has *manyam*, doubtless by misprint.

brhaspatīśulasya ta (KS omits *ta*) *indo (inda) indriyāvatah patnīvantam* (KS **vato*) *graham grhṇāmi* (MS *rādhyāsam*, KS *graham rādhyāsam*) TS MS KS : *brhaspatīśulasya deva soma ta indor (inda) indriyāvatah patnīvato grahān rādhyāsam* VS VSK ŚB *patnīvant-* modifies *graham* in TS. MS, *te* (unexpressed) in KS and probably *to* in VS. VSK. ŚB. (so Eggeling; otherwise BR).

pāñcajanyaśya bahudhā yam indhate AV **yam pāñcajanyam bahavah sam indhate* TS MS KS In the YV texts *pāñca** is drawn into agreement with *yam*, which refers to Agni, in AV it agrees directly with *agner* of the preceding *pāda*, so that the real sense is the same *praharṣiṇam madīrasya made mṛṣāsā astu atha tvā hoṣyāmi* KS : *praharṣiṇo madīrasya made mṛṣāsāv astu* ApŚ Von Schroeder emends KS to *praharṣiṇo*; and indeed it seems scarcely possible to construe the word with *tvā*, which would be necessary if we keep the text §521. The rest involve variation of number or gender as well as

case:

vasum (SV. TS. *vasoh*) *sūnum sahaso jātavedasam* RV AV. SV VS TS MS KS. 'Good son of strength': 'son of good strength' This seems the simplest interpretation of *vasoh* The preceding *pāda* is *agnim hotāram manye dāsvantam*; Benfey and Keith make *vasoh* a noun depending on *dāsvantam*, despite the *pāda* division A third alternative would be to make it a noun correlative with *sahasō*. 'son of good(s), of strength'

vasumatāḥ (VS ŚB. **matīm agne*) *te chāyām upastheṣam* VS MS ŚB 'May I enter the shadow of thee, the rich one (thy rich shadow, O Agni)'

śardhānsy agne ajarāni (SV. *ajarasya*) *dhakṣatah* (ApŚ *dhakṣyase*) RV. SV. MS. ApŚ. 'O Agni, thy ageless troops (i.e. flames) as thou burnest': 'O Agni, the troops of thee, ageless, burning' For ApŚ cf. VV 1 §27

pātho (Ppp ŚŚ *pātam*, TS *vītam*) *ghṛtasya guhyāni* (AV. *guhyasya*) *nāma* AV. Ppp TS. MS. KŚ ŚŚ 'Ye protect (etc.) the secret names of ghee'—so most texts; AV seems to take *pātho* from *pā* 'drink' (so Ludwig and Whitney), and makes *guh** agree with *ghṛtasya*: 'ye drink of the ghee that is secret by name'

indrasya vām vīryakṛto bāhū abhyupāvaharāmī (VSK. *vām bāhū vīryakṛtā upā°*) VS VSK ŚB 'I draw you down, two arms of prowess-working Indra (two prowess-working arms of Indra).' Also: *indrasya te vīryakṛto bāhū upāvaharāmī* TB. (here the king, identified with Indra, is addressed).

parīmam yaḥamānam rāyo manuṣyāṇām VS ŚB : *parīmam rāyo manuṣyam* KS See §§402 etc

§522. There remain two cases of the sort referred to in §15, in which a word originally of independent construction is attracted into agreement with another word, becoming an 'epithet' thereof, in a secondary version, in both either gender or number varies as well as case:

āṅgūṣāṇām avāvaśanta vāṇīh RV.: *aṅgoṣṇam avāvaśanta vāṇīh* SV. In RV, 'the music of the hymns', in SV an epithet of Soma (*vṛṣṇam*) has been extracted from the genitive

paramam padam ava bhātī (VS. ŚB. *bhāri*) *bhūri* (TS *bhūreh*) RV. VS TS MS KS ŚB N. Preceded by *atrāha tad urugāyasya vṛṣṇoh* (RV N. *vṛṣṇah*) In the original *bhūri* is best taken as an adverb: 'The bull's (Viṣṇu's) highest footstep shines down mightily' TS makes the word an epithet of *vṛṣṇoh*: 'of mighty Viṣṇu'

CHAPTER XXIII

ACCUSATIVE AND LOCATIVE

Acc. and loc of goal

§523. By far the largest and most important group of variants showing syntactic contact between these two cases is that concerning the accusative and locative of goal. After verbs denoting motion (in a wide sense), both cases are familiarly used. Many interpreters try to distinguish between them. Thus Delbruck, *ALS* 122, says that the loc is used 'wenn es sich um ein Ankommen bei, ein Eindringen in u s w. handelt, während in den A das Ziel tritt, dem man zustrebt, z B *deveṣu gachati* er geht unter die Gotter, aber *devān gachati* er geht zu den Gottern hin'. Others, whether consciously or unconsciously, seem anxious to avoid admitting the loc of goal at all. Thus Whitney on AV. 6. 48. 1-3 renders *svasti mā sam vahāsyā yajñasyodṛci svāhā* 'carry me along to welfare at the close of this offering', whereas it obviously means 'carry me prosperously to the end of this rite' (cf Bloomfield *JAOS* 16 3, 23), this would be clear even without the ŚŚ variant *svasti mā sampārayāsyā yajñasyodṛcam*, with acc instead of loc. Even worse is Whitney's rendering of AV 7 40 1b *yasya vrata upa-tiṣṭhanta āpah* 'in whose course stand the waters', to avoid recognizing the loc of goal (all the parallel texts *vrataṁ*!) Whitney ignores the established meaning of *upa-sihā* (approach, always of motion, never 'stand'). Clearly we must render 'into whose control the waters enter'.

§524. In other cases the matter is less clear, and there is more reasonable ground for distinguishing between the two cases. Yet we feel that it is a false and specious conservatism which insists on rendering a loc by something like English *in*, or German *in* with dative, wherever the meaning can possibly be twisted in that direction. We believe that in not a few cases where at first glance this meaning seems quite plausible, a closer examination will reveal grounds for holding the loc to be substantially equivalent to an acc. Take for instance the variant *sūryasyakā carati niṣkṛteṣu* (MS KS *niṣkṛtānā*) TS MS KS. PG. We shall not stress the fact that MS. KS, generally the older and better YV texts, have the acc, after all, even if TS PG are secondary, they may

quite well have interpreted the passage in a new way. So there seems on the face of it to be no objection to the rendering 'one moves among the seats of the sun' or the like (so Stenzler, Oldenberg, and Keith, on PG and TS) But note that a preceding verse in the same context, dealing with the same situation, has the *pāda trīṣat svasāra upayanti nṣkṛtam*, with the acc *nṣkṛtam* in all alike To our minds this, combined with the indubitable fact that the loc is used of the goal of motion, suggests that it is at least likely that TS PG. mean by *carati nṣkṛteṣu* about the same thing that is meant by *carati nṣkṛtāni* in MS KS Naturally, no one would claim certainty for such an interpretation.

§525. While, therefore, we should not deny that some such distinction as that suggested by Delbruck may have been concerned in the origin of the locative of goal, and while we freely admit that in some instances of shift between the two cases a distinction may, nay at times even must, have been felt, we nevertheless believe that our variants tend as a whole to indicate similarity, rather than distinction, between them. It is easy to be over-subtle in such matters, we shall for the most part try not to impose our views upon the materials, preferring to let them speak for themselves At the same time we shall occasionally call attention to what seem to us significant features, particularly when they support the view we hold, namely that scholars have failed to recognize with sufficient clarity the close similarity between these uses of the acc and loc Special attention may be called here to the *pāda vayānsi ya āvveṣa yo mrgeṣu* MS KS ApŚ, in which the acc *vayānsi* and the loc *mrgeṣu* occur in two precisely parallel phrases; surely no one would suggest that there can be the slightest difference in meaning between them.

1 With verbs of going, entering, mounting, and the like

§526. We begin with verbs meaning something like 'go, enter, mount', and list first cases in which the acc and loc seem most nearly akin in meaning:

svasti mā śam vahāsyā yajñasyodṛci svāhā AV : *svasti mā sampārayāsyā yajñasyodṛcam* ŚŚ See §523

yasya vralam (RVKh. Scheftelowitz, Ppp KS 'te) *paśavo yaṇti sarve* RVKh AV Ppp TS MS KS AŚ ŚŚ. 'Into whose control go all cattle' Cf next

yasya vralam (AV. *vrala*) *upaśiṣṭhanta āpah*, same texts, immediately after preceding See §523

tiṣṭhā ratham (TB. *rathe*) *adhī tam* (VS ŚB *yam*, TB *yad*) *vajrahasta* (TB 'tah) RV VS ŚB TB 'Mount upon (*adhī-sthā*) this chariot'

endrasya jathare (SV. °ram) *viśa* RV SV 'Enter the belly of Indra' *svo ruhānā adhi nākam uttamam* (TS † MS † KS *nāka uttame*) VS TS.

MS KS ŚB 'Mounting to heaven, to the highest sky' The verb is *adhi-ruh*

uttamam nākam (VS MS. KS. ŚB *uttame nāke*) *adhi rohayemam* (VS. MS KS. ŚB *rohayainam*, TA. *rohemam*) AV. VS TS. MS. KS ŚB. TA

akṣaram brahma sammitam TA TAA. MahānU : *akṣare brahmasammite* MG. Preceded by *āyātu varadā devī* (MG. *āyāhi viraje devī*)

ya āviśto vayassu (Ppp *yo viśto vayasi*) *yo mṛgeṣu* AV. Ppp.: *vayānsi ya āviveśa yo mṛgeṣu* MS KS. ApŚ. See §525

ā mitrāvarunā bhagam RV : *ā mitre varune bhage* SV Followed by *madhvaḥ* (*madhoh*) *pavanta ūrmayah* The SV. comm reads accs like RV., and Benfey renders 'In Mītra fließen.'

viśo-viśaḥ pravivṛṣānsam īmahe AV : *viśvasyām viśi pravivṛṣānsam* (KS † *pravivṛṣānam*) *īmahe* TS MS KS.

prānāpānayoḥ (ŚG °nā) *uruvyacās tayā* (ŚG. *ivayā*; so AG must intend, and so Stenzler translates it) *prapadye* AG. ŚG. 'I . take refuge with (flee to) *prāna* and *apāna* with thee.'

upa dyām upa velasam AV Vait : *upa ymann upa velase* VS TS MS KS ŚB. The verb *avatara* 'descend into' is found in the following pāda in all but AV Vait. TS., and in these some such verb is understood

yajñam dadhānāḥ (ApŚ *vidānāḥ*) *sukṛtasya lokam* (ApŚ *loke*) KS ApŚ Preceded by *te yantu prajānantah*

purīṣam vasānāḥ sukṛtasya loke (MS KS. *lokam*) VS MS KS ŚB : *purīṣam vasānāḥ śvām yonim yathāyatham* ApŚ Preceded in MS KS ApŚ, followed in VS ŚB, by *tatra gacha yatra pūrve paretāḥ* The acc is certainly one of goal of motion Mahīdhara on VS. rightly takes *loke* as loc of goal, Griffith and Eggeling construe it with *vasānāḥ* as loc. of situation They also, most violently and against Mahīdhara and all probability, detach *sukṛtasya* from *loke* and make it depend on *purīṣam*

rudrasya gānapatyān (VS. ŚB °patyam, KS °patye) *mayobhūr ehi* VS TS MS KS ŚB 'Come, gladdening, to the lordship of Rudra's troop' VS ŚB KS, on TS MS see §§495, 705.

keṣv (LŚ *kim svad*) *antah puruṣa ā viveśa* VS ŚB AŚ ŚŚ LŚ.

sudughendre (MS °dram, p p. °dre) *śarasvatī* VS MS Followed by *aśvinā bhūṣajāvatam* (VS °taḥ) See Neisser's illuminating treatment of *av* (ZWBch. d. RV, s v), which establishes the fact that it

is fundamentally a verb of motion This receives new support from our variant, where the loc. can only be interpreted as equivalent to the acc. of goal: 'strive towards Indra'

§527. In the next group the loc. can be, or has been, with more plausibility interpreted as one of situation, differing psychologically from the acc. We nevertheless believe that in some of these variants, as in the preceding, there is little if any real difference between the two cases: *sūryasyaikā carati nīṣkṛteṣu* (MS KS. *nīṣkṛtāni*) TS. MS KS PG. See §524.

viṣṇuḥ agan varunā pūrvahūtau (MS °hūtm) VS MS ŚB ŚB TB AŚ ŚŚ. 'It has gone to Viṣṇu and Varuna, to (at) the first oblation' The generally old and primary MS may be taken as a kind of commentary on the others, yet the loc. of situation is possible A still different interpretation of *pūrvahūtau* is offered by the comms on ŚB. and TB, which take it as a dual adjective agreeing with *viṣṇuḥ varunā*.

anāgā devāḥ śakuno grheṣu (AV *grham nah*) RV. AV Ppp. MG. Preceded by *śvach kapota iṣito no astu* 'Favorable for us be the dove, harmless the bird, sent to our home, O gods' So essentially Grassmann, taking *grheṣu* closely with *iṣito*, the AV supports this But Ludwig 'im Hause'

divas (divah) prṣṭham (PB. *prṣṭhe*) *bhandamānah* (PB *mand*°) *suman-mabhih* RV. PB TA. ApŚ Preceded by *varisvānarah pratināhā nākam āruhat* (PB *āruha*) In the original *prṣṭham* is acc. of goal with *āruhat*, parallel with *nākam* In PB. *prṣṭhe* could be so construed, as loc. of goal, but here we incline to agree with Caland in taking it with *mandamānah*, 'rejoicing upon the back of heaven.'

satyadharmāno adhvaram (TS °re) RV TS : *vāmam prayaty adhware* VS. MS. ŚB. Preceded in RV by *ṛtadhītaya ā gata*, in the others by *ā vo devāsa imāhe* RV : 'come to the sacrifice, O (gods) of inviolable statutes' The loc. may also be one of goal: 'we come to you...to the sacrifice' But a loc. of situation is also possible; not indeed with *satyadharmāno*, 'ye that have true ordinances at the sacrifice' (Keith), since this would require accentless *adhware* (Whitney 314d), but rather 'we approach you at the sacrifice, O gods of true ordinance' Cf also (*kavim agnim upa stuhi*) *satyadharmānam adhware*, in a different context, here the loc. is clearly one of situation

prātaryāvāno adhvaram RV VS TB : *prātaryāvabhīr adhware* SV. See the whole verse, quoted §415. The acc. is certainly one of goal

with *prālaryāvāṇo*: 'coming betimes to the offering' The loc may be taken in the same way, or (with Benfey) with *ā sidatu*, and parallel with *barhiṣi*, 'at the offering'.

devasya savituh save (SV *savam*) RV AV. SV. TS etc etc This common phrase ordinarily contains a loc of situation: 'at the instigation of God Savitar' The acc *savam* occurs once in SV, in a verse found also in AŚ ŚŚ Vait, all of which read 'save, it is preceded by *āvr maryā ā vājam vājino agman*, and followed by *svargam* (AŚ ŚŚ. °gān) *arvanto jayema* (*jayatah, jayata*). Clearly SV. has acc of goal with *agman*: 'have come into the instigation (control) of Savitar' In the other texts, however, we must doubtless understand the usual sense: 'at the instigation of' Cf. next

tasya pūṣā prasave (TS °vam) *yāti vidvan* (TS. KS *devah*) RV VS TS MS KS. ŚB Similar to preceding

antarikṣe (KS °kṣam) *viṣnur* (MS *viṣnur antarikṣe*) *vyakransta* VS MS. KS ŚB ŚŚ: *traṣṭubhena chandasāntarikṣam anu vi krame* TS 'Viṣnu strode thru (durchschritt, or, strode forth in) the atmosphere' Similar formulas with *diśo* (*dikṣu*), *prithivīm* (°vyām), *dīvam* (*dīvi*), and different meter names See also *deva vṣṇa urv adyāsmīn*, §549.

§528. In another group we find different words used, either different governing verbs or different variant nouns, resulting in a shift between acc of goal and loc of situation, in these cases we no longer feel doubt that the force of the two cases is quite distinct

priyena dhāmnā (TS TB ApŚ *nāmnā*, VSK *nāma*) *priyam sada āsida* (VSK TS TB ApŚ * *priye sadasī sīda*) VS VSK TS ŚB TB ApŚ (bis): *sedam priyeṇa dhāmnā priyam sada āsida* (VSK *priyeṇa nāma* [for *nāmnā*?] *priye sadasī sīda*) VS VSK ŚB It seems to us impossible to consider it an accident that the simple *sad* is here construed each time with loc, the compound *ā-sad* with acc Evidently the addition of the preverb is felt as reinforcing the motion-idea in the verb sufficiently to require the acc; instead of 'sit on', it means 'take one's seat upon' It is true that both verbs are otherwise found with both cases, so that this variant might be put with §527; but the concomitant variation of case and verb-form seems to us surely significant

yāu (MS *yā*) *ātasthatur bhuvanāni vīsvā* (TB *bhuvanasya madhye*) AV. MS TB 'Who entered into all beings (took their stand in the midst of the universe)'

ukṣā bībharti bhuvanāni (SV. ArS. *mimeti bhuvaneṣu*) *vājayuh* RV. SV. ArS.

jīvam (ApMB. *jīvām*) *rudanti vi mayante adhva-* (AV. *nayanty adhva-* *ram*) RV. AV. ApMB. The AV. clearly has a lect fac, but the original is quite obscure in meaning, cf. Bloomfield *AJP.* 21. 411-9, Oldenberg *RVNoten* ad loc.

antarikṣasya tvā sāmān avagūhāmi (KS *°kṣasya sāmūpeṣa*) TS. KS. ApŚ.: *divah sāmūpeṣa* MS. MŚ. The MS p p. absurdly divides *sāmū, peṣa*; von Schroeder rightly understands *sānu, upa-iṣa*, 'zu des Himmels Rücken strebe auf.'

§529. The following case is like those of the last section in that *agram* is acc of goal with *rohatah*, a verb of motion, while *agre* is loc. of situation with *kṛḍatah*; it receives separate rubrication because it leads over to the next following group:

agram vṛkṣasya rohatah VS. TS. MS. KSA ŚB. TA : *agre vṛkṣasya kṛ-* *ḍatah* (VSK ŚŚ *kṛṭ°*) VS. VSK ŚB. AŚ ŚŚ.

2. Adverbial acc. : loc

§530. In another group of variations between these two forms *agram* and *agre*, it is possible at times to doubt whether both or either are felt as expressions of the goal. In some instances, at any rate, it seems hard to avoid construing them as vaguely adverbial, 'in the beginning' or the like:

agra imam yajñam nayatāgre yajñapatim dhātā TS.: *agra imam adya yajñam nayatāgre yajñapatim sūdhātum yajñapatim devayuvam* VS.: *agram yajñam nayatāgram yajñapatim* MS 'Lead forward this sacrifice.' But here, with the verb *nayata*, the word may be felt as 'to the front'.

ahnām ketur uṣasām ety (AV. † *eṣy*) *agram* (TS. *agre*) RV. AV. TS. MS. KS N. Here too, with a verb of motion, the idea of goal may be present in the acc form, at least: 'As banner of the days he goes (thou goest) at (to?) the head of the dawns'

ajāyānām maruṭo yanti agram (TS. *agre*, AV. MS. KS. *yantu madhye*) RV. AV. SV. VS. TS. MS. KS. 'Let the Maruts go at (to?) the front of the conquering armies.'

tena devā devatām agra (VS MS. KS. *agram*) *āyan* AV. VS. KS. MS.: *tapasā* (TS *tayā*) *devā devatām agra āyan* TS TB. TA. MahānU. 'By this (by *tapas*) the gods attained to godhood in the beginning.' Since *devatām* expresses the goal, it seems that *agram* can hardly be anything but an adverb.

āgnir agra (SV. *agram*) *uṣasām āsuci* RV. SV. Perhaps motion is felt in the verb *ā-suc*: 'Agni was enkindled at (unto?) the beginning of the dawns'

§531. Similarly *dūram* or *dūre* may be used in a quasi-adverbial way with a verb of driving, which yet permits the idea of goal to be involved. *bādhasva* (AV. *ṭādhethām*) *dūre* (AV. *dūram*, TS Ppp *dveṣo*) *nīrtim parācath* RV. AV. Ppp TS. 'Drive perdition afar off.'

§532. We have noted one other variation of strictly adverbial acc and loc., in a pāda where the absence of any verb of motion makes it impossible to feel an idea of goal:

ye arvān madhya (TA omits *madhya*) *uta vā purānam* (TA °ne) AV. TA 'Whoever in recent times, in middling times, or in ancient times.'

3 With verbs of placing, establishing (chiefly root *dhā*)

§533. The root *dhā* and its synonyms are familiarly used either with the acc of the person and the loc of the thing ('set, establish in'), or with the dat of the person and acc of the thing ('establish for', 'give to'); see §482, where this variant is quoted showing both idioms:

svargam me lokam yajamānāya dhehi Vart.: *svarge loke yajamānam hi dhehi* (or, *dhehi mām*) TB ApS

§534. We find also several variants in which, after *dhā*, the thing 'placed' is put in either acc or loc, while the person is expressed by the pronoun *nah*, which might be either dat or acc. It is commonly, and perhaps rightly, assumed that this form is felt as a dat in the variant containing acc of the thing, and as an acc in the form with loc. of the thing. 'set us in' or 'establish for us'. It should however be noted that two accusatives may be used after such verbs, as the next paragraphs will show, tho this usage has not been generally recognized; so that *nah* with acc of the thing might be taken as an acc (something like 'set us unto '):

indrāṣabdhā dravine (MS °nam) *no dadhātu* AV MS

sa nah pāvako dravinam (AV °ne) *dadhātu* AV TS MS. KS KŚ

sā no bhūmih pūrvapeye (MS °yam) *dadhātu* AV MS

§535. As we said in the last section, there are at least a few variants in which, with verbs of placing or the like, we find two accusatives varying with an accusative and a locative. That is, instead of the loc of the remoter goal, that into which something is set, an acc is used:

sutrāmendre (MS °ram) *sarasvatī* VS. MS TB Followed by *balam na vācam āsya uṣābhyām dadhur indriyam*. This, it seems, can only mean 'have put strength in Indra, speech in his mouth'. It may be however that MS has been influenced by the following verse, a variant of this one, in which *avardhayan* (with acc) replaces *dadhuh*. Similarly, in the same passage:

bhūṣajendre (MS. °ram) *sarasvatī* VS MS TB. Followed by *prāṇam dadhur* See prec

ut sakthyā (ŚŚ °yor) *ava gudam* (TS. KSA ApŚ *sakthyor grdam*) *dhehi* VS TS. KSA. ŚB. ŚŚ. ApŚ.: *adhāma sakthyor ava gudam dhehi* Vait.

rathamtaram sāmā (also *vairūjam*, *vairūpam*, and *bṛhat sāmā*, and *sāk-vararawate sāmānī*) *pratiṣṭhūyā antarikṣe* (KS. °kṣam; TS. °ṣṭhūyai, om. *antarikṣe*) VS TS. MS KS ŚB. A verb like *stabhnātu* is supplied from the preceding, and it seems that KS. *antarikṣam* can only be construed as an acc. of remoter goal: 'let the Rathamtara sāmā (fix thee) for firm station in (into) the atmosphere'

ā gharne (AŚ. °mam) *siñca paya usriyāyāh* AV. AŚ. ŚŚ 'Pour the milk of the brindle-cow into the hot drink' *ā-sic* is here construed as a verb of placing

§536. The compound *saṃ-dhā*, as a verb of joining, is construed either with acc. and instr., or acc. and loc.; it is the loc. form which varies with the acc. in the following variant, on which see §462:

aṅgāny ātman (MS. *aṅgair ātmānam*) *bhūṣajā tad aśvinā* VS. MS. KS TB Followed by *ātmānam aṅgair saṃadhāt sarasvatī*.

§537. A couple of times, in ritualistic formulas, we find reciprocal shift between loc. and acc. forms:

varṣyō (VS ŚB *varṣo*) *varṣīyasi yajñe yajñapatim dhāh* VS TS ŚB.: *varṣyō varṣīyaso yajñam yajñapatau dhāh* MS KS. Addressed to the atmosphere. 'Being more extended, establish the patron of the sacrifice in a more extended sacrifice': 'being more extended than the more extended, establish the sacrifice in the patron of the sacrifice.' In such rîgmarole the inversion of terms makes little difference.

asman yajñe yajamānāya sūrim AV : *imam yajñam yajamānam ca sūrau* ApŚ. See §488. Neither reading is intelligible; but the reciprocal interchange of the forms of *yajña* and *sūri* seems to be similar to that in the preceding. The verb (preceding) is *dadhātana* in ApŚ., *pari nayāmi* in AV.

§538. Tho the verb is a form of *dhā* in the next variant, the psychology of the variation seems to be different:

dadhad ratnam (AŚ *ratnā*) *dakṣapitr̥bhya* (AV. *dakṣam pi*°) *āyuni* (AV. *āyūnī*) AV. AŚ. ŚŚ 'May he assign wealth and dexterity to the pitr̥s (wealth to the Dakṣapitr̥s), and long lives (in [respect to?] their life).' Neither the acc. *āyūnī* (complementary object), nor (apparently) the loc. *āyuni* (tho its meaning is not very clear), can here be understood as the remoter goal of *dadhad*.

4 With various prepositions

§539. There are a few variants involving acc and loc after various prepositions. In the first, with *adhī*, the verb is *dadhāti*, 'assigns', possibly the feeling that motion is involved in this verb is responsible for the secondary use of the acc in SV.:

nāma itīyam adhī rocane (SV °*nam*) *dwah* RV SV '(Assigns) a third name upon the bright expanse of heaven'

§540. Similarly in the next, we find in SV an isolated use of the loc with *acha*, perhaps owing to the fact that the RV. verb of motion (*ajanti*) is replaced in SV by *mṛjanti* 'purify', which was felt as requiring a loc:

ajanti vahnim sadanāny acha RV.: *mṛjanti vahnim sadaneṣv acha* SV.

'They drive (purify) the carrier unto (upon?) the seats'

§541. A third variant shows *antarā* with acc and loc; only the former seems to be known to the earliest language, but the latter is familiar later:

antarā dyāvapṛthivī apah suvah (MG °*pṛthivyor apasyuh*) TB ApMB. HG. MG.

§542. Finally, one variation is due to the use of different prepositions, *anu* with acc, *adhī* with loc:

ye ke ca pṛthivīm anu (KS *pṛthivyām adhī*) RVKh VS TS MS KS ŚB
Both mean 'on (or thruout) the earth'

5. With other verbs than those of motion

§543. Other verbs than those of motion may in various ways show interchange between these two cases. Thus, *sam-vad* 'converse (about), discuss' governs either. In passing we may note the absence of any variant showing acc: loc of the person spoken to ('goal' of speech), which we might have expected on the analogy of the frequent interchange of the two after verbs of motion. In the later language these cases are quite interchangeable in this construction, but in the Veda such a use of the loc is perhaps hardly to be found.

pratiprasthātah paśau (MŚ. *paśum*) *samvadasva* ApŚ MŚ. 'Prati-prasthātar, speak about the victim (with the slaughterer)'

§544. Also verbs of eating and drinking show, besides the gen (§501), the loc varying with the acc:

papuh sarasvatyā nadyāh (MŚ °*tyām nadyām*, KS ApŚ. °*īm nadīm*)

Vait KS. ApŚ. MŚ. See §501

prajāvatīh sūyavasam (AV. °*se*) *ruśantīh* (RV and TB comm *ṛś°*) RV.

AV. TB See VV 2 §627 on *ruśantīh* TB comm explains by

bhahṣayantīh; so also AV comm., tho it reads *ruś*°. 'Grazing (shining?) on good pasturage.'

§545. A verb of beating:

āghnānāh paññoras AV.: *urah paññaurāv āghnānāh* AV. 'Beating upon their breasts with their hands': 'beating their breasts and thighs (?)'.

§546. A verb of conquering is construed with a loc. or with (a sort of 'inner') accusative:

tvayā (omitted in VSK. TS. KS. TB.) *vayam samghātām-samghātām* (VSK. *samghāte-samghāte*; TS TB omit one *samghātām*) *jeṣma* (KS **jayema*, and *†samjayema*) VS VSK TS. MS KS. (bis) ŚB. TB 'May we (by thee) conquer (in) every fight'

§547. The root *ruc* (*rocate*, *ruruce*) is normally middle and intransitive, taking no accus except a 'cognate' or 'inner' acc. ('shine forth light' or the like) The original form of the following variant accordingly has a loc., 'on the earth', but KS. substitutes an acc (eliptic) dual, and must understand *ruruce* as transitive (equivalent to the causative): 'He (Agni) has illumined heaven and earth as with the light of dawn.'

kṣāman (KS *kṣāmā*) *ruruca uśaso na bhānūnā* (MS. KS. *ketunā*) RV. VS. TS. MS. KS.

6. Locative absolute and accusative

§548. Several times an accusative in regular syntactic relationship varies with a loc. so loosely construed that it may not unfairly be called a locative absolute:

nābhā prthivyāh samīdhāne agnau (TS. *samīdhānam agnim*, MS. KS. °*no agnim*) VS TS MS. KS. ŚB. Followed by *rāyaspoṣāya brhate havāmahe* 'When Agni is enkindled at the navel of the earth, we call upon (him) .': 'We call upon Agni enkindled etc' On MS. KS. see §§393, 450.

samsraṣṭā sa yudha indro gaṇena RV. AV. SV VS. TS. KS : *samsrṣṭāsu yutsu indro gaṇeṣu* MS See §607; loc. abs in the secondary MS.: *yudha(h)* object of the agent noun *samsraṣṭā*.

yā svapanīam bodhayati (HG *svapatsu jāgati*) ApMB. HG. '(The she-demon) who awakens the sleeper': 'who wakes while people sleep.'

7. Case attraction

§549. Most of the remaining variants are so miscellaneous as hardly to permit classification. We may group separately a few in which formal, external attraction to adjoining case-forms seems to be involved:

haviṣā yajña (TB. *yajñam*) *indriyam* (VS °*yaiḥ*) VS. MS KS TB See the passage, discussed §473 TB has assimilated *yajñe* to *indriyam*. *devāu aśvinau madhukaśayādyemam yajñam* (ApŚ °*kaśayādyāsmiṇ yajñe*) *yajamānāya mīmikṣatam* PB KŚ ApŚ MŚ 'O divine Aśvins, with the honey-whip mingle (°besprinkle) this sacrifice for the *yajamāna*' Only the acc seems sensible, ApŚ seems to leave the verb objectless, 'mingle (what?) at this sacrifice' It is no doubt influenced by some of the parallel formulas in the same passage, which have similar expressions with intransitive verbs and locative nouns. Most perversely, in some of these ApŚ uses acc forms instead of the locs of the other texts! Thus:

deva viṣṇa urv adyāsmiṇ yajñe (ApŚ *viṣṇav urv adyemam yajñam*) *yajamānāyādhi* (ApŚ °*yānu*) *vikramasva* (MŚ *yajamānāya vikramasva*), same texts Here, to be sure, the loc as well as the acc may be defended, with *vi-kram*; cf. §530 above But in the next the acc. seems as impossible as the loc in the preceding, and is doubtless to be explained in the same way.

devy adite svādityam adyāsmiṇ yajñe yajamānāyāsuvasva (ApŚ *adite* °*nv adyemam yajñam yajamānāyādhi*), same texts

8 Miscellaneous

§550. The rest are miscellaneous, and generally involve rather radical reorganization of the passages:

dyumnam vrn̥ta puṣyase RV VS TS MS ŚB : *dyumnam* (and *dyumne*) *vareta puṣyatu* KS (both) 'Let him choose glory, that he may prosper': 'let him choose glory, let him prosper'. 'let him choose (him, Netar) in glory, let him prosper'

vr̥ṣā punāna āyusu (SV. āyūn̥ṣi) RV ŚV The pple is intransitive in RV. but transitive in SV

lokam pitṛṣu vitvā AV. °*pitṛn hy atra gachāsi* TA 'Having found a place among the fathers': 'for thou shalt go there to the fathers' *sā śantātī* (SV. °*tā*, TB. ApŚ °*cī*) *mayas karad apa sridhah* RV SV. TB ApŚ 'She made (for me) blissful (*śantātī*) joy (joy in bliss)' We should expect *śantātīm*, 'bliss'.

upa tvā kāmā imāhe sasṛjmahe SV.. *upa tvā kāmān mahah sasṛjmahe* RV. AV.

niṣkā ime (AV. *ete*, MŚ *hy ete*) *yajamānasya bradhne* (MŚ °*nam*, AV *loke*) AV TB. ApŚ MŚ 'These are jewels in the sacrificer's sun (or heaven; TB. comm. the world of the Ādityas, as the fruit of sacrifice)', in MŚ preceded by *darbhah str̥n̥tā*, *bradhn̥am* being

object of this verb: 'Strew with darbha-grasses .—for these are jewels—the sun (-heaven) of the sacrificer.' Caland strangely takes *bradhne* as nom pl (adjective with *nṣkāh*¹).

suṣadā yonau svāhā vā (TS TB, *yonim svāhā*) VS. TS ŚB TB.: *sudhīn yonīm suṣadām pṛthivīm* (ms °vī) *svāhā* KS Preceded by *aviṣam nah pitum lṛṇu* (KS. *kṛdhu*), Conc. quotes the whole mantra of KS under this We see no way to construe *suṣadā yonim*; Keith assumes *suṣadām*, which is supported by KS (but note there also *pṛthivī* in the ms !). 'make our food poisonless, the lap pleasant to sit in.' On the loss of anusvāra cf VV 2 §307. It looks as if VS ŚB, starting from TS's reading, had interpreted *suṣadā* as loc. of an unknown **suṣadā*, and changed to *yonau* to match it

samudre yasya rasām id āhuh AV.: *yasya samudram* (Ppp MS KS.

sam° yasya) *rasayā sahāhuh* RV Ppp VS TS MS KS. See §463 *cakṣuḥ cū sūrye sacā* RV : *cakṣuḥ sūryam dṛṣe* SV. See §474

tayā devāḥ sutam ā babhūvuh TS KSA. TB.: *sā no asmin suta ā babhūva* VS. MS. See §419.

dṛnhasva pṛthivyām VS KS ŚB : *dṛnha pṛthivīm* PB. 'Be firm on earth': 'make firm the earth.' Contexts are identical

āvarīṇam nivarīṇam RV AV : *āvarīṇe nivarīṇe* TB ApŚ. The forms are differently construed; cf Caland's note on ApŚ. The latter form of the verse is radically altered.

revatī predhā yajñapatim āvīṣa MS KS.: *revatī yajamāne priyam dhā āvīṣa* VS † ŚB.† *revatī yajñapatim priyadhānīṣata* TS ApŚ. 'O rich one(s), kindly approach the sacrificer': 'give what he desires to the sacrificer, approach (him)'

upa ṛṣabhasya (TB LŚ *uparṣ°*) *relasā* (AV. *yad relah*), followed by: *upendra tava vīrye* (AV. °yam) RV AV. TB. LŚ The stanza is extensively altered (Whitney on AV 9 4 23). The verb is *upa pṛcyatām*, 'let be mingled', in all but AV., which reads *upa pṛca*; this we take as active, and hence construe *yad relah* and *vīryam* as acc., not nom with Whitney. If we are right, the acc -loc. variation is psychologically similar to those listed §§533-8.

9. Corruptions

§551. The following seem clearly corrupt:
śīrṣṇā śīro 'psasāpso ardayan AV.: *śīrṣṇā śīro* (ApŚ. *girau*) *vakṣasā vakṣa ejayan* KS. ApŚ. The blunder of ApŚ, the uninterpretable, is interesting because it is obviously a reminiscence of RV. 8. 45. 5 *gīrāv apo na yodhṣat*, on which see Neisser, *ZWbch. d. RV. s. v.*

apsas. The variant should be added to VV 2 §§153a (*apsas* : *valśas*, synonyms), 360, 732.

ya ājagma (N. °*muh*) *savane mā* (TS. KS. *savanedam*, N. *savanam idam*, VS. MS. ŚB. *ājagmedam savanam*) *juṣāṇāḥ* AV VS TS MS. KS ŚB. N. Whitney renders AV. (p.p. *savane, mā*) 'ye that have come enjoying me at the libation', but observes that it is a corruption of *savane 'mā* ('*nā + imā*), which the comm. reads So also Conc *ime calvāro rajaso vimānāḥ* (MŚ °*ne*) KS. MŚ Other versions of the pāda (see Conc.) all end with *devayānāḥ* All Knauer's mss read *vimāno*; for this K. emends °*ne*, 'im Dunstkreis' But it would be at least as simple, and more in accord with the parallels, to emend to *vimānāḥ*.

10. Transfer of epithet

§552. Nearly all the cases of transfer of epithet (§14) between acc and loc involve also change of number or gender:

amuṣya tvā prāṇe (ŚB. KŚ *prāṇam*) *sādayāmi* TS. ŚB TA. KŚ ApŚ : *idam aham amuṣyāmuṣyāyanasya prāṇe sādayāmi* MS. In ŚB KŚ *prāṇam* (the life of an enemy) defines *tvā*: 'I put thee down, the life-breath of so-and-so,' cf. the next mantra, *amuṣya tvā prāṇam apidadhāmi*. These texts magically identify the soma-vessel addressed with the life of an enemy, making *prāṇam* appositional to *tvā* The others: 'I set thee (this) upon the life-breath of so-and-so ...'

ni tad dadhiṣe 'varam param (AV.* Ppp °*vare pare*) *ca* RV AV (bis) Ppp Followed by *yasminn āvikhāvasā durone*

abhi yonim ayohatam (SV. VS. °*te*) RV. SV. VS Followed by *drone* (RV. *drunā*) *sadhashtham āsadat* (RV. *aśnuṣe*) The adjective is switched from *yonim* to *drone*

varūthyam (SV. °*ye*) *varuṇe chandyaṁ vacaḥ* RV. SV.

[*yasyām karmāṇi kurvate* (ApŚ *kr̥vate*) KS. ApŚ.: *yāni karmāṇi cakrve* AV. Preceded by *anāptā yā* (AV. *ye*) *vaḥ prathamā* Obscure; the forms of pāda a are neut pl, going with *karmāṇi*, so that AV. *yāni* really corresponds to KS ApŚ *yā* of pāda a; AV. *ye* of pāda a of course goes with the subject What *yasyām* refers to is not evident. AV. p p mss have *anāptā* but *prathamāḥ*; the latter would be possible, going with the subject]

§553. What is originally a word of independent construction is attracted into agreement with another word, as its epithet (§15), in: *yatrautān* (AG. Kauś. EMB. HG. MG *yatrautān*) *vettha nihlān parāke*

(SMB *parācah*) VS. AG. Kauś. SMB. ApMB HG. MG. *parācah* with *etān*; *parāke* adverbial, 'far away'.

ā te vācam āsyām (HG. *āsya*) *dade* HG. ApMB.: *tām te vācam āsya ādatte* (read *ādade*?) *hṛdaya ādadhe* PG. Followed in HG. ApMB. by *ā* (HG. om.) *manasyām hṛdayād adhi* Kirste suggests *āsyād*; more likely *āsyām* is intended, with one ms., as in ApMB. If PG. is original ('in the mouth'), then HG. ApMB. have made *āsyē* over into an adjective, 'of the mouth', agreeing with *vācam*; cf. *manasyām* which must certainly be taken as an adjective from *manas*. *atheme anya upare vicakṣaṇam* (AV. °*ne*) RV. AV. PraśU. Followed by *saptacakre śadara āhur arpitam*. In the original *vicakṣaṇam* (referring apparently to the cosmic year) is object of *āhur*. AV. stupidly attracts it into agreement with the following locatives, leaving the object (still the same entity) to be supplied from the preceding line. Ppp. as RV.

§554. Conversely, in the following what is originally an epithet is changed so as to be construed independently (§15):

imam rātam (SV. *asya rātau*) *sutaṁ piba* RV. SV. 'Drink this presented soma': 'drink the soma at this man's offering'

agnim īde pūrvacittim (TS. °*cittau*) *namobhiḥ* VS. VSK. TS MS. KS. ŚB.

'I revere with homage Agni whose is the first (ancient?) devotion (TS, at the first devotion).'

11. Phrase inflection

§555. Occurs once:

ṛtasya pade (RV. **padam*) *kavayo n pānti* RV. (both) TA. JUB. The pāda with the loc. is preceded by an object *manīṣām*; different contexts.

CHAPTER XXIV

INSTRUMENTAL AND OTHER OBLIQUE CASES

A. Instrumental and dative

1 As equivalents, with names of desirable qualities

§556. With nouns meaning something in the nature of a boon or desirable object or quality, a final dative often varies with an instrumental. Thus: *sam tam sñicatu rādhase* RV Vait, 'may he pour it (*anśu*, or the like) together unto bounty,' becomes in KS ApŚ (in a different context, yet closely modelled on the same original) *sam tai sñicatu rādhasā*, 'may he pour it together with bounty (bounteously)' The practical meaning of the two versions is the same, and both types of expression are very common; correspondingly common are variants showing both in different passages. They constitute the one large block among the variations between these two cases. The nouns in question mean regularly something like 'strength, support, aid, sustenance, food, bounty.' The instrumental seems at times to be felt clearly as one of means, sometimes as one of accompaniment, but often it shows a rather vague and undifferentiated adverbial function.

§557. In some instances, which deserve separate rubrication, the presence of a dative of purpose in the vicinity seems to be responsible for the alteration of an original instrumental into a dative.

kratvā varīṣṭham vara āmurim uta RV AV *kratve vare sthemany āmurim uta* SV. Preceded in all by *jaganuś ca rājase*. In RV. *kratvā* seems best taken with *varīṣṭham*, 'the most extended in power (wisdom, magic power)', yet it might even there be felt as going with the preceding verb ('created [Indra] unto rule, by [magic] power, the most extended ') Certainly the dative of SV must be taken with the preceding, and evidently it is assimilated to *rājase*, 'created (Indra) unto rule, unto (magic) power'.

suvargeyāya (VSK *svar*^o, VS MŚ KS ŚB *svargyāya*) *śaktiā* (TS *°yāi*, MS *śaktaye*) VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB ŚvetU '(We strive) unto the heavenly, unto (by) might'.

āpa undantu jīvase (AV. *varcasā*, AG. *varcase*) AV. TS. KS. AG ŚG

SMB GG. ApMB. HG. MG. 'Let the waters wet (the beard) unto (with) long life (splendor)' In most texts including AV. a dative phrase, *dirghāyutvāya cakṣase* or *varcase*, occurs in the vicinity. Delete in Conc the AG reference for *āpa un° jīvase*.

praṇāpales tvā (°leṣ tvā) prānenābhīprānīmī pūṣṇah poṣena (MŚ. *poṣāya*) *mahyam dirghāyutvāya* TB. ApŚ. MŚ. 'I breathe upon thee... with (unto) Pūṣan's prosperity, unto long life.'

pra no rāyā parīnasā (SV. *rāye panīyase*) RV. SV. KB. Followed by *ratsi vājāya panthām* 'Break forth a path for us by riches and plenty (unto wonderful riches), unto strength'

§558. In the rest we have not noted any special influence determining the alteration in case:

tam hi svarājam vṛṣabham tam ojase (SV. *ojasā*) RV SV. AV. Followed by *dhiṣaṇe niṣṭataḥṣatuh*. 'For the two vessels (heaven and earth) have created him (Indra), the independent bull, unto (with, by) strength.'

pra tad viṣṇu (viṣṇuh, viṣṇus) stavate vīryena (TB. ApŚ. *vīryāya*, AV. *vīryānī*) RV AV. VS MŚ KS ŚB TB. AŚ ApŚ NṛpU See §474.

saptarṣayas tapase (AV °sā) *ye mṛseduh* RV. AV.

sarasvatī asunod indriyāya (LŚ °yeṇa) VS MŚ. KS. ŚB. TB ŚŚ Vait LŚ 'Sarasvatī pressed (the soma) for (by) strength'

varśvānaro na ūtaye (TS MS ApŚ MŚ. *ūtīyā*) AV. VS TS. MS KS AB. KB. ŚB. AŚ. ŚŚ. Vait. ApŚ. MŚ Followed by *ā pra yātu parāvatah* 'Unto (with) and'

rāye (TB ApŚ *revaḥ*) *jātaḥ sahasa* (TB ApŚ °sā) *vṛddhah* KS. TB. ApŚ. 'Born unto riches (richly), increased unto (by, with) strength'

tās tvā devīr (AV om *devīr*, SMB MG *devyo*) *jarase* (SMB HG. °sā) *sam vyayantu* (PG *vyayasva*) AV SMB. PG. HG ApMB MG. 'May these goddesses wrap thee up unto (with) old age' On PG. see VV 1 §70

ā mā somo amṛtatvena (TS °tvāya) *gamyāt* VS TS. MS. KS ŚB.

anāgasam brahmaṇā (TB. HG ApMB °ne) *tvā kṛnomi* (TB HG ApMB *karomī*) AV TB HG ApMB. 'I make thee guiltless by my charm', AV. For *brahmane* Oldenberg on HG. says 'before the Brahman', rather something like 'unto holiness' or 'holy power'. TB comm. *parvṛdhāya jātakarmādīsamskārāya*

tena te vapāmi brahmaṇā (MG °my āyuṣe, ApMB °my asāv āyuṣā *varcasā*) VSK SMB PG ApMB. MG. *tena ta āyuṣe vapāmi* AG : *tenāsyāyuṣe vāpa* ApMB In the texts which have *āyuṣe* or *āyuṣā*, the dative is one of a series of datives, the instrumental one of a pair of instrumentals.

tenāsmat yajamānāyoru (MS. KS *yajñapalaya uru*) *rāye* (TS *rāyā*) *hṛdhi*
VS TS. MS KS ŚB. 'Hereby make broad (room) for this sacrificer unto (by, with) wealth.'

apām stoko abhyapaptad rasena (ApMB °*lac chivena*, HG *abhyapatac chivāya*) AV. ApMB. HG

apām uta praśastiṣu (RV.† *praśastaye*, AV VSK °*tibhih*) RV. AV VS VSK TS. MS KS ŚB Followed by *aśvā* (*devā*) *bhavata* ('*tha*) *vājnah* 'Unto (by, in) praising of the waters, O horses (gods), are (be) ye strong' Here the locative is also brought in, without essential difference

śataṁ bhavāsy ūtibhih (SV VS * *ūlaye*) RV AV. SV. VS. (bis, add in Conc SV. 2 34c, VS 27 41c, with *ūlaye*) MS KS TA ApŚ

kāmena (TS MS. *kāmāya*) *tvā prati* (TS. om *prati*) *grhṇāmi* AV TS MS KS PB. TB TA. AŚ ApŚ. 'I receive thee with (unto) affection'

maghavañ (°*van*) *chagdhī tava tan na ūtibhih* (SV. PB TB TA ApŚ *ūlaye*) RV. AV SV PB TB TA MahānU. ApŚ

yam mītram na praśastiṣu (SV. °*laye*) RV. SV. Followed by *martāso dadhire purah*. 'Whom like a friend mortals have magnified with (advanced unto) praise'

iha priyam prajāyā (AV. *prajāyai*) *te sam rāhyatām* RV. AV. AG ApMB *sadā pāhy abhiṣṭaye* (°*tibhih*) RV. (both). See *RVRep* on 1 129 9 *vanemā te abhiṣṭibhih* (SV. °*laye*) RV SV VS ApŚ MŚ 'May we win by (unto) thy aid'

aiho ariṣṭatālaye (RV.* AV.* °*tibhih*) RV.* AV * PB.

§559. Both the dative and the instrumental have a tendency, in the ritualistic language of the Veda, to become formulaic and rigmarole in such instances Even some of the above variants approach such a classification, which becomes more definitely applicable in the following, here little really intelligible sense can be got out of either form.

pretinā dharmanā (MS *pretyā dharmāne*) *dharmam jinva* VS MS This is one of a long series of formulas used with the laying of the stomabhāga bricks 'With advance by righteousness (for righteousness) quicken thou righteousness' VS (and ŚB) vary between dat and instr. in different formulas of the series, MS has the dative consistently thruout To the same group belong: *samdhināntarikṣeṇāntarikṣaṁ* (MS *samdhināntarikṣāyāntarikṣam*) *jinva* VS MS : *viṣṭambhena vṛṣṭyā* (MS *vṛṣṭyai*) *vṛṣṭim jinva* VS. MS : *anvityā dvā* (MS. *dve*) *divam jinva* VS. MS ŚB. : *pravayāhnā* (MS *pravāyāhne*) 'har *jinva* VS. MS . *pratidhina prthivyā* (MS °*vai*) *prthivim jinva*

VS. MS ; and others. Cf. *anvitar asi dīve tvā divam jinvā* TS. KS GB. TB. Vait., and other formulas in these texts corresponding to those of VS MS ; they seem to confirm the originality of the datives of MS.

śukraṁ te śukrena grhṇāmi TS KS ApŚ : *śukraṁ tvā śukra śukrāya grhṇāmi* MS. MŚ. 'I take thy bright one (thy brightness?) with brightness (with a bright one?)': 'I take thee, the bright one, O bright one, unto brightness (unto a bright one?)'

svāhā marudbhīḥ (MS. °bhīyah) *pariśrayasva* (VS ŚB. °śrīyasva) VS. MS. ŚB. 'Hail! be encompassed by (for) the Maruts' The comms on VS and ŚB., where the verse is addressed to the chief cauldron (*mahāvīra*), say that 'by the Maruts' means 'by us, the people', having in mind the proportion Indra : Maruts = king : *viśah*.

2. With expressions of uniting

§560. A special case of this interchange which seems to deserve separate mention, tho it is not essentially different from the variants quoted above, concerns passages containing expressions of union, where the final dative varies with the associative instrumental (cf §59):

susāmbhṛtā (MŚ. °te) *tvā sam bharāmi* TS. TB. ApŚ MŚ. 'I unite thee with (unto) good union.'

ayakṣmāya tvā samśrjāmi prajābhyah VS. TS. MS KS. ŚB.: *ayakṣmā vah prajāyā samśrjāmi* KS TB. ApŚ. MŚ. 'I unite thee unto diseaselessness, unto offspring': 'I unite you, diseaseless, with offspring'

samveśanas (RV. AV. °ne) *tanuvar* (AV. *tanvā*, SV KS MŚ. *tanve*, RV. *tanvaś*) *cārur edhi* RV. AV SV. KS. TB. TA. ApŚ MŚ 'Be happy in union (or, as a uniter) with (of, to) thy body.' Of course the RV., with an objective genitive, is the original (see §617); but it is interesting that either an instr. (AV.) or a dat. (most texts) may be substituted for this rather unusual form. There seems no objection to taking *samveśanas* as a nom. agentis, with comms. on TB. and TA. (*samyojayitā*); this would not alter the construction.

samjñānaṁ nah svebhyah (AV. *svebhīḥ*, TB *svaīḥ*), followed by:

samjñānam arañebhyah (AV. °nebhīḥ, TB. °ṇaīḥ) RVKh. AV MS KS. TB. In AV. TB, 'harmony for us with our own men.. with strangers,' in the others, 'harmony for us unto (= with) our own men' etc. The instr. is more natural in sense but metrically inferior and probably a secondary lect. fac.

ājarasāya sam anaktv aryamā RV. SMB. ApMB : *āhorātrābhyām sam*

anaktv aryamā AV. 'May Aryaman unite unto old age (with day and night).'

3 Other syntactic shifts

§561. More real difference of meaning appears in the remaining variants. But sometimes the difference is still so slight that the variation could almost be classified with the preceding instances:

apo mahi vyayati cakṣase tamah RV : *apo mahi vṛnute cakṣuṣā tamah* SV
'She (Uṣas) folds away (removes) the great darkness, unto seeing'
'she, the mighty one, discloses (removes) the darkness with sight'

§562. A somewhat different understanding of the verb seems responsible for the shift of cases in the following:

hastāya (SV. *hastena*) *vajrah prah dhāyī darśatah* RV AV SV 'The splendid vajra was placed in (grasped by) the hand (of Indra)'

§563. Others evidently intend to express really different ideas in the two forms, other variations in the phraseology often accompany the change in case:

vācaspataye tvā hutam prāśnāmi TS ApŚ : *vācaspatinā te hutasyeṣe prānāya prāśnāmi* (ŚŚ *hutasya prāśnāmīṣe prānāya*, ŚB *hutasyāśnāmy ūrja udānāya*) ŚB. AŚ ŚŚ 'I eat (of) thee, offered to (by) the Lord of Speech'

yā ta iṣur yuvā nāma tayā no mṛda (MS *tayā vidhema*, KS *tasya te vidhema*) TS MS KS. 'Thy arrow with it (to it) would we do reverence (with it be merciful to us, TS)'

yah samgrāmān (TS MS °mam) *nayati* (KS *jayati*) *sam yudhe vaśi* (TS MS *sam vaśi yudhe*, KS *sam vaśi yudhā*) AV TS MS KS 'Who brings together the hosts for fighting (conquers the hosts by fighting)' The change in verb (rming; add to VV 2 §853) conditions the change of noun case

pratiprasthātar dadhīgharmenūnūdehi (MŚ *dadhigharmāya dadhy upakalpayasva*) ApŚ MŚ Again a different verb makes the sense wholly different, MŚ 'fix the curds for the curd-porridge'

vītam samitre (MS °trā, KS °tam) *śamitā* (MS °tam) *yajadhyai* TS. MS KS 'Welcome (is it) for the immolator, let the immolator sacrifice', TS : 'welcome is that which has been slaughtered by the immolator for sacrifice', MS : 'welcome is that which has been slaughtered, let the immolator sacrifice', KS Cf *vītam havih śamitam śamitā yajadhyai* VS ŚB, 'the welcome offering has been slaughtered, let the immolator sacrifice' (otherwise Mahidhara and Eggeling)

arvāḡ devā asya viśarjanena (TB °nāya) RV. MS TB. The comm on

- TB. is not troubled by the dative, simply taking it as the equivalent of an abl. (which varies with the instr. after *arvāḥ*, cf. Speyer VSS. §39, and below, §574). But doubtless TB. misunderstood the sense of the mystical verse and took it to mean 'the gods (came) hither (or, subsequently) unto its creation (i.e. to create it?).'
- tebhāḥ* (AV. VS. VSK *tebhyaḥ*) *svarād asunūtm elām* (AV *asunūtir no adya*) RV. AV. VS. VSK. Followed by *yathāvaśam tanvaṁ* (AV. *tanvāḥ*) *kalpayāti* (RV. °*yasva*). The interpretation is difficult in any reading; see the commentators.
- patim surāyā* (TB. °*yai*, VS *surayā*) *bheṣajam* VS. MS TB. Mahīdhara takes *surayā* as associative instr. A dat. or gen seems required; see §622.
- saṃjūr devaṁ sāyamyāvabhāḥ* (ŚŚ. *devebhyaḥ ṛsāyamyāvabhyaḥ*) TB. ŚŚ ApŚ. And the same with *prātaryāvabhāḥ* (ŚŚ † °*yāvabhyaḥ*). 'Together with (for) the gods that come in the evening (morning).' The instr. may be suggested by *saṃjūr*, if the dative (dedicatory) be taken as the original; but the formula is rignarole and anything is possible.
- namah kṛtsnāyatayā* (VSK °*yatāya*, KS. *kṛtsamvītāya*, TS MS. *kṛtsnavītāya*) *dhāvate* VS VSK TS MS. KS. Certainly a dative is required, tho the variations show that the meaning was not understood VS comm struggles with the instr. as an abstract noun, dependent on *dhāvate*; he analyzes it as from *kṛtsnāyata* (*kṛtsnāyata*) + *tā*, apparently assuming haplology (or is there a misprint, for °*yatatayā*?) The original dat. is an epithet of Rudra

4 Case attraction

§564. In a couple of cases external case attraction seems responsible for the change:

- yunajm vāyum antarikṣena te* (MŚ. *tena*) *saha* TS ApŚ MŚ : *yukto vāto 'ntarikṣena te saha* PB 'I join wind (wind is joined) for thee with the atmosphere (wind with this atmosphere)' Formal assimilation of *te* to *tena*, influenced by *antarikṣena*
- ṛṣā ca bhāsā bṛhatā suśikmanā* MS : *ṛṣe ca bhāsā bṛhatā suśukmanā* (KS °*kvabhāḥ*) VS TS. KS ŚB. *ṛṣe* is infinitival with *suśuk°*, and *ṛṣā* seems due to formal assimilation: apparently 'with great appearance (and) brilliance' (on *suśikmanā* see VV 2 §240)

5. Corruptions

- §565. A few cases seem more or less certainly corrupt:
- aghāya bhūma harivah parādaḥ* (MS °*daḥ*) RV. AV. TS MS. On MS.

('by betrayal?') see VV 2 §384; it is probably corrupt, or else a mere phonetic variant

ḍīkṣayedam (KS *ḍīkṣāy**) *havir āgachalam nah* KS TB AŚ There is no stem **ḍīkṣa*, and it seems that KS. (all mss and ed) must be an error.

[*enāhnedam ahar aśīya svāhā* KS.: *idāhna id āharam aśīya* MŚ ed by em; the mss corruptly point to the same text as KS See VV 2 §709.]

6. Phrase inflection

§566. Phrase inflection (§§21-2) occurs:

ṛtubhiṣ tvārtavah AV. HG.: *ṛtubhyas tvārtavebhyah* AV In two different stanzas, AV. 3. 10. 10 and 5. 28. 13, one (it is not certain which) is repeated AV 19. 37. 4 (see notes in Whitney-Lanman)

asme kṣatrāya varcase balāya RV.: *saha kṣatrena varcasā balena* AV In different contexts

sahasrākṣāya mīdhuse (NīlarU *vājine*) VS. VSK TS MS KS NīlarU · *sahasrākṣena vājīnā* AV. The same stanza as in VS etc occurs in NīlarU., but has apparently been influenced (as to *vājine*) by *vājīnā* of the quite different AV. stanza

7 Transfer of epithet

§567. The only cases of transfer of epithet noted between dat and instr seem to be instances in which the variant word is a true epithet only in one of the versions, in the other having independent construction (§15):

yo agnaye dadāṣa havyadātubhiḥ (SV. °*dātaye*) RV SV 'Who has done homage to Agni with oblation-offerings (to Agni the presenter of oblations)' So it seems best to take SV, with *havya*° as a noun of agent, as in RV. 6 48 2 *dāsema havyadātaye* If however we take the SV form as a noun of action, the variant would belong in §558.

vīty arṣa canīṣṭhayā (SV *panīṣṭaye*) RV. SV. 'Go with sustenance most desirable', RV The SV. is usually understood to mean 'go with sustenance unto praise' (VV 2 §86), the epithet being changed to an independent noun If we dared take *panīṣṭaye* as an adjective, it might go with the 'folk' (*janāya*) of the preceding. *pra-pra kṣayāya panyase, janāya juṣṭo adruhe* (SV °*hah*); this would be a genuine 'transfer of epithet'

B. Instrumental and ablative

1. Instrumental of means and ablative of source

§568. Since the source of anything may be regarded, from a slightly different angle, as the means by which it is produced or brought about, it is natural to find the ablative of source and the instrumental of means interchanging. Such variants form the largest block of variations between these two cases, of which it is to be noted that our materials seem to indicate a very marked affinity, to judge by the fact that most of the variant passages show very slight differences in meaning between the two forms.

§569. We find first a group containing a verbal form expressing source, and an ablative of source varying with an instrumental of means:

yās te viśas tapasah (TB °sā) *sambabhūvuh* AV. TB. 'Whatever clans have sprung up from (by) thy *tapas*.'

payasā (MS °sah) *śukram amṛtam janitram*, followed by

surayā (MS. KS *surāyā*) *mūtrāj janayanti* (VS.† MS. °nta) *retah* VS.

MS. KS.† TB. 'By (from) milk they create(d) the bright immortal productive seed, by (from) *surā*, from urine' Here the original clearly had abl *mūtrāj* (all texts), but instr. *payasā* (all but MS.), while the form of the stem *surā* was either instr. or abl, in either case being assimilated in two of four texts to the following or preceding parallel form MS. KS. are more apt to be original than VS. TB.

surayā (MS. *surāyāh*) *somah suta āsuto madāya* VS. MS KS ŚB. TB.

The ŚB. understands *surayā* as associative instr.; so also comm. on TB. and Griffith. Despite the authority of this ancient interpretation, we feel that the MS variant, and the similar passage just discussed, make more likely this interpretation: 'Soma, pressed forth by (MS. from) *surā*, distilled unto enjoyment' This seems supported by Mahidhara on VS.: *āsutah surayā tīrīkṛtah san*

yatah prajā akhadrā (MS. *yena prajā achadrā*) *ajāyanta tasmai tvā . . juhomi* TS. MS KS.

pūṣah kartur mātari āśṛikta JB.: *pūṣa kartṛā mātari mā niṣīṇca* ('cata to be read?') KBU. 'From (by) a man as creator (father) ye have implanted (implant) me in (my) mother.' On the verb-form see VV 1 §372c.

tābhya enā n tvartaya RV.: *tābhyas tvā vartayāmasi* KS.: *tābhīr ā vartayā punah* TS ApMB. 'From (by) them (the four quarters of the earth) bring them (we bring thee) back' The Tait. reading is clearly secondary and poor.

§570. Similarly in other passages where no verbal expression of origination is present:

śarī yajñāthyaś (TA. 'śāśh) VS. ŚB. TA. 'Welfare (be to us) from (by) the sacrificial formulas.'

pauruṣeyā dāityā KS.†: *pauruṣeyā dāityā* TS. MS.: [*śrāya-yaś nā* *śrāya-*] *pauruṣeyā nā dāityā* AV. Preceded by *yaś śāśh abhīkṣati* KS., *yaś śāśh nābhīkṣati* TS. MS., *yaś śāśh abhīkṣati* AV.

arjāś evāhur nīdāśyāś (VSK. *īśāś. nīdāśyāś*),

arjāś āhur (īśāś. *īśāś.*) *arjāśyāś* (VSK. *īśāś. arjāśyāś*) VS. VSK. *īśāś.* 'Different, they say, is (the fruit) arising from (by means of) knowledge and ignorance.' That the words are felt as abls., not gens., is proved by the parallel pādas *arjāś evāhuḥ śrībhāśāś*, *arjāś āhur asrībhāśāś*, which occur in the vicinity.

śrāś yajñāś nāśāś (KS.* [2. 3] *yajñāśāś*) VS. MS. KS.* (bis) ŚB. ApŚ. MŚ.: *śrāś yajñāś nāśāś* (KS. 'ś) TS. MS. KS. ApŚ. A verb such as *ā* *raśh* (but with the loc. *nāśāś*, *nāśāś*—§507) is to be understood. In KS. 23. 5 *śrāś yajñāś nāśāś* (which certainly should be read also in KS. 2. 3 for ed. *yajñāśāś*, cf. VV 2 §519). 'I take sacrifice from mind,' is explained by the Brahmanas: *nāśāś vai yajña śāśhāś*—a striking proof of the equivalence of the two cases in such expressions.

2. Instrumental of means and ablative of cause

§571. The ablative of cause is only a slight development of the ablative of source, into which it shades over imperceptibly: and it varies quite as easily with the instrumental of means. The following variants seem to contain what may best be classified as causal abls.:

tena (IB. *tāś*) *nā mātāśāśyāś* ('*nā*) *arjāś* (IB. *arjāś*) RV. MS. TB.

Preceded by *yaś bāhīśyāś nāśāś* (IB. 'śāś) *śāśāś, aśāśāś* *śāśāś bhūśāśāś* *śāśāś*. 'By (thru) this (aid, *śāśāś*), O M. and V., help us.'

bhūśāś *tena* *īśāś* *brahmāś* (MS. 'śāś) *nāśāś* RV. TS. MS. KS. AŚ.

'Become thou great, O Indra, by (thru. as a result of) our holy words.'

parī śāśyāś dāśāśāś (PG. *śāśyāś dāśāśāś*) AŚ. PG. The PG. reading is regarded by Stenzler as corrupt, and we have followed him in VV 2 §143: 'the context makes it easy to assume a change from *śāśyāś* to *śāśyāśāś*, and the reverse change is not easy to understand. Yet *parī* is not very easy to construe in AŚ., while in PG. it goes very naturally with the abl. *dāśāśāś*, either in

the sense of '(turning away) from the condition of friendship' (so Oldenberg), or (perhaps better) 'in accordance with the law of friendship (or of truth, if *satyasya* be read).' In the latter interpretation, the abl. of cause with *pari* would be equivalent to the instr.

§572. To this group would belong three other variants, if their ablatives were to be accepted as textually sound; they are however all suspicious for one reason or another.

abhi śravobhīh prthvīm RV : *uta śrāvāsā* (MS. *śrāvasa* [p p °sah] ā) *prthvīm* VS TS. MS TA. 'And (has spread over) the earth also by reason of his glory.' MS like the others has in the preceding the parallel *mahinā* (instr.) *divah*, 'over the heavens by his greatness' If *śrāvāsah* be accepted it would have to be an abl. of cause; but see VV 2 §991

hiraṇyapānur amūṃṭa sukratuh kṛpā (AV *kṛpāt*) *svah* AV SV. VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. AŚ ŚŚ. Whitney adopts *kṛpā* for AV., which is read by some mss and comm (the variant might be added to VV 2 §420) AŚ. ŚŚ. add the ūha *ṭpā svah* for *kṛpā svah*, which should have been recorded in VV 2 §138 Can the anomalous final *t* of AV. be explained as due to misunderstanding of a ms reading in which *t* was inserted, interlinearly or marginally, as a substitute for *k* in *kṛpā*, and later taken as an addition at the end of the word? *satyā eṣām āśṣah santu kāmāḥ* (SMB *kāmāt*) SMB ApMB. HG. So Conc., but Jørgensen reads *kāmāh* in SMB., with only one ms recorded as reading *kāmāt*. Cf. §412.

3. With expressions of separation

§573. The instrumental is sometimes used with expressions of separation or release, of the thing separated from, by analogy with the associative instrumental used after (antonymic) expressions of joining See e.g. Whitney, Gr. 283a, Speyer, VSS §33. In this way it comes to be interchangeable with the ablative of separation:

tebhir no adya (ApŚ *tebhyo na indrah*) *savitola viṣnuh* KŚ. ApŚ : *tebhyo asmān varuṇah soma indrah* Kauś. The verb *vi muñcantu* follows 'May (Indra,) Savitar and Viṣnu free us (today) from these (fettters of Varuṇa)', or the like.

viśvā (MS. *vy*) *amīvāh pramuñcan mānuṣībhih* (KS Ppp °ṣebhyah, MS. °ṣāṇām) AV. Ppp MS. KS.: *viśvā āśah pramuñcan mānuṣīr bhiyah* VS. TS. Followed by *śvābhir* (Ppp VS KS MS. *śivebhir*) *adya pari pāhu no gayam* (VS. TS MS KS *vr̥dhe*) All evidence points

to *mānuṣibhīh* as the original. The AV comm followed by Whitney supplies *ūtibhīh*. But the noun most naturally understood with *mānuṣī* is *viś* or *kr̥ṣṭi*, 'tribe' (see Grassmann, *Wbch* s v.). The instr is then probably one of separation: 'freeing all diseases from human tribes'. KS Ppp must be interpreted similarly, taking *mānuṣebhyah* as abl, 'from men'. On VS. TS see §474. MS has a possessive gen: 'freeing (removing) men's diseases'. The following pāda is a reminiscence of RV. 6.71.3b, *śivebhīr adya pari pāhi no gayam*, where *śivebhīr* goes with a preceding *pāyubhīh* (the context is wholly different). Here *śivebhīr* or *śivābhīr* must be taken either as an adverb, 'auspiciously', or as a noun, 'with kindness'. Note that only AV has *mānuṣibhīh* and *śivābhīh* in the same case form.

4 Dependent on prepositional adverbs

§574. The prepositional adverbs *paras*, *avas*, *arvāk* govern either abl or instr without difference of meaning. This use of the abl is apparently related to the abl of comparison, cf. Speyer, *VSS* §39.

paro dīvā (AV. vulg *dīvo* by misprint, MS *dīvāh*) *para enā pṛthivyā* (MS *'yāh*) RV AV. VS TS MS KS 'Higher than the heaven, higher than the earth here'. Followed (except in one of the two RV occurrences, and AV. which repeats this) by.

paro devebhīr (MS *'bhyo*) *asuraṛ* (MS *'ram*) *yad asti* (TS *asuraṛ guhā yat*) RV VS TS. MS KS

avaś ca yāh parah srucaḥ (Vait *srucaḥ*, KS *paro dīvāh*) RV. KS Vait *arvāk tvā parebhyo 'vidam* (VSK *tvā parebhyah*) *paro 'varebhyah* (TS *tvā paraṛ avidam paro 'varash*, MS *tvā parebhyah paro 'varebhyo 'vidam*) VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB. 'I have found thee on the near side of the farther, on the far side of the nearer'.

5 In adverbial forms

§575. Temporal or local adverbs are formed with the endings of both cases, usually without clear difference of meaning:

nīcād uccā svadhayābhi pratasthau Ppp TS KS: *nīcār uccāh svadhā abhi pra tathau* AV. Here *nīcād* has distinct ablative force: '(from) below above (nach oben)', or 'below (and) above'.

ayam paścād (MS *paścā*) *vidadvasuh* MS KS. In this and the next two the pp of MS reads *paścāt*.

ayam paścād (MS *paścā*) *viśvavyacāh* VS TS MS KS ŚB. See prec. *maryo na yosām abhy et paścāt* (MS *paścā*) RV. AV. MS TB. See prec. two.

yad ahnā (and, *rātriyā*, v. l. *rātriyā*) *pāpam akārṣam* TA MahānU.: *yad ahnāt* (and, *rātriyāt*) *kurute pāpam* TAA. The comm. repeats the strange forms *ahnāt* and *rātriyāt* (blended forms under the influence of ablatives of *a*-stems), saying that they are 'Vedic'.

6. Case attraction, and miscellaneous

§576. Almost the only variants in which the two cases seem to have really quite distinct meanings are the following, in the first of which it seems likely that external case-attraction (formal assimilation) has been influential:

sa budhnād (AV. Ppp °*nyād*) *āṣṭa januṣābhy* (AV. *januṣo* 'bhy) *agram* AV. Ppp (JAOS 37: 260) TS KS. AŚ. The instr. is doubtless original; note that even Ppp has it. 'From the bottom to the top he has reached by his nature' or the like. The isolated *januṣo* is doubtless due to attraction to the abl *budhnyād*, now taken as an adjective: 'from the lowest generation to the top he has reached.' Otherwise Ludwig.

vāyur na īdita īdityayaur devaur antarikṣyauḥ (ApŚ. *āntarikṣauḥ*) *pātu* KS.

ApŚ : *vāyuṣ tvāntarikṣāt pātu sūryo divaḥ* MŚ.

saṃjagmāno (KS. °*nā*, MŚ TB ApŚ. °*nau*) *divā* (TB ApŚ. *dva ā*) *prthivyā* (ApŚ † °*vyāḥ*) VS. MS KS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ. All but TB. ApŚ have two instrs : 'uniting with heaven and earth'. The subject is the *sūkra* and (or) the manthin *graha*, either together in the texts that have a dual, or separately (in VS. ŚB., which repeat the formula with each). TB. keeps the instr. *prthivyā* (the final *ā* is fused with the next word *āyuh*), while ApŚ. (which reads *prthivyā āyuh*) understands an abl. (so Caland; less likely gen. or dat.); both must take *dva(h)* as abl. Caland renders 'Zusammentreffend von dem Himmel, von der Erde her'. The TB. comm. understands 'uniting with the earth, as far as (i.e. including) the heaven': *ā divo dyulokasahitayā prthivyā*. The secondary TB. ApŚ reading contains the reverse of double sandhi (false vowel resolution) and should be added to VV 2 §991; the variant might also be added to VV 2 §732.

C. Instrumental and genitive

1. Objective genitive

§577. In contrast with the ablative, the genitive shows few variants in which it is used interchangeably with the instrumental. Most of our

variants are miscellaneous in character and show quite different syntactic applications of the two cases

§578. The objective genitive, which easily interchanges with various other cases (§§87 ff.), varies with an associative instrumental after noun expressions of union or association, with practically no difference of meaning:

saṁvasānam (SV. °no) *vitasvataḥ* (SV °tā) RV. SV. 'Fellow-dweller of (with) Vivasvat'

saṁveśane tanvaś (AV. *tanvā*) *cārur edhi* RV. AV. 'In joining of (with) thy body...' Other texts *saṁveśanas tanve* (*tanuvat*) . . , with final dative; see §560.

viśveśam devānām aham (KS. *vi° aham dev°*) *devayajyayā prṇānāḥ* (KS *prṇānām*) *sāyujyam gameyam* KS ApŚ '... may I go into association with (of) the life-breaths'

§579. After expressions of gratification, the objective genitive interchanges with the instrumental of means:

tena ṭṭṛpyatam anahau TB. ApŚ : *tasya ṭṭṛmpatam ahāhāhuhū svāhā* ŚŚ *juṣṭam devebhīr* (AV. *devānām*) *uta mānuṣebhīh* (AV. *mānuṣānām*) RV. AV. 'Accepted by (pleasing to) gods and men'

2 Subjective genitive

§580. Again, the subjective genitive varies, without essential difference of meaning, with an instrumental of means or agent, after a participle or its equivalent; the difference is one between nominal and verbal psychology:

yan mayā duṣkṛtam kṛtam TA. MahānU. BDh : *yan me kṛm cana duṣkṛtam* ViDh. 'Whatever evil has been done by me (or, of me, as it were 'my deed').'

yan me (TA.* BDh * *mayā*) *manasā vācā* TA (both) MahānU. BDh (both) As in preceding; the participle *kṛtam* follows

chandobhir yajñāḥ sukṛtām kṛtena AV.: *brahmaṇā guptāḥ* (ApMB *saṁprācānas*) *sukṛtā kṛtena* ApMB HG 'With the deed of the righteous': 'by (with) the holy speech which is made by the righteous man' There is no need to emend HG to *sukṛtām* with Oldenberg *apo devīḥ prathamajā ṛtena* (AV. *ṛtasya*) RV. AV. 'The divine waters, first-produced by (first-born of) the ṛta.'

3. Partitive genitive

§581. The partitive genitive (almost appositional at times) exchanges in various ways with an instrumental, sometimes with little difference

of meaning, as in the first instance, where the instr is appositional to another instrumental; in phrases of this type the gen. may exchange with any other case (§84):

vasantena (also *grīṣmeṇa*, *varṣābhis*, *śaradā*, *hemantaśiśirābhyām*) *tvartunā* (KSA. °nām) *haviṣā dīkṣayāmī* TS. KSA. 'I consecrate thee with the spring (etc.) season (with the spring of the seasons), with oblation.'

§582. Psychologically similar also are the uses of the two cases with verbs of offering:

tasmā indrāya haviṣā juhota MS.: *tasmā indrāyāndhaso juhota* RV. 'To Indra here make offering with oblation (make offering of the soma)' Others with the acc; §§466, 502.

§583. Somewhat less close are:

yad asya karmaṇo 'tyarīcam ApŚ. HG AG. ApMB: *yat karmaṇātyarīcam* ŚB. BrhU. PG. 'Whatsoever of this performance (by my performance) I have done in excess'

sukalpm agne tat tava (AV. *tvayā*) AV. TS. MS. KS 'That (part) of thee is easily made good': 'that is easily made good by thee'. Addressed to Agni.

anuṣṭup (also *triṣṭup*, *gāyatrī*, *jagatī*, *pañktis*) *tvā chandasām avatu* (KS. *chandasāvatu*) TS MS KS. 'May anuṣṭubh (etc.) of the meters (with meter) help thee' Formulaic rig_narole.

suyyotir jyotiṣā (TA ApŚ. °ṣām) *svāhā* VS. ŚB. TA ApŚ. 'Fair-lighted one with light (of lights).' As prec.

teṣām chidram prati dadhmo yad atra KS.: *teṣām channam sam elad* (ŚŚ. *sam imam*, TS *praty elad*) *dadhāmī* VS. TS ŚŚ: *tebhiṣ chidram apidadhmo yad atra* MS. AŚ. 'Of (by) these (33 threads)'

4 Instr.-gen. of time

§584. The question whether the gen can be used adverbially in expressions of time was formerly mooted but may now be considered as settled affirmatively; see §678, and cf. especially Oldenberg, *RVNoten* on I. 79 6 In this sense it is therefore interchangeable with the instr We have however noticed only a single variant, and even its form is not certain:

madhu naktam uṣasah (KS °sā, TS TA. °si) RV. VS TS. MS KS ŚB. TA BrhU. MahānU. Kauś The RV. *uṣasah* has been taken (e.g. by Grassmann) as nom. pl: 'the night and dawns are honey' This is impossible because there is no nom. *naktam* (Wackernagel 3 p. 234). that form can only be adverbial acc, and consequently

uśasah is also adverbial. It might (with Wackernagel l. c. and others) be taken as acc. pl., but may at least as well be gen. sg., in either case it means practically the same as *uśasā* (or °*si*), 'at dawn'.

5. Case attraction

§585. The rest contain miscellaneous shifts which are hardly classifiable in terms of definite syntactic relationships. We shall mention first a group in which formal, external attraction or assimilation seems to be responsible for the change:

cakṣuṣah (KapS. °*ṣā*) *pitā manasā hi dhīrah* RV VS TS MS KS
KapS. ApS. Raghu Vira emends to *cakṣuṣah*, wrongly, assimilation to *manasā* (Oertel 18)

- *viśvasyeśāna ojaśā* (SV °*sah*) RV AV SV. 'Ruler of all by might': 'ruler of all might'. We take it that *ojaśā* has been altered to *ojasah* by attraction to *viśvasya*.

samvatsarena savitā no ahnām (MS *ahnā*) TS MS. KS. AŚ. 'By the year of days': 'by the year, by the day'. The MS form seems to be assimilated to *samvatsarena*; so in the next two. But note that in all three the formal difference consists in loss of a final nasal, and cf. VV 2 §307, where these variants might have been quoted: the change may be largely phonetic in character, since the final nasal seems to have been weakly pronounced.

trvr̥n no viśṭhayā (KS. *trvr̥d viśṭhayā*) *stomo ahnām* (MS *ahnā*) TS MS. KS. AŚ. As prec.

nutrāvaruṇā śaradāhnām (MS °*hnā*) *cakṣnū* (with varr.) TS. MS. KS. AŚ. As prec.

traiṣṭubhena chandasendreṇa devatayāgneḥ pakṣeṇāgneḥ pakṣam upa dadhāmi TS : *traiṣṭubhena chandasā chandasāgneḥ pārśvenāgneḥ pārśvam upa dadhāmi* KS : *traiṣṭubhasya chandaso 'gneḥ pakṣeṇāgneḥ pakṣam upadadhāmi* MS. 'With the *traiṣṭubh* meter, with the side of Agni . ', so TS KS, original. In MS the first phrase is assimilated to the following *agneḥ*: 'with the side of the *traiṣṭubh* meter, of Agni . ' So also with the meter-names *jāgata*, *gāyatra*, *ānuṣṭubha*, *pāñkta*.

devasya tvā samtuh prasave 'śvīnor bāhubhyām pūṣno hastābhyām sarasvatyā vācā (VS TS ŚB. *sarasvatyai vāco*) *yantur yantreṇa abhiśiñcāmi* VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB : *sarasvatyai vāco yantur yantriye* (VSK. *vāco yan turye turyam*) *dadhāmi* VS VSK. ŚB. Assuming that MS. KS. are original, we have assimilation of *vācā* to the preceding *sarasvatyā(s)* or °*yai* (§143) and the following *yantur* in

the others If, as is less likely, the gen. is original, it has been assimilated to the preceding instr. in MS. KS.

§586. In the next the formal association is of a rather different sort. Here the assimilating force is exerted by the construction of a parallel formula in the vicinity, to which the variant formula is brought into closer parallelism by the change; it is not a question of influence of another word in the same passage. The three formulas here quoted belong to the same context:

pāvamānasya tvā stomena gāyatrasya vartanyopanśor vīryeṇa. MS.:
pāvamānena tvā stomena gāyatrasya (KS. °tryā) *vartanyopanśor vīryeṇa* TS. KS.

bṛhadrathamtarayos tvā stomena triṣṭubho vartanyā śukrasya vīryeṇa.

TS.: *bṛhalā tvā rathamtareṇa triṣṭubhyā* (KS. *triṣṭubhā*) *vartanyā śukrasya* MS. KS.

agneṣ tvā mātrayā jagatyā [gen.] *vartanyāgrayanasya vīryeṇa* (KS. *jagatyā vartanyā*) . TS. KS.: *agneṣ tvā mātrayā jāgatyā vartanyā* . . . MS.

In these three associated formulas, the gens *pāvamānasya* (MS only) and *bṛhadrathamtarayos* (TS. only), 'with the hymn of the P.' and 'of the B.-R. (sāmans),' are clearly secondary and modelled on the parallel *agneṣ tvā mātrayā* etc. of the third formula; the instr. forms (found consistently in KS) are original. The second phrase of all three formulas consists of *vartanyā* modified by an adjectival or appositional instr. in KS, and again this seems to be the original form. In the first formula the instr. is replaced by a dependent gen. in both TS. and MS, in the second and third formulas in TS alone. These gens. are modelled on the next following phrase, *upānśor* (*śukrasya, āgrayanasya*) *vīryeṇa*.

6. Miscellaneous

§587. In listing the remaining miscellaneous variants, we begin with those in which no other change, or no serious change, in addition to the shift of case-form, occurs in the variant:

vayam nāma pra bravāmā (KS † °ma; add to VV 2 §446) *ghṛtasya* (TA ApŚ *ghṛtena*) RV VS MS KS. TA ApŚ MahānU (All but one ms of MahānU. used by Jacob read *ghṛtena*, which therefore should probably be read as in TA ApŚ, tho the comm. has *ghṛtasya*) Caland translates ApŚ as if gen.; but the agreement of the Tsit. texts suggests that *ghṛtena* is the true reading of the school, non-sensical as it seems to us. TA comm. says the 'name' means the pranava (om).

pra yujo (SV. *yuṣā*) *vāco agrayah* RV. SV Followed by the verb *cakradat* (SV *acikradat*). RV : 'let the leader (Soma) of his associate, the song, sound forth' *yuṣā* may be an adverb (so Benfey), 'the leader of the song has sounded forth together,' or perhaps better a noun, 'the leader of the song together with his associate (the song)'

sarasvātīyā (TB. *tyāh*) *supippalah* VS. MS TB. Preceded by *deva* (TB. *indro*) *vanaspatih*, *hiranyaparno aśvibhyām* The instr is associative; and TB. glosses the gen by *sarasvatīyā sambandhī rasam parisrutā* (MS *io*) *na rohitaṃ* VS. KS. MS TB. The instr depends on the verb *vayati* in the prec, felt as a verb of mixing or uniting: 'as the red sap with *parisrut*' However, VS comm glosses *parisrutā* with *parisrutah* ('as the red sap of *parisrut*').

ago bhāgas (TA *'bhāgas*) *tapasā* (AV *°sas*) *taṃ tapasva* RV AV TA 'The goat is (thy) portion (TA portionless), burn him with heat': 'the goat is the portion of heat, burn him' Whitney considers the AV superior, but wrongly, cf Oldenberg *Noten* on RV 10 16 4 *patim surāyā* (TB. *surāya*, VS. *surayā*) *bheṣajam* VS MS. TB. See §563

sam brahmanā (AV *°nām*) *devakṛtam* (RV AV *devahitam*) *yad aśi* RV. AV Ppp VS TS MS KS ŚB. TB The instr is associative, with *sam neṣi* (*neṣa*) of prec 'bring together with the prayer which has been made by the gods' In AV it becomes a partitive gen with *yad*. 'whatever prayers have been', no instr being expressed tho one must still be understood

viśvā (MS *vy*) *amīvāh pramuñcan mānuṣībhih* (KS *°ṣebhyah*, MS. *°ṣānām*) AV. MS KS See §573

§588. Different words, or more extensive and radical reconstructions, are involved in the following:

agnir āyusmān sa tena tvāyusāyusmantam karomi (KS *tasyāyam āyusāyusmān astv asau*) TS KS PG ApMB 'By this life': 'by his life' The meaning is practically the same, but different pronouns are used

ayam na nabhasas patih (TS ApŚ. *nabhasā purah*) AV TS GB Vait Kauś ApŚ Followed by *samsphāno abhi rakṣatu*
ye barhiṣo (MS *°ṣā*) *namovrktim* (VS VSK MS ŚB *namauktim*) *na jagmuh* (VS ŚB *yajant* for *na ja°*) RV AV. VS VSK. TS MS KS ŚB TB

ya indrena saratham yāti devah AV. *yenendrasya ratham sambabhūvuh* MS KS ApŚ See §419.

satyā tā dharmanas patī ApŚ : *satyād ā dharmanas patī* (ŚŚ *dharmanā*, Vait MŚ *dharmanas pari*, but MŚ mss. *dharmanā pari*) AŚ. ŚŚ. Vait MŚ.

saṃ tvā nahyāmy apa (MŚ MG. *adbhir*) *oṣadhībhiḥ* TS. MŚ. MG.: *saṃ tvā nahyāmi payasaṣadhīnām* AV. Cf *saṃ tvā nahyāmi payasā prthivyāḥ* (TS *ghṛtena*), which immediately precedes this; see §460 *pūṣā sanīnām* (TS ApŚ *sanyā*), *somo rādhāsām* (TS °sā) TS KS. MS. ApŚ MŚ. (only the first two words in ApŚ. MŚ ; delete MŚ. in Conc under *somo rā*). In MS. KS probably a noun, 'giver', rather than a verb of giving as v. Schroeder suggests, is to be supplied: 'Pūṣan (is the giver) of gifts' etc In TS. *āvavṛtran* precedes: 'Pūṣan (has surrounded me) with gain' etc.

ghṛtasyāgne tanvā saṃ bhava KS MŚ Kauś MG.. *ghṛtena tvam tanvam* (TS *tanuvo*) *vardhayaśva* RV VS TS. MS ŚB. ApŚ. MŚ N.

saṃ ti tam rāyā srjati svadhāvān (AV.* *rāyah srjati svadhābhiḥ*) RV. AV. (both)

āyurdā agne haṃṣo juṣāṇah (ŚG. *haṃṣā vṛdhānah*) TS TB. TA. AŚ. ApŚ ŚG.

yena (AV *yasya*) *dyaur ugrā* (AV. *urvī*) *prthivī ca dṛdhā* (TS. *dṛdhe*, AV. *mahī*) RV. AV Ppp VS VSK. TS MS. KS. In passing we note that the change from *ugrā* to *urvī* is a sign of the increasingly unpleasant connotation of *ugra*

§589. The forms themselves are doubtful of interpretation, or textually suspicious, in the following:

ariṣṭāḥ syāma tanvā suvīrāḥ RV. AV. TS. KS : *ariṣṭās tanvo bhūyāsma* LŚ. The LŚ. *tanvo* is doubtful as to form and meaning Is it gen with *ariṣṭās*, 'without harm to the body' (Whitney Gr. 296b)? Or abl of source or cause? Or adverbial accus? Or even nom pl. ('may we be unharmed bodies, persons')? It may, finally, be a mere error or misprint in the unreliable edition.

nedīya ti srñyah (TS.† °yā) *pakvam eyāt* (AV *ā yavan*, TS MS KS *āyat*) RV AV. VS TS MS KS. ŚB N Here *srñyah* may be variously interpreted in the RV form, it is often taken as gen, 'may the ripe grain come near to the sickle' But acc pl. is also possible; likewise nom sg (stem *srñyā*) may be considered (cf Oldenberg, *Noten* on 1. 58 4). The AV. makes it a nom pl.

prthivyā (MS * °vyāḥ) *sambhava* VS TS MS KS ŚB. KŚ. ApŚ. See VV 2 p 197

[*vācām indriyenāviśa* (TS MŚ *vācā mendr°*) TS. KS. MŚ Read KS. like the others, see v Schroeder's note on 31. 15, p. 18 n 1.]

7. Transfer of epithet

§590. The only case which may be called in the strictest sense a 'transfer of epithet' is the uncertain one which follows:

amaṣām cūtam prabudhām (TS KS. °dhā) *in neṣat* (KS *naṣyatu*) RV AV TS. KS. 'At home let the plan of these clever (enemies) come to naught' In TS KS. *prabudhā* may be taken as personal, 'by the wise one', referring to Agni, to whom the verse is addressed, or else, with Keith, as impersonal, 'by (his) wisdom'

§591. In the rest an originally independent word is transformed into an epithet of another word, to the case of which it is attracted (§15). *stomo yaṣṇāś ca* (TB. *yaṣṇasya*) *rādhyo haṁṣmatā* (TB °tah) RV. TB 'Praise and sacrifice (are) to be offered by the sacrificer.' Once the gen has been introduced in TB. (see §449), *haṁṣmatā* is assimilated to it

vṛṣṇah sutasya ujaśā (SV. PB. °sah) RV. SV PB In SV *ojas* is made an epithet of soma: 'of the bull [that is] strength, pressed out', instead of 'pressed out by strength'

vedam savitrā prasūtam maghonām AG.: *vedam prasūtam savitrā maghonā* ŚG. Stenzler and Oldenberg both adopt for AG the reading of ŚG, with no ms authority. To us it seems that AG is not only sound but original: 'holy knowledge pressed forth by Savitar for liberal patrons' ŚG. has secondarily assimilated *maghonā* to *savitrā*, transposing the latter word into juxtaposition with what is now its epithet: 'pressed forth by the liberal Savitar'

ghṛtasya vibhṛāṣṭim anu śukraśociṣah (RV AV VS. KS *vaṣṭi śociṣā*) RV AV SV. TS. MS KS Followed by *ājuhvānasya sarpiṣah* The two independent words of the original are replaced by a compound epithet of *sarpiṣah*.

D. Instrumental and locative

§592. The variations between instrumental and locative are instructive in showing a much closer association between these two cases than has commonly been assumed Speyer (VSS §75) notes uses in which the locative vies with most of the other oblique cases, but does not mention the instrumental And yet our variants show that they are interchangeable, often with little or no difference of meaning, in a variety of ways

§593. 'In the instrumental is put that concept which is associated with the principal concept in the action' (Delbruck, AIS p 122) The locative designates primarily the sphere within which an action takes

place. But the sphere of the action may also be regarded as an accompanying concept of the action. Conversely, the accompanying concept may be treated as the circumstance within whose sphere of operation the action takes place. The occasion (loc) is at the same time the means, cause, or manner (instr) of the action. The phrase *marutām prasave jaya* (TS *jayata*) of TS. MS. KS MŚ means 'in (upon, German *auf*) the impulse of the Maruts conquer'. Is there any essential difference between this and the variant of VS. ŚB., with *prasavena*? Only a faint and elusive one, we should say; just as we imagine a Latinist would be puzzled to make a very clear distinction between *in hoc signo vinces* and *hoc signo vinces*.

§594. Adverbial expressions of time and place, as well as manner, occur with both cases. Distinctions are often drawn between them. Thus it is said that the instr. denotes the time or place thruout which the action takes place. Delbrück uses the terms *Raum-* and *Zeiterstreckung* of such instrumentals. To be sure he admits (*AIS.* p. 130), at least for time concepts, that this distinction cannot always be felt, noting that *doṣā*, instr., 'in the evening' is the precise counterpart of *uṣas*, loc., 'in the morning'. We are very doubtful whether the distinction has any value. At any rate our variants show a number of cases in which it seems forced.

§595. We recognize, of course, that these two cases developed some quite distinct uses, and we should not claim that in all or even most of the instances in which they vary with each other, their meanings are precisely identical. To some extent we shall keep in mind the conventional terminology which speaks of instrumentals of 'manner, cause, accompaniment' etc., and of locatives of various sorts. And where it seems to us likely that the two cases had somewhat different connotations, we shall not hesitate to point them out. Yet we believe that the mass of our variants will be found to confirm the view that the two cases approach each other much more than has been generally recognized; so that it is anything but surprising that in a number of ritualistic, rigma-role formulas, either will do as well as the other (§604).

1 Time expressions

§596. We have already referred to the use of the two cases in expressions of time, and noted that the distinction made by Delbrück and others is not supported by the variants (§594):

maghāsu (RV. *aghāsu*, ApG. *maghābhur*) *hanyante gāvah* (ApG. *gāvo grhyante*),

phalgunīṣu (RV. *arjunyoh*, ApG *phalgunībhyām*) *vy* (RV. *pari*) *uhyat* (ApG *ūhyate*) RV. AV. ApG. Kauś 'In the asterism of the Maghās (Aghās) the cows are slain (taken), in that of the Phalgun (Arjuni) the marriage takes place' Distinction of meaning scarcely conceivable.

madhu naktam uśasah (KS °sā, TS TA °s) RV VS TS. MS KŚ ŚB. TA. BṛhU MahānU. Kauś Here *uśasah* (probably gen sg) is the original, and is replaced by either instr. or loc, see §584

2. Place expressions

§597. The instr is particularly frequent in expressing the 'way' with verbs of motion (Speyer, *VSS* §42); but the loc may equally well be used:

samudram gandharveṣṭhām anvāṣṭhata (KS † °ṣṭhatha) *vātasya patma-neditā* (KS *patmann īdītā*) MS. KS 'On the path of the wind' *avyo vāreṣu* (SV *avyā vārebhur*) *asmayuh* RV SV., and *avyo vāre* (SV. *avyā vāraiḥ*) *pari priyah* (and *priyam*) RV SV. 'In (or, thru) the sieve of wool.' The verbs are *pavasva*, *punānah*, *hinvanti*, the subject, soma

drunā (SV *drone*) *sadhasṭham aśnuṣe* RV SV 'By (in) the wooden vessel thou attainest thy place' The vessel is the 'way' thru which the soma flows.

drunā (SV VS *drone*) *sadhasṭham āsadaṭ* RV. SV VS As prec

§598. And without the concept of motion, in simple expressions of location, the instr may replace the loc.

adhī kṣamī viśurūpam (ArS *kṣamā viśvarūpam*) *yad asti* (ArS *asya*, MS † *āstā*) RV. AV. ArS MS TB Here *kṣamā*, 'on the earth', must apparently be instr, and is well known in the RV. itself It cannot be regarded as dependent on *adhī*, which is not found with the instr. (tho it may reinforce the loc, as probably here with *kṣamī*).

paro yad idhyate divā (SV *divi*) RV SV. Here *divā* is commonly regarded as dependent on *paras*: 'beyond the sky' (so Ludwig, Grassmann *Wbch.*, and Bergaigne 2 187) Yet it may quite well mean simply 'in the sky', with *paras* an independent adverb (so Grassmann's translation), in that case it would be the precise equivalent of *divi*.

tīre tubhyam gaṅge HG : *tīre tubhyam asau* PG : *tīreṇa yamune* (and, *tīreṇāsau*) *tava* ApMB Preceded by *vivṛitacakra āśinās* HG ApMB., *anumuktacakra* (v 1 °rā) *āsīran* PG It seems scarcely

possible to take ApMB. *tireṇa* otherwise than as an expression of location, 'sitting on thy bank.'

§599. The instrumental adverb *guhā* is used sometimes in ways which suggest that it may have been originally local. This, to be sure, is not proved by the fact that it varies twice with the locative *guhāsu*, 'in secret places':

gandharvo dhāma paramam guhā yat (VS. *dhāma vibhṛtam guhā sat*) AV.

VS.: *gandharvo nāma nṛitam guhāsu* TA. MahānU.

trīṇi padāni (TA MahānU *padā*) *nṛitā guhāsya* (TA. MahānU. *guhāsu*)

AV. VS TA. MahānU

3 Instrumental of means or cause and locative

§600. We now approach cases in which the instrumental is no longer strictly local or temporal, but is tinged with its more familiar meaning of means. Even so it often varies, with little shift of meaning, with a locative, which then ordinarily denotes the occasion rather than the literal, physical 'place', cf. (in) *hoc signo vinces*, §593. As a transition case we mention first the following variant, where the loc *kumbhe*, 'in a pot', is still used of physical location, while the instr, 'with pots', regards the noun as the means by which water is carried. It is obvious that the difference is subjective and that either way of looking at it is simple enough:

śam u yāh kumbha abhṛtāh AV.: *śam yāh kumbhebhīr abhṛtāh* AV

§601. Others, in which the literal local sense is not, or not so clearly, present, are:

na vaḥ śvetasyābhyācāre (AG. *śvelaś cābhyāgāre*, HG *śvetasyābhyācārena*, MG *śvetasyābhyācāre*) AG PG. HG. ApMB MG Followed by *ahur jaghāna* (PG. *dadarśa*) *kam* (AG. MG *kim*) *cana* Kiste assumes that HG is corrupt, and Oldenberg renders a loc, 'within the dominion of the white one' The instr is indeed bad metrically and doubtless secondary. But *abhyācāra* in the sense of *abhicāra*, 'attack of hostile magic,' is guaranteed by AV. 10 3 2, and there is no need to abandon it, the more since MG has the loc of the same word: 'by (MG in) the hostile magic of the white one the snake has killed no one (nothing).' The other texts have different words

marutām prasave (VS ŠB °*vena*) *jaya* (TS *jayata*) VS TS MS KS ŠB MŚ See §593

apām uta praśastiṣu (RV † °*laye*, AV VSK. °*tbhuh*) RV. AV. VS VSK TS MS KS ŠB Followed by *aśvā* (*devā*) *bhavata* (°*tha*) *vājinaḥ*

The RV. original has a dative of purpose (§558), for it the later texts substitute instr or loc, which are virtually equivalent; with the loc., the praising is the occasion and so the means of the becoming strong: 'Become ye strong in (by) the praising of the waters' *śikṣā sakṣabhyo haṁṣi* (MS KS °sā) *svadhāvah* RV VS TS MS KS 'Teach, O S, (thy seats) to thy friends at (by) the oblation' The oblation is the occasion, and so the means, of the teaching *vairūpe sāmān iha* (MS *adhi*, KS *vairūpena sāmānā*) *tac chakeyam* (TS *chakema*) TS KS MS AŚ 'May I (we) have this power in (by) the *vairūpa sāman*.' The next pāda, which is closely parallel, has an instr (*jagatyā*) in all *meṣam viprā abhīsvārā* (SV °re) RV. SV. AV. Preceded by *nemim namanā cakṣasā* 'With (in) their song of praise' *vasūnām tvādhīlena rudrāṇām ūrmyādītyānām tejasā viśveṣām devānām kratunā marutām emnā juhomi svāhā* TB. ApŚ : *vasūnām ādhītau rudrāṇām karmān ādītyānām celasi* (sc *tvā juhomi*) MS 'I offer thee with (in) the meditation (?) of the Vasus' etc *ahno rūpe* (TS *rūpena*) *sūryasya rāsmiṣu* (TS.† *rāsmibhiḥ*) VS. TS. MS. KS ŚB The verb is *grhṇāmi* or *ādhunomi* 'I take (stir) thee in (with) the form of day, in (with) the rays of the sun' *swapnah swapnādhīkarane* RVKh : *swapna swapnādhīkaraṇena* AV. 'In (with) the superintendence (incantation?) of sleep [let sleep put to sleep all the people]' Cf Edgerton, *AJP.* 35 438 f *somasya kuṣmah surayā* (MS *surāyām*) *sulasya* VS MS KS ŚB TB 'The power of soma pressed out by (in) *surā*' *svargena lokena samprornuvāthām* Vait · *svarge loke prornuvāthām* (VSK *prornuvāthām*, MS *prornuvātām*) VS VSK MS ŚB · *svarge* (KS † *svarge*) *loke samprornuvāthām* (KSA † *ornuvāthām*) TS KSA TB 'Wrap yourselves up with (in) the heavenly world' *agnir ukthēna vāhasā* VS. TS MS KS AŚ ŚŚ *agnir ukthēṣu anhasu* AV. Contexts essentially the same

§602. Several times, at the end of pādas, the loc sg ending *e* varies with the instr pl *aīh* While both forms are interpretable just as in the preceding, we have little doubt that phonetic moments (*e* : *ai*, and the light pronunciation of final *visarga*) are involved; see VV 2 §§381, 706, to which the first two variants should be added:

bodhāmasi tvā haryakṣa yaṇnāh (MŚ *yaṇne*) RV. SV. MŚ
mīrāvarunā rakṣatām ādhīpatyāh (AŚ. °tye) TS MS KS. AŚ
ghṛtāvātī savitar (MS. KS °tur) *ādhipatyē* (TS °tyāh) TS MS KS.

4 Instrumental of manner or accompaniment and locative

§603. In the next group the instrumental comes even closer to its fundamental, original meaning, as denoting an attendant circumstance, and so varies if possible even more easily with the locative of the occasion. There is, however, of course no sharp dividing line between the instr. of association and that of means or cause, and some of the following cases might perhaps as well be put in the preceding group:

rāyas poṣena (KS. *poṣe*) *sam iṣā madema* VS. TS. KS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ.

MS. ŚG PG. 'May we revel with (in) increase of riches, with food.' Associative feeling is perhaps indicated by *sam*. The meter indicates that KS. is secondary.

ivasva (°vann, °vān) *ādityarṣa...tasmin* (TS. *tena*) *maṭsya* (TS. KS. *mandasva*) VS. VSK. TS. KS. ŚB. 'Delight in it'

ny adhur mātrāyām (KS. *mātrayā*) *kavayo vayodhasaḥ* (KS. °*sam*) MS. KS. 'Established him in fixed order' will translate both, but KS. has an instr. of manner, MS. a loc. of situation.

sve dakṣe (VS. MS. KS. ŚB. *svair dakṣair*) *dakṣaputeha sīda* VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ. 'Be seated in (with) thine own power(s).' Add to VV 2 §706.

sa rāye sa puramdhyaṁ (SV. °*dhyā*) RV. SV. AV. JB. Preceded by *sa ghā no yoga ā bhuvaḥ*. 'May he stand by us in our work unto riches, in (with) liberality.' *puramdhya* = *liberaliter*.

ṛtena (MG. *ṛte* °*va*) *śhūṇām* (ApMB HG. °*nāv*, MG. °*nā*) *adhi roha vaṇṣa* (MG. *vaṇṣaḥ*) AV. AG. HG. ApMB. MG. All Knauer's mss *ṛtena*, Knauer says 'wohl nicht *ṛtā iva*'. If he is right, *ṛte* is loc. of the sphere of action

tvayā (RV. *tvē ā*) *bhūṣanti vedhasaḥ* RV. SV. 'Worshipers devote themselves to (busy themselves with) thee' Phonetic moments are involved here; VV 2 §911.

§604. In some ritualistic formulas the sense of the rignmarole is so feeble that one case will do about as well as any other:

prācyām dīśi (MS. KS. MŚ. *prācyā dīśā*, ŚŚ. *prācyā dīśā saha*) *devā ṛtvyo mārjayantām* TS. MS. KS. AŚ. ŚŚ. ApŚ. MŚ. 'The gods, the priests, shall purify (me?) in (with, by?) the eastern quarter.' In ŚŚ *saha* proves that the instr. is associative; in MS. KS. it might also be one of means. Similarly the formulas beginning *udācyām dīśy*, *ūrdhvaṇyām dīśi*, *prāṇyām dīśi*, *dakṣiṇānyām dīśi*, with variant instrs.

svāyām yat tanvām (*tanvām*) *tanūm arayata* TS. KŚ.: *svayā tanvā tanvam arayat* AV.: *svā yat tanū tanvam arayata* KS. KSA. 'Produced a body in (with) his own body.'

5. Instrumental and locative with verbal expressions of joining

§605. Special rubrication is deserved by a group in which a verbal expression of joining is used, now with an associative instrumental, now with a locative of goal (cf. the similar use of the accusative of goal, §467). In all but the first case the verb is one of placing or of motion, compounded with the preposition *saṃ*; in these the locative may be felt as going more closely with the notion of the verb, the instrumental with the preposition.

brhaspate †yāmyām (KS ms *yāmyā*) *yuṅgdhī* (*yuṅdhī*) *vācam* TS. MS KS AŚ Von Schroeder emends KS. to *yāmyām*, but the instr. is perfectly sound: 'yoke up holy speech in (with) *yāmī*', whatever *yāmī* may mean.

saṃ devānām sumatyā (AV. VS MS ŚB. *sumatau*) *yajñyānām* RV. AV. Ppp VS TS. MS KS ŚB. TB. '(Bring us) together with (to, in) the favor of the sacrificial gods'

saṃ patnī patyā sukṛteṣu (TB. ApŚ. *sukṛtena*) *gachātām* MS KS. TB. ApŚ MŚ 'Let the wife with her husband come together to (with) good deeds'

śvena (VSK. *śve*) *me saṃ tiṣṭhasva* VSK TB TAA ApŚ. 'Come together with (in, unto) luck for me.'

aṅgāny ātman (MS. *aṅgair ātmānam*) *bhīṣajā tad aśvinā* VS. MS. KS. TB. The verb is *saṃ-dhā*; see §462

§606. In one variant involving the root *sprdh* 'vie', the two cases are differently used. This root takes the instr. of the person vied with, the loc of the person or thing striven for. For the exegesis of the variant see VV 2 §109

spardhante dhiyah (TS. KSA. *divah*) *sūrye na* (SV. *sūre na*, TS. KSA † *sūryena*) *viśah* RV. SV. TS KSA.

6. Miscellaneous

§607. There remains a relatively small group in which the instr. and loc seem to be used in definitely different constructions; often different words are used or the passage is otherwise reshaped

garbha :vet subhṛto garbhīṇībhiḥ (RV. *va sudhuto garbhīṇīṣu*) RV. SV. KU. 'Well borne by (well established in) pregnant women.'

vayam rājābhiḥ (AV.* *rājasu*) *prathamā dhanāni* RV. AV. (both). 'We along with (our?) kings, the first...': 'we first among the kings. It is not clear who the 'kings' are. The p p of both RV. and AV. read *prathamāḥ*; Grassmann and Geldner (VSt 1 150) understand *prathamā*, n pl

samsraṣṭā sa yudha indro gaṇena RV. AV. SV. VS. TS KS.: *samsraṣṭāsu yutsu indro gaṇeṣu* MS. 'This Indra, mingler of the fight (yudhas, objective gen.?) with his band (instr. of means).' MS. has distorted the pāda, starting with a phonetic corruption (VV 2 §660; some AV. mss. have *samsraṣṭā*): 'Indra, in the mingled fights and bands' or 'when the fights and bands are mingled.'

yathā prthivyām agnaye samanāmanā evā mahyam samnamah samnamantu AV.: *yathāgnih prthivyā samanāmad evam mahyam bhadrah samnatayah sam namantu* TS. KSA † 5. 20 The latter seems to have an associative instr.: 'As Agni with the earth made obeisance.' But the mantra is obscure rīgmarole; for a different interpretation see Keith on TS Other parallel formulas in the same context

yat kusīdam apratīlām (MS MŚ TA. °lītam, TA. Poona ed. °līlam with v. 1 °lītam, SMB *apradattam*) *mayeha* (TS *mayi*) TS MS. TA. MŚ. SMB 'The loan which has not been paid back by me.' In TS *mayi* perhaps 'the unpaid loan which (rests) upon me.'

mayā gāvo gopatṛnā sacadhvam AV.: *mayi gāvah santu gopatau* AŚ.: *mayi tiṣṭhantu gopatau* MŚ Different verbs. For the loc. with *santu* cf. §671.

mahān mahatve tastabhānah (KS. *mahitvā samstambhe*) KS TB. ApŚ. 'Great, taking thy stand on greatness': 'great with greatness on firm foundation'

śruṣṭi (SV. °ṭe) *jātāsa indavah svarindah* RV. SV. *śruṣṭi* is taken as instr. of an *i*-stem, 'quickly', Benfey understands *śruṣṭe* as loc. of an *a*-stem, 'der Satzung gemäss'

svāhā yajñam manasā (KS °si) TS MS. KS ApŚ. Others with gen., see §570. Different verbs understood; in TS. *ā rabhe*, in KS. *visrje*.

§608. The change seems to be due to case attraction in the following: *vaiśvānarasya tejasā* (MŚ °si) TB ApŚ MŚ. Preceded by *śiras tapasya* *āhutam*. The preceding *tapasya* has influenced MŚ.

7 Phrase inflection

§609. What we call 'phrase inflection' (§§21-2) seems to be found in the following variants, used in different contexts:

vaiśvānarasya danṣṭrayoh (and *danṣṭrābhyām*) AV. The form with loc. is followed by *agner api dadhāmi tam*; of these two pādas an unmetrical recast seems to be found in *vaiśvānarasyainam danṣṭrayor api dadhāmi* AV.

devī devebhīr yajate (and °tā) *yajatrah* RV: *devī deveṣu yajatā yajatra* RV. AV. MŚ

yat te pavitrām arcīṣi (AŚ °ṣā) RV VS MS KS TB AŚ LŚ. VEDh.

In all but AŚ. followed by *agne vitatām antar ā*. In AŚ. followed by *kalāṣeṣu dhāvati*, which is probably meant to be part of the same formula, in that case AŚ does not intend a pratika of the RV. verse.

8. Transfer of epithet

§610. We have noted only one instance of this, and in it the original form is an independent word, which is attracted in a secondary text into a form which is a epithet of the adjoining word:

adṛṇhathāh śarkarābhīṣ trivṣṭapī (MŚ *trivṣṭābhīḥ*) KS ApŚ MŚ
'Thou hast made thyself firm with pebbles over heaven': 'firm with three-pointed pebbles'

9. Textually doubtful or corrupt

§611. The few remaining variants are textually doubtful or corrupt: *tvīṣṣm indre na* (MS s p *indreṇa*) *bheṣajam* VS MS. TB. Mere ritual jargon; it does not matter what is read, but MS p p agrees with the others Cf VV 2 §826

varṣman kṣatrasya (AV *rāṣṭrasya*) *kakudī* (TS. TB Poona ed *kakubhī*, TB Conc *kakubhīḥ*, MS *kakubbhīḥ*) *śīrīyānāh* (AV. TB. *śrayasva*) AV. TS MS TB Comm on TB *kakubhīr uttamāṅge* (intending *kakubhy ut?*). One ms of MS *kakudbhī* Probably MS like the rest intends a loc, the strange-looking form *kakubhī* would easily suggest an instr pl to a thoughtless copyist Cf VV 2 §400 'Resting (rest thou) on the summit, on the head of royalty.'

samudre na (and *samudrena*) *sindhavo yādāmānāh* RV Read *samudre na* both times; VV 2 §826

na mānseṣu na snāvasu ApMB. *neva mānse na pīvasi* AV; *naiva mānsena pīvasi* PG. The last simulates a voc sg fem *pīvasi* This initial corruption brings in its train *mānsena*, dependent on the following participle *āyatam* But the reading hardly deserves consideration

[*tanūr me tanvā saha* MŚ: *tanūs tanvā (tanuvā) me saha* (AV *sahed antāh*) AV. (mss) TS TAA Vait PG The vulgate emends AV to *tanūs tanvām me bhaved antah*, but tho the end of the pāda is obscure and doubtless corrupt, we must surely keep the instrumental *tanvā* with the other texts]

CHAPTER XXV

DATIVE AND ABLATIVE, GENITIVE, LOCATIVE

A. Dative and ablative

§612. Since most nouns do not distinguish dative and ablative forms except in the singular, it is not surprising to find few variants between these cases. They present only one well-defined group, in which a dative of interest varies with an ablative of separation with verbs meaning 'remove' or 'free'. The practical meaning of the two forms of these variants is identical. But most of them are formally uncertain, since the forms classed here as either datives or ablatives may also be considered genitives

sa sutrāmā svarān indro asme (AV.* MS. *asmat*) RV. AV. (bis) VS. TS. MS KS Followed by *ārāc cid dveṣah samutar yuyotu* (RV.* *yuyota*).

'May Indra, well-saving, keep very far away from (for) us all hatred.' This is perhaps the clearest case we have, since the ambiguous *asme* in such a connexion can scarcely be anything but dative, and *asmat* is unmistakably ablative.

ud uttamam mumugdhi nah (MŚ *mat*) RV. KS. TB. MŚ. 'Loose the upmost (bond) for (from) us (me).' But here *nah* may (with the comms. on both RV. and TB) be considered genitive.

īdam aham senāyā abhūvaryai (MŚ °*yā*) *mukham apohāmi* TB. ApŚ. MŚ. 'I remove the front from (for) the hostile army.' But a genitive would be as possible as a dative or ablative ('the hostile army's front'), and is preferred by Caland; note, further, that the ending *-yai* may be considered ablative or genitive as well as dative (Chapter III)

yāsyā apaśavyā (etc.) *tanūs tām asyā apajahi* ŚG SMB.: *yāsyai tām asyai nāśaya svāhā* PG.: *yāsyai . tām nāśaya* HG. For full quotations of this group see §148, where it certainly belongs since the first *asyās* or *asyai* is clearly genitive. The second *asyā* occurs before a vowel but is doubtless intended for *asyās* rather than *asyai*. It may be meant as ablative ('from her'), but also as genitive ('that [form] of hers'), or even dative (§§151-2). Similarly the second *asyai* of PG. may be meant for any one of the three cases.

Only HG. has an unmistakable ablative. *ito* Since this cannot be said to prove the intention of the other texts, their interpretation remains obscure

§613. There remain only a couple of miscellaneous cases in which real differences of interpretation seem involved

īṣṭāpūrtam kṛnūtād āvir asmai KS *īṣṭāpūrtam sma kṛnūtāvīr asmai* AV
īṣṭāpūrte kṛṇavāthāvir (VSK ŚB *kṛṇavathāvir*, TS TB MŚ *kṛṇūtād āvir*) *asmai* (MŚ *asmāt*) VS VSK TS TB ŚB MŚ The original 'make clear his *īṣṭāpūrta* for him' The abl of MŚ (all mss) is rather surprising, perhaps 'the *īṣṭāpūrta* (that proceeded) from him'? (abl of source?)

yady varunasyāsi rājño varuṇāt tvā rājño 'dhukṛīṇāmi Kauś : *yady vāruny asi varunāt tvā nṣkrīṇāmi* (Rvidh *varuṇāya tvā parikṛīṇāmi aham* .) ApG Rvidh : *yady asi vārunī varuṇāya tvā rājñe parikṛīṇāmi* GG And similarly with *yady somasyāsi (saumy asi, yady asi saumī)* etc In a pregnancy rite 'If thou art Varuna's (Soma's), from King V (S) I ransom thee' or 'for King ' The ablative seems more natural, possibly it was changed to a dative to avoid what might be felt as an implication of hostility to Varuna and Soma Some of the texts have other similar formulas

B Dative and genitive

§614. The intimate relations between these cases are well known, but our variants make them appear even closer than has commonly been assumed, for the Vedic language at any rate Later Sanskrit allows the genitive to absorb all the functions of the dative, except perhaps that of purpose, see e.g. Speyer VSS §§71 f Our variants show at least one case (§627) where a gen. seems to replace a dative even in an expression of purpose (cf. Speyer, fine print at the end of §72), and they suggest that in other functions originally pertaining to the dative it is older and commoner than has been supposed At the same time there are not wanting instances of the reverse, dative forms where we should expect genitives (cf. *taṁ puruṣāya vidmahe* etc, §634) The Prakrit languages, as is well known, have merged the two cases pretty completely, genitive forms as a rule taking over all the functions of the dative except that of purpose; but occasionally, especially in personal pronouns, old dative forms survive, usually with genitive functions, see Pischel *Gr d Pkt Spr.* §361, and under the pronominal inflections

§615. A special complication is the recognized use in Brāhmana texts of the dative ending *ai* of feminine nouns in genitive function, and the

less recognized reverse of this Undoubtedly the confusion between the endings *ai* and *ās* in feminine nouns goes far beyond anything that is found between other genitive and dative forms, so that we have felt constrained to agree with Wackernagel that some special factor must have been at work in them; this special factor may reasonably be found in the sandhi conditions of final *ai* and *ās* Accordingly we have inclined to group variations between these endings as formal rather than syntactic variants, in §§137 ff rather than here Yet since not only *ai* forms as genitives but also *ās* forms in dative use are found, and since some other variants between the two cases are precisely similar to some of these, it is impossible to separate them wholly. To some extent surely the same syntactic confusion is concerned in that formal interchange, and §§137 ff must be considered together with the sections which follow here.

1 With adjectives meaning 'pleasant' and the like

§616. We begin with a group of variants in which both dative and genitive are standard from early times, so that the variation is easy and constant at all periods Cf Speyer VSS §§46, 71:

juṣṭo vācaspataye (MS. °*patih*, KB. ŚŚ. °*pateh*, TB °*patyuh*) TS. MS. KB. JB GB AŚ ŚŚ. Vait KŚ. 'Pleas *ig* to V.'

juṣṭo vāco (GB AŚ Vait. KŚ. *vāce*) *bhūyāsam* TS. MS. KB. GB. JB. AŚ ŚŚ. Vait KŚ. ApŚ. MŚ. (2. 3 6. 18).

juṣṭam devebhya (TS *devānām*) *idam astu havyam* VS TS MS. KS. ŚB. MŚ

janāya juṣṭo adruhe (SV °*hah*) RV. SV See the context, quoted under *vīty arṣa canaṣṭhayā* (SV. *panaṣṭaye*), §567. SV. probably takes the dat with the verb *vīty*, rather than with *juṣṭo*

priyam sarvasya paśyatah AV : *sarvasmai ca vpaśyate* AV. (the latter preceded by *priyam mā kṛṇu* .) Delbruck AIS p 146 says he knows no certain case of *priya* with dat A whole series occurs in AV 19 32 8

agner jhvāsi suhūr (KS *supūr*, VSK TS. TB. *subhūr*) *devebhyaḥ* (TS TB. *devānām*) VS. VSK TS KS TB ŚB. ŚŚ. 'Thou art Agni's tongue, invoking (purifying, being) pleasant(-ly) for (to) the gods' *śivas tokāya tanvo* (KS † *tanve*) *na ehi* (KS. MŚ † *edhi*, v. l. *ehi*) KS. MŚ. Kauś : *śam tokāya tanuve* (SMB. *tanvai*) *syonah* TS. TB. ApŚ. SMB. PG.

tasyās (MS *tasyai*) *tvam harasā tapan* VS † 12 16c, TS MS KS. Followed by *jātavedah śivo bhava* 'Glowing with warmth, be gracious

to her (the *ukhā*), Jātavedas' So VS comm. and Griffith; Keith on TS. takes *tasyās* as possessive with *harasā*. If this be correct for TS. it would be equally possible for all the others, and *tasya* would be a gen. in *ai* (Chapter III). But (§141) MS does not favor these gens in *ai*

2. With nouns of uniting

§617. Similar is the use of the dative in variation with the objective genitive (also the instrumental of association) with nouns of uniting: *sañveśanas* (RV AV °ne) *tanuvar* (SV. KS MŚ *tanve*, RV *tanvā*, AV *tanvā*) *cārur edhī* RV AV. SV KS TB TA ApŚ MŚ. 'In joining of (with) thy body', RV AV.: 'uniting to thy body'

3 Dative of interest and (possessive?) genitive

§618. Often a dative of interest (or, at times, indirect object) varies with a genitive which may frequently be felt as possessive. So especially in YV. dedicatory formulas where no verb is expressed; thus in the first quoted, 'the fox is Aryaman's' or 'the fox to Aryaman'.

aryamṇo (KSA. °ne) *lopāśah* TS KSA
puruṣamṛgaś candramasah (TS KSA °se) VS. TS MS KSA
eny ahnah (TS KSA *ahne*) VS TS. MS KSA
kṣipraśyenāya (TS KSA °nasya) *varṭikā* VS. TS MS KSA
vasūnām (MS *vasubhyah*) *kapīñjalah* VS. TS. MS KSA
samudrāya (TS. KSA *sindhoh*) *śiśumārāh* (KSA † *śiśu°*, TS *śiśu°*) VS.
 TS. MS KSA
somāya (KSA *somāya rājñe*, TS *somasya rājñah*) *kulungah* (MS *kulañ-gah*) VS TS MS. KSA
himavato (VS. MS °te) *hastī* (TA *hastinam*) VS TS. MS KSA TA
nīlamgoh (MS. °gave) *kṛmih* (TS *krimih*) VS TS MS KSA
pikah (KSA *piḡah*) *kṣvīṇkā nīlaśirṣṇī te* 'ryamne (KSA. °nah) TS KSA
ūlo halkkṣṇo (TS *ūlo hakt°*) *vṛṣadanśas te dhātṛe* (TS KSA *dhātuh*) VS
 TS MS KSA
viśvebhyo devebhyaḥ prṣatān (MS. also °tah) VS. MS (bis). *viśveṣām devānām prṣatah* VS
dhūmrā babhrunākāśāh pitṛnām somavatām, and *babhravo dhūmrānīkāśāh pitṛnām barhiṣadām* VS : *pitṛbhyaḥ barhiṣadbhyaḥ dhūmrān babhruva-nūkāśān*, and *pitṛbhyaḥ somavadbhyaḥ babhruṇ dhūmrānūkāśān* ApŚ.
agnaye tvā TS TB MŚ.: *idam agneh* VS. ŚB. KŚ ApŚ.
agnīśomābhyām (tvā) TS. TB.: *idam agnīśomayoh* VS ŚB. KŚ ApŚ.
[pivo (VS MS. *pīdvo*) *nyañkuh lakkaṭas* (MS *lakuṭhas*, TS *kasas*) *te*

'numatyai' VS TS. MS : *brdvo nyañkuh kaśas te 'numatyāh* KSA. So Conc ; but KSA actually reads 'numatyā followed by *a°* and may just as well stand for 'numatyai, there is no p.p.]

§619. Similarly with a copulaic verb and a nominal form containing the root *dā* 'give' (or *dhā* used as its equivalent, cf. VV 2 §§100 ff.): *devebhyo* (VS *devānām*) *bhāgadā* (TS. *bhāgadhā*) *asat* VS. TS MS. KS †
Be he a giver of portions to the gods.'

asmabhyam su maghavan bodhī godāh RV. VSK.: *asmākam su maghavan bodhī godāh* (and *gopāh*) RV

§620. The exact force of the gen in the following is less clear, but we are inclined to feel it essentially as in the preceding two sections:

medobhyah svāhā VS *medasah svāhā* VS. VSK MS. TB The dat is simple and regular, but occurs in a different context; there is no real variant in the passages containing the gen With it the VS comm supplies *devān* as object of the verb *yaj* (the formula begins *hotā yakṣad agnim* (VS. *indram*) *svāhāyasya svāhā* etc.): 'let the hotar worship (the gods) of marrow with hail' So with the other parallel gens (there are no datives in the passage) But TB. comm supplies a copula, paraphrasing. *svāhūtir astu*, and this seems more likely to be approximately right.

§621. Less jejune and formulaic, but not very different in psychology, are the following, in which a dative of interest (or a dative which may be felt as an indirect object, or even, when the verb is one of motion [see the first case], of goal) varies with an adnominal (possessive or objective) genitive:

vṛṣne (KS *vṛṣno*) *codasva suṣṭutim* RV. TS MS. KS Pāda a is *tasmai* (KS *kasmāi*) *nūnam abhidyave* KS is anomalous both in using the interrogative stem *kasmāi* (which seems due to the initial of the following stanza, *kam u svid asya senayā*), and in detaching *vṛṣne* from the preceding pronoun with which it should agree. Its gen *vṛṣno* is felt as dependent on *suṣṭutim* 'Inspire fair praise to (for, KS of) the bull'

imam ū (MS *u*) *ṣu tvam asmākam* (TA ApŚ *ṣu tvam asmabhyam*) RV. SV MS TA ApŚ MŚ Followed by *sanīm gāyatrīm navyānsam* (*navīyānsam*), *agne (agnir) deveṣu pra vocah (voca)* 'Proclaim this new song of ours (for us)'

tasya guptaye dampatī sam śrayethām AV *tasmai gotrāyeha jāyāpatī samrabhethām* TA 'For protection of it'. 'for it, for the family (?)' Comm on TA refuses to connect *tasmai* directly with *gotrāya*, probably rightly.

vr̥ṣā vr̥ṣṇe (SV. *vr̥ṣṇah*) *pari pavītre akṣāḥ* RV. SV. Preceded by *eṣa sya te madhumān indra somaḥ*. 'This honeyed soma, the bull, has flowed thru the sieve for thee, the bull (thru thy, the bull's, sieve), O Indra.'

vaiśtānaro aṅgirasām (AV. 'āṅgīr°, AŚ *aṅgirobhyaḥ*) [*stomam uktham ca cīkṣpat*, with varr.] AV. AŚ. ŚŚ.

indrasya tvā bhāgam somenā tanacmi (VSK. *tanakmi*) VS VSK. KS ŚB.: *indrāya tvā bhāgam somenālanacmi* MS. MŚ.: *somena tvātanacmīndrāya dadhī* TS. TB. ApŚ 'As Indra's portion': 'as portion (curds) for Indra'

§622. The following we have also grouped here since they may easily be interpreted as containing a real syntactic shift; but since they concern the fem. endings *ai* and *ās*, they might also be regarded as purely formal (with §§137 ff.):

patīm surāyā (TB. *surāyai*, VS. *surayā*) *bheṣajam* VS MS. TB 'A remedy for (of, i.e. against) *surā*' VS comm. takes the instr. as associative; it seems that dat. or gen. is required, but either of them would be satisfactory.

utlānāyā (TS. 'yaī) *hṛdayam yad vīkṣatam* (TS. *vīṣṭam*) VS TS MS KS ŚB. Preceded by *sam te vāyur mātariśvā dadhātu* '... heal the broken heart of (for) thee, lying supine'

so 'syai (MG. 'syāḥ) *prajāṁ muñcatu mṛtyupāśāt* AG. SMB † PG. ApMB HG. MG. 'May he free the offspring for her (her offspring)'
athāsyai (TS TB MS. 'syā) *madhyam edhatām* (with varr.) VS TS MS. ŚB TB. AŚ. ŚŚ Vait. LŚ.: *adhāsyā madhyam edhatām* KSA

§623. The following variants still show a dative of interest varying with a possessive genitive, but other changes in the form or meaning of the variant word, or changes in the other words and the construction of the passage, make the variations somewhat different in character:

pra sunvānasyāndhasaḥ (SV. PB. *sunvānāyāndh°*) RV. SV. PB Followed by *maro na vr̥ta (vaṣṭa) tad vacaḥ*. 'Let him accept this song of the pressed-out herb (for, on behalf of, the presser of the herb).' In RV. *sunvāna* is generally active in sense, e.g. 8. 31. 16, thus is the only passage in which Grassmann assigns passive force to it. Hence the change in SV., which makes it active.

gharman śrīṇantu prathamāya dhāsyave (AŚ. ŚŚ. *śrīṇanti prathamasya dhāseḥ*) AV. AŚ. ŚŚ 'For the first drinker': 'of the first drunk'
asambādḥā yā madhyato mānavebhyaḥ MS : *asambādham badhyato* (read *ma°*, VV 2 §241) *mānavānām* (Ppp. *mānaveṣu*) AV. Ppp. Kauś 'Who (earth) is unobstructed for men in the midst': 'unobstructedly

in the midst of men (Ppp. among men, in [their] midst)' Differing from Whitney, we take *asambādham* as a neuter adverb (§404)

indrāya bhāgam pari tvā nayāmi AV : *indrasya bhāgah suvite dadhātana* ApS The gen is apparently felt as possessive with *bhāgah*; §393.
devo devānām pavitrām asī TS MS KS : *devo devebhyah pavasva* VS ŚB.

As in prec, the gen. is possessive.

rāyas poṣam (KS *tvaṣṭah poṣāya*) *vi śyatu* (RV MS TB * *śyatām*, AV. MS * KS *śya*) *nābhum asme* (AV *asya*) RV. AV VS TS MS. (bis) KS TB (bis) ApS 'Let him release (release thou, etc) [our seminal fluid, *turīpam*] as offspring for us (of it).' Ppp has *asme*, and Whitney calls *asya* 'senseless', but this is too harsh It refers to *turīpam* and is not harsher than many another secondary change in AV

§624. In one variant it seems scarcely possible to interpret the dative forms except as equivalents of the possessive genitive It is perhaps worth noting that the dative is the pronominal *tubhyam*, which is precisely one of the Sanskrit dative forms that lives on in Prakrit, with genitival function (Pischel, §420 f); indeed, even in epic Sanskrit *mahyam* and *tubhyam* seem to be used as gens. (Speyer, VSS §46 note): *tīre tubhyam asau* (HG. *tubhyam gaṅge*) PG. HG : *tīrenāsau tava* ApMB

Simply 'on thy bank' seems to be the only possible meaning

§625. Conversely, one variant in which the sense seems to require a dative of interest shows now the pronominal *me*, now other forms which are unmistakably genitive Naturally *me* is here interpreted as dative of interest, yet the only reason for taking it so, rather than as genitive, is the prevalence of the dative in this sense On the other hand it is difficult to take the gens *pitr̥nām* etc in any other sense, Oldenberg on HG frankly does so, and Buhler's rendering of BDh, 'mayst thou never fail to the (manes of our) fathers,' is hardly more than an evasion of the problem (dragging in a possessive genitive by a very forced ellipsis). In later Sanskrit the gen replaces the dat in just such uses (Speyer VSS. §72), and we have little doubt that the unmistakably gen forms of the variant, at least (and perhaps even *me*?), must be so interpreted.

akṣitam asī mā pitr̥nām (ApMB. *maṣām*, HG BDh. also *pitr̥mahānām*, *prapitr̥mahānām*) *kṣeṣṭhā amūtrāmuṣmīnī loke* ApMB. HG BDh. *akṣitv asī mā me kṣeṣṭhā* VSK AŚ ŚŚ KŚ : *akṣito 'sy akṣityai* *tvā mā me kṣeṣṭhā* TS GB Vart. MŚ

§626. Similarly in the next, the dative depends on *prati-bhar* 'bring (as a gift)', and if the gen is textually sound it must be an early case

of the absorption of this dat function by the gen, as in classical Sanskrit. The SV reads the form *narah* at the end of a stanza, and the next stanza begins with *ā*; but it would probably be over-bold to suggest that it originally read *nara* for *nare*, with sandhi between the stanzas, and that this was later misinterpreted as *narah*. The SV comm glosses with *narāya*:

apaścāddaghvane (SV *apaścāda°*) *nare* (SV *narah*) RV SV. TB ApŚ

4 Dative of purpose

§627. As has been noted above (§614), the dative of purpose is not usually replaced by the genitive even in later Sanskrit, and when we find it varying with the genitive in the Veda, we can usually see a difference of psychology, as is to be expected. Yet in one case, at least, we find it hard to avoid the feeling that the gen is the exact equivalent of the dative of purpose. This concerns a series of formulas beginning with *savitā tvā savānām* (*prasavānām*) *suvatām*, and continuing with parallel formulas in which *suvatām* or the like is understood. The dependent nouns (*savānām* etc.) are all genitives in most texts, but in three of the formulas some texts have datives. The dative of purpose is what we should expect in all, and the commentators are hard put to it to explain the gens; Mahidhara on VS supplies *ādhipatyē*, similarly Eggeling, 'for (powers of) quickening' etc. Keith makes the gens participative: 'May Savitar of instigations instigate thee,' which in some of the formulas seems clearly impossible (e.g. *rudrah pašūnām*, 'Rudra of cattle'). PG reconstructs the initial formula thus: *agnir bhūtānām adhipatiḥ sa māvatu*, which makes the gens simple but is clearly a secondary avoidance of the difficulty. We feel that the only natural interpretation is to take the gens as mere equivalents of the dative of purpose:

bṛhaspatir vācām (VS ŚB. *vāce*) VS TS MS KS ŚB
rudrah pašūnām (VS. ŚB *paśubhyah*) VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB PG
indro jyēṣṭhānām (MS KS *jyāiṣṭhyānām*, VS. ŚB *jyāiṣṭhyāya*) VS. TS
 MS KS ŚB PG

§628. The other cases involving dative of purpose are few and miscellaneous. In the first the gen is the object of a verb of ruling, the variant is precisely parallel to those between dative of purpose and accusative of direct object (§§70, 420), except that here the verb requires a genitive object:

īṣayantam rādhaso (TS °se, KS *śavase*) *mahah* (TS KS *mahe*) RV SV
 VS TS MS KS ŚB 'Ruling over (unto) great bounty.'

§629. The next two involve the well-known construction of a dative of purpose with a dependent genitive or a second dative, on which see Delbrück *AIS*. §§103 and (with infinitives) 54:

rāye (ApŚ. *rāyo*) *agne mahe tvā (dānāya samidhīmahi)* SV ApŚ 'We kindle thee, Agni, unto great riches, unto giving (unto great giving of riches).'

divas (MS. *dive*) *tvā jyotiṣe* TS. MS. A verb like 'I place' is understood: 'thee (the brick) for heaven's light' or 'for heaven, for light'

saṁveśāyopaveśāya gāyatriyai (also *triṣṭubhe jagatyā anuṣṭubhe*) *chandase* 'bhūbhūve svāhā KS : *ariṣṭyā avyathyai saṁveśāyopaveśāya gāyatriyai chandase* 'bhūbhūve (ApŚ *gāyatriyā abhūbhūtyai*) *svāhā* KS ApŚ.: *saṁveśāyopaveśāya gāyatriyai* (PB ŚŚ. KŚ. also *triṣṭubhe* and *jagatyai*, TS ApŚ *gāyatriyās triṣṭubho jagatyā anuṣṭubhah pañktyā*) *chandase* (TS. ApŚ. om) 'bhūbhūṭaye (with varr) *svāhā* TS PB. ŚŚ. KŚ. ApŚ On the meaning see Caland's note on ApŚ. 14. 19. 1. 'For the gāyatri (etc) meter, for conquest': 'for the conquest (conquering power) of the gāyatri (etc)'

§630. The next is not very different, here the dat or gen depends not on another dat. but on an acc :

vidā rāye (AA. *rāyah*) *suviryam* RVKh (Scheftelowitz p 135, vs. 4a) AA Mahānāmnyah 'Grant us hero-abundance of (unto) wealth.' But *rāyāh* may also be acc pl, 'wealth (and) hero-abundance'; the variant would then belong with §486.

§631. In the next it is possible to find the influence of formal case attraction:

akūpārasya dāvane (SV. °*naḥ*) RV. SV N Here *dāvane* is an infinitive, 'unto giving, that thou mayst give' SV. attracts it to the case of *akūpārasya*, making it a noun of agent (as often in cpds of the type *aśva-dāvan*): 'inexhaustible giver.'

5 Dative and genitive with verbs

§632. The confusion between these two cases appears in a few variants in which one case is regularly construed in dependence on a particular verb, and is replaced by the other in one variant form. Cf. Delbrück §110, Speyer VSS §72.

§633. Thus, in two pādas of one verse, the root *radh* 'be subject to', which regularly takes the dative, has the genitive in secondary texts *dviṣantam* (AV *sapatnān*) *mahyam* (TB. ApŚ *mama*) *randhayan* RV.

AV. TB ApŚ: *dviṣanś ca mahyam radhyatu* AV: *dviṣanto radhyantām mahyam* MŚ. (Add to VV 1 §§79, 238, 349) Followed by:

mo aham (AV *mā cāham*, MŚ *mā tv aham*) *dvīṣate* (TB ApŚ °*to*, MŚ °*iām*) *radham* RV. AV TB ApŚ MŚ. The verb *radh* is otherwise recorded only with the dat, for which the gen is here clearly a substitute TB comm interprets *mama* as possessive gen, 'my enemy', which would be possible but for the parallel *dvīṣato*, which obviously cannot be taken so, TB comm takes *dvīṣato* as abl, *dvī° sakāśān mo radham mama hīnsām prāpnvāni*, which is absurd

§634. Conversely, the roots *vid* and *dhī*, as verbs of intellectual activity, may be expected to govern the genitive In the case of *vid*, perhaps the nearly homonymous root *vidh*, which governs the dative, may have been influential in introducing the dative in the stray occurrence which follows It is noteworthy that in the same context TA and MahānU present parallel formulas with the regular genitive

tat puruṣāya vidmahe, mahādevāya dhīmahi MS KS TA MahānU
Immediately preceded in MahānU by *tat puruṣasya vidmahe, sahas-rākṣasya mahādevasya dhīmahi*, in TA by *puruṣasya vidma, sahas-rākṣasya mahādevasya dhīmahi*

6. Phrase inflection

§635 In a couple of instances we find what we have called phrase inflection (§§21-2), that is the use of formulas in different contexts requiring different construction of the nouns in question:

punānāya prabhūvaso RV SV *punānasya prabhūvasoh* RV
varuna mitra dāśuṣah (and °*ṣe*) RV (both) Sāyana and Grassmann take *dāśuṣah* as gen sg, Ludwig as acc pl

7. Miscellaneous

§636. The remaining cases are miscellaneous, involving individual reconstructions which fall into no general categories:

pra nu vocam vidathā jātavedasah RV · *pra no vaco vidathā jātavedase* ArS
sūryo devo (KS * *dvo*, so read both times, once *ed* reads *devo*, but there is a v l *dvo*, and one is a repetition in a Brāhmana passage of the other) *dvīṣadbhyo dhātā kṣatrāya* (KS † *kṣatrasya*) *vāyuh prajābhyah* (KS *prajānām*) [*brhaspatir tvā prajāpataye juhotu*] TS KS (bis)
In KS understand *dvīṣadbhyo* with each genitive: 'Let Sūrya offer thee for the sky-dwellers of the sky, Dhātār for (those) of royal power' etc In the secondary TS the datives are attracted to the case and construction of *prajāpataye* 'Let Dhātār offer thee for royal power' etc

mayi dohaḥ padyāyā virāḡah (MG adds *kalpatām*) AG HG MG · *mayi*

padyāyāi virājo dohaḥ ŚŚ. ŚG. PG.: *mama padyāyā vi rāja* ApMB. 'In me [may] the milk of Padyā Virāj (see Oldenberg *SBE*. 29 p. 97, note on ŚG. 3 7. 5) [dwell],' most texts ApMB reconstructs the formula: 'Shine forth for my foot-water' or the like Used in a foot-washing rite Note that *padyāyāi* is clearly felt as genitive; but the apparently dative form is responsible for the change to *padyāyā* in ApMB

8. Errors

§637. There remain a few cases which seem to involve errors, or at least seem uninterpretable:

tuje janā (ArS *jane*) *vanam svah* AV. ArS : *tujo yujo vanam* (ŚŚ. *balam*, conjecture of Hillebrandt) *sahā* AA ŚŚ. Both forms and meanings of the words are wholly obscure

devasya (ŚB * *devebhyas*) *tvā savituh prasave* 'śvinor bāhubhyām pūṣṇo hastābhyām ā aade VS TS MS. KS. KSA ŚB. (both) TB. TA Kauś The dat. form occurs at ŚB. 14. 2. 1 6 which quotes VS. 38 1 incorrectly, VS has *devasya*. Note further that the latter part of the formula in ŚB. omits the word *aditya* before *rāsnāsi*, tho it is found not only in VS. 38. 1 but in the same phrase almost immediately following, ŚB. 14 2. 1 8 = VS. 38 3. Eggeling seems right in assuming that ŚB has a mere misquotation of its source, VS, rather than a real variant based on contamination with such phrases as *devebhyas tvā* (see Conc. under these words)

[*athā* (AV *adhā*) *mano vasudeyāya kṛṣva* (AV. *kṛṇuṣva*) RV AV Conc. *vasudeyasya* for RV.]

[*niṣkā ete yajamānasya loke* (KS † *yajamānasya santu*) AV KS Conc *yajamānāya* for KS.]

C. Dative and locative

1 After verbs

§638. The extent of the interplay between dative and locative in adverbial constructions has hardly received the emphasis it deserves That both cases are used to express the goal of motion and of actions of various kinds is indeed well known (cf Delbruck, *ATS* §§80, 96; Speyer, *VSS* §§76, second part, 81b); and that the dative of the interested party, and the locative as expressing that in relation to which the action takes place, may interchange, would doubtless be recognized by all. Our variants however suggest that the scope of such interchanges is greater than has ever been clearly stated. Without claiming

precise equivalence in psychology between the two cases, or even attempting to differentiate rigidly between different aspects of the use of each, we shall assort our variants according to the various verbs with which dative and locative are interchangeably used

The roots *dhā* and *dā*

§639. In VV 2 §101 we have already referred to the use of these two cases with the roots *dā* and *dhā*, and pointed out that while both are freely used with *dhā* 'place', the simple root *dā* 'give' is not properly used with the locative (tho as we shall see some of its compounds may be so used) In the same place we showed that but for this difference of construction, *dā* and *dhā* are often genuine synonyms Moreover the dative and locative after *dhā* are hardly distinguishable in meaning Such a phrase as *yaśo mayi dhehi* PB Vait SMB is equivalent to *yaśo me dhehi* (TA *dhāh*) TA ŚŚ, whether we prefer to translate 'put splendor in me' or 'assign splendor to me' And so with the following variants after *dhā*·

varco dhā yajñavāhase (VS ŚB. °si) RV VS MS KS AB TB ŚB
dhehy asmabhyam (ApŚ. *asmāsu*) *dravnam jātavedah* ŚŚ KŚ ApŚ
dhattād asmabhyam dravṇeha (TS ApŚ *asmāsu dravnam yac ca*)
bhadram TS MS ApŚ.

asmann (TB *asmā*) *indra mahi varcānsi dhehi* AV. TB

āyur yajñāya (MS *yajñe*) *dhattam* MS TB ApŚ

āyur yajñapataye dhattam TB ApŚ.. *āyur yajñapatau* (sc *dhattam*) MS
sudevam indre aśvinā (MS. *indrāyāśvinā*) VS MS TB The verb *dadhur* follows

athā nah (AV *adhā nah*, TS *athāsmabhyam*, RV.* *tad asme*) *śam yor arapo dadhāta* (RV.* MS. °tana) RV (bis) AV VS TS MS KS N.

But *asme* may be dative

§640. While the preceding instances show *dhā* alone, in the next we find, beside *dhā* with both dative and locative, forms of *dā* (or rarely other expressions of giving) with dative (not locative, VV 2 §101)·

ūrjam mayi dhehi VS VSK MS KS PB ŚB. LŚ ApŚ MŚ : *ūrjam me dhehi* (TS * *dhattam*) VSK TS (both) KS GB TB Vait KŚ :

ūrjam me dehi (VSK TS *yacha*) VSK. TS PB LŚ

śrotam mayi dhehi TS KSA †. *śrotam me dhattam* (AV MŚ *dāh*) AV TB. ApŚ MŚ

caṣur mayi dhehi TS.. *caṣur me dhehi* (VS ŚB *dehi*, AV MS *dāh*) AV VS MS KS KSA ŚB

āyur mayi dhehi VS TS ŚB · *āyur me dhehi* VSK TS KS KSA MS

JB. TB. ApŚ : *āyur me dhattam* TB ApŚ : *āyur me yacha* (ApŚ * *yachata*) MS. KS ApŚ (bis) MŚ : *āyur me dehi* VS. TS. ŚB ŚŚ PG : *āyur me dāh* AV. VS MS ŚB. TA ApŚ. MŚ.

tejo mayi dhehi AV VS TS. KS. ŚB. TB. LŚ ŚŚ. ApMB : *tejo me yacha* (TA *dhāh*, AŚ. *dehi* and *dāh*) TS. MS. KS. TA AŚ. (bis) ApŚ MŚ

rāyas poṣam cakitūṣe (AV. °ṣi) *dadhātu* (ŚŚ. *dadātu*) AV. TS. MS KS. ŚŚ : *rāyas poṣam yajamāne dadhātu* AŚ.

varco asmāsu dhatta (AŚ *dhehi*) AV AŚ : *varco mayi dhehi* VS. TS. PB ŚB TB ApŚ : *varco me dhehi* (TA. *dhāh*) VSK. MS KS. JB. TA. ApŚ : *varco me dehi* (MS. MŚ *dāh*) VS. TS MS. ŚB. ŚŚ MŚ. PG : *varco me yacha* MS. KS ApŚ MŚ

§641. In other cases the *dhā* form is found only with the locative, while the dative occurs with *dā*, or occasionally with other expressions of giving:

svas te dadāmi MG.. *svas* (suvas) *twayī dadhāmi* ŚB BrhU. PG. HG

Also with *bhūs* and *bhuvas* for *svas*, and with *bhūr* *bhuwah* *svas*.

saho mayi dhehi (AV *me dāh svāhā*) AV VS TB. Also with *balam* for *saho*; and cf. next.

ojo mayi dhehi VS TB : *ojo me dāh* AV VS TB

payo me dāh (ApŚ *mayi dhehi*) MS ApŚ

prajāṁ asmāsu dhehi VS. : *prajāṁ me dāh* (KS ApŚ MŚ. *yacha*) VS. TS MS. KS. ŚB TA ApŚ MŚ

ndro balam balapatir balam asmin yajñe mayi dadhātu (TB. *yajñe yajamānāya dadātu*) *svāhā* ŚB. TB. KŚ. Likewise with *sarasvatī puṣṭim*, *savitā rāṣṭram*, *pūṣā bhagam* (vśām), *brhaspatir brahma*, *varuṇah samrāt*, *somo rājā*, *mitrah kṣatram*, *agnir annādo*, *tvāṣṭā rūpāṇām* (*paśūnām*)

§642. The compound *ā-dhā* has the same two constructions:

bhīyasam ā dhehi śatruṣu (SV. *śatrave*) RV. SV.

rāṣṭrāny asmā ā dhehi (MS *āsmīn dhehi*) MS KS TB. ApŚ

tāsām tvā jarasa ādadhāmi TB. HG. ApMB : *tāsu tvāntar jarasy ā dadhāmi* AV. (Ppp. has *jarasa*)

Other expressions of placing and giving

§643. Other verbs of establishing or placing with loc vary with expressions of bringing or giving with dat :

sa tvā rāṣṭrāya subhṛtam bibhartu AV : *sa no rāṣṭreṣu sudhātām* (intending 'tām) *dadhātu* TB 'May he support thee well supported unto (establish us well established in) rule'

318 VEDIC VARIANTS III NOUN AND PRONOUN INFLECTION

yasmin (Kauś *yasmai*) *bhūtam ca bhavyam ca* Kauś HG ApMB. The following verb is *pratiṣṭhutam* ('handed over, entrusted') in Kauś, *ṣṛtāh* in HG and *samāhīṭāh* ('based, established') in ApMB.

§644. Other verbs of giving and the like, including some compounds of *dā*, are used with both cases:

īam devebhyah paridādāmi ApŚ . *īam deveṣu paridādāmi vidvān* AŚ MŚ
'I give him over to the gods'

astīto nāmāham asmi sa ātmānam nī dadhe dyāvāprthivībhyām gopīlāyā (KS *sa idam dyāvāprthivyor ātmānam paridade tayoh śraye tayoh parākrame*) AV KS The verbs *nī-dhā* and *pari-dā* are both used with both cases. Here the dat. is doubtless favored by the accompanying dat. of purpose, the loc. by the locs. with the two other verbs.

īhāsmāsu (MS. *īhāsmabhyam*, TB * *īha mahyam*) *nī yachatam* RVKh. AV. MS KS TB (bis)

sa yajña dhukṣva mahi me prajāyām (ŚŚ *prajāyā*) VS ŚŚ 'Milk forth (= bestow bounty) generously upon my offspring'

īa āyajānta dravīnam (MS KS † °nā) *sam asmai* (MS *asmin*) RV VS TS MS KS 'They offered (sacrificed) riches to him' But MS v 1 *asmai*

rarānas tmanā devebhyah (VS † TS † KS † *deveṣu*) AV VS TS MS KS In AV the dat. depends on the following *svadayatu*, but in the YV texts it as well as the loc. must depend on *rarānas* (the pāda division is here different from AV, see Whitney's note on AV)

śam padam magham śrayiṣine na lāmam (AA *rayiṣani na somah*) SV. AA '(Grant) prosperity to him who seeks wealth' Keith understands *rayiṣani* as loc. with copula understood, the sense being the same as in SV. Perhaps a verb like *dhā* could as well be understood.

Verbs of uniting

§645. Verbs of uniting may take dative or locative as well as instrumental (§59):

apriye prati muñca tat (Kauś *muñcatam*) AV. Kauś : *dvīṣadbhyah prati muñcāmi pāśam* (HG *pāpam*) SMB HG ApMB '(I) fasten this (evil, bond) upon the enemy'

apriye (AV. * *dvīṣate*, RV. *āplye*) *sam nayāmasi* RV AV (bis).

yad dha krūnā vīvasvati (SV. °e) RV. SV. Followed by *nābhā samādāy* (SV. °ya) *navyasi* (SV. °se). Apparently both dat. and loc. depend on *sam-dā* 'unite'; cf. Ludwig 4 p. 193 and Oldenberg ad loc.

Verbs of bringing and the like

§646. In these, which cannot be sharply distinguished from verbs of giving, the locative begins to appear more clearly as one of goal of motion

devebhyo (ApŚ *deveṣu*) *havyavāhanah* RV. ApŚ Different contexts

The variant is included here because the governing word, *havyavāhanah*, tho a noun, is clearly verbal in force.

mahyam vātah pavatām (KS °*te*) *kāme asmin* (AV *kāmāyāsmāi*) RV.

AV. TS KS 'Let the wind blow (the wind blows) for me to this desire'

yaṣṭiāya stīrnabarhiṣe v vo made RV AS : *yaṣṭiāya stīrnabarhiṣam vivakṣase* SV. The governing verb is *vṛṇīmahe* 'we wish' = 'we call, bring' (thee to the sacrifice) Cf §489.

yad vo devāsa āgure (MS °*ri*) TS MS Followed by *havāmahe* 'we call', felt as a verb of bringing, cf prec.

Verbs of saying

§647. It is well known that either dat or loc (as well as acc) may be used of the person spoken to Whether we should render the locs in the following by 'among' rather than 'to' may be left an open question:

devebhyo mā sukr̥tam brūtāt (ŚB with *ūha*, *voceh*) VS ŚB : *deveṣu nah sukr̥to* (VSK *mā sukr̥tam*) *brūtāt* (KS. *brūta*, PB MŚ *brūyāt*) VSK.

TS KS PB MŚ *sukr̥tam mā deveṣu brūtāt* TS

pra mā (TS ApŚ *no*) *brūtād bhāgadām* (TS °*dhān*, ApŚ °*dhām*, KS *dhavṛdā* [read °*dām*?]) *devatāsu* (KS. °*tābhyah*) TS MS. KS ApŚ.

Miscellaneous verbs

§648. The root *sthā* in the sense of 'serve, obey' governs not only the dative (Delbruck AIS p 143) but also the locative:

jyaṣṭhye śraṣṭhye ca gāthīnāh ŚŚ · *dhṛtyai śraṣṭhyāya gāthīnāh* AB.

Preceded by *devārātāya tathure*

devā devebhyo (MS *deveṣu*) *adhvaryanto* (KS. °*rīyanto*) *asthuh* VS TS. MS KS ŚB

§649. The verb *jāgr* 'watch (over)' also takes either case:

yajamānāya jāgrā ApŚ . *evam asyām sūtikāyām, saputrikāyām jāgratha* PG : *evam asyai suputrikāyā jāgrata* HG.

§650. The verb *hu* 'pour; offer' takes either case, according as the offering is thought of as 'poured in' or 'offered to':

rudra yat te krayā (*kriv*, *kravi*, *giri*-) *param nāma tasmai* (VS. MS. ŚB. *tasmin*) *hutam asi* VS VSK TS MS KS. ŚB TB.

prthivyām (KŚ °vyā) *amṛtam juhoma* AŚ KŚ. ApŚ

§651. The root *ci* 'pile up, build'.

sahsṛākṣa (VS MS. ŚB °kṣo) *medhāya* (TS KS *medha ā*) *cīyamāna*
VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB 'Piled up at (for) the sacrifice' C
VV 2 §342

§652. With the root *grah* 'take' both dative and especially locative may be felt as cases of goal:

mayi (ApMB *mahyam*) *grhnāmi tvām aham* VS ApMB 'I take the (the oblation) to (for) myself'

§653. With the root *jan* 'produce' the psychology of the two cases more distinct. The variation in the first instance may be primarily phonetic, cf. VV 2 §342:

varśvānaram ṛta ā (TS *ṛtāya*) *jātam agnum* RV SV TS VS MS KŚ
PB ŚB.

ajāyano amṛta martyeṣu ā (SV. *martyāya kam*) RV SV 'Thou hast produced among men (for man)'

2 With adjectives and nouns meaning 'pleasing' and the like

§654. That the locative as well as the dative and genitive (§77) may be found with adjectives (and nouns) meaning 'pleasing' or the like is recognized by Delbrück *AIS* §79:

supṛīto manuṣo viśe (SV *viśe*) RV SV

priyam mā brahmanī (ApMB °ne) *kuru* ApMB HG Parallel *pādas* have locs in both texts. But there is no need to read *brāhmane* or *brahmanī* in ApMB (cf. Winternitz p. xxiv), since the dative is equally sound.

uta śūdra utārye AV.: *śūdrāya cāryāya ca* AV VS Both preceded by *priyam mā kṛṇu*

aham vo asmi sakhyāya śevah MS *yusmākam sakhye aham asmi śevā* AV.
dhīrā deveṣu (KS * *indrāya*) *sumnayā* (AV °yau, VS *sūmnayā*) RV AV.
VS VSK TS MS KS (bis) ŚB

sūyavasini manave (RV TA *manuṣe*, KS *mānuṣe*) *daśasyā* (TA °ye, TS MS. KS *yaśasye*) RV VS TS. MS KS ŚB TA. In RV. *manuṣe* is dative, like *manave*, the others (including TA. *manuṣe*) have locatives.

3 Miscellaneous

§655. The remaining variants are miscellaneous

mayi dohaḥ paḍyāya vrājah (MG adds *kalpatām*) AG MG HG.:
mayi paḍyāya vrājō dohaḥ ŚŚ ŚG PG: *mama paḍyāya vi rāja*
ApMB. See §636.

daśame māsi sūlavē RV RVKh AV. ŚB. BrhU. ApMB. MG.: *daśa-māsyāya sūlavai* (ApMB °ve) HG ApMB. 'In the tenth month': 'tenth-monthly' (adj.).

pūṣṇe śarase (MS † *śarasi*, p p. °sah) *svāhā* MS. TA. ApŚ : *svāhā pūṣṇe śarase* VS ŚB KŚ. 'Hail to Pūṣan, to the skin of milk (MS. apparently 'to P. in the skin of milk').'

sam yujyāva (TS *babhūva*) *sanibhya ā* RV. TS.: *sam sanuyāva varīṣv ā* KS. *sanibhyah* is dat. of purpose (not abl, as Grassmann takes it); cf. Oldenberg *Noten* on 1. 17. 2 and Neisser, *ZWbch. d. RV.* s v. ā. On the meaning of KS., 'in the waters', see von Schroeder's note.

iṣe rāye (*rayayā*) *ramasva sahase dyumnāyorje* (VS ŚB. † *dyumna ūrje*) '*patyāya* . . (see VV 2 p 429) VS. MS. ŚB TB. AŚ. ApŚ. 'For splendor, for might': 'for might in splendor.'

tanūnaptre śakmane śākvarāya śakmanā (KS. *śakmann*) *ojiṣṭhāya* MS. KS.: *tanūnaptre śākvarāya śakmann* (VS. ŚB. *śakvana*) *ojiṣṭhāya* VS VSK ŚB. (see Conc under *āpataye tvā paripataye*): *śakmane śākvarāya śakmanā ojiṣṭhāya tvā grhṇāmi* MŚ.: *śakmann ojiṣṭhāya tvā grhṇāmi* TS : *śakmann ojiṣṭhāya* TS. GB.: *śakmana ojiṣṭhāya tvā* Vait The original (TS. KS VSK) has loc. of noun, 'in strength', the others assimilate to the surrounding dative adjectives, 'for the strong'

prthivyām agnaye samanāman sa ārdhnōt AV.: *prthivyai sam anamat* TS. TB.

asambādā yā madhyato mānavebhyah MS.: *asambādham badhyato* (read *ma°*) *mānavānām* (Ppp. °veṣu) AV. Ppp. Kauś See §623.

vāce svāhā VS etc. *svāhā vāce* GB AG.: *vāci svāhā* TS. In the latter the context is different and *vāci* depends on the following verb *dhāh*, not on *svāhā*

[*ullānāyayai śayānāyayai* ŚŚ · *ullānāyām śayānāyām* AV. RWh by em., but mss *ullamānāye śayānāyayai*, intending the ŚŚ. reading]

CHAPTER XXVI

ABLATIVE, GENITIVE, AND LOCATIVE

A Ablative and genitive

Ablative of source or separation and genitive

§656. The only considerable group of variants under this heading shows an ablative of source or separation varying with an adnominal genitive which is its virtual equivalent. The genitive may be possessive, subjective, or partitive.

tato mā yajñasyāśir āgachatu MS KS ApŚ · *tasya māśir avatu vardhatām*
AŚ 'From it may blessing of sacrifice come to me': 'may its blessing aid and increase me'

yasya (KS *yato*) *deva dadhīṣe pūrvapeyam* RV VS TS MS KS. ŚB.
'Of (from) which (soma) thou hast taken to thyself the first draught, O god'

tato no dehi jīvase RV TB TA : *tasya no dehi jīvase* AV SV. Kauś.
'From (of) it give to us that we may live'

mā parā secti mat payah (ŚŚ LŚ ŚG *no dhanam*, ApŚ * *nah svam*, ApMB * *me dhanam*) ŚŚ LŚ KŚ ApŚ (bis) MŚ ŚG ApMB. (bis) PG HG MG. 'Let not the sap from me (our wealth, etc) be poured away' The ApMB reference with *mat payah* should be 2 9 14d

agnir etu prathamo devatābhyah SMB · *agnir atū prathamo devatānām*
AG ŚG HG ApMB 'First from among (of) the gods'

apāsman (KS *apāsya*) *nairṛtān pāsān* KS ApŚ 'Away from him (KS literally, away his) bonds of destruction'

§657. Once after the preposition *pari* (and with a verb of separation), where obviously only an ablative construction is possible, gen dual forms occur in one form of the variant. They can only be taken in ablative sense. The variant is instructive for the confusion of the two cases, which is helped by the fact that in the singular the two have identical forms in most paradigms (in the context adjoining the variant *pāda* occur such forms as *greh*, *svasuh* etc.)

pari sarvebhyo jñātibhyah HG ApMB · *pari pitroś ca bhrātōś ca* PG
Followed in PG by *sakhyebhyo vsrjāmy aham*

§658. Once the ablative of comparison after a comparative varies with the partitive genitive after a superlative. The contexts are different:

bhīṣagbhyo bhīṣaktarāḥ AV.: *bhīṣajām subhīṣaktamāḥ* AV.

Case attraction

§659. Two other variants show different sorts of case attraction.
tat tvam bibhr̥ṣi punar ā mad aitoḥ (AŚ † MŚ *ā mamaitoḥ*) TS AŚ MŚ
Both mean 'until my return', in TS (doubtless the original) we find *mad* by attraction to the case of the ablative infinitive (cf. Delbruck *AIS.* pp 90, 431 and our §86). See Oertel 92.

tvam nṛnām nṛpate (KS. *tvam nṛbhyo nṛmano*) *jāyase śuciḥ* RV VS TS MS. KS TAA N Preceded by *tvam* *vanebhyas tvam oṣadhībhyah*. 'Thou art produced pure from trees and plants, O lord of men (KS 'and from men, O thou mindful of men'). KS. has *nṛbhyo* by attraction to the case and construction of *vanebhyas oṣadhībhyah*.

B. Ablative and locative

1 Ablative as whence-case and locative as where-case

§660. The source of anything (ablative) may also be regarded as its original or typical location, and so may be expressed in the locative, as in:

karkandhu jāṇe madhu sārāgham mukhāt (MS *mukhe*) VS. MS KS TB
'Jujube and sweet honey were produced from (in) his mouth'

§661. Here there is little practical difference. Likewise, even when no idea of origination is clearly expressed, an action may be spoken of alternatively as proceeding from, or as taking place in, a place, as in:
tāḥ (VS. ŚB. *pra*) *parvatasya vṛṣabhasya prsthāt* (TS KS *prsthe*) VS. TS. MS. KS ŚB. Followed by *nāvaś caranti* (*nāvo vṛyanti*)

'The ships proceed from (upon) the back of the mountain-bull'
Note that the ablative is found in the texts which read *pra* ('forth'), but also in MS which does not

§662. Yet more clearly does the locative differ in meaning from the ablative in such a variant as:

yadā mahah samvaraṇād (KS *°ne*) *vy asthāt* RV SV VS. TS MS KS KB ŚB 'When he (the horse) stepped forth from (in) the enclosure.' (*mahah* in KS. must be nom. sg.; in the others it may be this or abl sg; Oldenberg decides for the latter.)

§663. These examples will suggest that the psychology of the two

cases generally differs more or less, tho the extent and practical importance of the difference varies greatly, being no doubt least when used with expressions of source or separation or protection. For even with a verb of protecting the threatening danger from which protection is desired may be put in the locative, as denoting the state in which the person finds or fears to find himself:

eṣā tvā pātu nirṛter upasthāt (TA. *nirṛtyā upasthe*, AV. *prapathe purastāt*)

RV. AV. TA. 'Let this one protect thee from (in) the lap of destruction'

§664. The following additional examples occur; we quote first those in which the practical difference between the two cases seems least:

śwam prajābhyo 'hriṣantam (KS † *ahins*°) *prthivyāḥ sadhasthād* (TS MS KS. °*sthe*) *agnim* (TS °*gnim*) *purīṣyam aṅgirasvat khaṇāmāḥ* (TS. KS. † °*mī*) VS. TS. MS KS ŚB. 'We (I) dig forth from (in) the abode of earth Agni' In the same context:

devasya tvā savituh prāsave 'śvinor bāhubhyām pūṣno hastābhyām *prthivyāḥ sadhasthād* (TS MS. °*sthe*) *agnim* (TS. † °*gnim*) VS. TS MS. KS ŚB. Add to VV 2 §910. Cf. prec

apām yo madhyato (KS *madhye*) *rasas tam aham asmā .grhṇāmi* KS. TB. 'I take the sap that comes from (is in) the midst of the waters.'

vibhrājamānah sarirasya (MS. *salilasya*) *madhye* (TA °*yāt*) VS. TS MS KS ŚB. TA 'Shining in (from) the midst of the waters.'

divah (MŚ *divi*) *śilpam avalatam* TB. ApŚ. MŚ

tam te (TS *idam te tad*) *vi syāmy āyuso na madhyāt* (MS. KS *nu madhye*) VS TS MS KS. ŚB. 'I loose that (bond of destruction) for thee as from (in) the midst of life.'

bāhucyuto (VS ŚB *grāvacyuto*) *dhiṣanāyā* (VS 'TS ŚB. *dhiṣanayor*) *upasthāt* (KS °*sthe*) RV. VS TS. KS GB ŚB Vait MŚ Preceded by *yas te drapsa skandati yas te aṅśuh* Here it seems that KS must intend a fundamentally different meaning: 'The drop (of soma) which falls from (but KS on!) the lap of the bowl (two bowls)'

agniḥ prātahsavane (MS MŚ. °*nāt*) *pātū asmān* AV. TS MS KS. KŚ. ApŚ. Perhaps MS asks for protection, 'from (hostile) soma-pressings'; cf. MS 4 7. 7: 102 5 ff, the brāhmana dealing with this mantra, where it is stated that by the mantra the gods obstructed the savanas of the Asuras, and so the sacrificer can obstruct those of his enemies

ā mā sucarite (MŚ °*tād*) *bhaja* VS TS. KS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ. MŚ. Pre-

ceded by *pāhi māgne duṣcaritāt* (VS. ŚB. *pari māgne duṣcaritād bādhasva*) No doubt mechanical attraction to the case of the preceding *duṣcaritāt* is responsible for the ablative in MŚ Yet it can be defended in a way: 'Give us a share from (out of, of) good deeds'

2. With prepositions

§665. Quite similar to the preceding are variants between ablative and locative after the prepositions *adhi* and *antar*. Both cases are found with them, but in different senses, and in the first variant *adhi* with the ablative varies with the simple locative, without *adhi*:

tām te vācam āsya ādatte (read *ādade*) *hṛdaya ādadhe* PG.: *ā* (HG. om) *manasyām hṛdayād adhi* HG ApMB 'In (from within) thy heart'

tasyāh (TB *tasyām*) *samudrā adhi vi kṣaranti* RV. AV. TB. ŚŚ. N. 'From (in) her the seas flow forth.'

yam aśvinā namucer āsurād adhi (ŚŚ.† *namucāv āsure dadhi*) VS. MS KS ŚB TB ŚŚ Vait. LŚ Followed by *sarasvaty asunod indriyāya* Cf Bloomfield JAOS. 15 144 ff. on the Namuci story. Some verb, doubtless a form of *su*, is understood: 'which (soma) the Aśvins (pressed out) from (in) the Āsura Namuci' The word *dadhi* in ŚŚ certainly contains a corruption of *adhi*

ye devā deveṣv (MS. KS *devebhyo*) *adhi devatvam āyan* VS TS. MS KS. ŚB 'What gods have arrived at godhood over the gods (from among the gods).' The abl with *adhi* seems about the equivalent of a partitive gen

samudrasyādhi viṣṭapī (RV.* *°pah*) RV. (bis) SV. In different contexts Cf. also *samudrasyādhi viṣṭapī* (SV. *°pe*) *manīṣiṇah* RV. SV.

ya usruyā apyā (SV. *apī yā*) *antar aśmanah* (SV. *°ni*) RV SV. 'The cows from within (SV the cows within) the rock'

§666. On the other hand, *ā* with the ablative may mean 'up to' and so may interchange with the loc (and the acc) of goal with no difference of meaning.

āsya yajñasyodṛcah VS ŚB.: *svasti mā sam vahāsyā yajñasyodṛci svāhā* AV *svasti mā sampārayāsyā yajñasyodṛcam* ŚŚ See §523

3 In adverbial forms

§667. Adverbially used ablatives have a tendency to pale out, so that no distinctly ablatival function remains They then become interchangeable with locative adverbs or locative phrases:

āre (TS *ārāt*) *te goghnām* (TS °*nā*) *uta pūruṣaghnām* (TS °*ne*) RV TS.

Both mean simply 'far off', not 'from afar'.

yās te rudra purastāt (AG *pūrvasyām dīśi*) *senās tābhya eṣa bāhṣ tābhyaṣ te namaḥ* (AG *senās tābhya enat*) AG PG Here there may be in the abl a suggestion of 'from the east', but it is hard to be sure of it.

4 Case attraction

§668. The following (cf also *ā mā sucarite* etc , §664) seems to be an instance of mechanical case-attraction:

āre asman (MS NīlarU. *asmin*) *nī dhehi tam* VS TS MS KS NīlarU

One ms and p p of MS. read *asman* (*asmat*) If *asmin* is sound it must be attracted to the case of *āre*: 'in this distant (place)' instead of 'far from us'

5. Phrase inflection

§669. Phrase inflection is found in the following

(*sīse mṛddhvam nade mṛddhvam*) *agnau samkasuke ca yat* AV : (*āpo mā tasmāc chumbhantu*) *agneḥ samkasukāc ca yat* AV Both times Ppp. reads *agnih samkasukāc ca yah* Caland KZ 34 456 f considers both AV pādas 'phrase-inflections' of what Ppp (substantially) reads See §§433, 450

īṛīyasyā uto divah ApMB.: *īṛīyasyām uto divi* AV HG Wholly different contexts

aṅgād-aṅgāl lomno-lomnaḥ RV AV ApMB.: *aṅge-aṅge lomni-lomni* AV

In the first passage the abls depend on *in vṛhām*, the second is followed by *yas* (sc *yakṣmas*) *te parvanī-parvanī*

6 Miscellaneous

§670. A few miscellaneous cases remain:

mā te yuyoma samdrśah AV . *mā te vyoma samdrśi* (LŚ † *samdaśa* [iti]) AA TA AŚ LŚ MG 'May we not be separated from sight of thee' 'may there be no *vyoman* (lacuna? TA comm *chidram*) in thy sight' In LŚ a form of *samdrś* (either °*śah* or °*śe* would be possible) must be intended. If not a mere misprint, as we suspect (so Whitney on AV), this should be added to VV 2 §631

varṣīyo (VS ŚB *varṣo*) *varṣīyaḥ yajñe yājñapatim dhāh* TS VS ŚB *varṣīyo varṣīyaso yajñam yājñapatāu dhāh* MS KS Addressed to atmosphere. 'Being more extended, establish the sacrificer in a more extended sacrifice' 'being more extended than the more extended, establish sacrifice in the sacrificer.' The latter is doubt-

less original, the former a lect fac, with a kind of 'transfer of epithet'.

[*ardhamāsyam prasutāt pṛtyāvatah* JB. (bis). Both passages have the abl, see Oertel, *JAOS* 19 (2d half), pp 112, 115]

C. Genitive and locative

1 Locative of position : adnominal genitive

§671. Most of the variants between these two cases are approximately equivalent in meaning. The person or place in which something is located may be thought of as the possessor of it (possessive gen), or as that upon which its influence is exerted (objective gen) Naturally the line between the two is sometimes hard to draw; in the first mentioned cases the gen seems clearly possessive:

mayy āśir (Ppp KS *mamāśir*) *astu mayi* (Ppp KS † *mama*) *devahūtih*
RV AV Ppp. TS KS 'May prayer and divine invocation be in me (be mine)'

asmākam (TS *asmāsu*) *saniv āśiṣah* VS TS MS KS ŚB. ŚŚ.

yad devānām (VS *deveṣu*) *tryāyuṣam* VS VSK. ŚG SMB. ApMB HG. MG Preceded even in VS by *tryāyuṣam jamadagneh*, *kaśyapasya tryāyuṣam* (with slight variations in order) VS is isolated and so may be suspected of being secondary. Were it original we might think of case attraction to the preceding gens in the others

ive rāyah VS TS ŚB KŚ ApŚ : *tava rāyah* MS MŚ : *tava-tava rāyah*
MS KS MŚ : *to-to* (TS ApŚ. *to-te*) *rāyah* VS TS ŚB ApŚ

yat pṛthivyām (MahānU °*vyā*) *rajah svam* TA. MahānU 'What *rajas* is in (of) the earth'

yā te patighnī tanūh HG · *yā te patighnī tanūr* PG · *yā te patighny* ŚG : *yāsyām patighnī tanūh* ApMB 'What husband-slaying body (form) is thine (in her)'

dīrgham yac cakṣur adūter anantam AŚ · *yad āhuṣ cakṣur adūtāv anantam*
PB JB (See Oertel, *Disjunct Use of Cases* §48 24R.)

mā tvam hārṣh śrutam mayi PG . *śrutam me mā pra hāsih* RVKh
dhruvādhi poṣyā (PG *poṣye*) *mayi* RVKh ŚG PG ApMB *mameyam astu poṣyā* AV. 'Be steadfast in (with, be) me': 'let this woman be mine, prosperous'

vihāya rogam tanvāh svāyāh (TA *tanvām svāyām*) AV TA 'Abandoning disease of (in) their own body'

anvātānsīt tvayi (MS °*tānsus tava*) *tantum etam* VS TS. MS KS ŚB. 'He (they) spun out this thread in thee (of thine)'

ahaṁ prajā ajanayam pṛthivyām (MG. °vyāh, ApMB. pūrṇām) RV ApMB. MG. 'I produced offspring on (of) the earth (the fathers).' *akāḥ su* (TA. sa) *lokaṁ sukṛtaṁ pṛthivyāḥ* (VS. ŚB °vyām) VS. TS MS KS. ŚB. 'He hath made an excellent place of (on) the earth.' The gen. māy perhaps better be called partitive than possessive *yasyā āśās* (KS. imās) *tanvo vītapṛsthāḥ* AV. KS.: *yasyā bahvyaḥ* (RVKh. VS. *yasyām imā bahvyaḥ*, TB. *yasyai bahvīs*) *tanvo* (tanvo) *vītapṛsthāḥ* RVKh. VS. MS. TB. 'Whose (in whom)...are smooth-backed forms.'

asamālin grheṣu nah AV.: *grhāṇām asamarīyai* TS See §486 *patyau* (ApMB. *patyur*) *me śloka uttamah* RV. ApMB. 'My supreme renown rests in my husband (is my husband's).'

sarasvatyām adhi manāv acarkṣuh AV.: *sarasvatyā adhi manāv* (KS *mānā*, v. l. *manā*; SMB. Jørgensen *manāv*) *acarkṣuh* (varr., VV 1 §136) KS TB. ApŚ. MŚ. SMB PG. Preceded by *madhunā saṁyutaṁ yavam* 'Barley mingled with honey they plowed on the Sarasvatī (river)', AV. The form *sarasvatyā* has been interpreted in all sorts of ways, as gen. 'with honey of Sarasvatī' (TB. comm, Stenzler, Caland), as instr. (in spite of the sandhi; Oldenberg SBE. 29. 338), as abl. (Jørgensen on SMB), and as dat (VV 1 §136). We now think gen. or abl most likely.

tāsu (sc *dikṣu*) *tāntar jarasy ādadhāmi* AV.: *tāsām* (sc *dīsām*) *trā jarasa ādadhāmi* TB. HG. ApMB. 'I establish thee in old age within them (unto their old age, i.e. unto as long life as the directions possess, so rightly TB. comm.).'

§672. Peculiar and deserving of special rubrication are the following cases, in which the loc. is parallel with another loc., on which the gen depends in the other form of the variant (cf. §85):

asyā (MG. † *asyām*) *nāryā gavīnyoh* (MG. °nyām) AV. MG. (the latter also has a v. l. *nāryām*): *asyām nāryām garīnyām* RVKh. ApMB. 'In this woman's two canals (in this canal of the woman)' 'in this woman, in her canal.' Assuming that the gen. is original, we may see case-attraction in the loc.

śūṣaṁ na madhye nābhyām (MS °yāḥ) VS MS. TB. 'In the middle, (viz.) in the navel': 'in the middle of the navel.' As prec *ye* 'do (MS *amī*, KS *rādo*, VS ŚB. *rāmī*, NīlarU. *cāmī*) *rocane dīrah* (NīlarU. *dīvi*) RVKh. VS TS MS KS ŚB. ApMB. NīlarU. As prec The phrase *rocane dīrah* is standard from RV. on, clearly case-assimilation in NīlarU

prṣṭhe prthivyā (AV. *nābhā prthivyām*) *nihito darddyutat* AV. VS. TS. MS KS ŚB. As prec., Ppp is reported by Whitney to read *prthivyāḥ*, which is standard after *nābhā* (see Conc. under this initial).

§673. To these we may append a case in which the loc. noun which governs the possessive gen is omitted in the variant form, thus compelling the use of loc instead of gen.:

viśvalopa viśvadāvasya tvāsaṁ juhomi TS. GB. Vait.: *viśloka viśvadāvye tvā samjuhomī svāhā* MŚ. 'I offer thee in the mouth of the burner of all (in the burner of all).'

§674. Essentially similar, also, is the following in which the gen depends on an adverb (*madhyato*) which, while abl in form, is essentially loc in meaning, Ppp uses a loc of the noun instead of the gen : *asambādham badhyato* (read *ma*) *mānavānām* (Ppp *veṣu*) AV. Ppp.

Kauś See §623. 'In the midst of men': 'in the midst, among men'

§675. The gen after adjectives of nearness or similarity is doubtless to be felt as objective in character:

syāma sumnasyādhrigo (SV *sumne te adhrigo*) RV. SV. Preceded by *ni nedṛṣṭhatamā iṣah* 'Let us be nearest to the draught, to (in) thy grace'

tam id arbhe haviṣy ā samānam it, tam in mahe vṛṇate nānyam tval RV.: *tvām arbhasya haviṣah samānam it, tvām maho vṛṇate* (TB ApŚ. add *naro*) *nānyam tval* SV. KS. TB. ApŚ. 'Him they choose alike at the small oblation and at the large': 'thee they choose alike for (equal to, equally capable of? Caland 'als gleichen für') the small' etc For the gen. with words of likeness cf Speyer VSS. §70c.

§676. Definitely objective is the genitive in the following cases, with nouns or adjectives containing verbal force, in which the two forms are practical equivalents:

aśiḥ śayata upaprk prthivyāḥ (TB. *vyām*) RV MS. TB. N.

nanāndam samrājñī bhava RV. SMB ApMB. *nanānduḥ samrājñy edhi*

AV 'Be queen over thy sister-in-law' In the same verse:

samrājñī śvaśrūm (śvaśrūvām) bhava RV. SMB ApMB.: *samrājñy uta śvaśrūvāḥ* AV.

prācyām dīśi (AV. *prācyā dīśas*) *tvam indrāsi rājā* AV. TS MS. KS In the same verse:

utodicyām vṛtrahan vṛtrahāsi TS. MS KS : *utodicyā dīśo vṛtrahan chatruho 'si* AV.

2 After the preposition *antar*

§677. Delbrück AIS p. 445 f. ignores the construction of *antar* with the gen, which is however indubitable, cf. §142 In the following

variant gen and loc. with *antar* interchange Tho another loc follows, the gen. cannot depend upon it:

ukhāyāh (TS. °*yai*, MS. KS °*yām*) *sadane sve* VS. TS MS KS Preceded by *antar agne rucā tvam*.

3 Adverbial uses

§678. In several variants we have, certainly or possibly, both cases used adverbially to express time On the gen. of time see especially Oldenberg *RVNoten* on 1 79 6

dive-dive (AV. *divo-diva*) *ā suvā bhūri paśvah* AV KS TB AŚ ŚŚ *dive-dive* is certainly loc in sense, whatever be the historic origin of the form (see §295, and for the most recent theory of the form Sturtevant, *TAPA* 62 [1931] 20)

madhu naktam utośasah (KS °*sā*, TS TA °*si*) RV VS TS. MS KS ŚB TA BṛhU MahānU Kauś See §584

aktor vyuṣṭau partakmyāyāh (and °*yām*) RV (both) Uncertain; see *RVRep.* on 5 30. 13 and literature there quoted, especially Oldenberg *RVNoten*

§679. The following variant is also obscure and dubious We are inclined to feel that both *parīṇasah* and °*si* are adverbial (not, of course, temporal), meaning approximately 'with plenty' or 'plentifully' Despite Oldenberg *RVNoten* ad loc we incline to separate the word from *kasya*. But it may be felt as ablative rather than genitive Ludwig's interpretation makes it adnominal gen with *dhryo*, which is perhaps also possible:

kasya nūnam parīṇasah (SV. °*si*) RV. SV Followed by *dhryo jinvasi dampate* (SV *satpate*)

4 Dependent on verbs

§680. Verbs of drinking take a (partitive) genitive, which varies with a locative once or twice (as well as an accusative, §501)

papuh sarasvatyā nadyāh (MŚ °*tyām nadyām*, KŚ ApŚ °*tīm nadīm*) Vait KŚ ApŚ MŚ

tava (SV. *tve*) *viśve sajośasah* RV SV Followed by *devāsah pītīm āśata* Here *pītīm āśata* 'obtained a drink' may be regarded as a periphrasis for 'drank', and it seems to us that the gen and loc are both best regarded as comparable to the same cases in the preceding variant, so Ludwig construes *tava*, while Grassmann takes it as possessive, 'thy drink', and Benfey takes *tve* as dependent on *sajośasah* Wackernagel 3 p 462 quotes *tve* here as the only new post-Rigvedic occurrence of the form

§681. The gen in the following, with root *rā*, seems also to be partitive, it may be influenced by the gen. in the following clause:

yā sarasvatī veśabhaginī tasyā no (ApŚ *viśobhaginā tasyām me*) *rāsva tasyās te bhaktīvāno bhūyāma* KS. ApŚ. 'Grant us (me) [a share] of (in) her.'

§682. With the verb *adhi-* 'think on' the gen (or acc) is regular In the following variant MG. has a loc, perhaps influenced by thought of the literal, etymological meaning 'go upon' (*adhi* + loc.). It should also be noted that the following pāda (*yeṣu saumanasam mahat* in MG.) has a loc which is approximately parallel and may have exercised some influence:

yeṣām (MG. *yeṣu*) *adhyeti pravasan* AV. VS ApŚ. LŚ ŚG. HG. MG

5. Transfer of epithet

§683. Transfer of epithet (§§14-6) is found in the following:

śuciḥ śukre ahany ojaśnā (MS *ahann ojaśne*, KS † *śukro ahany ojaśye*, AŚ *'hany ojaśnām*) TS MS KS AŚ. See §447.

svasty apsu vṛjane svarvatī (MG † *vrajane svarvataḥ*) RV. AB. MG. 'In the bright place': 'in the path of the bright one.' The original has an epithet of *vṛjane*, which MG. changes to an independent form. Some MG. mss. point to the RV. reading.

6 Miscellaneous

§684. The rest are unclassifiable:

ava tara (TS. *avattaram*) *nadīṣv ā* VS TS. MS. KS ŚB : *avattaro nadīnām* AV Too obscure to make any interpretation of *nadīnām* worth giving, see VV 2 §833

samsṛṣṭāsu yutsu indro gaṇeṣu MS.: *samsṛṣṭā sa yudha indro gaṇena* RV. AV. SV VS TS. KA See §607

agnā u (ŚB. also *agner*) *vasuvane vasudheyasya vetu vaujhaḥ* ŚB (both) AŚ Ritualistic rigmarole, various case-forms of *agni* are used in mechanical modulations of the formula

asūrte (MS KS. *°tā*, KapŚ *°tāh*) *sūrte rajasi niṣatte* (MS *niṣattā*, KS. *na sattā*) RV. VS MS KS KapŚ. N.: *asūrtā sūrtā rajaso vimāne* TS The latter is a reconstruction containing a reminiscence of RV. 10 123 1, which contains *rajaso vimāne*

mā no (mā) *hinsy janitā yah prthivyāh* (KapŚ. *°yām*) RV VS. VSK. TS MS KS KapŚ ŚB ApŚ Raghu Vira emends to *°yāh* (cf Oertel 19), but the loc can be construed with the following pāda.

CHAPTER XXVII

SINGULAR AND PLURAL

1. *Generalizing singular and plural*

§685. Among the variations between singular and plural (see §§112-7 for a summary), the great majority concern the use of a generic singular, in variation with a plural which may be specific, referring to particular individuals of the class, or may itself be general, including them all. As a rule there is little real difference of meaning between the two forms. The singular tends to think of the class as a whole, the plural of the individuals which compose it. Sometimes the singular seems to be a distinct collective, but more often it merely indicates the genus, without centering the attention upon the individuals which compose it. For instance, as in military language today, 'the enemy' may mean the whole hostile army. Likewise 'the sacrifice', as an institution or type, varies with 'sacrifices' as individual acts (but usually not conceived specifically, rather all 'sacrifices' in general). And so one may say equally well 'good deed', generically, or 'good deeds', 'food' or 'foods'; 'praise' or 'praises'; 'heroism' or 'heroisms' (that is, deeds of heroism), and so forth.

§686. In some of the cases which we shall feel obliged to list under this heading, it is very possible that the singular may have been felt specifically, of a single definite individual. So notably with the word *agni* 'fire' (§691). At times it cannot be doubted that reference was made to one particular fire, especially one of the three sacred fires, while the plural form refers to several individuals (say, to the three sacred fires). Where we find definite evidence that the change between singular and plural was of this sort, we shall classify the variant in the appropriate place below (§740). It is, however, usually hard to identify such cases,—to separate them from instances of the generic singular, and the latter is so common and so characteristic of the Vedic language that as a rule we tend to assume it in default of clear evidence to the contrary.

Āmredita compounds

§687. Sanskrit has a special device for expressing clearly the notion of generalization in connection with a noun. the use of repeated

(*āmredita*) compounds They may be used in either the singular or the plural to denote every individual of the genus. Once a plural *āmredita* varies with a singular modified by the word *viśva* 'all', so that the two expressions are equivalent. In another case a singular *āmredita* varies with an obviously generalizing plural which, tho there is no word like *viśva*, can only mean substantially the same thing:

viśo-viśah pravivānsam imahe AV: *viśvasyām viśi pravivivānsam* (KS.† *pravivānsam*) *imahe* TS MS KS.

ruho ruoha (TB *roham-roham*) *rohita ā ruoha* AV. TB

§688. We now proceed to give the lists containing a presumably generalizing singular in variation with a plural, arranging them under semantic categories, beginning with:

§689. (1) *Words connected with the ritual* Among ritual words we find first the 'sacrifice' itself (*yajña*) and its more specific designations (*havya*, *havis*, etc.):

asmān rāya ula yajñāh (KS.† *yajñah*) *sacantām* TS KS ApŚ.: *yuṣmān rāya ula yajñā asaścata* MS.

yajñāh yad yajñāvāhasah AV.: *yajñair vā* (TB *vo*) *yajñāvāhasah* RV TS. TB.

tvaṣṭā rūpāni (AŚ *rūpena*) *samanaktu yajñāh* (AŚ *yajñam*) ŚB TB. AŚ ŚŚ. KŚ.

bodhāmasi tvā haryaśva yajñāh (MŚ. *yajñe*) RV. SV. MŚ See §602 *yajñāya stīrṇabarhiṣe vi vo made* RV. AŚ: *yajñeṣu stīrṇabarhiṣam vivakṣase* SV.

brahma yajñāh (MS *yajñāh*) *prthivīm dhārayanti* AV. MS

devebhyo havyam (MS MŚ MG. *havyā*) *vahatu prajānan* RV. AV VS TS MS KS ŚB. TB. Vait. MŚ Kauś MG.

havyā marṣeṣu ranayati RV.: *havyam martāsa vidhate* SV.

jātavedah prati havyā grbhāya AV. SMB: *jātavedo havir idam juṣasva* AŚ ApŚ MŚ HG.

agnir havir (AV. VS TS. MS *havyam*) *śamitā sūdayāti* (AV. *svadayatu*) RV AV VS. TS MS KS.: *agnir havyāni sūvadati* RV.: *agnir havyā suśūdati* RV.

alandro havyā (SV *havyam*) *vahasi havirṣkte* RV. SV

yajñair juhōti havirṣā yajuṣā (TB *juhōti yajuṣā havirbhiḥ*) AV. TB.: *yajñair vidhema namasā havirbhiḥ* RV. AV. TS MS. KS.

purā satyād (TB. *dīṣṭād*) *āhutim hanū asya* (TB *āhutir asya hantu*) AV TB.

ya āhutim (JUB °*ir*) *atyamanyanta devāh* AV JUB: *tās te gachantu āhutim* (KS. °*ir*) *ghṛtasya* TS KS.

- pūlara āyusmantas te svadhayāyusmantah* (PG. *svadhābhīr āyus*^o) TS PG §690. The words used at the sacrifice, whether spoken or sung (*stoma*, *prāśasti*, *arka*, *uktha*, *brahman*, *mati*, *sūktā*, *yajus*, *sāman*). Here also may be placed the variants involving the words *dhī*, *veda*, *chandas*, and several others that have to do with meter.
- taved u stomam* (SV. *stomais*) *ciketa* RV. AV. SV.
- stomena prati bhūṣati* RV : *stomebhīr bhūṣati prati* SV.
- apām uta prāśastīṣu* (RV.† *prāśastaye*, AV VSK **tibhīh*) RV. AV. VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB.
- tava prāśastayo mahīh* (SV. **śastaye mahe*) RV. SV.
- yañ mītram na prāśastībhīh* (SV. **taye*) RV SV
- ghṛtenārkaṁ abhy arcanti vatsam* AV.: *taṁ arkair abhyarcanti vatsam* TB
- agnir ukthēna vāhasā* VS TS MS KS AŚ. ŚŚ.: *agnir uktheṣo anhasu* AV.
- vocad brahmāṇi* (SV. *brahmeti*) *ver u tat* RV. SV. See §269.
- sañ brahmanā* (AV. **ñām*) *devakṛtam* (RV. AV. *devahutam*) *yad asti* RV. AV. Ppp. VS TS. MS KS ŚB TB. See §587.
- idañ brahma pīpṛhi saubhagāya* MS : *imā brahma pīpihi saubhagāya* VS. KS ŚB (Eggeling wrongly considered *brāhma* a vocative)
- ato matīr* (SV. *matīm*) *janayata svadhābhīh* RV. SV.
- imā* (TS. *imām*) *rudrāya tavase kapardine*, *kṣayadvīrāya pra bharāmahe* *matīh* (TS *matim*) RV. VS TS MS KS
- sūktā* (KS. *sūktam*) *brūhi* VS. MS. KS. TB. ŚŚ. KŚ ApŚ MŚ.
- ṛksāmābhyām samātaranto yajurbhīh* (TS **bhyām yajuṣā samātarantah*) VS TS KS. ŚB. MŚ
- prastotah sāma gāya* MS. ApŚ : *prastotah sāmāni gāya* MS TA KŚ ApŚ. (MS p p *sāma*)
- udgātah sāmāni* (MŚ. 2. 5. 4. 25, 4. 4. 11 *sāma*) *gāya* GB. MŚ (MŚ 4. 2 10 has *sāmāni*, but v. 1 *sāma*.)
- talvāya savitā dhīyah* (VS. *dhīyam*) VS VSK. TS. MS KS ŚB. ŚvetU
- vedasya* (MG. *vedānām*) *nidhīpo bhūyāsam* AG. PG MG
- chandāṇsi* (KS *chando*) *gacha svāhā* VS TS MS KS ŚB.
- chandasā* (MS *chandobhur*) *hansah śuciṣat* VS MS. KS. TB
- gāyatrañ chando anu samrabhadhvam* MS : *gāyatṛm chandānsy anu samrabhantām* KS ApŚ
- dvipadā yā catuṣpadā* (VS *yās catuṣpadāh*), *tripadā yā ca śatpadā* (VS *yās ca śatpadāh*), *vichandā yā* (VS *yās*) *ca sachandāh* (TS KSA *sachandā yā ca vichandāh*) VS. TS. MS KSA Supply *ṛc* or *ṛcah*
- avasyurātā bṛhatir nu śakvarīh* (MS KS *bṛhatī na śakvari*, AŚ *bṛhatī tu śakvari*) TS MS. KS. AŚ. Followed by:
- imam yajñam avalu* (TS *avantu*) *yā* (TS AŚ *no*) *ghṛtāci* (TS. **āh*) TS MS KS. AŚ.: *diśām devy* (MS *tevy*) *avatu no ghṛtāci* TS MS AŚ

vi śloka etu (AV. *eti*, TS *ŚvetU ślokā yanti*) *pathyeva* (KS *patheva*)
sūreh (AV. MS † *sūrah*, TS *ŚvetU. sūrah*, KS † *sūrah*) RV. AV
 VS TS. MS. KS ŚB. *ŚvetU*.

§691. The sacrificial fire See above, §686 It is often impossible to say whether a single fire, or the individual god Agni, is meant by the singular, or 'fire(s)' in general:

te asmā (KS 'smā) *agnaye* (ApŚ MS. v. 1. °yo) *dravnam* (KS. °nāni)
dattvā MS. KS ApŚ

agnir me hotā sa mopahvyatām ŚB : *agne grhapata upa mā hwayasva* KS.
 ApŚ. MŚ.: *agnaya upāhwayadhvam* Vait The last no doubt refers definitely to the three sacred fires.

agnaye svīṣṭakṛte svāhā TB. TAA. etc : *agnibhyah svīṣṭakṛdbhyah svāhā*
 KŚ The latter refers to the three fires.

agnim (ApŚ *agnīn*) *samādhehi* MS MŚ. ApŚ.

ihava san tatra sato vo agnayah TB ApŚ : *ihava san* (MŚ *sans*, so also
 TB Poona ed) *tatra santam tvāgne* TB AŚ MŚ

raudrenānikena pāhi māgne (VS Vait *pāta māgnayah*) VS. TS MS KS.
 ŚŚ Vait. 18. 8 Followed by:

pīpṛa māgnayah (KS *pīpṛi māgne*) VS KS Vait.

ye agnayo apsu antar ye vṛtre, ye puruṣe ye āsmanu AV.: *yo apsu antar*
agnir yo vṛtre, yah puruṣe yo āsmani MS. KS ApŚ. Ppp also
 intends the latter reading.

§692. Various utensils and appurtenances of the ritual.

ukhyam (AV *ukhyān*) *hasteṣu bibhratah* AV. VS TS MS KS ŚB.

śamudham (Kauś HG °dha) *ā dhehi* ŚB ŚG Kauś SMB PG GG HG.
asāv etat te vāsah GG : *etat vah pitaro vāsah* (VS *vāsa ādhata*) VS.

VSK AŚ SMB.: *etām vah pitaro vāsāṁsi* ApŚ HG. The 'clothing'
 is the threads, wool, or hair placed on each cake offered to the
 Pitrs

ā me graho bhavatu (KSA *grahā bhavantu*) *ā puroruk* TS KSA.

brhaspatisutasya . *graham grhnam* (KS *graham rādhyaśam*, VS VSK.

ŚB *grahān rādhyaśam*, MS *graham rādhyaśam*) VS VSK. TS MS.
 KS ŚB

nir āhāvān (KS °vam) *kṛnotana* RV. TS KS Followed or preceded by:
saṁ varatrā (KS. °trān, i e. °trām) *dadhātana*, same texts

śṛnavantu āpo dhiṣṇāś ca devīh (MS * *dhiṣṇā ca devī*) VS TS MS (bis)

KS ŚB In MS both passages show both readings in the mss ;
 von Schroeder finally adopted the singular in both passages

upāstāvah kalaśah (MŚ °vāh *kalaśāh*) *somo agnih* (MŚ *somadhānāh*)
 TS MŚ ApŚ.

śṛkvānam gharmam abhi vāvaśānā RV AV N . *trīn gharmān abhi vāva-*

§697. (2) Divine personages

yad devā devam ayañanta viśve RV : *yad devā devān hañṣāyanta* AV

Different contexts; no specific god seems to be meant in RV
ādityam (RV. VS TS. MS ŚB. *ādityān*) *viṣnum sūryam* RV AV. SV
 VS. VSK TS MS KS ŚB.

nama ādityāya divikṣite lokasprte (MU. °*smṛte*) KSA MU : *nama ādi-*
tebhyas ca viśvebhyas ca devebhyo divikṣidbhyo lokakṣidbhyah ChU
vi parjanya (TS. °*yāh*) *srjanu* (MS KS *pra parjanyah srjatām*) *rodasi*
anu RV TS. MS KS

dikṣāpālāya vanatam (TB °*pālebhyo 'vanatam*) *hi śakrā* TB AŚ
āmanasya devā (MS °*va*) *yā* (MS *yāh*, KS *yās*) *striyah* TS MS. KS
āmanasya devā (MS. °*va*) *ye putrāh* (KS *putrāsah*) MS KS
āmanasya devā (MS MS °*va*) *ye sajātāh* TS MS. KS MS 'The
 god(s) of affection' seem to be nonce-gods, whose number of course
 is also invented for the occasion

vratānām vratapate (Kauś °*layo*) *vratam acāriṣam* (MS *acārṣam*). MS
 TA Kauś

anu me dikṣām dikṣāpatir manyatām (KS *dikṣāpatayo manyadhvam*)
anu (ŚB * °*patir amanstānu*) *tapas tapaspatih* (KS °*patayah*) VS
 TS KS ŚB GB Vait : *anu ma idam vratam vratapatur manyatām*
anu dikṣām dikṣāpatir aṅgasā MS : *upa mā dikṣāyām dikṣāpatayo*
hwayadhvam MS.

niṣaṅgine (HG °*gibhyaḥ*) *svāhā* ApMB. HG *niṣaṅgin* = Rudra, the
 plural = the Rudras, or Rudra's hosts

niṣaṅgina upa sprṣata HG. : *niṣaṅgin upa sprṣa* ApMB

ārtavo 'dhipatur āsīt TS : *ārtavā adhipataya āsan* VS ŚB *rtavo 'dhipa-*
taya āsan MS. KS Perhaps better to be placed with §709.

vasūnām pavitram asī TS. MS KS TB ApŚ MS : *vasoh pavitram asī*
 VS. KS. ŚB KS

vasavaś cātṣṭhan vasudhātaraś ca AV. : *vasuś cetiṣṭho vasudhātamaś ca*
 VS TS MS KS. The latter is original, Ppp agrees with it (JAOS
 42 108).

indrāghoṣas (MS KS °*ṣās*) *tvā vasubhiḥ purastāt pātu* (KS.† *tvā vasavah*
pu° pāntu, MS *tvā purastād vasubhiḥ pāntu*) VS. TS. MS KS ŚB :
indrāghoṣā vo vasubhiḥ purastād upadadhatām TA The meaning of
indrāghoṣa and the corresponding terms in the next two variants
 is uncertain, some sort of nonce-deity, or strained epithet of a deity
 The commentators suggest the gods that are appropriate to the
 directions named (Agni, Yama, Varuna respectively).

manojavās tvā pūṛbhur (KS *pūṛaro*) *dakṣinalah pātu* (KS *pāntu*) VS TS

KS ŚB · *pītaras tvā manojavā dakṣinataḥ pāntu* MS.: *manojavaso vah pītrbhir dakṣinata upadadhatām* TA
pracetās tvā rudraḥ paścāt pātu VS TS KS ŚB : *rudrās tvā pracetasaḥ paścāt pāntu* MS . *pracetā vo rudraḥ paścād upadadhatām*. TA
dhīṣanās tvā devīr viśvadevyāvatīḥ (MS *dhīṣanā tvā devīr viśvadevyāvatīḥ*)
pṛihvyāḥ sadhasṭhe aṅgirasvad (TS 'ṅgi°) *abhīndhatām* (MS *
abhīnddhām, **abhīndhātām*) *ukhe* VS TS MS (bis) KS ŚB Cf.
 VV 1 p 255.
varūtṛṣ tvā (KS °*trīs tvā*, TS °*trayo janayas tvā*) *devīr viśvadevyāvatīḥ* .
 VS TS KS ŚB : *†varutṛī* (and *varū°*) *tvā devīr viśvadevyāvatī* MS.
 (bis) Cf prec
revatī predhā yajñapatim ā viśa MS KS.: *revatī yajamāne priyam dhā ā viśa* VS † ŚB †: *revatīr yajñapatim priyadhāviśata* TS ApŚ. The
 singular, according to ŚB, is Vāc The plural is variously inter-
 preted of different semi-deified entities
 [ādakām khādena TS † KSA No variant. The word is explained by
 TS comm as *bhahṣayitṛī devatā*, as if 'eater']

§698. (3) Words meaning people, community, place, world, region, geographical terms, and the like

pra no yacha bhuvas (AV. *viśām*) *pate* AV. TS : *pra no yacha* (KS *rāsva*)
viśas pate RV MS KS
svastidā viśas (AV MahānU *viśām*) *patih* RV. AV ŚB AdB TB. TA
 TAA MahānU. ApŚ VHDh
janām ca mitro yatati bruvāṇah RV : *mitro janān yātayati bruvāṇah* (TS
 ApŚ **prajānan*, TB ApŚ **janān kalpayati prajānan*) RV. TS KS
 TB. AŚ ApŚ (bis) MŚ N
janāya vṛktabarhiṣe RV : *janāso vṛktabarhiṣah* RV
yakṣmā yanti janād (AV ApMB *janān*) *anu* RV AV. ApMB
bhūmir itī tvābhūpramanvate janāḥ (TS *tvā janā vīduh*) AV TS : *yām*
tvā jano bhūmir itī pramandate VS MS KS ŚB
yah samgrāmān (TS MS °*mam*) *nayati* (KS *jayati*) *sam yudhe vaśī*
 (TS MS *sam vaśī yudhe*, KS. *sam vaśī yudhā*) AV. TS. KS MS.
samsraṣṭā sa yudha indro ganena RV. AV SV. VS TS KS : *samsrṣṭāsu*
yutsu indro gaṇeṣu MS
trāyatām (AV *trāyanām*) *marutām ganah* (AV. *gaṇāḥ*) RV AV.
aśastihā vṛjanam (SV. *vṛjanā*) *rakṣamāṇah* RV SV.
grāmam sajanāyo gachanti ApMB †: *grāmān sajatāyo yanti* HG.
vidmā te dhāma vibhṛtā purutṛā RV. VS ŚB · *vidmā te sadma vibhṛtam*
purutṛā TS MS KS ApMB

sugā vo devāḥ sadanā (N. *sadanam*, KŚ Kauś. °nāni) *akarma* (MS. *kṛnomi*, KS Kauś. *santu*) AV VS MS ŚB KŚ Kauś N : *sugā vo devās sadanedam astu* KS : *svagā vo devāḥ sadanam akarma* (ApŚ *sadanāni santu*) TS ApŚ

ta āvartan sadanād itasya (TS *sadanāni kṛtvā*, KS † *sadanāni rātvī*) RV AV TS MS KS (both) N.

yeṣām (RVKh *teṣām*) *apsu sadas* (TS ApMB °dah) *kṛtam* RVKh VS. TS ŚB NīlarU ApMB · *ye apsu śadānsi* (KS 'psu *śadānsi*) *cakṛre* MS KS

apām sadhīṣi sīda TS : *apām tvā sadhīṣi* (MS † *sadhīṣu*) *sādayāmi* VS MS KS ŚB

sadhasiṣu (RV * *sadhasiṣe vā*) *maho divah* RV. (bis) SV

etam sadhasiṣa (AV. KS °sthāḥ) *pari te* (AV KS. vo) *dadāmi* AV. VS TS KS. ŚB MŚ. *sadhasiṣa* = *loka*

tābher vahanaṁ sukrītāṁ u lokam (TA *vahemaṁ sukrītāṁ yatra lokāḥ*) RV AV. TA : *vahāsi mā* (KS † *vahānsi sā*) *sukrītāṁ yatra lokāḥ* (KS.† *lokah*) KS TB ApŚ

jātavedo vahemaṁ (ŚŚ *vahasvamaṁ*) *sukrītāṁ yatra lokah* (TA *lokāḥ*) TA. ŚŚ : *jātavedo nayā hy* (read *nayāsy?*) *enam sukrītāṁ yatra lokah* JB

śundhatām lokah pitṛśadanāḥ TS ApŚ : *śundhantām* (AV *śumbhantām*) *lokāḥ pitṛśadanāḥ* AV VS MS. KS ŚB MŚ

vi jākṛṣva lokam kṛnu (TA *jākṛṣva lokān kṛdhi*) AV TA

te brahmalokeṣu (TA. °loke tu) *parāntakāle* TA MahānU MundU KaivU.

viduṣṭaro diva ārodhanāni RV.. *vidvān ārodhanam divah* RV KS

yasminn idam viśvam bhuvanam adhi śrītam TS · *yasmīn viśvāni bhuvanāni* (MS *viśvā bhuvanādhi*) *tasṭhuh* RV VS MS

antarikṣasya dhartrīm viṣṭambhanīm dīśām adhiṣatnīm bhuvanānām (MS *dīśām bhuvanasyādhiṣatnīm*) VS MS. KS ŚB *viṣṭambhanī dīśām adhiṣatnī bhuvanānām* TS

tvaṣṭedam viśvam bhuvanam jajāna VS TS KSA *tvaṣṭemā viśvā bhuvanā jajāna* MS

sa idam viśvam (TS TB *sa viśvā bhuvō*) *abhavat sa ābhavat* AV TS TB · *sa viśvā bhuvā ābhavaḥ* RV AV.

sa viśvam (AV *viśvā*) *prati cākṛpat* (AV. °pe) AV AŚ ŚŚ.

na tam vidātha ya imā (TS KS *idam*) *jajāna* RV VS TS. MS KS N

imā = *bhuvanāni*, *idam* = *viśvam*, or the like
bhūtasya (PB *bhūtānām*) *jātaḥ patir eka āsīt* RV AV VS VSK TS
MS KS KSA PB ŚB N

tena (TS. *tayā*) *roham āyann upa* (AB. *rohān ruruhur*) *medhyāsah* AV.
VS TS. MS KS ŚB. *roha* = *svarga*.

svargam arvanto jayema Vait : *svargān* (AŚ. text, *svagān*¹) *arvanto jayata*
(AŚ *jayatah*) SV AŚ ŚŚ : *svargān* (text *svagān*) *arvato jayati* AŚ.
abhimam (TS. MS † °*mām*, MS. MS. v. 1 °*mān*) *mahinā* (VS °*mā*,
delete MS. v. 1. in Conc.) *divam* (MS *divah*) VS TS MS. TA ApŚ.
MS.

sa vāji rocanā (SV. *rocanam*) *divah* RV. SV

ye aprathethām amitā yojanām (ArS *amītam abhi yojanam*) AV. ArS
vidānā asya yojanam (SV °*nā*) RV. SV

tredhā nī dadhe padam (AV. MS *padā*) RV. AV SV VS TS MS. KS.
ŚB N. The adverb *tredhā* probably suggested the change to the
plural

mṛtyoh padam (MG. *padāni*) *yopayanto*. . RV AV. TA MG

parācīm (RV. °*cīr*) *anu samvatam* (RV. °*tah*) RV. AV.

ūrvān (SV. *ūrvam*) *dayanta gonām* RV SV. VS.

adhvāna skabhnīta (VS *skabhnwantah*) VS TS : *vājam vājino jaya-*
tādhvānam (KS °*dhvanas*) *skabhnwantanto yojanā mimānāḥ* MS. KS
(The MS passage is entered in the Conc. also under *adhvānam*
etc) : *vājino vājayito 'dhvāna* (VSK *vājino vājam jāyatādhvāna*)
skabhnwantanto yojanā mimānāḥ kāsṭhām gachata VS VSK ŚB
āgatya vājy adhvānam (TS. ApŚ *adhvanah*) VS. TS. MS KS ŚB ApŚ.
MS

adhvanām adhvapate pra mā tira svasti me 'smīn pathi devayāne bhūyāt
VS : *adhvanām adhvapate svasti me 'dyāsmīn devayāne pathi bhūyāt*
PB : *adhvano adhvapātir asi svasti no 'dyāsmīn devayāne pathi stāt*
(read *syāt*) ŚŚ

tīrah purū cid arnavam jaganvān (SV. *arṇavān jagamyāḥ*) RV. AV. SV
ghṛtasya kulyām anu TS MS KS ŚB : *ghṛtasya kulyā upa* VS. VSK.
kṣetrasya (VS. TS. KS *kṣetrānām*) *pataye namah* VS TS MS. KS.

samā bhavantūdvato (TS. °*vatā*) *nīpādāḥ* TV. TS KS

antān prthivyā divah TB ApŚ : *tad antān prthivyā adhi* MS.

vavrān anantān (AV *vavram anantam*) *ava sā padīṣṭa* RV. AV.

[*tatrā* (AV. *tatra*) *yamah sādānā* (TA °*nāt*) *te minotu* (AV. *kṛṇotu*) RV.
AV. † TA. See §496]

hradās ca pundarikānī RV. : *hrado vā pundarikavān* AV. 'Ponds (and)
lotus-pools': 'a pond full of lotuses'.

eṣa te janate rājā MS. KS. MS : *eṣa vo janatā rājā* ApŚ This formula,
used in the *rājasūya* ceremony, is meant to have the appropriate
name of the tribe substituted for the collective *janatā*. In ApŚ

the formula is preceded by actual formulas of the type. *eṣa vah pañcālā rājā*, and it is possible (as Keith suggests on TS 1 8 10 2 n 6) that their plurals have produced the plural *janatāh* of this formula

§699. (4) Words denoting living beings (plants, animals, men), and first, plants

antarikṣāya tvā vanaspataye (KS °*patibhyah*) KS MŚ

vanaspatayā ulūkān MS *vanaspatibhya ulūkān* VS

vanaspataye (sc *namah*) ŚG *vanaspatibhyah* (sc *namah*) MG MDh

vanaspataye svāhā Kauś. *vanaspatibhyah svāhā* VS TS MS KS KSA TB ApŚ

addhū tṛnam aghnye viśvadānīm RV AV KŚ ApŚ N *attu tṛnāni* SMB GG, and cf the other references in the Conc under this last quotation, all of which have the plural

anśum (AV *anśūn*) *babhastī* (ApŚ *gabhastī*) *haritebhīr āsabhīh* AV KS ApŚ

dūrṇā rohanṭu puṣpinīh (AV *rohatu puṣpinī*) RV AV. Some mss of AV, followed by comm and SPP, read as RV, and Ppp likewise, as reported by Whitney

śatamūlā śatāñkurā TA MahānU *śatamūlāh śatāñkurāh* MahānU

The pādas refer to the *dūrṇā*-plant, singular or plural

avakām (MS KSA *avakān*, but MS p p *avakām*) *dantamūlāh* VS MS KSA ŚB

aghadviṣṭā devajūtā, vīruc chapathayopanī AV *atharvyuṣṭā devajūtā, vīdu chapathajambhanīh* ApŚ Thq ApŚ is corrupt in this line, it undoubtedly intends a plural, as the verb of the following line shows Caland translates as the singular, evidently using the AV readings in part

adhā (athā) śatakratvo (°*kratavo*, °*krato*) *yūyam*, see §229a Plants are addressed everywhere in the plural, yet all texts have sing *amba* in pāda 2, to this MS KapŚ assimilate the adjective

§700. Animals Here also some words denoting all living beings as a collective whole, and some pādas in which man is included in a list with other animals The word *prajā* is placed in §702 below

jagatām (MS KS *jagatas*) *pataye namah* VS TS MS KS

ā vatso jagatā (AG *jāyatām*, PG *jagadāh*) *saha* AV AG PG HG MG

ApMB PG's *jagada* (cf VV 2 §60) is said to mean 'attendant, companion'; then 'the calf with its companions'

gaur āsvah puruṣah paśuh AV. TA: *gām āsvam puruṣam jagat* (AV

- paśum*, RVKh *aśvān puruṣān aham*) RVKh AV. TA. MahānU ApMB HG. *gā aśvān puruṣān paśūn* MŚ.
- tvayā gām aśvam puruṣam* (HG. *gā aśvān puruṣān*) *sanema* AV. HG *ghṛtenāklau paśūns* (VSK TS KS ApŚ *paśum*) *trāyethām* VS VSK. TS MS KS ŚB. ApŚ MŚ
- paśūn* (TS. *paśum*) *na gopā uryah pariymā* RV TS. KSA.
- oṣadhībhyah paśave no* (KS MŚ ApŚ *paśubhyo me*) *janāya* (KS. MŚ ApŚ † *dhanāya*) TS KS ApŚ MŚ
- askan gām ṛṣabho yuvā* KŚ : *askān ṛṣabho yuvā gāh* TB TA. ApŚ *yad amuṣṇitam avasam paṇim gāh* (TB *goh*) RV TB. See §505
- upahūtā gāvah saharṣabhāh* ŚB : *upahūtā dhenuh saharṣabhā* (AŚ ŚŚ † *saharṣabhā*) TS. TB AŚ ŚŚ.
- nṛbhṛ yatah kṛnute nirṇijam hāh* (SV. *gām*) RV SV. *gāh* (*gām*) = milk. *vatsam jātam na dhenavah* (SV. *mātarah*, AV. *jātam wāghnyā*) RV. AV. SV.
- payo grheṣu payo aghnyāyām* (TB ApŚ. *aghnyāsu*) AB. TB AŚ ApŚ : *payo aghnyāsu payo vatseṣu* MŚ.
- marutām pṛṣatīr* (VSK. *pṛṣatīm*) *gacha* VS. VSK. ŚB
- andhākīn* (TS. °he, KSA °heh) *sthūlagudayā* (MS. *sthūrag°*, TS. KSA. *sthūragudā*) VS † TS MS † KSA.
- rabhīyasa* (MS TB Poona ed. °bhīyānsam) *va kṛtvī karad evam devo vanaspatīr juṣatām haviḥ* VS MS. KS TB. The Hotṛ is to 'make stronger' a number of sacrificial animals.
- tatratam* (VS KS °tān) *prastutyevopastutyevopāvasrakṣat* VS MS. KS. TB In the same passage as the preceding
- babhravah saumyāh* VS MS. ApŚ. : *babhruh saumyah* VS. TS KSA
- viśvebhyyo devebhyyah pṛṣatān* (MS * °tāh) VS. MS (bis) : *viśveṣām devānām pṛṣatah* VS.
- ākhuḥ sṛjayā śayandakas te maitrāh* (KSA ms *śayāṇdakās te maitryāh*) TS KSA : *sārgah sṛjayah śayāṇdakās te maitrāh* VS MS. Von Schroeder emends KSA to the TS reading—with doubtful justice
- kapota* (MS °tā) *ulūkah śaśas te nirṛtyan* (TS KSA *nairṛtāh*) VS. TS MS KSA The p p. of MS reads *kapotāh*, but despite this it is probably only MS's peculiar sandhi for *kapótāh ulūkah*.
- §701. Human beings General words, such as *puruṣa*, *martya*, as well as the word *mṛta* in one variant; also one variant involving a designation of an occupation, and one referring to men as 'sleeping' (*svapant*). *mā hinsīh puruṣam jagat* VS TS MS. KS. ŚvetU. : *mā hinsīh puruṣān mama* NīlarU.
- aṇṇano omṛta marīyeṣo ā* (SV. *martyāya kam*) RV. SV.

nveśayann amṛtam marīyam (TB *amṛtān marīyāns*) ca RV. VS TS MS TB

jīvām ṛtebhyah (read *mṛtebhyah*) *parinīyamānām* AV.: *mṛtāya jīvām parinīyamānām* TA

śunam kīnāśā abhi (AV. *anu*) *yantu* (MS *kīnāśo abhy etu*) *vāhaḥ* (AV TS *vāhān*) RV. AV VS TS MS KS ŚB.

yā svapantam bodhayati (HG. *svapatsu jāgati*) ApMB HG. See §548 §702. Words denoting family relationships, including *sakhī*, and *prajā* in its various meanings

suprajāḥ prajābhīḥ (VS *prajābhī*, ?misprint for *bhīḥ*, VSK *prajāyā*, ŚŚ *prajābhīr*) *syām* (VSK ŚŚ *bhūyāsam*) VS VSK ŚB AŚ ŚŚ : *suprajāḥ prajābhīḥ syāma* (VSK *prajāyā bhūyāsam*) VS VSK ŚB

dive pṛthivyai śam ca prajāyā (SV *prajābhyah*) RV SV *dhātā prajāyā* (AŚ *prajānām*) *ula rāya īše* TS AŚ. ŚŚ ŚG. ApMB

prajā (Vait *prajāṃ*) *jīva* TS KS PB Vait

prajābhyah svāhā TS KSA. TB *prajāyā svāhā* TB

prajāḥ (AG PG *prajāṃ*) *pra janayānvahai* JUB AG PG *prajāṃ ā janayānvahai* AV.

prajāḥ (KapŚ *prajāṃ*) *pīpartī bahudhā* (RV VS *pupoṣa purudhā*) *vi rājati* RV SV ArŚ VS MS KS KapŚ ApŚ Oertel 12

prajāpatir janayati prajā imāḥ AV. *prajāṃ dadātu* (KS *pīpartu*) *parivatsaro nah* MS KS ApŚ : *prajāpatī ramayatu prajā īha* KS ā *nah* (AV *vām*) *prajāṃ janayatu prajāpatih* RV. AV MS KS ApŚ MŚ AG. SMB ApMB

prajāpatih prajāyā samrarānah AV. VS MS JB ŚŚ MŚ : *prajāpatih prajāyā* (Ppp. Vait Kauś *prajābhīḥ*) *samvādanah* Ppp TS KS PB TB TA MahānU NrpU. Vait. ApŚ. Kauś.

garbham (ŚŚ *garbhān*) *dhallam svastaye* TB ŚŚ

sa nah piteva sūnave (AV. KS * *putrebhyah*) RV AV. VS TS MS KS. (ter) ŚB The contexts are different

putram paultram (ApMB. HG. *putrān paultrān*) *abhitarpayantīḥ* AV. Kauś ApMB HG.

piteva putram (AV Kauś *putrān*) *abhi* (ŚG *īha*) *rakṣatād imam* (VS. ŚB *imān*) AV VS TS ŚB TB TA AŚ ŚG Kauś. *piteva putram jarase ma emam* (Ppp KS HG ApMB *nayemam*) Ppp MS KS. HG. ApMB

vīram (VSK. *vīrān*) *videya tava devī* (TS KS. TA om.) *sandṛśi* VS. VSK TS KS ŚB TA

vīram dhatta (AŚ *vīram me datta*, MŚ *vīram no datta*) *pitarah* AŚ. ApŚ

MŚ : *vīrān nah pīaro dhatta* V1Dh : *vīrān me pīaro* (also *tatā-mahā* and *pratātāmahā*) *datta* Kauś.

tatra paśyema pīarau ca putrān (TA *pīaram ca putram*) AV TA.

svadhā pītāmahāya (Kauś °*mahebhyaḥ*) AŚ MŚ Kauś In the same texts with the same variation in number: *svadhā putre* (*putrbhyaḥ*), and *svadhā prapītāmahāya* (°*mahebhyaḥ*).

prapītāmahān bibharti pinvamānah (TA † °*maham bibharat pinvamāne*) AV. TA

syonam patye (AV. *patibhyo*) *vahatum kṛṇuṣva* (AV. *kṛṇu tvam*) RV. AV. SMB. ApMB MG. N

samrājñī śvaśure bhava RV. ŚG SMB. ApMB : *samrājñy edhi śvaśureṣu* AV

o cit sakhāyam sakhyā vavṛtyām RV. AV. : *ā tvā sakhāyah sakhyā vavṛtyuh* SV.

pratīkṣante śvaśuro devarāś ca AV. : *pratīkṣantām †śvaśruvo devarāś ca* ApMB. Change of gender also (§782). As to *devarāś* (°*rāś*), both are plural, one from stem *devr*, one from *devara* (correct Whitney's note)

§703. (5) Words meaning 'enemy', 'demon', 'evil', 'sin' (for the Hindu point of view that sin is of the nature of an external evil, see Bloomfield *Aitharvaveda*, p 83), 'disease', and the like It is often difficult to distinguish between 'enemy' and 'demon', as in the case of the words *arāṭi* and *rakṣas*

ayam śatrūn (KapŚ *śatrum*) *jayatu* . VS VSK TS MS KS KapŚ ŚB. TA AŚ Oertel 76

bhūyasam ā dhehi śatruṣu (SV *śatrave*) RV SV.

tādītūnā (TB. Poona ed *tādīknā*) *śatrum* (TB. *śatrūn*) *na kilā vivitse* (TB *vivitse*, Poona ed *vivitse*) RV. TB

dviṣantas tapyantām bahu MŚ . *dviṣan me bahu śocatu* TB. ApŚ

dviṣatām pātū anhasaḥ RV : *durītāt pātū anhasaḥ* AV. The reported reading of Ppp is *dviṣatas pātu tebhyaḥ*

yavaya (v. 1 °*yā*) *dveṣo asmat* MŚ : *yāvayāsmad dveṣam* (v 1 °*ṣah*) KS. : *yavayāsmad dveṣaḥ* (TA *aghā dveṣānsi*) VS TS. ŚB TA. Kauś

dviṣantām (AV. *sapatnān*) *mama* (RV. AV. *mahyam*) *randhayan* RV. AV. TB ApŚ : *dviṣanś ca mahyam radhyatu* AV. : *dviṣanto radhyantām mahyam* MŚ

mā cāham dviṣate radham AV : *mā tv aham dviṣatām radham* MŚ : *mo aham dviṣate* (TB. ApŚ. °*to*) *radham* RV. TB. ApŚ

avāham bādha upabhrītā sapatnān (KS *dviṣantam*) KS. TB. ApŚ.

ye no dṛṣantī anu tām rabhasva AV *yo no dṛeṣṭi tanūm rabhasva* MŚ :
yo no dṛeṣṭi anu tam ravasva (read *rabhasva*?) ApŚ

prayutam dṛeṣah VS . *prayutā dṛeṣānsi* MS KS ApŚ. MŚ. The VS
 comm : 'hostility is removed' This may be the interpretation of
 the plural also; or both singular and plural may mean: 'the enemy
 (is) are destroyed.'

mākim brahmadviṣo (SB. °*ṣam*) *vanah* RV AV SV

turyāma dasyūn tanūbhīh RV : *sāhyāma dasyum tanūbhīh* SV (Ben-
 fey's text has *dasyūm* Is this a misprint for *dasyum*? B.trans-
 lates by a singular)

(*yo nah supṭān jāgrato vābhidāsād*) *tām pratīco nṛdaha jātavedah* AV :
 (*agne yo no anī śapati yaś ca dūre.*) *tam pratyāñcam sam daha*
jātavedah ApŚ

mā tvā pariparino (TS *pariparī*) *vidan* (TS † *vidat*, KS. *tvā pariparino*
vidan mā paripantīnah, MS *tvā pariparino mā paripantīnah*)
 VS TS MS KS. ŚB.

tvam tām agne menyāmenān kṛnu svāhā AV : *tam agne menyāmenim kṛnu*
 TB : *īayāgne tvam menyāmum amenim kṛnu* TB Preceded in all
 three passages by a relative clause with *yo abhidāsati* (AV. *abhi-*
dāsāt) and various methods of attack in the instrumental

ye 'smān abhyaghāyanti AV : *yo asmān abhyaghāyati* AV.

pra sakṣati pratimānam pṛthvyāh AV : *pra sākṣate pratimānāni bhūri*
 RV AV N.

amī ye vīratā (MS. °*tāh*, KS °*tās*) *sthana* (MS *stha*) AV. MS KS :
asau yo vīmanā janah RVKh.

bhetāram bhañgurāvatah (VSK °*tām*) VSK TS *hanāram bhañgurā-*
vatām (AV. °*tah*) RV AV VS MS KS. The precise nuance of
 meaning denoted by *bhañgurāvat* is uncertain, 'treacherous' and
 'destructive' have been suggested.

śaphārujam (AV °*rujo*) *yena paśyasi yātudhānam* (AV °*nān*) RV. AV.
utārebhānān ṛṣṭībhir yātudhānān AV . *ālebhānād ṛṣṭībhir yātudhānāt* RV.

See §495

yātudhānam kimīdinam AV *yātudhānān kimīdinah* AV

amūn kṛtyākṛto jahī AV : *ava kṛtyākṛtam jahī* (Ppp. *prati kṛtyākṛto daha*)
 AV Ppp

nī duryoṇa āvṛnān mṛdhravācah (and °*vācam*) RV (both)

yāvayārātīh (MS Kauś °*tim*, MS p p and KS *yāvayārātīm*) VS TS
 MS. KS ŚB Kauś

sahasvārātīh (MS KS °*tim*) *sahasva pṛtanāyatah* (TS *sahasvārātīyatah*)
 VS TS MS. KS ŚB.

sugārhapalyo vdahann arātīh (AV. *vilapann arātim*) AV. TB. ApŚ.
alakṣmīr (RVKh. Scheft. °mīn, i e. °mīm) *nāṣayāmy aham* RVKh. TAA.

Comm. on TAA interprets by *alakṣmīm*.

saṃjñānau vijahatām arātīh TB ApŚ : *āprīṇāṇau vijahatā arātim* MS. KS.

pratyusṣam rakṣah pratyusṣā arālayah (MS. KS. *pratyusṣārātīh*) VS. TS
 MS KS. JB ŚB. TB. AŚ. ApŚ Kauś

hanti rakṣo bādhatē pary arātīh (SB. °tim) RV. SV.

nirdagdā arālayah TS. TB. ApŚ : *nirdagdāhārātīh* MS KS.

parāpūtā (VSK *pratyapūtā*) *arālayah* VS. VSK TS ŚB. TB. ApŚ.:
parāpūtārātīh MS KS

avadhūtā arālayah (MS. KS *avadhūtārātīh*) VS. TS MS. KS. ŚB. TB
 ApŚ.

sahasva no abhīmātīm AV.: *sahasva me arātīh* VS.

ni mitraṃ arātīm alārū MS. KS.: *ni mitra eva arātīm alārū* TS TB.
 Different words.

śiṣṭe śrīge rakṣase (AV. *rakṣobhyo*) *vinikṣe* (KS. °nakṣe) RV. AV. TS.
 KS. N.

idam aham rakṣasām (VSK. TS MS KS MŚ. °so) *grīvā api kṛntāmi*
 VS VSK TS MS. KS. ŚB. MŚ.

srjad dhārā va yad dānavān han SV.: *srjo vi dhārā va dānavam han*
 RV. N.

tam iḥo nāṣayāmasi RV. AV. MG : *tān iḥo nāṣayāmasi* AV. Both *tam*
 and *tān* refer to lists of demons and superhuman foes

apām napāt pratrakṣann asuryam (MS °kṣad *asuryān*) VS TS. MS.
 KS. ŚB. *asuryam* is usually translated 'the demons' power.' Is
 it not rather collective 'the demon(s)', with MS.'s variant referring
 to the individual demons?

apa protha (AV. *sedha*) *dundubhe duchunā* (AV. *duchunām*, TS *duchu-*
nān, p p °nān) *utah* RV AV. VS. TS. MS. KSA *duchunā*, 'mis-
 fortune', is perhaps personified as a demon.

apsarasāv anu dattām ṛnam nah (TB. TA *ṛṇāni*) AV. TB. TA.: *apsa-*
rasām anudattānṛnām MS. (p p *anu*, *dattāni*, *ṛṇāni*).

enānsi cakṛmā vayam AV. VS MS KS TB : *enaś cakṛmā vayam* TS.
 KS TB.

cakṛma yac ca duṣkṛtam AB : *cakṛma yāni duṣkṛtā* KS. TA.

yat kuśīdam apratīlam (*apratīlam*, *apradattam*) *mayi* (*mayeha*) TS. MS.

TA MŚ. SMB.: *yāny apāmityāny apratīlāny* (°rītāny) *asmī* TB.

ApŚ : *apāmityam apratīlam yad asmī* AV

idam aham tam valagam (MS KS. *tān valagān*) *ut kirāmi* (VSK. TS.

- MS. KS. ApŚ *ud vapāmi*) *yam (yān)* VS VSK. TS MS KS
 ŚB ApŚ
atrivad vah kṛimayo (Ppp *atrival tvā kṛme*) *hanmi* AV Ppp : *atrivā tvā*
kṛme hanmi TA ApŚ : *halas te atrivā kṛimih* (GG. *kṛmih*) SMB
 GG
tā no amīvām (TB *amīvā*) *apabādhamānau* MS TB
apāmīvām (TB ApŚ *apāmīvā*) *apa rakṣānsi sedha* RV. MS KS TB.
 ApŚ.
apa snehātī (SV *snāhātī*) *nṛmanā adhatta* (SV. *adadhrāh*, KS † *nṛmanām*
adadhrām) RV AV SV. KS The meaning of the word *snehātī*
 or *snāhātī* is uncertain, it appears clear, however, that it must be
 something dreaded.
ava devānām yaja hedo agne (KS *yaje hīdyāni*, MŚ *yaje hedyāni*) AV.
 KS MŚ : *agne devānām ava heda iyakṣva* (KS *ikṣva*) KS ApŚ.
tasmīn pāśān pratimuñcāma elān MS. KS : *yam dvīṣmas tasmīn prati*
muñcāmi pāśam TS ApŚ
adūtīh pāśam (MS KS *pāśān*) *pra mumoktv elam* (MS. KS *elān*) TS.
 MS KS ApŚ
druhaḥ pāśān (TS KS. *pāśam*) *prati sa* (KS. *ṣū*) *mucīṣṭa* (AV *prati*
muñcatām sah) RV AV TS MS KS
ayasmayam vicṛtā (KS *visṛtā*) *bandham elam* VS TS MS KS. ŚB .
ayasmayān vicṛtā bandhapāśān AV.
 [*indrānamutram naḥ paścāt* (VSK. °*tram paścān me*) AV. VSK KS.
 The sole ms of KS reads °*mutrān*, and so Conc , but v Schroeder
 is obviously right in emending to °*tram*]

- §704. (6) Words meaning a boon of some sort, such as 'aid', 'sustenance', 'food', 'wealth', 'bounty', etc
śatam bhavāsy ūtibhīh (SV VS * *ūlaye*) RV AV SV 2 34c VS (bis,
 add in Conc 27 41c with *ūlaye*) MS KS TA ApŚ.
maghavañ (°*van*) *chagdhī tava tan na ūtibhīh* (SV. PB. TB. TA ApŚ
ūlaye) TV AV SV PB TA MahānU. ApŚ
vanemā te abhiṣṭibhīh (SV °*laye*) RV. SV VS ApŚ MŚ
sadā pāhy abhiṣṭibhīh (°*laye*) RV (both)
vyaciṣṭham annaḥ (TS MS KS *annam*) *rabhasam dṛśānam* (TS † KS
vdānam) RV VS TS MS KS. ŚB
annānām (MS *annasya*) *pataye namaḥ* VS TS MS KS.
śatam kumbhān asvīcatam surāyāh (and *madhūnām*) RV. (both).
iṣam no mitrāvarunā kartanedām AA *ūrjā mitro varunah pṛnvatedāh* SV
teṣām iṣtāni sam iṣā madanti RV VS TS MS N : *sam no mahāni sam*
iṣo mahantām KS

rāyo (AV. *rayim*) *devī dadātu nah* (AV. *dadhātu me*) RV. AV.

rāyo (AV. *rayim*) *dānāya codaya* RV. SV. AV. Grassmann considers *rāyo* genitive singular; Oldenberg, however, considers it accusative plural, and the AV. reading, tho its verse shows some corruption, bears out his view.

te asmā (KS. 'smā) *agnaye* (ApŚ MS v 1 *agnayo*) *draviṇam* (KS. °ṇāni) *dativā* MS KS. ApŚ

dhattād asmabhyam dravineha (TS. ApŚ. *asmāsu draviṇam yac ca*) *bhadram* TS MS. ApŚ.

tasya ta iṣṭasya vītasya dravineha bhakṣīya TS : *tasya na iṣṭasya pṛītasya dravinehāgameh* VS. : *tasya mā yajñasyeṣṭasya vītasya dravinehāgameyāt* MS : *tasya meṣṭasya vītasya draviṇam ā gamyāt* (KS. *dravinehāgameyāh*, ApŚ *dravinehāgameh*) TS. KS. ApŚ : *tasya yajñasyeṣṭasya vītasya draviṇam māgachatu* KS (for the reading see VV 1 §104u). The first TS passage has the plural, and MS may possibly have a plural; see §346.

tu ā yajanta draviṇam (MS. KS † *dravinā*) *sam asmar* (MS *asmīn*) RV. VS. TS MS. KS

datto asmabhyam (KS. *dattvāyāsma°*, AŚ *dattvāyāsma°*, SMB *dattāsma°*) *dravineha bhadram* AV. KS AŚ SMB. *dadhatā no draviṇam yac ca bhadram* MS

aham dadhāmi draviṇam (AV. *dravinā*) *haviṣmate* RV AV.

dhr̥ṣṇave dhīyate dhanā (SV *dhanam*) RV. AV. SV.

yo devakāmo na dhanā (AV.* *dhanam*) *runaddhi* RV. AV. (bis).

maho ye dhanam (MS. KS *dhanā*, TS *ratnam*) *samītheṣu jabhr̥re* RV. VS TS. MS. KS ŚB

dadhad ratnam (AŚ. *ratnā*) *dakṣam pūṛbhya* (AŚ. ŚŚ *dakṣapūṛbhya*) *āyuni* (AV. *āyūṇṣi*) AV. AŚ. ŚŚ.

pra rādhasā codayāte (SV *rādhasā codayate*) *mahitvanā* RV SV

somo rādhasām (TS °sā) TS. MS KS

pūṣṭi sanīnām (TS. ApŚ. *sanyā*) TS. MS. KS ApŚ. MŚ.

dyumnām sudatra manhaya SV : *dyumnā sukṣatra manhaya* RV.

ayam (AŚ * *aham*) *vājam* (VS VSK ŚB *vājān*) *jayatu* (AŚ * *jayāmi*) *vājasātāu* VS VSK TS MS. KS ŚB. TB AŚ (bis)

śriyam ca lakṣmīm ca puṣṭīm (TAA * *śrīś ca lakṣmīś ca puṣṭīś*) *ca kūrītīm cānṛṇyātām brahmaṇyam bahuputrātām* TAA (bis) : *śrīś ca puṣṭīś cānṛṇyam brahmaṇyam bahuputrīm* MahēnU.

tayāham śāntiyā sarvaśāntiyā mahyam dvipade catuṣpade ca śāntīm karomi TA : *tābhīh śāntībīh sarvaśāntībīh śamayāmy aham* AV : *tayāham śāntiyā sarvaśāntiyā mahyam dvipade ca catuṣpade ca śāntīm karomi* MS.

svāhāgnam na bheṣajam (MS °*jaih*) VS. MS TB

svāhā vanaspatim priyam pātho na bheṣajam (MS °*jaih*) VS MS. TB

hiranyena varcānsi (KSA *varcah*) KS KSA

ataś ca viśvā (MundU † *sarvā*) *ośadhayo rasās* (MundU. MahānU † *rasaś*, MahānU v 1 *rasās*) *ca* TAA MahānU. MundU.

dvo dhārā (KS °*rām*) *asaścala* TS MS KS

ānandā modāḥ pramudāḥ AV . *ānando modāḥ pramodāḥ* TB : *modāḥ pramoda ānandāḥ* TB

sugebhir (AV *sugena*) *durgam atītām* RV AV SMB ApMB

atho ariṣṭatālaye RV AV PB : *atho ariṣṭatātibhāḥ* RV AV.

marmāni te varmanā (TS *varmabhiś*) *chādayāmi* RV AV. SV. VS TS

viḥhum kāmam (VS *viḥhūn kāmān*) *vy aśīya* (VS KS TB. *aśnavai*) VS MS KS TB

anu aśvair anu sarveṇa (TB ApŚ *sarvair u*) *puṣṭaiḥ* VS TB ApŚ In VS *sarvena* is paraphrased by the comm. *anyenāpi kāmēna* In TB ApŚ we may have merely the plural of this, or *sarvair* may agree with *puṣṭaiḥ* (so TB comm and Caland) In the latter case this is an instance of number attraction

pūṣā svāhākārāḥ (TA *svagākāreṇa*, KS ApŚ *svagākārāḥ*) MS KS TA. ApŚ

ubhā hi hasā vasonā prnasva VS TS ŚB : *hastau prnasva bahubhir vasavyaiḥ* AV TS MS KS

ūrjam puṣṭam vasu āveśayanti AV : *viśvā rūpāni vasūny āveśayanti* TS *saṃ palni patyā sukrīteṣu* (TB ApŚ *sukrītenu*) *gachātām* MS KS TB.

ApŚ MŚ This and the following variants are not quite at home under this heading but may be placed here better than elsewhere.

tad anu preta sukrītām u lokam VS ŚB . *taṃ anu preṭi sukrītasya lokam* TS KS Cf. prec ; tho *sukṛt* and *sukṛta* are distinct words, the phrases in which they appear with *loka* are equivalent

tābhyām (MS KS add *vayam*) *patema sukrītām u lokam* (Kauś *pathy-āśma sukrītasya lokam*) VS TS MS KS ŚB Kauś Cf prec

chandobhir yajñair sukrītām kṛtena AV : *brahmanā ḡuptaiḥ* (ApMB. *sampṛcānas*) *sukṛtā kṛtena* HG ApMB Here *sukṛt* 'righteous man' is personal in both forms of the variant, which strictly speaking does not belong here, of the preceding three, and see §580

§705. (7) Words meaning act of heroism, power, rulership, majesty, fame, ordinance, and the like

pra tad viṣṇu (*viṣṇuh*, *viṣṇus*) *stavate vīryena* (AV *vīryām*, TB ApŚ *vīryāya*) RV. AV VS MS KS ŚB TB AŚ ApŚ. NṛpU.

ita indras tiṣṭhan vīryam akr̥nod devatābhīh samārabhya MS : *ita indro akr̥nod vīryāni* TS TB : *ita indro vīryam akr̥not* VS KS. ŚB
haviṣā yajña (TB *yajñam*) *indrīyam* (VS °*yarih*) VS. MS. KS TB.
svāhā somam indrīyam (MS °*yarih*) VS. MS. TB
rudrasya gāṇapatyān (VS ŚB °*yam*, KS. °*ye*) *mayobhūr ehi* VS. TS.
 MS. KS. ŚB. In MS probably acc pl, tho in TS abl sg ; see
 §§495, 526

ghṛtavarī savitar (MS KS °*tur*) *ādhipatyē* (TS. °*tyarih*) TS MS. KS. AŚ.

Phonetic in character, as are also the following, VV 2 §706

mītravaruṇa rakṣatam ādhipatyarih (AŚ °*tye*) TS KS. MS AŚ
sve dakṣe (VS MS KS ŚB *svair dakṣair*) *dakṣapiteha sīda* VS. TS. MS.
 KS. ŚB TB ApŚ

asme rāṣṭrāṇi dhārāya (KS. *rāṣṭram adhiśraya*) MS. KS : *asmin rāṣṭram adhiśraya* TS.

sa tvā rāṣṭrāya subhṛtam bīhartu AV : *sa no rāṣṭreṣu sudhātām* (read °*tām*) *dadhātu* TB

tad aśyāma tava rudra prañitau (RV.† KS °*nitiṣu*) RV. TS. KS.

ut parjanasya dhāmabhīh (MS *dhāmnā*, TS TA. ApMB. *śuśmena*, KS. *vr̥ṣṭiyā*, PG. *dr̥ṣṭiyā*) VSK TS. MS KS. TA. AŚ. PG. ApMB.

ko aśyā dhāma katidhā vyusṭāh AV. : *katī dhāmāni katī ye vivāsāh* MS.
 See §269.

etāvān (ArS. ChU. *tāvān*) *asya mahimā* RV VS. TA. ArS ChU : *tāvanto asya mahimānah* AV.

abhi śravobhīh pṛthivīm RV : *uta śravasā* (MS. *śravasa ā*) *pṛthivīm* VS. TS. MS TA.

vyānaśih (SV. °*ṣi*) *pavase soma dharmabhīh* (SV. *dharmāṇā*) RV. SV.
dyām (AV. *divam*) *ca gacha pṛthivīm ca dharmanā* (AV. *dharmabhīh*)
 RV. AV. TA

ā jāgraur vipra r̥tā (SV. *ṛtam*; PB † *ṛtam*, misprint for *ṛtam*?) *matīnām* RV. SV. PB.

ṛtam (RV. *ṛtā*) *vadanto anṛtam rapema* RV. AV.

pitre putrāso apy avivatann ṛtam (AV *ṛtāni*) RV. AV.

vratam rakṣanti viśvāhā AV. : *vratā dadante agneh* VS TS. MS KS :
vratā rakṣante viśvāhā RV.

mama vaṣeṣu hṛdayāni vah kṛṇomi AV. : *mama vrate te hṛdayam* (AG
 ŚG. *vrate hṛdayam te*) *dadhāmi* (SMB MG *dadhātu*) AG. ŚG.
 SMB. PG MG · *mama hṛdaye hṛdayam te astu* HG.

kṣatram (TA ApŚ *kṣatrāni*) *dhārāya* VS MS. ŚB TA, ApŚ.

brahma (MS. *asme brahmāni*) *dhārāya* VS MS. ŚB

naras tokasya tanayasya sātavi (and *sātiṣu*) RV. (both).

vāmī te samdr̥ṣi vīśvam reto. MS. KS : *vīśvasya, te vīśvāvato vīśvā retānsi* TS

nīcād uccā svadhayābhī pra tasthau Ppp TS KS · *nīcār uccāh svadhā abhī pra tasthau* AV But the interpretation of *svadhā(h)* is doubtful, both as to its meaning and its form, see §474

§706. (8) Words denoting various abstract concepts
aty acittim aty arūtim agne (MS KS *atī nr̥ṣtim adya*) VS † TS † MS
 KS . *aty acittīr atī dr̥ṣah* AV.
amīśām cūttam pratilobhayanti (AV *cūttāni pratimohayanti*) RV AV SV.
 VS N

mama cūttam anu cūttebhīr eta AV *mama cūttam cūttanānvehi* HG
brāhmanena vācam (KS *vācah*) TS. KS KSA
[vācā mendr̥iyenānīśa (KS *vācām indr̥o*) TS KS MŚ But see §589
bṛhaspatir vācām (VS ŚB °ce) VS TS MS KS ŚB
śīle ca (v 1 *śīleṣu*) *yac ca pāpakam* SMB (The Conc records the text as reading *śīleṣu*)

bhīyo (AV *bhīyam*) *dadhānā hṛdayeṣu śatravaḥ* RV AV
sakhā sakhyur na pra mināti samgīram (AV °rah) RV AV SV
indro jyēsthānām (MS KS *jyāṣṭhyānām*, VS ŚB *jyāṣṭhyāya*) VS TS
 MS KS ŚB PG

pitā virājām r̥ṣabho rayīnām TB · *vatso virājo vṛṣabho matīnām* AV
namas (KS NīlarU *namānsi*) *ta āyudhāya* VS MS KS. NīlarU MŚ
 BrhPDh

deva savitas tvam dīkṣāyā (KS *dīkṣānām*) *dīkṣāpatir asī* MS KS
ud rathānām jayatām yantu ghoṣāh (TS *jayatām etu ghoṣah*) RV SV
 VS TS *ud vīrānām jayatām etu ghoṣah* AV
śrīyo (AV TB *śrīyam*, Ppp *śrīyo*) *vasānās carati svarocih* (TB °cāh)
 RV AV Ppp VS KS TB.

asmākena vṛjanenā jayema RV AV . *arīṣṭāso vṛjanībhir jayema* AV.
sam ākūtīr (RVKh Scheftelowitz °tim, Conc with Aufrecht °tir) *namā-*
masi (RVKh Scheft *manāmasi*, MS *anansata*) RVKh AV MS
samānī va ākūtīh RV AV TB AG · *samānā vā* (KS *va*) *ākūtāni* MS
 KS MG

sampaśyan pañktīr (AV *pañtīm*) *upatīṣṭhamānah* RV AV The meaning of the whole verse is obscure; we suspect meter-names, in which case this would belong in §690 above
akṣitīś ca me kūyavāś ca me TS · *kūyavam* (MS v 1 *kūo*) *ca me 'kṣitīś*
 (VS 'kṣītam) *ca me* VS MS KS

§707. (9) Words denoting parts of the body and physiological or psycho-physical entities

- suṣadā yonau svāhā vā* (TS TB. *yonim svāhā*) VS. TS. ŚB TB.: *sudhīn yonin suṣadām pṛthivīm* (ms °vī) KS See §550
- sa vah sṛjāmi hṛdayāni* (KS. °yam, MŚ °yāni vah) KS. TB ApŚ. MŚ. *mama vaśeṣu hṛdayāni vah kṛṇomi* AV.: *mama vrate te hṛdayam* (AG. ŚG *vrate hṛdayam te*) *dadhāmi* (SMB. MG. *dadhātu*) AG. ŚG SMB PG MG : *mama hṛdaye hṛdayam te astu* HG.
- prati prāṇeṣu* (SMB. *prāṇe*) *prati tiṣṭhāmi puṣṭe* (SMB *puṣṭau*, MS *tiṣṭhāmy ātman*) VS. MS. KS. ŚB TB. SMB. HG.
- prāṇam* (MS *prāṇān*, p.p. *prāṇam*) *na vīryam naśi* VS. MS TB. *prāṇā* (MahānU. *prāṇo*) *vā āpah* TA. MahānU. All Jacob's mss but one *prāṇā(h)*.
- trāṣṭā rūpāni* (AŚ *rūpeṇa*) *samanaktu yajñairā* (AŚ *yajñam*) ŚB TB. AŚ. ŚŚ. KŚ.
- trāṣṭur aham devayajyayā paśūnām rūpam puṣeyam* (MŚ *dev° sarvāni rūpāni paśūnām puṣeyam*) TS. MŚ.
- nakṣatrāni rūpeṇa* (TS KSA. *pratirūpena*, MS. *rūpāni*) VS. TS. MS KSA
- mānsāya* (VS *mānsebhyaḥ*) *svāhā* VS TS. KSA.
- na mānseṣu na snāvasu* ApMB.: *neva māñse na pībasī* AV : *naiva mānsena pīvasī* PG. The reading of PG. is clearly a corruption of AV ; see §611
- śam te parebhyo gātrebhyaḥ*, *śam astu avarebhyaḥ* VS TS KSA : *śam me* (Ppp. *te*) *paramai gātrāya*, *śam astu avarāya me* (Ppp *parāya te*) AV. Ppp
- nīśīrya* (MS *praśīrya*) *śalyānām mukhā* (MS KS † *mukham*) VS. TS MS. KS. NīlarU. *mukha* is used figuratively: 'the point(s) of the arrows'
- śiprāḥ śīrṣan hiraṇyayīh* RV : *śiprāḥ śīrśasu vītatā hiraṇyayīh* RV. See RVRep on 5 54. 11.
- valmikān klomnā* (VS *klomabhīh*) VS TS MS. KSA.
- marīcīr viprudbhīh* (MS °*pruṣā*) VS MS

§708. Also the word *tanū*

- kṣatrasya tvā paraspāya brahmanas tanvam pāhi* VS. ŚB.: *brahmaṇas tvā paraspāyāh* (MS. °*pāya*) *kṣatrasya tanvas pāhi* MS
- tena cīnvānas tanvo* (TS *tanuvo*, MS *tanvam*) *ni śīda* VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB.
- tan nas trāyatām tanvah sarvato* (ApŚ. *tan no viśvato*) *mahat* KS ApŚ *tan me tanvam trāyatām sarvato bṛhat* AV.
- yathāvasam tanvam* (AV. °*rah*) *kalpayasva* (AV VS *kalpayāti*) RV. AV. VS

yat kim ca (KS *cit*) *tanvo* (TS *tanuām*) *rapah* RV. VS TS MS KS
ghṛtena tvam tanvam (TS *tanuvo*) *vardhayasva* RV VS TS MS ŚB. ApŚ
 MŚ N The 'body' or 'bodies' belong to a plurality of persons;
 hence the secondary plural in TS instead of the generalizing sing

§709. (10) Seasonal and meteorological

ahā (AV * *ahar*) *mimāno aktubhīh* TV AV (bis) ArS N

sūro ahnām pratarīlā usasām divah AV *somo ahnah* (SV *ahnām*) *pratarī-*
tośaso (SV * *sām*) *divah* RV SV.

sajūr abdo (MS * *abdā*) *āyavobhīh* (TS ApŚ * *yāvabhīh*, MS * MŚ *āya-*
vabhīh, MS * KS *āyavobhīh*) VS TS MS * KS ŚB ApŚ MŚ —
 MS 3 4 4 reads *abdo āyavobhūr*, error or misprint for *abdā āya°* or
abdo aya°.

samvatsarena savitā no ahnām (MS *ahnā*) TS MS KS AŚ Case- and
 number-attraction, see §585 Similar are the two following:

trvṛn no viṣṭhayā (KS *trvṛd viṣṭhayā*) *stomo ahnām* (MS. *ahnā*) TS
 MS KS AŚ

mitrāvarunā śaradāhnām (MS * *hnā*) *cikittnū* (MS *cikittam*, KS *jagatnū*,
 AŚ *cakittvam*) TS MS KS AŚ

śaradbhyah (ŚG *śarade*) *svāhā* TS KSA ŚG

ṛtuś ca me vṛatam ca me TS . *vṛatam ca ma ṛtvaś ca me* VS

samā (VS KS *samāś*) *ca mā* (VS KS *ma*) *indraś ca me* VS MS KS

samā (VS TS *samāś*) *chandah* VS TS MS KS KS. has v l *samāś*

uśasah śreyasīh-śreyasīr dadhat TB ApŚ (TB Poona ed reads as
 ApŚ, so read). *uśām-uśām śreyasīm dhehy asmai* AV

hiranyavarṇam (RV *hiranyarūpam*) *uśaso vyūṣṭau* RV MS KS MŚ .
hiranyarūpā uśaśo viroke VS ŚB *hiranyavarṇāv uśasām viroke* TS
 TB

rathā āsvāsa uśaso vyūṣṭau (and *vyūṣṭīṣu*) RV (both). 'At the first
 light(s) of dawn.'

gyotisam na prakāśate RVKh.: *na gyotīṣi cakāsatī* Suparn

vātā (Mś *vātah*, KS *vātas*) *spṛtah* VS MS KS ŚB *vātā spṛtāh* TS

māsaś (TS *māsām*) *caturthah* TS KSA Gens

mīham na vāto vi ha vāti bhūma RV . *mahī no vātā iha vāntu bhūmau* AV

abhīpato vṛṣṭyā (RV *vṛṣṭībhis*) *tarpayantam* RV AV TS KS

dūṣkābhīr hrādunim (KSA † *hrad*) TS KSA : *hrādunīr dūṣkābhīh* VS

MS 'Hail-stones (we gratify) with the rheum of the eyes'

aśrubhīh pṛṣvām (KSA *pruṣvām*) TS KSA : *pruṣvā aśrubhīh* VS MS.

'Hoar-frost (we gratify) with tears'

vi śloka etu (AV *eti*, TS ŚvetU *ślokā yanti*) *pathyeva* (KS *patheva*)

sūreh (AV MS.† *sūrik*, TS *ŚvetU sūrah*, KS † *sūrah*) RV AV. VS. TS MS. KS ŚB *ŚvetU* In KS, 'as the sun on its path'; TS. *ŚvetU* have 'successive suns', i.e. the sun day by day
sa śukrebhīh śukvabhī (TS *śukreṇa śukvanā*) *revad asme* (TS MS *agnih*) RV. TS MS.

§710. (11) Utensils and other articles, not including those used in the ritual

vi te muñicāmī raśanām AV.: *vi te muñicāmī raśanām* (TS. °nā) *vi raśmīn* TS. MS KS MŚ MG

ā raśmīn (RV. *raśmīm*) *deva yamase* (TB *yuvase*) *svaśvān* (RV. TB. *svaśvāh*) RV VS ŚB. TB

rathe akṣeṣu rṣabhasya (KS. TB *akṣeṣu vrṣ*°) *vāje* AV. KS. TB : *ratheṣu akṣeṣu vrṣabharājāh* VīDh

āntrāni sthālīr (KS. TB. *sthālī*) *madhu pinvamānāh* (KS. TB. *pinvamānā*) VS MS. KS TB.

jāmi bruvata āyudham (SV °dhā) RV AV. SV. The reference is to Indra's weapons

yah puruṣe yo āsmanī MS KS ApŚ : *ye puruṣe ye āsmaṣu* AV. (Ppp. as the others)

ā tvā parisrutāh (MG *parisrutāh*, PG ApMB *parisrutāh*) *kumbhah* (ApMB † °bhāh) AG PG. MG ApMB Cf *pūrṇān parisrutāh kumbhān* ŚB, in an entirely different context

§711. (12). In a number of interesting cases of variation between singular and plural, the plural is of the type known as plurale tantum. E.g. *grīvā* is probably originally used in the plural only, 'the joints of the neck', and the singular 'neck' is later, and developed from the plural. So also *grha* is the plural 'the apartments of the house', *vāra* in the plural 'the hairs which make up the sieve', *śmaśru* in the plural 'the hairs making up the beard'. In these latter cases the evidence of the oldest texts does not support a dogmatic assertion that the plural usage is more original than the singular, but on a *priori* grounds it is probable that the use of the singular grew out of the use of the plurale tantum rather than vice versa. The psychology underlying such a development is parallel to that demonstrated in the preceding sections, which causes variation between generic singular and specific plural. In fact, some of the cases treated above are not far removed from those collected here; e.g. those involving *lokāh*, *rakṣāṇsi*, etc. See §716 for pronouns referring to nouns of this class

anāgā devāh śakuno grheṣu (AV *grham nah*) RV. AV. Ppp MG.

indrasya grho 'sı AV. TB. TA : *indrasya grhā vasumanto* ApMB.

PG : *indrasya grhāh śvā* ŚG

mā te grhe (SMB *grheṣu*) *nīśi ghoṣa ulthāt* SMB. ApMB. HG

grīvāyām (KS *grīvāsu*) *baddho apikakṣa āsanī* (MS *apipakṣa āsan*) RV.

VS TS MS. KS. ŚB. N.

pra śmaśru (SV. *śmaśrubhir*) *dodhuvad ūrdhvatā bhāt* (SV. *ūrdhavadhā bhuvat*) RV SV

avyo (SV *avyā*) *vāre* (SV * *vāraih*) *pari priyah* RV SV (bis).

avyo vāre (SV *avyā vāraih*) *pari priyam* RV. SV

vārān (SV *vāram*) *yat pūto atyeṣy avyān* (SV. *avyam*) RV. SV.

astam (SV *astā*) *rājeva satpatih* RV SV The plural of *asta* is unusual if not isolated, it is likely to be due to thought of the use of *grha* in the plural

apo (*udno*) *dattodadhīm bhīnta* (*dehy udadhīm bhīndhi*), see §502. Here TS KS have the sing stem *udan*, the others the plurale tantum *ap* (acc pl)

§712. (13). Adjectives and pronouns, without clearly expressed noun. Here we include cases of substantially equivalent singular and plural forms of pronouns and adjectives, referring to entities not clearly expressed in the context. Psychologically they belong with the preceding sections dealing with nouns. Thus in the first variant *soma*, singular or plural, is referred to (cf §693). Since the *ṛca* containing the plural passage is surrounded by *ṛcas* with singular, it is possible that some ritualistic plurality is concerned in the change of number (cf *RVRep* on 9. 36 4, and *te[sa] viśvā* etc., §693); yet the mere change in number is, in the light of the numerous other changes of the same sort adduced here, not enough to prove it:

śumbhamānā (RV * *°na*) *ṛtāyubhih* RV (both) SV : *mṛjyamānā* (and *°no*) *gabhasṭayoh* RV. SV. (both in each)

§713. So in the next, the 2d person pronouns are addressed to the sacrificial fire or fires; the sing to the *āhavanīya* alone, the plural perhaps to the three sacred fires (but cf our remarks §686):

ned eṣa yuṣmad (TS TB *tvad*) *apacetaṭyātai* TS. MS TB MŚ.: *eṣa net tvad apacetaṭyātai* VS KS ŚB But MS MŚ use singular pronouns, with the same reference, in the rest of the stanza

§714. Similar are the following, where reference is to various sorts of entities:

atho (TS MS *athā*) *yūyam stha* (MS KS *tvam asi*) *niṣkṛtīh* (TS *samk°*, MS. *samkṛtīh*, KS. *niṣkṛtīh*) RV VS TS MS. KS. Addressed to plants, or a plant; plurals occur in the rest of the stanza

asmadrātā (TS *asmaddātrā*, MS ŚŚ add *madhumatīr*, KS. *madhumatī*)
devatrā gachala (KS. *gacha*, TS adds *madhumatīh*) VS. TS. MS.
 KS ŚB ŚŚ ApŚ. Addressed to *dakṣiṇā*, sg or pl. (cf. §696); see
 VV 1 p. 256

mā vo riṣat (Kauś *te riṣan*) *khanitā*, *yasmar cāham khanāmi vah* (Kauś
ca tvā khanāmasi) RV. VS TS Kauś : *yasmar ca tvā khanāmy*
aham VS To plant(s).

jyotiṣā tvā (TB.* ApŚ *vo*) *varśvānarenopatiṣṭhe* TB. (both) AŚ. ApŚ
 To the fire.

tam vo (MS. *te*) *grhṇāmy* (MS *grbhṇāmy*) *uttamam* VS TS MS KS
 ŚB TA. To one or perhaps all five of the cups (*graha*).

devatābhyas tvā devavīlaye grhṇāmi KS. *devavīlaye* (MS. °*vīlayi*) *tvā*
 (KS *vo*) *grhṇāmi* TS VS MS KS ŚB TB ApŚ To the oblation
adityās upasthe sādāyāmi TS MS. TB. ApŚ MŚ.: *adityā va upasthe*
sādāyāmi MS MŚ To the oblation

āchettā te (TB. ApŚ * *vo*) *mā riṣam* (*riṣat*, *mārṣam*) TS. MS. KS. TB.
 ApŚ (both) MŚ

§715. Pronouns referring to collective nouns may either be singular
 if the collectivity is more prominent in the mind, or plural if the speaker
 is thinking of the individuals which compose it; cf. §836. Thus:

yuvam tām (SV *ubhau tām*) *indra vṛtrahan A J.* SV. Reference is to
senā 'army', but AV. thinks of the individual men who make it up.
 To be sure the AV comm reads *tām*, and Whitney would emend
 to this, but it seems to us unnecessary

§716. The converse of this is the use of a singular pronoun referring
 to a plurale tantum, conceived as a single entity. Thus:

samudrasya vo 'kṣityā (VS ŚB *tvākṣityā*) *un naye* (VS. ŚB *nayāmi*) VS.
 TS MS KS ŚB ApŚ MŚ In the same ritual context, referring
 to water (*āpah*), hence plural in most texts, but the Vāj school
 uses a singular, thinking of the water-libation as a single thing.

§717. So adjectives of indefinite reference, especially *anya* and *sarva*,
 may be used in either number without essential difference (cf. also the
 indefinite neuter, §727):

anyāns (TS AŚ *anyam*) *te asmat tapantu helayah* VS TS MS KS. ŚB.
 AŚ

anyam asman (MS *anyāns te asman*, KS. *anye 'sman*) *nivapantu tāh*
 VS TS MS. KS. If *anye* is sound, it can be nothing but a Prak-
 rit acc. pl; cf. Fischel, *Gram d Pkt Spr.* 425, 433 (and for
 nouns, 367a).

sarvam tam bhasmasā (TS ŚB. *masmasā*) *kuru* VS. TS ŚB.: *sarvāns tām*

mṛsmṛsā (KS TA *maṣmaṣā*) *kuru* MS † KS TA *· sarvān nī maṣma-
śākaram* AV.

yat tvā kruddhāḥ pracakruḥ AV : *yat tvā* (KS *te*) *kruddhāḥ parovapa*
(KS. ms *°vāpa*) TS MS KS ApŚ. MŚ 'If angry ones hav
thrown thee out': 'if I in anger have (KS ms if an angry one has
thrown thee out' The other texts state bluntly what AV puts
diplomatically, using the indefinite third person so as not to admit
in words the sin which it really implies It is the speaker, never-
theless, who is conceived as the doer of the act, even in AV Cf.
VV 1 §315.

§718. Other cases show pronouns or adjectives referring to the per-
son or persons, not definitely expressed, on whose behalf the rite is being
performed:

pālānam prāñcam VS ŚB : *pāla prāñcam* (KS *prāñcaḥ*) MS KS
ApŚ. MŚ

pāla mā tīryañcam TS TB : *pālānam tīryañcam* VS ŚB *· pāla tīryañ-
cam* (KS *tīraścaḥ*) MS KS

pāla (*pāla mā, pālānam*) *pratyañcam* (KS *praticāḥ*) VS TS MS- KS
ŚB. TB. ApŚ MŚ.

pālānvañcam (KS *°nūcaḥ*) MS KS : *anvañcam mā pāla* TS TB
utālabdhām (AV *utārabdhān*) *spṛṇuhi jātavedaḥ* RV AV.

yasyāham asmī purohitāḥ VS TS. MS KS ŚB TA . *yeṣām asmī puro-
hitāḥ* AV.

pṛeva putram (AV Kauś *putrān*) *abhi* (ŚG *īha*) *rakṣatād imam* (VS
ŚB *imān*) AV VS TS ŚB. TB TA AŚ ŚG Kauś *· pṛeva putram
jarase ma emam* (Ppp. KS HG ApMB *nayemam*) Ppp MS KS
HG ApMB

§719. (14). This brings us to the use of pronouns, singular or plural,
referring in a more or less vague and general way to the priests and
their associates In VV 1 §290 we have discussed this type of number
variant in the verb In so far as nominative pronouns in varying
numbers accompany singular and plural verbs, there will appear some
duplication between the following lists and those quoted in VV 1; but
for the most part the materials are different, tho related psychologically
They must be regarded as complementing each other, and must be
considered together. As we saw 1 c, different persons may be used,
as well as different numbers Hence we find, here also, cases in which
pronouns of different person as well as number are used. We quote a
few examples but have not attempted an exhaustive list (cf §9)

§720. First and second persons*

sa tvā rāṣṭrāya subhṛtam bibhartu (Ppp *pipartu*) AV. Ppp : *sa no rāṣṭreṣu sudhātām* (read °tām) *dadhātu* TB

ā tvā (TB *na*) *svo vīsatām* (TB. *āśnulām*) *varṇah* AV. TB

§721. First and third persons Here we find a number of variations between the forms *asmai* 'for him' and *asme* 'for us', which are obviously phonetic in character and have been therefore treated in VV 2 §704. The shift between *e* and *a* is very easy. Nevertheless in most cases the meaning fits equally well with either reading. See also §738 for some formally similar cases

asmai (TB *asme*) *dyāvōpr̥thivī bhūri vāmam* AV † TB.

asme (AV *asmai*) *dhātva vasavo vasūni* AV VS. TS. MS KS ŚB N.

asme (AV *asmai*) *dhārayatam* (MŚ °tām) *rayim* RV AV MŚ. Different contexts

asme (KBU *asmai*) *prayandhi maghavann ṛjīṣin* RV KBU AG PG N

asmai (MS *asme*) *rāṣṭrāya mahi śarma yachalam* TS MS AŚ

supippalā oṣadhāḥ kartanāśme (AV *kartam asmaī*, VSK *kartam asme*) AV. VS VSK MS

savitṛā prasavitṛā indrenāśme (VSK °*smāi*) VS VSK

asme rāṣṭrāni dhāraya (KS *rāṣṭram adhiṣṭraya*) MS KS : *asme kṣatrāni dhārayat anu dyūn* RV. TS. MS KS : *asmai kṣatrāni dhārayantam agne* AV. Different contexts

asme (AŚ *asmai*) *indrābṛhaspatī* RV TS MS KS AŚ. Doubtless misprint in AŚ

yachā nah śarma saprathah (°*thāh*) RV. VS MS KS TA ApŚ SMB.

HG ApMB N.: *yachāsmāi śarma saprathāh* AV. TS

ud enam ullaram (°*rām*, §814) *naya* AV VS TS MS KS ŚB Vait

ApŚ MŚ Kauś : *ud asmān ullarān naya* ApŚ In same verse.

prajayā ca bahum (ApŚ *bahūn*) *kṛdhi* AV VS MS. KS. ApŚ

First person pronouns, singular and plural

§722. The vast majority of variants under this heading show first person pronouns, alternatively singular and plural, referring to the priestly authors of the mantras and their associates. Little need be said of them, they are as simple and natural as they are familiar, and there is no real difference in meaning, at least as a rule, between the two numbers. Compare our remarks in VV 1 §§344 ff, which deal with the same matter from the point of view of verb forms. Their number is, however, remarkable and unpressive

§723. As with the verbs (§346), in some cases one or the other number

is more or less inconsistent with the context The inconsistency is sometimes original (and removed in a secondary text), sometimes secondary We shall list these inconsistent variants first:

yā sarasvatī veśabhaginī tasyā no (ApŚ *viśobhaginā tasyām me*) *rāsva tasyās te bhīktivāno bhūyāsmā* KS ApŚ Note 1st plural verb *mayīdam indra indriyam dadhātu* VS ŚB ŚŚ . *asmāsv indra indriyam dadhātu* TS. MS KS MŚ : *mayīdra indriyam dadhātu* TS TA TAA AG. The first two occur in the same context, which has three 1st plural pronouns even in VS ŚB ŚŚ

bhavalam nah samanasaū VS TS MS KS ŚB Vait ApŚ MŚ Kauś BDh . *avalam mā samanasaū samaulasaū* TB Surrounding formulas have plural pronouns even in TB

sūryo mā (MS *nas*) *tebhyo rakṣatu* TS MS KS Also with *vāyur* and *agnir* instead of *sūryo* (Delete reference to KS under *agnir nas* in Conc) The following pāda has 1st plural in all

devi vāg yat te vāco (*yad vāco*) *madhumat* (*madhumattamam*, **tamam juṣṭatamam*) *tasman mā* (KB ŚŚ *no adya*) *dhāh* (*dhāt*) TS KB GB PB JB AŚ ŚŚ Vait KŚ A 1st sg verb precedes in all

vājo no (MS KS *me*) *adya prasuvān dānam* VS MS KS 1st sg pronoun in the same verse in VS

vīhi havyam prayatam āhulam me (ŚŚ *nah*) AŚ ŚŚ Both have plural elsewhere in the verse

śrnotu mitro aryamā bhago nah (KS *me*) RV VS KS N 1st sing verb in the verse even in RV

śraddhe śrad dhāpayeha nah (TB *mā*) RV TB 1st plural verb in both, here TB is secondarily inconsistent.

cittasya (TB SMB *yajñasya*) *mātā* (SMB *mātaram*) *suhavā no* (TB SMB *me*) *astu* AV TB. The AV has *me* in the next pāda.

varīśvānarah pavayān nah pavitrāh TA *varīśvānarah pavitā mā punātu* AV The rest of the verse has 1 sg in both Ppp intends to read with TA (Whitney on AV. 6 119 3)

ā mā ghoṣo gachatī vān māsām (TS. KS *vān na āsām*, MS *vār nv āsām*, p p *vāk, nu, āsām*) AV. TS MS KS Note that TS KS are inconsistent within the same pāda!

tvaṣṭā me (SV *no*) *daṇyam vacah* AV SV Kauś Plural follows in both *ayam te asmy upa mehy* (AV *na ehy*) *arvān* RV AV Ppp In the same verse

manyō vajrīn abhi mām (AV *abhi na*, Ppp. *upa na*) *ā pavṛtsva*, same texts The following pāda has 1st dual verb (representing a combination of 1st sing. with *manyu*!), RV is the only really consistent text.

mām dhehī TS TB TA : *asmān dhehī* KSA. The latter is emended by von Schroeder to *mām*, because the next mantra has *mayī*. In the light of the variants here presented this seems very inadvisable
ā no (VS. *mā*) *janē śravyatam yuvānā* RV VS TS MS KS TB. Preceded by two pādas with plural pronouns, and followed by one with singular

paraṇtu mṛtyur amṛtam na aṇtu (ŚŚ SMB *amṛtam ma ā gāt*) AV ŚŚ SMB The two latter texts combine this with a pāda containing a plural

pātam no (ApŚ *mā*) *dyāvāpṛthivī upasthe* RV. MS KS ApŚ Preceded by a plural in all

svā tanūr baladeyāya mehī (AV *baladāvā na ehī*) RV. AV. Singulars in the rest of the verse in AV.

viśve devāso adhī vocatā nah (TS *me*) RV TS And others, §154. RV. is inconsistent, having sing pronouns in the rest of the vs ; all later texts restore consistency

dyaus ca nah (KS TB *tvā*, AV *ma idam*) *pṛthivī ca procetasā* (AV. °*sau*) RV AV. KS TB See §488 Plur pronoun follows in AV

rāyo (AV *rayim*) *devī dadātu nah* (AV *dadhātu me*) RV. AV. Plural precedes in AV

idam aham tam valagam (tān valagān) yam (yān) me (VSK TS KS. *nah*). VS VSK TS MS KS SB Note *aham* in all

marutām manve adhī no (AV *me*) *bruvantu* AV. TS MS KS. A plural follows in AV

ādityā rudrā upariṣprśo nah (KS °*śam mā*) AV KS : *vasavo rudrā ādityā upariṣprśam mā* RV. VS TS Plurals in the context; only the secondary AV is consistent

abhūn mama (KS *nu nah*) *sumatau viśvavedāh* TS KS. PG All have singulars in the rest of the verse

amitrān no vi vidhyatām AV. *mamāmitrān vi vidhyata* (and °*tu*) AV. (both) In different contexts, the sings are inconsistent with their surroundings

apāma eḍhī mā mṛthā na indra SMB *amā ma eḍhī mā mṛdhā na* (AŚ † *ma*) *indra* AŚ ŚG. *anāmayaḍhī mā riṣāma indo* Kauś

§724. The rest show, as far as we have noticed, no inconsistency as to number in the context The long list follows.

agne dakṣaḥ punīhi nah (TB *mā*) RV. TB

adhvanām adhvapate pra mā tira svasti me VS : *adhvanām adhvapate* (ŚŚ *adhvano adhrpatir asi*) *svasti me* (ŚŚ *no*) PB ŚŚ

pāvamānīh (°*nyah*) *punantu nah* (RVKh TB. *mā*, MG *tvā*, YDh *te*) SV. RVKh TB MG YDh

upa mā (ŚB ŚŚ. *mām*) *bṛhat saha divā hwayatām* ŚB. TB ŚŚ (also with *rathamtaram saha prthivyā*, and *vāmadevyam sahāntarikṣena*). *upās-mān idā hwayatām* AŚ.

pari nah (and *mā*) *pāhi vśvatah* AV (both) Different contexts
tasya na iṣṭasya prīṭasya dravinehāgameh VS : *tasya mā yajñasyeṣṭasya vīṭasya dravinehāgameyāt* MS : *tasya meṣṭasya vīṭasya* TS KS
 ApŚ · *tasya yajñasyeṣṭasya sviṣṭasya dravnam māgachatu* (cf VV 1 §104u) KS.

yo nah (AV *mā*) *kadā cid abhidāsati druḥā* (AV. *druḥuh*) RV AV
devasyāham (VSK *devasya vayam*) *savituh save* VS. VSK ŚB
mā no hārdi tvīṣā vadhīh RV . *mā me hārdi tvīṣā* (MŚ *hārdim dviṣā*)
vadhīh TS MŚ.

varnam pavitrām (MG *purānam*) *punatī ma* (ŚG *na*) *āgāt* ŚG SMB
 PG. MG · *śarma varūtham* (HG *śarmavarūthe*) *punatī na āgāt*
 ApMB HG

āyantū nah pītarah somyāsah VS . *ā me yantu* ViDh (pratiṭika of an unknown mantra similar to that of VS)

śivah śagmo bhavāsi nah TB ApŚ . *śivā ca me śagmā candih* TB ApŚ.
yad antarikṣam tad u me (MS.† *nah*) *pītābhūt* (VSK *pītāsa*) VS VSK.
 TS MS ŚB.

asme te rāyah MS KS . *asme rāyah* VSK TS ApŚ · *me rāyah* VS KS
 ŚB KS On *mé* (loc) see Wackernagel 3 p 462

imam stomam juṣasva nah (and *me*) RV (both)

imam (HG *elam*) *yajñam pītarō me* (HG *no*) *juṣantām* AV. HG.

imam no (MS KS *me*) *deva savitah* VS TS MS KS ŚB

imān me (ApŚ *no*) *mitrūvarunau* (ApŚ *°nā*) MS KS AŚ ApŚ MŚ ŚG

ud uttamam mumugdhi nah (MŚ *mat*) RV KS TB MŚ

ihāsmāsu (MS *ihāsmabhyam*, TB * *iha mahyam*) *nī yachatam* RVKh
 AV. MS KS TB (bis)

ṛtena nah (ApŚ *mā*) *pāhi* MS ApŚ

upa mā (Vait *no*) *rājan sukṛte hwayasva* TB Vait

ūrdhvo nah pāhy anhaso nī ketunā RV MS KS AB KB TB · *ūrdhvo mā pāhy anhasah* VS ŚB

ṛtavarī yajñīye mā (AV *nah*) *punīlām* AV MS TB

evā me astu dhānyam Ppp TAA . *evāsmākedam dhānyam* AV.

ghṛtena no (MS KS *mā*) *ghṛtapvāh* (TS *°puvah*) *punantu* RV AV. VS
 TS MS KS ŚB

cakṣur no (KS *me*) *dhehi cakṣuṣe* RV MS KS MG

tato no (KS *mā*) *vṛṣṭiyāvata* (VS † KS * *°āva*) VS TS MS KS (both)
 ApŚ MŚ

- tan ma* (TA *na*) *indro varuṇo bṛhaspatih* TA MahānU BDh.
tan ma ācakṣva (ŚŚ *nah prabrūhi*) *nārada* AB. ŚŚ
dyaus me (AV *nah*) *pitā janitā nābhur atra* RV. AV. N.
nadasya nāde pari pātu me (AV. *no*) *manah* RV. AV.
ye māmākāḥ pitarah ViDh : *ye 'smākam pitarah teṣām barhur asī* AV.
idam brahma juṣasva nah (TAA MG and TA v. l. *me*) TA. TAA MG.
 MahānU
pūṣā bhagam (AŚ *bhagam pūṣā*) *savitā me* (TB. AŚ *no*) *dadātu* ŚB. TB.
 AŚ ŚŚ KŚ PG.
prajāṁ me dāh VS TS MS. ŚB. TA. : *prajāṁ me yacha* KS ApŚ MŚ :
prajāṁ asmāsu dhehi VS.
varco asmāsu dhatta (AŚ. *dhehi*) AV. AŚ : *varco mayi dhehi* VS TS. PB.
 ŚB TB ApŚ. : *varco me dāh* MS. MŚ : *varco me dehi* VS TS. ŚB.
 ŚŚ PG · *varco me dhehi* (TA *dhāh*) VSK MS KS JB TA ApŚ :
varco me yacha MS. KS ApŚ. MŚ
prajāṁ me narya pāhi MS KS ApŚ MŚ MG · *prajāṁ no narya pāhi*
 ApŚ
prajāṁ me naryājūgupah (ApŚ MŚ v 1 °jūgupah) MS KS. ApŚ MŚ :
prajāṁ no naryājūgupah ApŚ
paśūn me śansya pāhi MS ApŚ MŚ : *paśūn nah śansya pāhi* ApŚ.
paśūn me śansyājūgupah (ApŚ. °jūgupah) MS ApŚ MŚ : *paśūn nah*
śansyājūgupah ApŚ
annam me (ApŚ * *no*) *budhya* (ApŚ *budhnya*) *pāhi, tan me* (ApŚ. * *no*)
gopāyāsmākam punar āgamāt MS ApŚ. (bis).
annam me (ApŚ. * *no*) *budhyājūgupas* (ApŚ. *budhnyā°*) *tan me* (ApŚ *
nah) *punar dehi* MS ApŚ (bis).
tām me (ApŚ * *no*) *gopāyāsmākam punar āgamāt* MS. ApŚ (bis) MŚ.
 Also with *tān* for *tām*, but not in MŚ
tām me (ApŚ * *nah*) *punar dehi* KS MS ApŚ. (bis) MŚ. Also with
tān for *tām*, but not in KS or MŚ
brahma tena punāhi nah (LŚ *mā*, VS KS *punātu mā*, MS TB *punā-*
mahe) RV VS MS KS TB. LŚ
mā parā seci no dhanam (ApŚ. *nah svam*, ApMB *me dhanam*) ŚŚ. LŚ
 ApŚ ŚG ApMB
mā vayam āyuṣā varcasā ca MS : *māham āyuṣā* (KS adds *varcasā*
virūdhīṣi) TS KS.
yah potā sa punātu nah (VS MS KS ApŚ *mā*) RV VS. MS KS ApŚ :
yah pūtah-sa punātu mā TB (so Cone, but Poona ed reads *potā*).
yena tvam deva (ŚŚ *om deva*) *veda devebhyo vedo 'bhavas tena mahyam*
 (ŚŚ *tenāsmabhyam*) *vedo bhūyāh* (VSK. *bhava*, ŚŚ *veda edhi*) VS.
 VSK. ŚB. ŚŚ.

yo nah kaś cābhyaghāyati AV.: *yo mā kaś cābhūdāsati* AG PG MG.

yo no anti śapatī tam etena jeṣam MŚ.: *yo me 'nti dūre 'rātīyati tam etena jeṣam* TS

yo mā cakṣuṣā yo manasā TB.: *yo 'smānś cakṣuṣā manasā cūtyākūtyā ca* AV.

yo 'smān dveṣī yam ca vayam dviṣma idam asya grīvā api kṛntāmi TS.: *idam aham yo me* (KS *nas*) *samāno yo 'samāno 'rātīyati tasya grīvā api kṛntāmi* MS KS.: *idam enam adharam karomi yo nah samāno yo 'samāno 'rātīyati* TS

tan me tanvam trāyatām sarvato brhat AV : *tan nas trāyatām tanvah sarvato* (ApŚ *tan no vīśvalo*) *mahat* KS ApŚ

vīśve no (KS. *mā*) *devā avasā gamantu* (RV.* VS * MS KS ApŚ *gamann iha*) RV (both) VS (both) TS MS KS. ApŚ.

vaikvānaro adabdhās (AV *no adabdhās*, TA. *me 'dabdhās*, MS ApŚ *'dabdhās*) *tanūpāh* AV. VS. MS. ŚB. TA ApŚ. SMB The preceding pādas, which show much variation in their enumeration of protectors, show the following number variants:

punah prāṇah punar ātmā ma (MS TB ApŚ HG *punar ākūtam*, TA. *punar ākūtam ma*) *āgāt* (VS. ŚB. *āgan*) VS VSK MS ŚB TB. TA ApŚ HG : *punah prāṇah punar ātmā na astu* (MG *punar ākūtir astu*) AV MG And the following:

punar manah punar āyur (SMB *ātmā*) *mā* (MS *nā*, ApŚ *om* the word) *āgāt* (VS ŚB *āgan*) VS VSK MS ŚB TA ApŚ SMB The texts are consistent thruout in their use of singular or plural
śāntir no astu MS : *śāntir me astu śāntīh* TA : *sā mā śāntir edhī* VS. *śivā tvam mahyam edhī* HG : *śivā na ihardhī* AV.

śraddhā ca no mā vyagamat ViDh MDh BṛhPDh. AuśDh : *śraddhā me mā vyāgāt* ApŚ

sarvam sviṣṭam suhutam karotu (AG HG † *karotu me*) ApŚ AG ApG HG · *sviṣṭam suhutam karotu svāhā* (BṛhUK † *karotu nah svāhā*) ŚB BṛhU. BṛhUK.

sarvān mac chapathān adhī AV : *asmat su śapathān adhī* ApŚ
sarve kāmā abhī yantu mā (HG *nah*) *priyāh* ApMB. HG. Followed by.
abhī rakṣantu mā (HG *sравantu nah*) *priyāh* ApMB HG

sahasva no abhīmātīm AV *sahasva me arātīh* VS

sā nas samantam anu parīhī bhadrayā ApMB : *sā mā* (MG *nah*) *saman-tam abhī pary ehi bhadre* MG. SMB

sā nah (KS *mā*) *suprācī supraticy edhī* (VSK MS KS *supraticī bhava*, TS *supraticī sam bhava*) VS. VSK TS MS KS ŚB

surāṣṭrā iha māvata (MS. *no 'vata*) TS MS. KS

suhavā nā ehi saha rāyaspoṣeṇa MS : *suhavā mehi saha prajayā saha rāyaspoṣeṇa* KS.

sa mām pātu KS : *so 'smān pātu* TS

devā varuna devayajnam no dehi svāhā (ApŚ °*yajanam me dehi*) PB.

ApŚ. Cf *deva savitar devayajnam me dehi devayajyāya* AB.

agnir mā tasmād enasah AV. VS TS MS KS. TB. TA AŚ. ŚŚ. KŚ.

ApŚ Kauś SMB.: *agnir nas tasmād enasah* MS KS MŚ

evāsmān (KS *evā mām*, AV *tenāsmān*) *indro varuṇo bṛhaspatih* AV. MS.

KS.: *tena no rājā varuṇo bṛhaspatih* TS ŚŚ.

mā mā (SMB. *no*) *hinsīṣṭa* VS PB. AŚ ŚŚ SMB. Var

mā no (VS VSK ŚB *mā*) *hinsīj janitā yah pṛthivyāh* RV. VS. VSK.

TS. MS KS ŚB. ApŚ

mā mā hāsīn (MŚ *hāsīr*) *nāthito net* (MŚ. *na*) *tvā jahāni* (MŚ. °*āni*)

AV.† KS MŚ : *mā no hāsīn metthito net tvā jahāma* TB ApŚ. *mā*

no hinsīd dhinsito dadhāmi (some mss om. *dadhāmi*) *na tvā jahāmi*

AŚ

yajatrā muñcateha nah (TB *mā*) AV TB.

yad bhadram tan na (TB. TA. ApŚ. *ma*) *ā suva* RV VS. TB TA ApŚ.

Kauś MahānU.

yo no (AV. *mā*) *dvā dipsati yas ca naktam* RV AV.

vājo nah (MS *me*, KS. *mā*) *sapta pradiśah* VS. TS. MS KS In the same verse:

vājo no (MS. KS *mā*) *vśvair devair* VS TS. MS KS

varśvānarō raśmibhir mā (AV *nah*) *punātu* AV. MS. TB.

śam ca no mayas ca nah AV. Kauś - *śam ca me mayas ca me* VS TS. MS KS

ubhe ca no (ŚB *carnam*, ŚŚ. *carnam* [*na iti vā*]) *dyāvāpṛthivī anhasah pātām* MS ŚB TB. AŚ. ŚŚ ŚB. also has the reading *ubhe ca mā* etc , which it rejects, since *mā* would refer to the hotar, whereas

the yajamāna should be indicated. The difference between 1st and 3rd person then seems to depend almost wholly on brahmanic theorizing. However, MS , TB , AŚ., a school contested by ŚŚ., and the Kāṇva recension of ŚB read *no*, making no difficulty about including the officiating priests in the benediction .

ṛtān mā muñcatānhasah TB : *kṛtān nah pāhy anhasah* (TA. *enasah*) MS. TA

oṣadhībhyah paśave no (KS MŚ ApŚ. *paśubhyo me*) *janāya* (KS. MŚ. ApŚ † *dhanāya*) TS KS ApŚ. MŚ.

kalpatām me yogakṣemah AB.: *yogakṣemo nah kalpatām* VS. TS. MS. KSA ŚB. TB.

grhān nah pitaro datta VS, VSK ŚB ŚŚ. KŚ ApŚ SMB GG *vīram*
me (MŚ *no*) *datta pitarah* AŚ MŚ : *vīrān nah* (Kauś *me*) *pitaro*
dhatta (Kauś *datta*) Kauś ViDh:

gopoṣam ca me (MŚ AŚ *gopoṣam ca no*, TB.† ApŚ *gopoṣam no*) *vīrapo-*
ṣam ca yacha (AV MŚ *dhehi*) AV TB 3 7. 2 7 AŚ ApŚ MŚ
tāsām te sarvāsām vayam (and °*sām aham*) AV. (both)

tasya bhājayateha nah RV. AV SV. VS TS MS KS TA ApMB :
tasyāgne bhājayeha mā TB

toyāham vardhamāno bhūyāsam āpyāyamānās ca ApMB *vardhiṣimahi*
ca vayam ā ca pyāsiṣimahi (MS MŚ ApŚ † *pyāsiṣimahi ca*) VS MS
 ŚB TA ŚŚ ApŚ. MŚ ŚG. HG.

tan no (MahānU *me*) *varuno rājā* TA MahānU

tan me (VS. *no*) *astu tryāyuṣam* VS VSK ApMB HG MG

tan (GB *elan*) *no gopāya* MS KS GB ApŚ. *tan me gopāya* (Kauś
gopāyasva) MS KS ApŚ. MŚ. Kauś

tarpayata me (ŚŚ *nah*) *pitṛn* VS ŚŚ. ApŚ SMB. BDh

tasya no (TS *mē*) *rāsva* TS MS KS ApŚ

tasmai no (AV *mā*) *devāh paridatteha* (KS MŚ °*dhatta*, PG °*dhatteha*)
sarve (MŚ † *viśve*) AV TS KS MŚ SMB. PG BDh

tābhīr no (MS *lebhir mā*) *devah savitā punātu* MS ApŚ : *tābhīṣ* (vā *devah*
savitā punātu ApMB

agnīr nah (VSK *mā*) *pātu duritād avadyāt* VS VSK ŚB

agnīr nas (TA *mā*) *tasmād indras ca* MS TA

agne jātān pra nudā nah (AV *me*) *sapatnān* AV. VS TS MS KS ŚB
 TA ApŚ MŚ

agne °*bhyāvartinn abhi mā nī vartasva* (TS *abhi na ā vartasva*, KS *abhi*
no nīvartasva, MS *abhi māvartasva*, Kauś *abhi na ā vartasva*) VS.

TS MS KS ŚB Kauś

adhā cid indra me (SV *nah*) *sacā* RV AV SV

anamitram no adharāt (VSK † *me adharāk*, KS *no adharāk*) AV. VSK
 KS In the same verse:

indrānamitram (KS *ms °trān*, see §703 end) *nah paścāt* (VSK °*tram*
paścān me) AV VSK KS

abhayaṁ mitrāvarunāv ihāstu nah (AG °*varunā mahyam astu*) AV AG

abhi prehi dakṣināto bhavā me (AV *nah*) RV AV.

abhiṣṭir (HG *abhiṣṭir*) *yā ca me dhruvā* (HG *ca no grhe*) RVKh HG

abhiṣṭir yā ca no grhe ApMB

arvācīnam vasuvidam bhagam nah (AV *me*) RV AV. Ppp. VS TB
 ApMB.

mām (AV *asmān*) *punīhi* (MS *punāhi*) *viśvatah* (AV *cakṣase*) RV AV
 VS MS KS

ahar no atyapīparah SMB. MahānU.: *ahar māy apīparah* AV.

ādityās tasmān no (TB. *mā*) *yūyam* AV. TB.

ā no (VS. ŚB *mā*) *goṣu vśatv auśadhīṣu* (MS. *ośadhīṣu*, VS. KS ŚB *a tanūṣu*) VS TS. MS. KS ŚB.

āśir ṇa (TS. KS. KŚ *ma*, MS. *nā*) *ūrjam ula sauprajāstvam* (TS MS. KS KŚ *supra*°) AV. TS. MS. KS. KŚ.

abhayam vo (ŚŚ * *te*) *'bhayam no astu* (AB AŚ *me 'stu*) AB. AŚ. ŚŚ. (both): *abhayam no astu* MS KS ŚŚ ŚG : *abhayam me astu* (AB.† *'stu*) AB ApŚ.

āpo asmān (MS *mā*) *mātaraḥ śundhayanantu* (AV. MS KS *sūdayantu*, TS ApŚ *śundhantu*) RV AV. VS TS. KS MS. ŚB AŚ ApŚ

āganṭa pītarah pīrman aham yuṣmābhīr bhūyāsam suprajaso mayā yūyam bhūyāsta TS : *āganṭa pītarah somyāśas teṣāṃ vah prativittā ariṣṭāḥ syāma supularo vayam yuṣmābhīr bhūyāśma suprajaso yūyam asmābhīr bhūyāśta* MŚ.

pūṣā nā ādhāt sukr̥tasya loke MS : *pūṣā mādhat* (AV. *mā dhāt*) *sukr̥tasya loke* AV. TS KS.

bandhur me (AV *no*) *mātā prthivī mahāyam* RV. AV N

bodhā me (TS. *no*) *asya racaso yaviṣṭha* RV VS TS MS KS. ŚB

deveṣu nah sukr̥to (VSK. *deveṣu mā sukr̥tam*) *brūtāt* (KS. *brūta*, PB MŚ. *brūyāt*) VSK. TS KS. PB MŚ : *devebhyo mā sukr̥tam brūtāt* (ŚB.

with *ūha*, voceh for *brūtāt*) VS ŚB : *sukr̥tam mā deveṣu brūtāt* TS *pra mā* (TS. ApŚ *no*) *brūtād bhāgadām* (TS. °*dhān*, ApŚ. °*dhām*, KS *dhavirdā*) *devatāsu* (KS °*tābhyah*) TS. MS. KS ApŚ

§725. Of essentially the same sort are a few variants in which adjectives agreeing with the subjects of 1st person verbs vary between singular and plural:

edhamānah (Kauś. °*nāh*) *svagṛhe* (Kauś. *sve gr̥he*) ŚB. BrhU. Kauś : *edhamāna sve vaṣe* ApMB

jīvā (TB ApŚ *jīvo*) *jīvantīr upa vah sadema* (TB. ApŚ. *sadeyam*) AV. KS TB ApŚ MŚ.

devā dhanena dhānam icchamānāḥ HG · *dhanena devā dhanam icchamānāḥ* AV. ApMB The verbs *carāma*, °*mī* occur in the preceding.

āyusmān jaradaśīr yathāśānī AV.: *āyusmantō jarām upagachema devāḥ* (KS. *jīvāḥ*) KS ApŚ.

gātrānām te jātrabhājo bhūyāśma (KS *gātrabhāj bhūyāśam*) TS KS *tvaṣṭr̥mantas* (MS MŚ *tvaṣṭri*°, ApŚ *tvaṣṭu*°) *tvā sapema* VS. MS. KS.

ŚB. ApŚ : *tvaṣṭr̥matī* (TS ApŚ. *tvaṣṭi*°, and so TA Poona ed. with v. 1 *tvaṣṭi*°) *te sapeya* TS TA ApŚ Cf. §849

ihava santah prati tad yūlayāmāḥ (AV *prati dadma enat*) AV TB ApŚ.:

ihaiwa san niravadaye tat TS On this variant see Whitney's notes to AV 6 117. 1 and 2

§726. And a couple of others of the same sort in which there is inconsistency with the context

yatkāmās te juhūmas tan no astu RV AV VS TS KS ŚB ŚB TB TAA. SMB ApMB N. *yatkāma idam juhomi tan me samṛdhyatām* TB. The context of the latter is partly the same and has a plural
yac cāham eno vidvāns cakāra yac cāvidvāns VS ŚŚ. *yac cāham eno vidvānsaś cāvidvānsaś carnaś cakṛma* MahānU. The comm to the latter attempts to remove the glaring inconsistency by supplying *akārṣam* with *aham*

2 The neuter singular (and plural)

§727. The neuter gender often carries with it (cf. §807) a tinge of generalization, and neuter singular forms (nouns or indefinite adjectives), which are indefinite or abstract or collective in force, vary with plural epithets of the same or other genders (On neuter adverbs see §734)

kṛṣṭapacyās (TS KS °yam) *ca me 'kṛṣṭapacyās* (TS KS °yam) *ca me* VS TS MS KS Preceded by *ośadhayaś ca me vīrudhaś ca me* '(Plants) which ripen under cultivation and not under cultivation'. 'that which ripens' etc

ekaśapham asṛjyata MS. *ekaśaphāḥ paśavo 'srjyanta* VS TS. KS ŚB In MS ('the whole-hoofed [kind of cattle]') followed by a parallel formula, *kṣudrāḥ paśavo 'srjyanta*.

āsyai brāhmaṇāḥ snapanīr (ApMB °nam) *harantu* AV. ApMB 'Let the brahmans bring her bathing-water' With *snapanīr* so *āpah* Cf next

āpah pādyāḥ ApŚ : *pādyam* (Kauś —not HG —*pādyam bhoh*) Kauś HG. '(Water) for the feet' Cf prec

hotṛśadanam haritām hiranyayam AV : *hotṛśadanā haritāḥ suvarnāḥ* TB ApŚ With the latter supply *darbhāḥ*. '(grass) for the hotar to sit on, yellow, golden' AV feels *hotṛśadanam*, which means the same thing, as a neuter abstract: 'a seat for the hotar' etc Cf *darbhāḥ stṛṇtā haritāḥ suparnāḥ* (KS † *suvarnāḥ*) KS MŚ

samṛddhikaranam tava (HG. °karanān mama) PG HG. Preceded by *imānī lājāḥ ā vapāmy agnau* (HG. *vapāmi*, om *agnau*), followed by *tubhyam* (PG *mama tubhyam ca*) *samvananam* 'I cast these kernels as increase-makers (a making of increase) a harmonizing' *tr̥mpantu hotrā madhvo yāḥ svīṣṭāḥ* (VSK *yat svīṣṭam*) VS VSK ŚB. Followed by:

yāh supṛīṭāh suhūtā yat svāhā VS. ŚB : *yat subhṛtam yat svāhā* VSK In VS ŚB : 'Let the priestly offices, which have been well offered, rejoice' etc In VSK. *yat* etc might refer to the unexpressed and indefinite object of the verb: 'let the priestly offices rejoice (in that) which is well offered' etc. But the change was surely suggested by *suhūtā yat svāhā* of VS, in which *yat* is a conjunction, 'when well offered with *svāhā*'. Most likely, therefore, *yat* is to be taken thus all three times in VSK. and the neuters are indefinite: 'let the priestly offices rejoice when good sacrifice has been made' etc

durāś ca viśvā avṛṇod apa srāh RV. AV. : *turaś cid viśram ṭarnavat tapas-rān* AV. The AV is corrupt; but *viśram* seems clearly an indefinite neuter.

prajā vikṛṇvan (ApŚ *vikurvan*, MS *prajāh kṛṇvan*) *janayan virūpāh* (KS °*pam*) MS KS. ApŚ *virūpam* indefinite neuter in KS. (probably original); in the others *prajāh* is understood with it

taj (RV. *tā*) *juṣasva yaviṣṭhya* RV. AV. VS TS. MS KS. ŚB The RV. *tā* refers back to *dārūni*, the other texts substitute the indefinite sing *tad*, with the same reference, possibly; but see §737. In different context, *tam ju° ya°* RV.

salakṣmā (MS. KS. °*ma*) *yad viśurūpā* (VS. MS KS ŚB °*pam*) *bhavāt* (MS. KS *bābhūva*) RV. AV. VS MS. KS ŚB : *viśurūpā yat salakṣ-māno bhavatha* TS The pāda originally (in RV AV) occurs in the Yama-Yamī hymn, the fem adjectives apply to Yamī The YV texts apply it to a wholly different context, by 'phrase-inflection' (§848). Here it applies to the members of the slaughtered animal, here assembled, and most YV text use the indefinite neuter singular: 'that what is manifold may become of one sort'. (In VS. ŚB. only this can be intended, as *viśurūpam* shows, *salakṣmā* is anomalous, perhaps due to influence of the RV original, perhaps to be explained as having rhythmically lengthened final *a*, VV 2 §§459-60; the comm interprets as neuter.) In TS the members of the animal are directly addressed, and the adjectives are masc. pl : 'that ye, manifold, may become of one sort'

§728. So the indefinite *sarvam* 'everything' varies with *sarvān* 'all (men)'; but the variant *sarvān*, nom sg, 'heading everywhither', is likely to be more original than either:

amāsi (SMB *amā hy asi*, ŚG *amo 'si*) *sarvān* (AŚ *sarvān*, SMB *sarvam*) *asi* (SMB *anu*) *praviṣṭah* AŚ ŚG SMB Kauś

§729. Also *tad* and *tān*, neuter sg and pl, both indefinite, are interchangeable (see also *yasmāj jātam [jātā]* etc, §810)

yas tad veda (AV.* VS. *tāni veda*, RV. AV.* TA * N *tā vjānāt*) *sa pītuṣ* (VS. MahānU. *pītuḥ*, TA. *savituh*) *pīāsai* RV AV. (bis) VS TA (bis) MahānU. N.

§730. In substantive use, a pronoun introducing a predicate noun commonly agrees with that noun in number and gender, but may also be neuter singular without regard to the number and gender of the predicate, as in German ('das bin ich, das sind die besten Leute' etc.); cf. §§776, 812 So:

tad (VS. *tā*) *āpah sa* (VSK. *ta*) *prajāpatiḥ* VS VSK TA MahānU.

Note that TA. MahānU. are inconsistent, having the neuter in one of the two phrases, but agreement in gender (and number) in the other. VS. and VSK are (in different ways) consistent

3. Plural of *viśva* with singular noun (?)

§731. Twice the text of TS presents the form *viśve*, apparently nom. pl., where other texts have *viśvo* (in the sense of 'every, each'), agreeing (even in TS) with a singular (*martah*); both are parts of the same stanza. The TS. version of the stanza is otherwise inferior, and Keith calls *viśve* 'senseless'. Yet the repetition seems to guarantee it as the genuine Tait reading; moreover ApŚ has the same. Either it is a Māgadhism, intended as nom. sg. (cf. Pischel, *Gram d Pkt Spr.* §363), or else a plural epithet going with a singular subject. Tho this would be a bizarre construction, it is not inconceivable that TS may have so intended; it would be an illogical blend of *viśvo martah* 'every man' with *viśve martāḥ* 'all men.'—The same *viśve* apparently with a sing noun occurs a third time in TS., *atha viśve arapā edhate gṛhah*, §457, q. v. *viśvo* (TS ApŚ. *viśve*) *devasya nctuh* RV. VS. TS MS. KS AB. KB ŚB

AŚ ApŚ MŚ. MG. (delete KSA. in Conc.).

viśvo (TS. *viśve*) *rāya iṣudhyati* (TS °*si*) RV. VS TS. MS. KS ŚB.

Other shifts of sing. and pl with no essential change of meaning

§732. With this we conclude the subject of the generalizing singular and plural. There are however a few other variants in which, for one reason or another, singular and plural may interchange with virtually no difference of essential meaning. We shall take them up before proceeding to the cases of real and important change of meaning

4 Elliptic plural

§733. First, an elliptic plural may vary with the singular of one member of the group:

matrah (SV. *mitrās*) *pānty adruhah* RV SV. Preceded by *yam maruto yam aryamā*, in SV *aryamā* is a complementary singular to the elliptic plural *mitrās*, which means Mitra, Varuna, and Aryaman; cf §§746-7

5 Adverbs

§734. Adverbial forms, singular and plural, and equivalent in meaning, may vary, or a neuter singular adverb may vary with a plural adjective without essential difference of meaning.

nīcād uccā svadhayābhī pra tasthau Ppp TS. KS : *nīcār uccār svadhā abhī pra tasthau* AV.

trīni padāni (TA. MahānU *padā*) *nīhūtā guhāsya* (TA. MahānU *guhāsu*) AV VS TA MahānU. Both *guhā* and *guhāsu* are quasi-adverbial *agnaye vo juṣṭān prokṣāmy amuṣmai vo juṣṭān* MS MŚ : *agnaye vo juṣṭam prokṣāmi* TS TB ApŚ. *juṣṭān* agrees with *vo* = offerings of food, *juṣṭam* is doubtless an adverb: 'agreeably to Agni' (otherwise Keith).

bhūyaś ca śaradah śatāt VS MG : *bhūyaśih* (mss °*si*) *śaradah śatāt* AV *sa no nedīṣṭhā havanāni joṣate* (MS *havanā jujoṣa*) TS MS : *sa no nedīṣṭham havanāny āgamat* (and *havanāni joṣat*) KS *nedīṣṭham* adverb in KS

6 Adjectives agreeing with one or several of a group

§735. An adjective or participle may variously agree with all the members of a coordinate group of nouns (plural), or with only one, the nearest. In the latter case, however, we may assume that its application to the others is not really excluded. Cf Hamlet, Act 2, Scene 2: *King*. Thanks, Rosencrantz, and gentle Guildenstern *Queen*. Thanks, Guildenstern, and gentle Rosencrantz.—The same variation in verb forms, agreeing either with a plurality of subjects or with the nearest one alone, was noted in VV 1 §§353ff

candrena jyōtir amṛtam dadhānāh (KS TB Poona ed and comm °*nā*)

VS KS TB : *śukram na jyōtir amṛtam dadhānā* MS (p p °*nāh*)

The sing agrees with Sarasvatī, the nearest subject, the plural with S and the Aśvins. On the phonetic aspect (final visarga present or absent) cf VV 2 §381.

tvaṣṭā viṣṇuh (MS *viṣṇus tvaṣṭā*) *prajayā samrārāṇah* (VS KS ŚB. °*nāh*) AV VS TS. MS. KS ŚB ApŚ ApMB. The verb, in the following *pāda*, agrees in number with the participle in all texts, except that in KS, which has the mantra three times with plural pple, the verb

is twice singular in the edition (but with *v* 1 plural in one case). See VV i p 262 under *yajamānāya dravṇam dadhāta* ('tu) Probably the plural should be read all three times (*idā sarasvatī mahī*) *bhārati grṇānā* AV MS . (*idā sarasvatī bhārati mahī grṇānā* (KS. *mahīr grṇānāh*) VS TS KS . *idā sarasvatī bhārati mahīh* (TB *mahī*) VS TB In the last formula (in which the Poona ed. of TB separates *mahī* from the preceding, making it part of the next pāda), the TB comm interprets *mahī* as a plural (*mahatyah*), going with all the nouns (!)

Singular-plural variations with more definite change of meaning

§736. The phraseology used in this heading does not mean, of course, to deny that a change of 'meaning' in some sense occurs in the variants heretofore mentioned, we suggest only that such changes are not, as a rule, of the sort commonly and conventionally associated with the simple contrast between singularity and plurality of objects. The variants now to be considered fall roughly into the following groups. First, 'transfer of epithet': the variant word is applied to a different entity, in essentially the same context, necessitating a change of number. Second, 'phrase inflection': the formula is used in a different context, involving a different application of the variant word. Third, pluralization or the reverse in the same context: without change of context or verbal attraction to a different entity, the word is nevertheless understood of a plural entity in one form and of a singular one in the other, the entity referred to may be otherwise the same or different. Fourth, other, miscellaneous changes are involved, in some of them form attraction to some other word (other than what we term 'transfer of epithet') seems to have been influential.

7 Transfer of epithet

§737. We have met this phenomenon frequently under variations between the cases; see §14 for a general statement. Many of the transfers listed under the various shifts of case involve also change of number; these will not be repeated here. The following are those which involve shift of number only (or, in a few cases, also of gender), between singular and plural:

indrēṇa devaṁ saratham turebhīh (AV *turena*) RV. AV. Tho the contexts of the mantra are different, the epithet *tura* is transferred within its pāda from *devaṁ* to *indrēṇa*
viśvā rūpāni sambhṛtā (JB °*lam*) SV. JB §B. LŚ. Preceded by *gāya-*

tram traṣṣubham jagat; JB. makes the epithet apply to (presumably) the last of the singulars in the preceding pāda

taḥ (RV. *tā*) *juṣasva yavṣṭhya* RV AV. VS TS MS KS ŚB. Preceded by *yad agne kām kām cid, ā te dārūni dadhmaḥ* (with slight variants) RV. refers *tā* to *dārūni*; the others with *taḥ* refer either to the same indefinitely (§727), or specifically to what is denoted by *yad*

niṣkrīḥ sa (TS *niṣkrīto 'yam*, KS. MŚ *niṣkrīlās te*) *yajñyam bhāgam etu* (KS MŚ *bhāgam yantu*) AV. TS KS. MŚ Singular refers to *paśupati*, plural to the cattle themselves

ā yat tṛpaṇ maruto vāvaśānāḥ (MS *'nah*) RV. TS MS KS Preceded by *pryā vo nāma huve tūrānām* The epithet is apparently transferred from the Maruts to the subject of *tṛpat*; Ludwig makes the plural refer to this! The verse however is very troublesome; see Oldenberg

idāno (KS *'nā*) *vahnur* (KS *'num*) *namasā* AV VS. VSK TS MS KS. The latter makes the epithet agree with *sruco* in the next pāda; see §399.

uruvyacasō dhāmnā patyamānāḥ VS. TS. MS. KS.: *uruvyacasāgner dhāmnā patyamāne* AV. The YV. texts make *uru'* agree with *dvāras*; AV. has a false verse division and makes it agree with *dhāmnā*.

anādhṛṣṭā apasyo vasānāḥ (KS † *'nah*, mss *vamānah*) VS. MS KS ŚB.: *anādhṛṣṭā apasyuvo vasānah* TS Pischel VSt. 2. 213 has made it probable that the original had *vasānah*, epithet of Varuṇa in the following pāda In VS. MS. it is transferred to *āpah* in the preceding; the adjoining plural forms helped in the shift.

mayobhūvo vṛṣṭayaḥ santu asme RV. KS : *mayobhūr vāto msvakṛṣṭayaḥ santu asme* TA. In the latter the adjective is made to agree with *vāto*, which is itself a secondary intrusion in TA.

dhanur hastād ādadāno (TA *'nā*) *mṛtasya* RV. AV. TA. AG 'Taking the bow from the hand of the dead man.' With Oldenberg on RV. 10 18 9 we understand *tvam* in the following half verse (*atraya tvam iha vayam suvīrāḥ [susevāḥ]*) of the dead man; TA. comm understands it of the widow and makes *ādadānā* fem sg agreeing with it; we take the pple as *ādadānāḥ* nom pl. masc going with *vayam*, cf. Oldenberg's remarks; the sg. doubtless refers to the son of the deceased.

tābhiḥ vābhiṣṭācām MG.: *tena tvām abhiṣṭācām* YDh Preceded by *sahasrākṣam śatadhāram ṛṣibhiḥ pāvanam kṛtam*, and followed by

pāvamānīh pūnantu tvā (with minor variants) YDh has adopted for *tena* the number and gender of *pāvanam*, instead of *pāvamānīh* §738. In the following, the variant word is in one form of the variant an independent form rather than, strictly speaking, an 'epithet' of another word (§15):

asme (ApŚ *asmai*) *karmane jātah* MS ApŚ 'Born for us for the rite (for this rite)' Cf VV 2 §704, and above §721

asme devāso vapuṣe cikitsata TS ApŚ *śrad asmai naro vacase dadhātana* VS Keith and Caland assume *asmai* as intended, cf prec But *asme* might at a pinch be interpreted as 'ethical dative' 'O gods, regard the wonder which we tell' The change is really phonetic in character, however

ḥṣutpipāsāmālā jyeṣṭhāh (TAA °*malam jyeṣṭhām*) RVKh TAA Scheftelowitz reads *jyeṣṭhām*, which is doubtless the correct reading (= Misfortune, eldest sister of Lakṣmī), if *jyeṣṭhāh* be kept it can be construed as an adjective, with the following *alakṣmīr* (for which Scheft adopts the monstrous *alakṣmīn*) If °*malā* can stand it is doubtless a fem acc pl, epithet of *alakṣmīr* ('stained by hunger and thirst'), the alternative would be to take it as Vedic n pl (for °*malāni*), °*malam* would be an independent coordinate noun, 'the stain of hunger and thirst'

8 Phrase inflection

§739. This has also been met above, under variations in the cases, cf §§21-2 It is essentially a matter of adaptation of old material to a new context

adharācīh parā suva VS MS KS (sc *yātudhānyah*): *adharāñcam parā suva* AV (sc *takmānam*)

vīprā viprasya brhato vīpaścutah RV VS. TS MS KS ŚB TA ŚvetU.: *vīpro viprasya sahaso vīpaścut* ĀV. The latter refers, in a new context, to a goat (*aga*)

viśvāhā dhattam anapasphurantīm RV. VS ŚB ApŚ *viśvāhā santv anapasphurantīh* AV TA 'Ever not shrinking from being milked', of a cow or cows, in different contexts

trīr varān vṛnīṣva Kauś · *varam vṛnīṣva* ApMB HG Not true variants *sarāh* (RV VS *sīrāh*) *patatrīnī(h) sthana* (KS *stha*) RV VS TS MS KS: *sarā patatrīnī bhūtūvā* AV Reference is to a plant or plants, in different contexts

indro vah (AV *me*) *śarma yachatu* RV. AV SV VS TS Different contexts

- trir ā dwo vidathe patyamānah* (°nāh) RV. (both).
yatamānā (°no) *raśmibhīh sūryasya* RV. (both)
ratnam devasya śavitur iyanah (°nāh) RV. (both).
rakṣohano (VS ŚB °hano vo) *valagahanah prokṣāmi vaiṣṇavān* (ApŚ.* °vam) VS VSK TS ŚB. ApŚ (bis). In ApŚ 11 12 5, where *vaiṣṇavān* is found, the ritual context is the same as in TS. and the rest, the plurals refer to the 'sound-holes'. In 12 2 15 the skin (*carma*) for the soma-pessing is addressed in a different context, and the formula is partially adapted, the sing *vaiṣṇavam* being appropriate. Nevertheless the plural forms of the first two adjectives are inappropriately retained from the original form of the formula; perhaps they are understood indefinitely, as referring to any 'demon-slaying' entities
rāyas poṣe yaṣṭāpatim ābhajantīh (TS * °tī) VS TS (both) MS. KS ŚB *rodēna kṛvatīr* (*kṛvaty, kṛvanto*) *agham* AV (ter)
saṃjagmāno abibhyuṣā RV. AV SV N.: *saṃjagmānā abibhyuṣīh* (MS *avīhrutāh*) AV. MS.
kas tvā yunakti sa tvā yunaktu (VS ŚB °tī) VS TS KSA. ŚB. TB. ApŚ : *ko vo yunakti sa vo yunaktu* ApŚ. MŚ : *kas te yunakti* MG. Also with forms of *vi-muc*; to different ritual entities
adabdhena tvā (KS * ApŚ.* *vaś*) *cakṣuṣāvapaśyāmi* VS KS. ŚB, ŚŚ ApŚ : *adabdhena tvā* (ApŚ.* *vaś*) *cakṣuṣāu kṣe*. TS MS. ApŚ MŚ MG (delete KS reference in Conc).
sumṛdīkān abhiṣṭaye RV TS MS : *sumṛdīkān abhiṣṭaye* RV. VS. BSK TS MS. KS. ŚB.
tasya vāyam sumatau yaṣṭīryasya RV AV. VS. TS MS KS. TB : *teṣām vāyam sumatau yaṣṭīryānām* RV. AV VS TS KS MŚ SMB PG N Several different contexts.
yathāham asya vīrasya RV. ApMB : *yathāham eṣām bhūtānām* (AV. *vīrānām*) RV AV. The sing is said by a woman of her husband; RV *bhūtānām* by a king of his subjects, and so AV. *vīrānām*, but apparently influenced in its choice of epithet by reminiscence of *vīrasya* in the different RV. context
evā trīnāmān ahīnīyamānah (TS °nāh) AV. TS.
ghṛtapruṣā manasā (TB. *madhunā*) *havyam undan* (VS *manasā modamānāh*) RV VS MS KS TB. Several different contexts
anhoś cid asmā urucakṛr adbhutaḥ RV : *anhoś cid urucakrayah* RV : *anhoś cid urucakrayo 'nehasah* RV
ado grībhya adhi yat pradhāvasi TB.: *ado yad avadhāvati* AV.: *amī ye ke sarasyakā avadhāvati* HG ApMB

asmabhyam gātuntamāh (RV.* °mah) RV (bis) SV. As both refer to soma, the variant might perhaps be put with §712, tho the contexts are different

īśānam vāryānām RV. AV. SV TS MS KS JB : *īśānā vāryānām* RV AV MS TB. TA

tāns te paridadāmy aham ApŚ . *tām te paridadāmy aham* (TA *paridadāmi*) TA ApŚ

ya (AV * *ye antarikṣa*) *oṣadhīṣu paśuṣu apsu antah* (KS *paśuṣu ānuveśa*) AV (bis) KS

bhakṣīya te (and vo) *'vaso dawyasya* RV (both) Sing Indra, pl the Maruts

bhūtya tvā (Kauś *vah*) KS TA ApŚ Kauś Hardly true variants *tān* (TS * *tam*) *rakṣadhvam mā vo dabhan* (TS * *dabhat*) VS TS (bis)

MS KS ŚB

mayi vah kāmādhāranam bhūyāt (ŚŚ om *bhūyāt*) VS ŚB ŚŚ : *mayi te kāmādhāranam bhūyāt* VS. TS MS KS ŚB TB.

āyātu (TB * *āyāntu*) *yajñam upa no juṣānah* (TB * °*nāh*) VS MS. KS TB. (both)

subhūtāya tvā (ŚŚ. *vah*; HG. adds *paridadāmi*) ApŚ. ŚŚ HG Hardly true variants

anehasas tvotayah RV : *anehaso va utayah* RV.

ayam vo garbha rtvayah VS TS, MS KS ŚB. : *ayam te yonir rtvayah* RV AV VS TS MS KS JB ŚB. TB AŚ MŚ ApŚ JābU. Different contexts and probably not true variants.

mayi vo (TS * *te*) *rāyah śrayantām* TS (both) MS KS LŚ

indraghoṣas (KS MS. °*ṣās*) *tvā vasubhīh purastāt pātu* (KS † *tvā vasavah pu° pāntu*, MS *tvā purastād vasubhīh pāntu*) VS. TS MS KS ŚB : *indraghoṣā vo vasubhīh purastād upadadhatām* TA. This and four parallel formulas are used all together, in TA. (with plural acc pronoun) addressed to a layer of bricks, in the others (with sg) to the altar. The settings are wholly different; obviously TA has borrowed the whole passage and applied it to a new purpose Two of the parallel mantras are quoted §412 under *manojavās* . and *pracetās* The other two are:

tvaṣṭā tvā rūpāir uparīṣṭāt pātu KS ApŚ . *tvaṣṭā vo rūpāir uparīṣṭād upadadhatām* TA And:

viśvakarmā tvādityair uttaralah (MS KS *uttarāt*) *pātu* VS TS MS. KS ŚB . *viśvakarmā va ādityair uttarala upadadhatām* TA

devas tvā savitā punātū (MŚ GG. KhG *savitotpunātū*) *achidreṇa* TS. MS KS MŚ GG KhG . *devo vah savitā punātū achidreṇa* MS KS

upahūta (LŚ 'tā) *upahavam te* (LŚ. vo) 'śīya TS. MS. KS ŚŚ. LŚ
akṣans tām VS. KS TB.: *aghat tam* VS.: *aghatām tam* MS TB. 3 6.

15. 1 The pronouns refer to sacrificial animals, in different but related contexts.

mama vaśeṣu hṛdayāni vah kṛnomi AV.: *mama vrate te hṛdayam* (AG. ŚG. *vrate hṛdayam te*) *dadhāmi* (SMB. MG *dadhātu*) AG. ŚG. SMB PG. MG : *mama hṛdaye hṛdayam te astu* HG. The AV. addresses the subjects of a king; the others the guru's śiṣya at the upanayana *rakṣānsi tayā* (and *tābhīr*) *daha jātavedah Kauś* (both)

vām vahanā (ApMB. *vahatā*, MG *vahantā*) *ghṛtam ukṣamāṇāh* AŚ. ApŚ AG ŚG ApMB. MG.: *vām vahanāh sumanasyamānāh* HG In different contexts; MG refers to the bride But the adaptation of the formula in MG is imperfect; we fail to see how Knauer can defend the correctness of his text as he does

ayakṣmāya tvā samśrjāmi prajābhyah VS TS MS KS ŚB. *ayakṣmā vah prajāyā samśrjāmi* KS TB ApŚ. Different contexts

9 Pluralization, or the reverse, in the same ritual context

§740. Thirdly, in some cases where the context is the same, and where there is no formal 'transfer of epithet', the ritual entity designated by the variant word is pluralized in one variant by the inclusion of other individuals, or by the substitution of a plural entity for a singular one: or vice versa For example: *ṛtena* (MG. *ṛteva*) *sthūṇām* (ApMB. MG *sthūṇāv*, MG *sthūṇā*) *adhi roha vaṇśa* (MG. *vaṇśah*) AV AG HG. ApMB. MG, 'mount, O pole, upon the post(s)' or the like The dual form of ApMB HG. can have no standing and is best regarded as a phonetic corruption (*v* for *m*, VV 2 §237) The verse is used in mounting the ridge-pole of the house on the middle post (and others), either singular or plural makes sense, but not dual. The plural of MG. may be defended, tho KG. 11 3 has *sthūṇām*; Ppp. is quoted by Roth as *sthūṇā dhī*.

Other instances:

mahād ādya bharatasya (and *bharatānām*) ŚB (both): *mahākarma bharatasya* AB The singular refers to Bharata Dauḥṣanti, the plural to the Bharata family

atharvaṇe svāhā ŚB : *atharvabhyah* (sc *svāhā*) BDh Hardly to be considered variants The plural means the (hymns of the) Atharva Veda, the singular the ṛṣi Atharvan.

ādityās ca me sāvitrās ca me TS : *ādityās ca mā indrās ca me* MS Same context, sc in both 'prosper by the sacrifice'. In TS *grahah* is understood: 'the cup for Āditya (or Aditi)'; in MS 'the Ādityas'

brāhmaṇas tvā nāthakāma upadhāvāmi (ApMB °kāmaḥ prapadye) SMB PG ApMB HG : *brāhmaṇo vo nāthakāma upadhāvāmi* SMB Singular is addressed in several successive mantras to various gods; plural to them all collectively.

abhayaṁ 10 (ŚŚ * *te*) 'bhayaṁ no astu (AB. AŚ. *me 'stu*) AB. AŚ ŚŚ (both) The formula with *te* is repeated at each of the three altars, and then with *10* referring to all three as in the other texts.

idaṁ tam (and *tān*) *atī srjāmi tam* (and *tān*) *mābhy avanikṣi* AV. (both) Both in the same litany, addressed to various ritual entities
eṣa vo deva savitah somah TS ApŚ : *deva savitar eṣa te somah* VS MS KS. ŚB. MŚ Followed by *mā tvā* (TS *vo*) *dabhan* (TS *dabhat*) VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. The plural pronoun refers to the gods including Savitar.

mitrasya tvā cakṣuṣā pratīkṣe (with variants) VSK. TS. KS. KB. TB. AŚ. ŚŚ. LŚ KŚ ApŚ MŚ. AG : *mitrasya vaś cakṣuṣā prekṣe* (*cakṣuṣānuvīkṣe*) MS AŚ. MŚ Reference to various ritual entities, in same context.

utemāḥ paśya TS : *utemaṁ paśya* MS. MŚ. In the same context, but with different reference; in TS to waters, in MS MŚ to *yajña*
derasya tvā (MS. *1ah*) *sacituh prasave... samvapāmi* VS. MS. TB. ŚB (Delete reference to MS. under *derasya tvā* in Conc) Singular refers to rice, plural to rice and water.

aniśitāsi sapatnakṣit VS : *aniśito 'sī sapatnakṣit* VS ŚB. : *aniśitāḥ* (KS ApŚ. add *stha*) *sapatnakṣayaṇīḥ* MS KS ApŚ. Used in the same ritual context of various implements, one or several (*sruc*, *sruva*).

raḥṣoḥaṇam tvā valagahanam arasiñcāmi (and *avasiṇcāmi*) *vaiṣṇavam* MS MŚ. : *raḥṣoghno valagaghno 'vasiñcāmi* (and *'vasiṇcāmi*) *vaiṣṇavān* KS. : *raḥṣoḥaṇo* (VS ŚB. add *vo*) *valagahano 'vasiṇcāmi vaiṣṇavān* VS VSK. TS. ŚB. Four holes are referred to; MS MŚ address them one by one

yā (ApMB. HG *yām*) *āharaj jamadagniḥ* PG. ApMB. HG. In the same context; singular refers to a wreath, plural to flowers

pratnaṁ ni pāti kāvyam RV. : *†pratnāni* (Conc *pra tvā ni*) *pāti kāvyah* KS. See §403.

10 Form assimilation

§741. Among the remaining, miscellaneous variants between singular and plural, some seem to involve external form attraction—that is, the shift is due to the influence of some other form in the vicinity, altho the variant cannot be classified as showing 'transfer of epithet'. Thus:

trih sapta mātuh paramāṇi vīdan RV : *trih sapta paramam nāma jānan* ArS. Preceded in both by *te manvata prathamam nāma dhenoh* 'They thought out the first name of the cow, they found the thrice seven supreme (names) of the mother (cow)', or 'they knew the thrice seven (names), the supreme (name, of the cow).' The change to singular in ArS is due to the preceding singulars

abhi priyā (SV. *priyam*) *divas padam* RV SV. It is obvious that SV has assimilated the adjective to *padam*. This is a lect fac, the sense requires such a construction. The RV. *priyā* must be taken with Lanman *NIṇṣ* 349 and Oldenberg *Noten* ad loc. as acc pl neut, sc *padāni* 'unto the dear (places), the place of heaven'. Cf RV 9 12 8

vāto vā (VSK *vā vo*) *mano vā* VS VSK ŚB : *vāyur vā tvā manur vā tvā* TS MS KS TB ApŚ MŚ N. The pronoun *tvā* refers to the horse mentioned in pāda c (*aśvam* in all), *vo* of VSK can scarcely have any other reference, the plural may be due to thought of the 'horses' mentioned in the preceding verse

lavīn prchāmi vidmane (AV. *vidvano*) *na vidvān* RV. AV. See §487.

11. Change of words or meanings of a word

§742. In the rest different words are used, or else the same word in different meanings, requiring different numbers:

ūrjasvatī rājasvaś (TS *rājasūyāya*, MS KS *rājasūyāś*) *cutānāh* VS. TS MS KS ŚB. '(Waters) rich in food, king-creating, wise (or, wise unto king-creation)' In this *rājasū* or *śūya* as adjective varies with the commoner abstract noun *rājasūya*. Cf next *svāhā rājasūyāh* MS MŚ · *svāhā rājasūyāya cutānāh* TS : *svāhā rājasvah* VS. ŚB Cf preceding, which comes shortly before this in the texts

drapsas caskanda prthivīm anu dyām (RV *caskanda prathamān anu dyām*) RV AV. VS. TS MS KS ŚB. TA. Here the two meanings of the stem *dāv* are concerned RV 'thruout the earliest days'; the others 'thru earth and heaven'

tasmai brahma ca brāhmās (TA *brahmā*) *ca* AV. TA. Whatever *brāhmās* of AV may mean, which is uncertain, TA has a different word and means the personalized Brahman

indrasya hārdy (AV *hārdim*) *ānśan manīṣibhuh* (AV. *manīṣayā*) RV. AV. SV. 'By the wise (priests)': 'by wisdom'

jaghāna vṛtram yatir na SV AŚ ŚŚ : *vṛtram yo jaghāna yatir na* AV. Obscure, cf. Whitney on AV 2 5 3

CHAPTER XXVIII

DUAL AND OTHER NUMBERS

1 Elliptic dual, and devatā-dvandvas

§744. The most interesting group of variants between the dual and other numbers is that which concerns the elliptic dual and double-duals, known to the Hindus as *devatā-dvandvas*. These double dual forms denoting a pair of entities have been explained by Edgerton (KZ. 43. 110 ff., 44. 23 ff.) as an outgrowth of the Indo-European elliptic dual, in which a pair is denoted by the dual form of one member alone, an idiom which is still very much alive in the Veda. The first step in the development was the addition to the elliptic dual of the singular of the second member of the pair (*mitrā* [= Mitra and Varuna], followed by *varunāś ca*). We then have an illogical association of a dual and a singular form, referring together to only two entities. There is evidence for the view (Edgerton, l c) that this illogical association existed in Indo-European. In most languages, however, it was supplanted by an association of two singulars; so also to a large extent in Sanskrit. In Indo-Iranian, however, the assimilation of the two forms may work the other way, the complementary singular being replaced by a dual, so that the result is a double dual; e.g. *mitrā-varunau*.

§745. The variants illustrate these rather complicated processes at various points. To begin with, an elliptic dual may vary with the singular of the prior member of the pair. The meaning is then of course different; the singular can scarcely have been felt as including the unexpressed member:

añghrinā viṣṇo mā tvāva (KS *viṣṇū mā vām ava*) *kramiṣam* VS. KS ŚB : *agnāviṣṇū mā vām ava kramiṣam* TS TB ApŚ. In most texts including KS. the pair Agni and Viṣṇu are addressed (in KS by the elliptic dual *viṣṇū*), while VS ŚB address Viṣṇu alone *kṣāman* (KS *kṣāmā*) *ruruca uṣaso na bhānunā* (MS KS *ketunā*) RV. VS TS MS KS. See §547. The original *kṣāman* = 'on the earth', KS. has an elliptic dual, 'the two earths' = heaven and earth. More commonly *dyāvā* 'the two heavens' is used in this sense.

tatra paśyema pitarau ca putrān (TA *pīṭaram ca putram*) AV. TA The elliptic dual *pitarau* (= father and mother) is replaced in TA by the singular 'father'

§746. Once a sūtra text presents a complementary singular expressing the second member of the pair after an elliptic dual In this case the form of the variant which is presumably older (SV) has a second dual, forming therefore a double dual, yet this chronology cannot be regarded as certain

dyāvā hotrāya prīhuvī (ApŚ °vīm) SV ApŚ

§747. More or less the reverse of this is found in a variant in which the undoubtedly older form has an elliptic dual with complementary singular, a secondary text removes the logical inconsistency by substituting a singular for the dual, thus presenting two coordinate singulars: *ubhāv indrā* (ŚB *indro*) *udīhah sūryaś ca* VS ŚB There is no reason to emend the ŚB reading with Eggeling and the Conc, *indrā* means Indra and Sūrya, who are here, to be sure, equated by ritualistic-mystic symbolism with Mitra and Varuna

§748. More frequently we find double duals varying with double singulars, both referring to the same pair The two dual forms may be separate words, sometimes even separated by other words.

viṣṇum agan varunam pūrvahūtīh AV : *viṣṇū agan varuṇā pūrvahūtau* (MS °tim) VS MS. ŚB ŚB TB AŚ ŚŚ

agnim indram vṛtrahanā huve 'ham (MS °hanam *huvema*) AV MS. *agnī indrā vṛtrahanā huve vām* TB The double dual *indrā agnī* occurs twice in RV, and the compound *indrāgnī* (often pronounced as four syllables) is common Note the singular adjective in MS, cf §757

§749. It is more usual to find the double dual forming a single compound word, which may vary with two singulars

ā mitrāvaruṇā bhagam RV *ā mitre varune bhage* SV

indrāsūrā janayan viśvakarmā TB *indrāh sūrah prathamo viśvakarmā* AŚ

śatam indrāgnī (AV * *śatam ta indro agnīh*) *savītā bṛhaspatīh* RV AV (both) N Note that AV is metrically bad

iṣam no mitrāvaruṇā kartanedām AA *ūrjā mitro varunah pinvatedāh* SV

ayaś śando marka upavīra ulūkhalah ApMB †. *śandāmarkā* (HG *śando marka*) *upavīrah* PG HG The ed of PG prints *śandā markā* as separate words

namo dive namah prīhuvyai AV VSK TS MS GB TA AŚ LŚ ApŚ : *namo dyāvāprīhuvībhīyām* VS ŚB ŚŚ ŚG

§750. The normal dvandva compound of Sanskrit develops out of the double (*devatā*) dvandva of the Veda by the substitution of the stem form for the nom.-acc dual form in the prior member. This also appears fairly early, and varies in Vedic texts with two singulars as in the preceding paragraph:

rṣi bodhapratibodhau AV.: *rṣir bodhak prabodhak* ApMB.: *bodhaś ca tvā* (KS. MG *mā*) *pratibodhaś* (MG *prati*?) *ca* AV. KS † MG. ApMB.

Note sing *rṣir* in ApMB, see §757.

śākvaram rawatam sēma KS : *śākvararawate sāmānī* VS. TS. MS. KS.

Again cf §757.

mayi dakṣo mayi kratuh VS. MS. TB. ŚB TA. ŚŚ . *man dakṣakratū* ApŚ AG HG.

vairūpam ca vairājam cāparau AB.: *vairūpavairāje anūcī* LŚ

śarma varūtham (HG. *śarmavarūthe*) *punatī na āgāt* ApMB HG. But

for the separate accents, *śarma-varūtham* of ApMB might be considered a singular dvandva, cf. next §

brhatā tvā rathamtarena triṣṭubhyā (KS. *triṣṭubhā*) *vantanyā* MS. KS.: *brhadrathamtarayos tvā stomena triṣṭubho vantanyā* TS

brhac ca te rathamtarām ca pūrvau pādau bhavatām AB : *brhadrathamtare te pūrvau pādau* LŚ

§751. Still later, no doubt, but already found even in early Vedic texts, is the singularization of dvandva compounds (as neuter collectives) We find several variations between such neuter singulars and dual dvandvas:

kṣutpipāsābhyām (TAA °*sāya*) *svāhā* SMB TAA GG

iṣṭāpūrte kṛṇavāthāvīr (*kṛṇavāthāvīr*, *kṛṇutād āvir*) *asmai* (MŚ *asmāt*)

VS VSK. TS TB ŚB. MŚ . *iṣṭāpūrtam sma kṛṇutāvīr asmai* AV.: *iṣṭāpūrtam kṛṇutād āvir asmai* KS

dakṣakratū (MS ed.† °*tu*, v 1 °*tū*) *te mastrāvārunah pātu* MS ApŚ.

jīmūitān hṛdayaupasābhyām (VS. °*śena*, VSK °*śena*) VS. VSK TS MS

KSA The p p of TS divides *hṛdaya-aupaśa* The meaning of the second member is quite unknown Mahīdhara takes the cpd as a tatpuruṣa, 'the fleshy parts of the heart', but the dual of the other texts suggests that it is rather a singular dvandva

2. Collective singular varies with dual

§752. Leaving now the subject of dvandva compounds, we take up first expressions for dual entities, which may however be thought of as units, being then expressed by singulars A simple example is the word for 'nose', which may be either singular or (when thinking of the two

nostrils) dual Such variants occur especially with parts of the body, but also with other entities We shall mention first a case which is particularly interesting as presenting a neuter singular collective instead of a fem. dual This reminds us of the use of the neuter singular in dvandva compounds, mentioned in the last paragraph; and so far as we know neither this nor any similar form has been noticed heretofore: *visākhe nakṣatram* TS : *visākham nakṣatram* MS. KS.† So von Schroeder reads in KS. with two mss ; one ms *visākḥā*, which must be fem and may be understood as either sing or plu Only one ms of MS has *visākhe* The constellation is made up of either two or four stars, and its name occurs in sing, dual, and plural, but is otherwise recorded only in the fem. gender, cf. §798

§753. The other words recorded here keep the same gender in sing and dual.

apānena nāsike (MS. °kām) VS MS

asyā (MG † *asyām*) *nāryā gavīnyoh* (MG °yām) AB. MG : *asyām nāryām gavīnyām* RVKh ApMB. The word *gavīnī* (and *gavīnikā*, AV.) is regularly dual; it denotes some obscure pair of organs in the abdomen.

ānandam nandathunū (KSA °*ihubhyām*) TS. KSA *nandathu* is nowhere recorded, not even in Hindu lexicons, as name of a part of the body, and its meaning can only be guessed from the context The dual of KS. suggests perhaps 'testicles'; 'penis' would be more natural and may be intended in TS (comm. *guhyaendriyam*) A series of duals follows, and possibly the dual of KS is due to mechanical form-assimilation to these

aṣṭrām tādam pratīnāhā (ApŚ *tālam pratīnāham*) MS. ApŚ Refers to some unknown part of the plow Caland adopts the MS reading for ApŚ, but since no one knows what the word means, we see no good reason to question the sing

punarvasur (TS °*sū*) *nakṣatram* TS MS KS Usually dual

3 Dual and plural of parts of the body

§754. Like the singular (*ante*), the plural also varies with the dual in names of parts of the body, usually both are comprehensible Thus the word *jambha* or *jambhya* means either 'tooth' or 'jaw' (originally 'crusher, grinder'), in the former meaning it is naturally plural, in the latter dual:

jambhābhyām (VS TS. °*bhyaś*, KS °*bhyebhis*) *taskarān* (°*ran*, °*rān*) *ula*
VS TS MS. KS

javam jaṅghābhīh (VS °bhīām) VS. TS MS. KSA The 'shanks' of the horse, here referred to, are of course four, hence the plural is proper; VS must have carelessly used a form appropriate to human beings only

gūrīn plāśbhīh (MS °śbhīām) VS TS. MS KSA The stem *plāś* is usually sg or plu, its meaning is quite unknown and the guesses of the comms are not worth quoting

4 Other entities conceived as dual or plural

§755. Besides parts of the body, other entities may be thought of as dual or plural groups. Thus the constellation *phalgunī* is a group of two plus two stars, and may be thought of as dual because consisting of two pairs, or as plural:

phalgunīr (TS °nī) *nakṣatram* TS MS KS

phalgunīṣu (ApG. °nībhīām) *vy uhyate* (ApG. ūh°) AV Kauś ApG.

marutah sadohavīrdhānāh (TA °dhānābhīām) MS. TA.: *aditiḥ sadohāvīrdhānābhīām* KS. The *havīrdhāna* itself is referred to as either sg or dual (cf. TS. 3 1 3 1); combined with the sg *sadas*, the compound is either dual or plural.

vi yo mame rajasī sukratūyayā RV : *vi yo rajānsy amūmīla sukratuh* RV. The 'spaces' (*rajas*) are either two or three (or even more) in number

5. Generalizing dual (for sing.) and plural

§756. In one group we find dual and plural forms varying in essentially the same way as the 'generalizing singular and plural' forms treated above, §§685 ff. Here, instead of the singular, we find the dual because the 'single' entity happens to be a pair; otherwise the psychological relation between the two variants, and the motivation of the change, is exactly the same. The dual may be a single uncompounded word, an elliptic dual, or a dvandva compound; in all cases there is substantially no difference of meaning:

ud eṣām bāhū (MS MŚ *bāhūn*) *atīram* VS TS MS KS ŚB TA. ApŚ.

MŚ Since the 'arms' belong to a plurality of individuals, the plural is comprehensible; but since each person has 'two arms', the dual may also be used exactly as the 'generalizing singular' would be possible in the case of a singular entity.

apochatu (AV °ntu) *nuthunā yā kimīdinā* (AV. *ye kimīdinah*) RV. AV.

The *kimīdins* are apt to go in pairs (§764); the RV. dual here is the

substantial equivalent of a generalizing singular, since it really means *any* pair of demons, or all of them

ahorātrayoh samdhabyo jatūh VS *ahnah samdhabyām jatūh* MS
Since there are two 'joints' or twilights of day and night, the dual does duty as the equivalent of a 'generalizing singular'.

ahorātrās (KS TA °trānī) *te kalpantām* VS KS ŚB TA · *ahorātre te* (TB *me*) *kalpetām* MS TB 'Day-and-night'. 'days and nights'
śam ahobhyaḥ (TS *ahobhyām*) VS TS ŚB KS Here the relation is not quite the same, TS has an elliptic dual which must mean 'day and night', the plural of the other texts doubtless means 'days' in the sense of days of 24 hours, that is a plurality of days and nights. That *ahan* may mean this is proved e.g. by the preceding variant but one, since the 'twilights of day' can only mean twilights of the 24-hour day

utkulānikūlebhyas triṣṭhnam VS *utkulānikūlābhyām triṣṭhnam* TB
Fanciful entities to which homage is offered at the Puruṣamedha. The plural apparently can only mean a collection of the dual entities

6 Different agreement of adjectives and other epithets

§757. An adjective or other epithet sometimes agrees alternatively with a dual, or with one member of the pair, cf. the like variation between singular and plural, §735. Some cases of this sort will be found in §750. Also:

yasya dyaur urvī prthivī ca mahī AV : *yena dyaur ugrā prthivī ca drdhā* (TS *drdhe*) RV. VS VSK TS MS KS. Here the original makes *drdhā* apply only to *prthivī*; TS applies it to *dyaus* also

§758. Similarly an adjective or epithet may vary between dual, applying to a dual entity, and plural, including some other entity.

vipipānā (VS °nāh) *sarasvatī* VS MS. The dual refers to the Aśvins, the plural to them and Sarasvatī

(*āyushṛd āyushpatnī svadhāvantau*) *gopā me śtam . ātmasadau me śtam* AV (*āyusṛd āyushpatnī* [read *āyushṛd āyushpatnī*?] *svadhāvo*) *gopā nah śtha rakṣitārah* KS (*āyushṛd āyushpatnī svadhā vo*) *goptryo me śtha ātmasado me śtha* ApŚ. Explained VV 1 §357

§758a. Once a sing., doubtless felt as an abstract, in predicative apposition to a dual, varies with a dual concrete epithet

saritur bāhū śtho devaḥjanūnām vidharanīh (KapS °nī) KS KapS (Oertel 137). Two blades of grass are addressed; KapS surely intends a dual, 'two supporters', KS, 'a support'. The stem *vidharanī* is not recorded in the lexicons

§759. Two dual epithets of an adjoining dual noun may vary with two singulars, each then applying to one of the pair:

ugrampaśye ugrajītau tad adya AV.: *ugrampaśyā* (MS text *ugrampaśyāc*, VV 2 §405) *ca rāṣṭrabhṛc ca tām* MS TA : *dūrepaśyā ca rāṣṭrabhṛc ca tām* TB Followed by *apsarasāv* ; the epithets agree with this word (AV), or apply to the two individuals denoted by it (probably felt as proper names in the latter case)

§760. Once what appears to be an adjectival dvandva, in dual form, is allowed to agree with a singular noun (!); in the other form of the variant the uncompounded, single adjective is singular The variant strikes us as bizarre, but is psychologically comprehensible:

anuvatsarīṇām svastim āśāste TB ApŚ : *anuvatsarīyodvatsarīye svastim āśāse* MŚ. He desires well-being lasting for an *anuvatsara*: 'I desire well-being lasting for an *anuvatsara* and for an *udvatsara*'

§761. Perhaps somewhat similar is the following, if the reason for the dual in MŚ. is the dual character of the adjective compound rather than its reference to two entities The context deals, however, with the *śukra* and *manthin* drafts of soma, and it may be that MŚ. thinks of the word as applying to two oblations only (tho the neuter gender makes it impossible to supply *śukramanthīnau* [*grahau*] with it, and in fact the mantra can hardly be applied specifically to those two drafts, which are not, of course, offered by the *camasādhvaryus*).

madhyatahākārīnām camasādhvaryavo vaṣaṭkṛtānuvaṣaṭkṛtān (MŚ. °te) *juhuta* ApŚ. MŚ. '... offer the (MŚ. two?) oblations (in ApŚ. *somān* is apparently understood) accompanied by *vaṣaṭ* and by the secondary *vaṣaṭ*'

7. Transfer of epithet

§762. As with cases of transfer of epithet between singular and plural (§737), we shall list here only variants which do not involve change of case, these latter being treated above under case variations Variations between singular and dual are:

te asya yoṣane divye (KS *divyah*) VS TS. MS KS The sing. of KS. agrees with *yonir* in the next pāda

ubhā kavī yuvānā (PG *yuvā*) AŚ ŚŚ Vait ApŚ PG.: *mahā kavī yuvānā* MŚ. Followed in PG by *yo no dharmah parāpatat*, Stenzler translates *yuvā* with *dharmah* but observes that the text is corrupt, and Oldenberg abandons it

dirghaprayajyum atī yo vanuṣyati RV. TS MS N : *dirghaprayajyū haviṣā vṛdhānā* MS TB. The latter occurs in a modification of

the RV. verse (which MS elsewhere repeats without change), with transfer of the epithet to the dual divinity addressed (originally Indra-Varuna, here Mitra-Varuna).

viśvakarmaṁś tanūpā asī ŚB : *viśvakarmānau tanūpau me sthah ŚŚ*.

Explained VV 1 §351.

indrasya vām (TB te) vīryakṛto VS. VSK ŚB. TB. See §521

aśvinendram na jāgrvī (TB °vī) VS MS. TB. Comm. on VS takes jāgrvī as dual adjective, but it is rather an adverb (so BR and VV 2 §525). In TB it is made to agree with aśvinā

§763. Transfers of epithet between dual and plural are:

navena pūrvam dayamānāḥ syāma (VS. TB. dayamāne) VS. MS. KS † 19 13 TB. (both). The plural agrees with the subject, the dual with devī to whom the passage is addressed

sa viśvācīr (KS °cī) abhi caṣṭe gṛtācīh (KS. °cī) RV VS. TS MS KS. ŚB The original probably applies to sacrificial ladles, tho no noun is mentioned, cf ŚB 9 2 3 17. KS. attracts the adjectives into agreement with *rodasī* For the phonetic aspects of the change see VV 2 §357

8. Phrase inflection

§764. Here are presented cases in which the shift of number is due to adaptation of old material to a new context. Sometimes all three numbers, singular, dual, and plural, occur in different forms. Thus: *sakhāyau saptapadāv abhūva (ApMB.† °padā babhūva) ApMB HG : sakhā (PG. sakhe) saptapadāi (ApMB PG °dā) bhava AG ŚG KauŚ ApMB. PG. SMB MG sakhāyah saptapadā abhūma TB ApŚ See VV 1 p. 274.*

yātudhānam kimīdinam AV : yātudhānān kimīdināḥ AV. : yātudhānā kimīdinā RV. Different contexts Friends are otherwise known to go in pairs (mithunā precedes in RV), cf apochatu etc., §756 tā no mṛdāta īdrṣe RV SV VS VSK TS KS te no mṛdantv īdrṣe AV sa no mṛdātīdrṣe RV AV. TS. MS KS ApMB N.

§765. Variations between dual and singular:

*grṇānā (RV * SV * °no) jamadagnīnā RV. (both) SV. (both) AŚ ŚŚ AG. ŚG*

tanvāno (TB °ne) yajñam puruṣeśasam dhīyā RV. TB The latter lifts the pāda from an Agni verse and uses it in a different one to *dyāvāprthivī*

*yajñasyāyuh pratīran (KS * †2 7, MŚ pratīrantau) MS KS (both) MŚ* Two wholly different contexts in KS ; MS agrees with one, MŚ with the other

viśvair devair pitrbhūh samvīdānah RV. TS.: *viśvair devair yajñīyair samvīdānau* (TS. KSA. °*nah*) TS. KSA. TB. AŚ. In TS. KŚ. two different verses, one adopted from RV.; in TB. AŚ. this single pāda is used in a wholly different context.

devī devebhīr yajatā (and °*te*) *yajatraih* RV. (both): *devī deveṣu yajatā yajatra* RV. AV. MS

tasyām (ApŚ *tayor*) *devā adhisamvasantah* TS. TB. ApŚ : *tasyām devair samvasanto mahitvā* AV.: *yasyām* (v. 1 *asyām*) *devā abhisamviśantoh* MŚ Half a stanza is adapted to a different purpose in ApŚ

jyotiṣe tvā VS. TS MS. KS ŚB TA KŚ ApŚ MŚ.: *jyotiṣe vām* KS. ApŚ Different contexts; dual only in one passage of KS ApŚ. Also *tejase tvā* (vām), *cakṣuṣe tvā* (vām), *varcase tvā* (vām), and *prajābhīyas tvā* (°*bhyo* vām); sings in various texts, duals only KS. ApŚ.

āśāsānā medhapatibhyām (MS KS °*pataye*) *medham* MS KS. AB KB. TB. AŚ ŚŚ The ūhas *medhapataye* and °*patibhyah* are prescribed in the sequel in AB; see Schwab, *Tieropfer*, 102. Really this involves all three numbers and so belongs in §764.

bhadrā te pūṣann (TA * *bhadrā vām pūṣannv*) *iha rātir astu* RV. SV. TS. MS KS TA (both) N. Here a whole stanza is adapted to a different context in TA The sing is addressed to Pūṣan, or according to the TA comm to *samvatsara*; the dual, to *dyāvapṛthivī* The next two occur in the same verse:

śukram vām anyad rajatam (Poona ed *yaj*°, v. 1 *raj*°) *vām anyat* TA.: *śukram te anyad yajatam te anyat* RV. SV. TS MS KS AB. KB. TA AŚ. Svīdh N And:

viśvā hi māyā avasī svadhāvah (SV. °*van*, TA * *avathah svadhāvantau*) RV. SV TS MS KS TA (both) N. See prec

devas tvā (ApŚ. *devo vām*) *savitā madhvānaktu* VS TS. MS KS. MŚ. ApŚ.

viśvet tā te (RV.* *vām*) *savaneṣu pravācya* RV. (both).

stomebhīr havanaśrutam (and °*tā*) RV. (both).

dārgam vām (and *ta*) *āyuh savitā kṛnotu* AV. (both). In different parts of the marriage ceremony, sing is the wife, dual husband and wife Might perhaps be called a vikāra and placed in §769.

patī (RV.* *patim*) *turasya rādhasah* RV. (both) KS

rājantam adhvarāṇām RV VS TS MS KS. ŚB.: *rājantāv adhvarānām* RV.

sāmrajyāya sukratuh (RV.* °*tū*) RV (both) VS TS MS KS ŚB TB. *arvāñcā vām* (and *arvāñcam tvā*) *saptayo dhvarasriyah* RV. (both) See

RVRep on 1 47 8, which calls the sing an ūha of the dual, it is hardly that in the strictly technical sense, tho in a wider sense all these variants might be called ūhas

āyur vām (AV MG **āyus̥ ʔe*) *śaradah śatam* AV MG (both)

anu (MS *nu*, read *anu*, KB ŚŚ *upa*) *vām jīhvā ghṛtam ā caranyat* MS
KS KB ŚŚ : *prati te jīhvā ghṛtam uc caranyet* (MS KS **yāt*, VS
ŚB **yāt svāhā*) VS TS MS KS ŚB *prati vām jīhvā ghṛtam uc*
(AV * TS * *ʔā*) *caranyat* (AV **yāt*, TS **yel*) AV (bis) TS (bis)
MS KS KB AŚ ŚŚ

catuhśikhandā yuvatiḥ supeśāh (KS *supatnī*) KS TB ApŚ *catuhśi-*
khande yuvati kanīne ApŚ In the latter a largely new verse is
constructed for a different context See next

ghṛtapratikā (ApŚ * **ke*) *bhuvanasya madhye* TB ApŚ (both) Follows
the prec

dīrgham āyuh kṛnotu me (AV * ApMB *vām*) AV (ter) JB Kauś ApMB
tāv imā upa sarpatah SV JB . *emām anu sarpata* MS It seems that
MS has adapted the SV original to a different ritual context The
interpretation of this and the surrounding mantras in MS is
obscure, see ApŚ 4 10 4, with Caland's translation, which deals
with the same ritual With Benfey we understand *imā* as dual,
emām of MS would seem to refer to *idā*

nīlalohtam bhavati RV AV *nīlalohte bhavatah* ApMB Whitney says
that ApMB speaks as if the bridal garment which is given away
were two (or better, made of two parts) Perhaps the variant
did originate in that way, but ApG uses the mantra in referring
not to the bridal garment, but to two threads put one in each
wheel-track of the car on which the bride is brought to her husband's
house, that is in a different context, tho still part of the wedding
rites

mā tvā vrkṣaḥ (TA *vrkṣau*) *saṁ bādhiṣṭa* (TA **ʔām*, and *bādhetḥām*) AV
TA (bis) According to Kauś 82 32 the AV verse is used in
burying the bones of the burned corpse at the foot of a tree TA
uses it twice in a different part of the funeral rites, namely in con-
structing the funeral pyre, the 'two pieces of wood' refer to the
paridhis (of which there are four) surrounding the pyre, the verse
is applied once to the north and south pair, once to the east and
west pair

vidhṛtir aśi TA ŚŚ : *vidhṛti śtāh* MS

§766. Phrase inflection, variations between dual and plural

indravanti (TB **tau*) *hanv idam juṣethām* TB ŚŚ *indravanto hanv*
idam juṣantiām TB ApŚ

yahvī ṛtasya mātārā RV : *yahvīr ṛtasya mātaraḥ* RV.

uruvyacasō dhāmnā patyamānāḥ VS TS MS KS . *uruvyacasāgner dhāmnā patyamāne* AV

puruṣe 'dhi samāhūtāḥ (and °ie) AV Both in the same verse, the dual goes with *amṛtam ca mṛtyuś ca*, the plural with *nādyah*

asme vo (vām) astu sumatīś canīṣṭhā RV (both)

agner vo 'pannagrhasya (TS * TB ApŚ * *vām apanna°*) *sadaśi sādāyāmī* VS TS (both) MS KS TB ŚB ApŚ (both) MŚ.

ayam śraīṣṭhye dadhātu nah (PG *nau*) ŚG. PG The dual refers to the householder and his wife, the plural, in a different context, is generalizing or 'editorial'

ṛtāvānā (°vāno) *jane-jane* RV (both)

sam u vām (vo) yajñam mahayam (°yan) *namobhūh* RV (both)

saha nah sādhuṣṭyā ŚB LŚ KŚ : *sahau nau suṣṭam saha duṣṭam*

Kauś : *suṣṭam nau saha* Kauś

sam vām (AV MS * KS * *vo*) *manānsi sam vratā* AV VS TS MS (both) KS (both) ŚB.

9 Dualization, or the reverse, in the same ritual context

§767. The next group includes variants in which the form shifts from dual to singular or plural, or vice versa, in the same context, in accordance with a change in the number of the entity designated, which may be the same or different. Included are some ritual ūhas and vikāras where the context seems to us to be the same, the number of the entity only shifting. It is perhaps doubtful where the line should be drawn, or whether it should be drawn at all, between this and the preceding group, we have tried to draw it at the point where the context ceases to be 'different' and becomes essentially 'the same', but these terms are not strictly definable, and ritual ūhas perhaps belong rather with the preceding—When the change of number involves transfer of an epithet, it is of course treated above, §§762 ff., and when, without such transference, the change of number seems to be due to some sort of formal or external adaptation or assimilation, it is included below in §§773 ff.

§768. Variations of all three numbers:

tasya te dattām yasya (and *yayoh*) *prāno 'sī svāhā* TS : *tasya te dadātu yeṣām prāno 'sī svāhā* TS : *tasya te dadātu yasya prāno 'sī svāhā* TS.

Modulations in the same passage. For *yasya* after *dattām*, *yayoh* is to be expected; perhaps a mere error?

tayā devatayāñgrasvad dhruvā sīda VS TS etc. . *dhruvāḥ sīda* VS ŚB TA : *dhruve sīdatam* VS : *dhruvāḥ sīdata* TS. Also *tena brahmanā* etc., see Conc

āgneyah kṛṣṇagrīvah VS TS KSA : *āgneyau kṛṣṇagrīvau* TS KSA : *kṛṣṇagrīva āgneyo rarāṭe* (MS *lalāṭe*) *purastāt* VS MS . *kṛṣṇagrīvā āgneyāh* VS MS ApŚ All in lists of animals at the *aśvamedha* TS. KS. have sg and dual in adjoining formulas Probably the plural is a substitute for the dual; but this may itself be a mere ūha of the sing

yāni gharṃe kapālāni TS MS KS. KŚ MŚ In MŚ two ūhas. *yad gharṃe*, and *ye gharṃe*

agnim adya hotāram avṛṇitāyam yajamānah MS (and the next five items in Conc) *agnim adya hotāram (avṛṇitām imau yajamānau, and avṛṇateme yajamānāh)* ŚŚ See Conc

agnaye prahrīyamānāyānubṛūhi ŚB. KŚ MŚ : *agnibhyām prahrīyamānābhyām anubṛūhi* KŚ . *agnibhyah prahrīyamānebhyo 'nubṛūhi* ŚB

asāv (asā) anu mā tanu (LŚ *tanuḥ jyotiṣā*) MS KS. LŚ MŚ ApŚ . *amū anu mā tanutam*, and *amī anu mā tanuta* MŚ Ūhas

punah kṛṇvans tvā pītaram yuvānam TS : *punah kṛṇvantah pītaro yuvānah* MS : *punah kṛṇvānā* (KS *kṛṇvantā*) *pītārā yuvānā* VS KS † ŚB. We cannot interpret this passage It seems that the dual, as in VS KS, is probably original; Mahidhara takes *kṛṇvānā* as plural, for 'nāh' Even such violence helps little

§769. Dual and singular:

sanījagmāno (KS °nā, MS TB ApŚ MŚ °nau) *divā* (TB ApŚ *diva ā*) *prthivyā* VS MS KS. ŚB TB ApŚ Same context, but in VS ŚB the formula is repeated, once each with the *śukra* and *manthi* grahas, while in the others it goes with both together See §576 In VS MS KS immediately followed by-

śukrah śukraśociṣā VS TS KS ŚB TB ApŚ . *śukrau śukraśociṣau* MS As preceding, but here KS follows with a separate formula *manthi manthiśociṣā*, while TB ApŚ do not connect this immediately with the preceding.

kṛṇutām tāv adhvarā jātavedasau MŚ : *kṛṇotu so adhvarān* (VS TB °rā) *jātavedāh* VS MS KS. TB AŚ . *so adhvarā* (AB 'dhvarā karatī) *jātavedāh* AB ŚB See VV 1 p 263, under *ayād agnir* ; also next *yakṣat svam mahimānam* VS MS KS ŚB TB AŚ : *yakṣatah svau mahimānau* MŚ In same context as prec, *mahiman* pertains to the subject and varies in number with it

prāṇāya me varcodā varcase pavasva VS VSK TS ŚB : *prāṇāpānābhyām me varcodasau pavethām* MŚ See VV 1 §368

tasyaḥ (tābhyām, in 11 2 27 read tasmai) namo yatamasyām dīśilah

AV. (all three). All in the same hymn, referring to Bhava or Rudra and Bhava or their weapon; practically ūhas, but perhaps better to be placed in §765

veṣāya vām (TS. TB ApŚ. *tvā*) VS.† 1. 6, TS. MS. ŚB. TB. ApŚ. MŚ. Kauś Sing used by TS addressing ladle and winnowing-basket separately, dual by VS. in addressing both together. Also used in other contexts, the dual having other applications

te te dhāmāny uśmasi gamadhye TS : *tā* (VS ŚB. *yā*) *te* (RV. KS N. *vām*) *dhāmāny* (RV KS. N. *vāstūny*) *uśmasi gamadhyai* RV. VS. MS. KS. ŚB N. In a Viṣṇu hymn; the dual is understood to refer to Indra and Viṣṇu, who are however nowhere mentioned in the hymn. Even the latter part of this verse mentions Viṣṇu alone in the sing. The secondary change, to the singular pronoun is therefore very natural.

śmeva tvam sthūrā (MG ApMB * HG. * †1 4. 1 *sthiro*) *bhava* AG. ŚG. SMB. PG. ApMB. (bis) HG. (bis) MG.: *śmeva yuvām sthūrau bhavatam* MG. Sing fem refers to the wife, dual to wife and husband, in the same context The masc. sing. is used in a different context.

varuṇasya skambhasarjanam (KS °ny) *asī* TS MS KS. MahānU. MŚ.: *varuṇasya skambhasarjanā sthah* VS. ŚB. Explained in Keith's note 7, HOS 18 27.

eṣā te agne samit tayā (MŚ *tayā tvam*) *vardhasva cā ca pyāyasva* VS ŚB ŚŚ. MŚ ApŚ. ŚG ApMB HG : *ete vām agnī samudhau tābhyām vardhethām cā ca pyāyethām* KŚ (an ūha quoted in KŚ. comm) *āyuskrd āyuspatnī svadhāvantau* AV : *āyus tad āyupatni* (ms °nḥ) *svadhāvah* KS . *āyukrd āyuhpatnī svadhā vah* ApŚ The reference seems to be to the sacred fires; so at least in ApŚ.; obscure in the others. AV continues with dual forms, KS. ApŚ. with plurals; see *gopā me stam* (*gopā nah stha, goptryo me stha* .), §758.

āmātārā sthāpayase jigatnū RV AV.: *āsthāpayata mātaram jigatnum* AV. In RV. the 'two mothers' (parents?) are heaven and earth. In AV. *mātaram* possibly refers to Indra's mother, suggested by Indra's heroic deeds in the following pāda; cf. RV. 8. 45. 4, 77. 1.

grāvacyuto dhiṣṇayor upasthāt VS ŚB.: *bāhucyuto dhiṣṇāyā* (TS *dhiṣṇayor*) *upasthāt* (KS. °sthe) RV TS. KS. GB Vart. MŚ. See Hillebrandt, *VMyth* 2 1, p 426 ff. According to H. *dhiṣṇā* originally means 'earth' (dual in RV. only 'heaven and earth'); then the *vedī* identified with the earth If so, VS TS. have reinterpreted the old word in a new sense; the comm makes it refer to the 'pressing planks.'

viṣnor manasā pūle sthah (Kauś * *pūlam asi*) MS KS ApŚ MŚ Kauś (both) GG KhG Refers to two 'purifiers' of *kuśa* grass, or once in Kauś to a single one

ṣaṣṭiś cādhvaryū (ApŚ °yo, AŚ † °yor) *navatiś ca pāśāh* AŚ ŚŚ ApŚ Spoken by the hotar as he touches the *adhvaryu* and the *agnidh*, in the dual form both are addressed Since the *agnidh* belongs to the class of *adhvaryu* priests, the dual need not be considered elliptic The AŚ probably has a misprint (§369).

upasrjan (ŚG *upa srjam*) *dharunam māt্রে dharuno mātaram* (LŚ MŚ *māt্রে mātaram dharuno*, ApŚ *māt্রে mātārā dharuno*) *dhayan* VS. ŚB JB LŚ ApŚ MŚ ŚG . *upasrjan* (AŚ *upasrjam*) *dharunam mātaram dharuno dhayan* AB AŚ The 'dam' is earth Caland suggests that the dual *mātārā* may mean 'heaven and earth', this may be the intention but it seems inappropriate. Obscure

§770. Variants between dual and plural:

samprca (°cah, °cas) *stha* VS VSK KS ŚB. TB. ApŚ MŚ : *samprcau sthah* VS ŚB Also, in same passage.

viṣrca (°cah, °cas) *stha*, and *viṣrcau sthah*, same texts See VV 1 p 259 *etā asadan sukr̥tasya loke* TS TB . *etā asadan*, and (ūha) *etāv asadatām* (pratikas) ApŚ

srucah sammr̥ddhi ŚB KŚ MŚ : *srucau sammr̥ddhi* MŚ . *sruvam ca srucaś ca sammr̥ddhi* ApŚ

ā mā gantām (VSK *gantam*) *pitarā mātārā ca* (VSK *ṭyuvam*) VS VSK. 10 3 12c, TS KS ŚB : *ā mā ganta pitaro viśvarūpāh* MS It is not clear who are meant by the 'parents' or 'fathers'. For the added *ca* after a double dual see Macdonell *Ved Gr.* p 156. Add to VV 1 §§337, 352

mathavyān stokān apa yān rarādha AV. : *madhavyau stokāv* (MS *stokā*) *apa tau rarādha* TS MS. Why TS MS should think of the lost drops of soma as exactly two is not clear to us Followed by:

sam nas tābhyām (AV *tebhāh*) *sr̥jatu viśvakarmā* AV TS MS The interpretation depends on that of the preceding

svasāro mātariḥbhar̥ (AV. text °*śvar̥*, read doubtless as RV) *ariprāh* RV AV *svasārau mātariḥbhvar̥* (text em °*śvar̥*, read with mss. and Whitney °*bhvar̥*) *aripre* AV Part of a mystic verse, it is not clear who the 'sisters', dual or plural, are. Ludwig suggests 'heaven and earth' and apparently believes the dual to be original, relying doubtless on the preceding verse, *ā mātārā sthāpayase jṛgatnū*, cf §769 But this would involve the rash assumption that AV. is more original than RV.

dawya (AV. Ppp *dawā*) *holārāv ūrdhvam* (VS. °*rā ūr*°, KS *holārordhram imam*, MS. °*rā ūrdhvam imam*, AV. °*ra ūrdhvam*, Ppp. °*ra* [but intending °*rā* since dual verb follows] *imam*) *adhvaram nah* AV. Ppp VS TS MS KS 18 17a

§771. In a number of variants the plural which varies with the dual is a first-person form or epithet of the subject, referring to the priests and their associates generally, and 'generalizing' or 'editorial' in character, like the variants treated in §724; while the dual is specific, including in the pair the speaker.

yathāyatham nau (KS. *no*) *vratapatē* (KS °*pā*) *vrātāni* (TS MS. *vrātinor vrātān*, KS *vrātinām vrātāni*) VS. 'S MS KS. ŚB. The dual includes Agm (*vratapati*) and the yajamāna; KS's plural either refers 'editorially' to the yajamāna alone or to his associates. From the phonetic standpoint this and several others in this paragraph belong in VV 2 §732.

tan (AV. *tatra*) *nau samskṛtam* AV. VS 4. 34, KS : *tan nah samskṛtam* TS. MS. The dual is taken to refer to the sacrificer and the offering addressed (perhaps better to him and his wife?); the plural refers to him and his associates, or perhaps really to him alone.

sā no (AV. *nau*) *nābhāḥ paramam jāmi tan nau* RV. AV. The ('editorial') plural occurs also earlier in the verse. The dual of AV. is doubtless secondary (cf. Oldenberg on RV. 10. 10 4); it refers to Yama and Yami, and is doubtless assimilated to the following *nau*, which has the same reference

puṣām bahūnāḥ mātara syāma (MG. °*rau syāva*) ApMB. MG. Addressed to the wife by the husband; the dual means 'parents', the plural is 'editorial'.

ṛṣabhā janayanti nah (ApMB. °*yantu nau*, HG. °*yantu nah*) ApMB (bis) HG : *puruṣā janayanti nah* ŚG. Spoken by the husband, as in prec ApMB. has the two forms in adjoining verses in the same context

asthūr no (*no*, KS *nau*, MŚ † *nau*) *gārhapatyāni santu* (VSK adds *śatam himāh*), *ugmena nas tejasā* (TS *no brahmanā*, KS *nau brahmanā*) *saṁ śiśādhi* RV. VSK TS MS. KS TB. MŚ The dual doubtless thinks of man and wife together as possessors of 'household affairs'; the plural is 'editorial' Note the inconsistency of MŚ.

§772. Similarly the next, where the dual is 2d person, apparently referring to the sacrificer and his wife (and spoken by a priest):

idawāsmān (RVKh Muller, followed by Scheft, *idāva vām*) *anu vastām vrātēna* (RVKh as before *ghṛtēna*) RVKh AV : *idāsmān anu vastām ghṛtēna* ApŚ MŚ.

10 Form assimilation

§773. In a group of cases the number is changed by attraction to the number of some other word in the context, in a rather external way, the change in meaning, tho apparently real enough, is not dictated by the logical requirements of the situation; in essence the variation is one of mechanical form assimilation. Thus, all three numbers of the vocative of *vāyu* are found in the HG form of the following. With cunning inanity, HG. makes the number of the vocative agree each time with the number of the pronoun, which refers to sacrificial posts. Oldenberg fails to understand HG, not having in mind the MS parallel, and translates all the nouns as nominatives, emending *vāyo* to *vāyuh*, so as to make the nouns predicates to the pronouns:

ete te vāyo (HG. *vāyavah*) MS. HG : *ēṣa te vāyo* MS MŚ HG : *etau te vāyū* HG : *vāyav eṣa* (and *ete, etau*) *te vāyo* ApŚ 'This is (these are) thine, O Vāyu (HG also O Vāyus, dual and plural)' Note that, inconsistently, the pronoun *te* is always singular, even in HG

§774. Other cases, first those involving singular and dual, are:

vr̥ṣanam (MS °*nā*) *āndābhyām* VS MS In the *aśvamedha* 'I gratify the bull (the two bulls) with his testicles.' The bull or bulls are imaginary, transcendental beings, and there is no reason for the duality except the duality of *āndābhyām*. So with the next two, which occur in the same context

karnābhyām śrotam (MS *śrotre*) VS MS See prec

vidyutam kanīnakābhyām (MS KSA *kanīni*) VS MS KSA : *vidyutau kanānakābhyām* TS Cf. prec two

śrotam (AV. *śrotre*) *te cakre āstām* RV AV. The AV makes *śrotra* dual because of the predicate *cakre*, 'thy two wheels'.

yena strīyam (PG *śrīyam*, ŚŚ *strīāv*) *akṛṇutam* (PG. °*tām*, ŚŚ *akurutam*) ŚŚ PG SMB. GG. 'By which ye two (Āśvins) made woman (two women)' ŚŚ's variant is phonetic in character (VV 2 §237), with the help of attraction to the number of the Āśvins.

§775. In a couple of instances, however, the assimilated dual form seems to be the original, and the singular is substituted for it in secondary texts because for one reason or another they feel that the entity designated should be singular, despite its reference to a duality:

putrah pitarāv (AV °*ram*) *avṛṇita pūṣā* RV. AV In both texts the reference is to the Āśvins; the singular of AV. is secondary and may be due to a feeling that paternity should be single, tho phonetic considerations are also involved (VV 2 §237).

duhāhām gharomadughe wa dhenū AV (in Conc. preceded by *vāmam*, which belongs to the preceding pāda): *samduhāhām gharomadugheva dhenū* TB The dual entity heaven and earth is compared to a milch cow, or in AV. to two cows; the assimilation in this case is natural enough, and is doubtless the original form.

§776. A special case of this form-assimilation is constituted by the variation in the form of substantive pronouns, which may be either neut. singular (indefinite) without reference to the number and gender of the predicate noun, or on the other hand may agree in gender and number with the predicate; the latter is the more common usage in the Veda, and is found in the original (RV.) form of the following variant, while secondary texts use a neuter singular (cf. §§730, 812):

mukham kim asya (VS *asyāsū*) *kau* (AV. VS *kim*) *bāhū, kā* (TA. *kāv*, AV. VS *kim*) *ūrū pādā* (TA *†pādāv*) *ucyete* RV AV. VS. TA.

§777. Form assimilation between dual and plural occurs in the variant *sā no* (nau) *nābhū* etc., §771, and in the following:

śeṣe vaneṣu mātroh (SV. *mātrṣu*) RV. SV 'Thou lurkest in the sticks of wood, thy (two) mothers' Dual in RV. because reference is to the two *araṇis*; plural in SV by attraction to *vaneṣu*

vājino vājanto vājam .VS. TS. KS. ŚB. ApŚ.: *vājinau vājantaḥ vājam* . MS KS. MŚ. See the several entries in Conc Two associated formulas; in KS (probably original) one (dual) is addressed to one pair of the racing team, the other to all the team (cf. KS. 14 7: 206 22 f.) The other texts assimilate in one direction or the other; both are dual in MS, both plural in VS. TS.

11. Corruptions and errors

§778. The following seem to be due to corruptions and errors:

devī dvārau (Vait *devīr dvāro*) *mā mā samlāptam* TS. AŚ. Vait. The dual of the word for 'door' may be used, with reference to the two panels (here the two doorposts are actually addressed) The plural is equally familiar. But the dual verb makes it almost impossible to construe the plural of Vait, and Caland's translation seems silently to abandon it. The form *dvāro* may be regarded as a phonetic corruption for *dvārau* (VV 2 §732).

saha nau vratapate (TS MS add *vratinor*, KS text *vratapā vratinām*) *vratān* VS. TS. MS KS ŚB. The plural of KS. is inexplicable, in view of the dual *nau*; the best ms in fact omits *vratinām*, and so KS should probably be read; *vratinām* probably crept in in the

inferior mss of KS from the mantra *agne vratapā punar vratapā
vratinām vratāni*, which shortly precedes

tā mandasānā manuṣo durona ā RV ApMB . *sā mandasānā manasā
śivena* AV. The original refers *mandasānā* (dual) to the Aśvins,
to whom AV. also refers in the sequel Apparently *sā*, nom sg
fem., is due to a stupid misunderstanding of the endings -ā, no
feminine entity can be concerned here

apsarasāv anu dattām ṛnāni (AV *ṛnam nah*) AV TB TA . *apsarasām
anu dattānṛnāni* MS Phonetic corruption in MS , VV 2 p 122,
1 p 282

[*śākhābhyah svāhā* VS TS MS KSA Conc quotes *śākhābhyām* for
VS. TS]

[*śrotṛāya me varcodā varcase* (MŚ *me varcodāh*) *pavasva* VS VSK ŚB
MŚ.. *śrotṛāya (me varcodau varcase pavethām)* TS Conc Similarly
under *añgebhyo me* See VV 1 §372a]

CHAPTER XXIX

GENDER

1. Male and female persons and animals

§779. We shall begin our treatment of gender (see §§118-25 for a summary) with variations between names of male and female animals or persons, varying with each other. They usually occur in contexts where either is equally appropriate; sometimes we think we can see the motive of the change, but often we find none. Thus, first, male and female animals:

holā yakṣat sarasvatīm meṣasya (KS. *meṣyā*) *vapāyā* VS KS. It is likely that KS uses a female animal as more appropriate to the sex of Sarasvatī. So in the next two:

ayāt sarasvatyā meṣasya (KS. *meṣyā*) *haviṣah* VS KS

yatra sarasvatyā meṣasya (KS. *meṣyā*) *haviṣah* VS. KS.

ṛṣabhāya (MS *vr̥ṣ*) *gavayī* VS. MS : *ṛṣabhāya rājñe gavayah* TS. KSA. *yad dharṇo* (TS. KSA. TB *ṛñ*) *yavam attī* VS TS MS. KSA ŚB TB.

ŚŚ. Possibly gender-attraction from *śūd-ā*, in a parallel sentence in the second half-verse

anāśur aśvo 'yāmī (ŚŚ and AV. mss. *aśvāyāmī*) AV † ŚŚ.†. *svāśur aśvah suyāmī* (ŚŚ *aśvā yāmī*) AV ŚŚ.

ākhuḥ śṛjayā śayāṇdakas te maitrāh (KSA ms *śayāṇdakās te maityāh*) TS KSA.: *śārgah śṛjayah śayāṇdakas te maitrāh* VS MS Name of an unknown animal, male or female The ed of MS follows the p p.; the other mss. have *śṛjayā*.

ajo (TS *ajā*) *hy agner ajaniṣṭa śohāt* (TS *garbhāt*) AV. VS. TS MS KS ŚB.

§780. Dependent on the change of gender in the last-quoted pāda, in which TS. makes the goat female, are the three following pādas, in the same stanza, with pronouns referring to *ajo* or *ajā*:

so (TS. *sā vā*) *apaśyāj janulāram agre*,

tena (TS *tayā*) *devā devatām agra (agram) āyan*,

tena (TS *tayā*) *roham āyann upa* (AV. *rohān rurukur*) *medhyāsah*, all in the same texts

§781. Similarly with an adjective referring to unexpressed animals, male or female:

ślpā varīvadevyah (MS. °vīh) VS MS · *ślpās trayo varīvadevāh* TS
KSA 'The (three) varicolored (animals) are for the Viśvadevas'
The animals are male in TS. KSA, female in VS MS

§782. Names of human or superhuman beings, varyingly male and female:

śilāyāñjanāḱārīm (TB °ram) VS. TB 'An ointment-maker' (female VS, male TB)

piśācebhya bīḱalakārīm (TB °ram) VS TB

yātudhānebhyaḱaṇṭakākāram (VS. *kaṇṭakāḱārīm*) VS TB Note the curious accord in the grammatical gender of the word for 'thorn', which is fem in the compound 'female thorn-worker', masc in the other

pra devāh (AV. *devīh*) *protā sūnṛtā* RV AV TS MS. Possibly gender-attraction to *sūnṛtā* in AV.

kātyāyanāya (MahānU °yanyai) *vidmahe* TA MahānU Epithets of Śiva or his consort

ākṛayāyā ayogūm VS : *ākramāyāyogūm* (Poona ed *ākṛayāyā°*) TB A ṇonce-deity, *ākṛaya* or °yā

yamāya (TB *yamyai*) *yamasūm* VS TB.

pratīkṣante śvaśuro devarāś ca AV : *pratīkṣantām śśvaśruvo devarāś ca* ApMB.

2 Variation of nouns in grammatical gender

§783. We come now to variants involving shift of grammatical gender in nouns This shift may be signalized by a change in the form of the noun itself, as when a masculine or neuter *a* stem varies with an otherwise identical feminine *ā* or *ī* stem of like meaning; or when an *n* stem appears now with a nominative in *ā* (masc), now with one in *a* (neut) It may on the other hand appear only in the gender of a modifying adjective or pronoun, because the noun itself has the same form in either of the varying genders; this is true for instance of many case-forms of the *i* and *u* declensions and of the diphthongal stems We shall not separate these two types in our list, but shall divide the materials first according to the genders which interchange, and secondly according to what appears to be the regular or primary gender of the word In some cases the change in gender seems to be due to assimilation to another word in the context

Masculine and feminine

§784. We begin with words which seem to be regularly or primarily masculine, the feminine forms being apparently exceptional or at least

historically secondary. Thus the word *yonī* is always masc in RV, and the fem is rare in the older language; its origin may be connected with the word's meaning, 'womb', which suggests fem gender. Among the variants, MS. and KS, the oldest YV. texts, show only masc gender, with one exception (the first) in MS:

sapta yonīr (KS *yonīnr*) *ā pr̥nasva* (°vā) *ghṛtena* VS TS MS KS ŚB TB.

svam (TS † only *svām*, thrice) *yonim ihāsadaḥ* VS TS MS KS
svām (MS KS *svam*) *yonim gacha* AV VS TS MS KS ŚB. *svayonim gacha* MG (with v 1 *svam yonim*, which Conc suggests reading because of the MS reading).

agne svam (TS TB *svām*, ŚB *tvam*, for *svam*?) *yonim ā sīda sādhyā* (VS ŚB *sādhuyā*) VS TS MS KS ŚB TB

dhruvam (TS *dhruvām*) *yonim ā sīda sādhyē* (VS ŚB *sādhuyā*) VS TS. MS KS ŚB.

yajño bhūtā yajñam ā sīda svām (MŚ *svam*) *yonim* TB ApŚ MŚ
mā mā (KS *mām*) *hinsīṣṭam svam* (KS *yat svam*) *yonim āviśantau* (KS *āviśāṭah*) MS. KS : *mā mā hinsīḥ svām* (KS † *svām*) *yonim āviśantī* (KS TB ApŚ *āviśan*) VS KS. ŚB TB ApŚ. *mānam hinsīṣṭam svām yonim āviśantau* AB.

ebhyo (Kauś *ābhyo*) *yonibhyo adhi jātavedāḥ* VS. MS. KS. AŚ. Kauś.
yasyai (VSK *yasyā*) *yonir hiraṇyayī* VS. VSK ŚB *atho yonir hiraṇyayī* TS : *yonir yas te hiraṇyayah* KS

§785. Next the stem *rayī* or *rai*. Wackernagel 3 p. 216 notes that the word is regularly masc in RV. and suggests that the fem is due to influence of *puṣṭi* or the like

sa no dadātu tam (AV *tām*) *rayim* AV AA ŚŚ
athāsmabhyam saḥavīram (AV VSK MS KS °vīram) *rayim dāḥ* AV VS VSK TS MS KS HG Wackernagel 1 c mentions *rayim saḥavīram* and *sarvavīram* (see next) among the RV phrases in which AV. keeps masc gender; otherwise the word is regularly fem in AV. But note that this pāda, as a whole, is not Rigvedic
rayim ca nah sarvavīram (TS † °vīram) *ni yachatu* (AV *yachāt*) AV TS MS : *sa no rayim sarvavīram ni yachatu svāhā* (VSK. om *svāhā*) VS VSK ŚB. *somo rayim saḥavīram ni yansat* KS Cf RV 10 15. 11d, and see prec

§786. The stem *div* (*dyu*) is regularly masc in the early language, later fem; see Wackernagel 3 p. 221.

abhīmam (TS MS † °mām, MS MŚ v. 1 °mām) *mahinā* (VS. °mā, delete MŚ v 1 in Conc) *divam* (MS *divah*) VS TS MS TA ApŚ. MŚ

§787. The word *kalaśa* is regularly masc; in the following ApMB. seems to show a fem. form *kalaśir*, but this may be a phonetic corruption for *kalaśair*, cf. §412 and VV 2 §701.

ā *dadhnah kalaśair* (ApMB °śir, MG. °śam) *aguh* (*ayān*, etc) AV. AG. ŚG. PG HG. ApMB. MG.

§788. We come now to words in which fem. gender appears to be regular or at least historically original:

yā (MS. *ya*) *iśavo yātudhānānām* VS. TS MS ŚB NīlarU ApMB. The word *iśu* is regularly fem. in the older language (always in RV.).

Here the masc. pronoun may have been due to the series of masc.

ye's in the preceding and following formulas

avalām (MS. KSA *avalān*, but MS p p *avalām*) *dantamūlāḥ* VS MS KSA ŚB.

vy amīvāś (TB. °vāś) *cālayasvā viśūcīḥ* RV. TB Both edd. of TB *amīvāś*, and comm likewise *amīvān rogān*, finding no difficulty in making *viśūcīḥ* agree No masc. is otherwise recorded, and in view of the fem adjective *viśūcīḥ* we may assume an ancient corruption

pravayāhnāhar jinva VS. *pravāyāhne 'har jinva* MS.: *pravāsi* TS KS.

Vait (*prāvo 'sy ahanāsi* GB. Gastra, corrupt) The masc. is otherwise unknown, but MS p p. gives *pravāya*

[*rtēna* (MG. *rtēva*) *sthūnām* (ApMB HG *sthūnāv*, MG *sthūnā*) *adhī roha vanśa* (MG. *vanśah*) AV. AG. HG ApMB MG. See §740.]

§789. In the rest we find no criterion to determine clearly the original gender. In some cases both seem equally regular; one is a ἀπ λγ.

emām pariśrutah kumbhah AV.: *enam pariśrutah kumbhyā* ŚG Other GS. texts preserve *kumbhah* which seems to be original in this formula

dadhi mantham (ŚŚ *manthām*) *pariśrutam* (ŚŚ *pariśrutam*) AV ŚŚ.

agner alśnah kanīnakam (VSK °kāṁ, TS MS. KS °nīkāṁ) VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB

vītrasyāsi kanīnakah (MS MŚ MG. °nīkā) VS MS ŚB MŚ MG : *mītrasyāsi kanīnīkā* KS : *vītrasya lanīnīkāsi* (VSK °nalāsi) VSK TS. ApŚ

śimāh (VS. *śimāh*) *līrvantu* (VS * *śamyantu*) *śimyantah* (VS *śamyantīḥ*) VS (bis) TS KSA † An unknown word; cf VV 2 §§278, 572.

Masculine and neuter

§790. Among the masc.-neut variants appear a number in which we think we see reason for believing that the shift is due to assimilation in gender to another word in the context, most commonly a predicate noun Special attention will be called to these.

§791. We begin with words which seem to be regularly or originally masculine. Thus, first, the word *asu* as neuter is recorded heretofore only in a Hindu lexicon (see BR) Even Benfey's Glossary to SV. gives the gender as masc Yet the following is the only occurrence in SV, and here it is obviously neuter; the VSK. reading in the second variant confirms such a possibility We see no chance of interpreting either case as due to assimilation:

asum (SV *asu*) *rinann apah* RV SV.

vyānaś (KS *apānaś*) *ca me 'suś* (VSK 'su) *ca me* VS. VSK TS. MS. KS. *kṛnotu so adhvarāñ* (VS TB 'rā) *jātavedāh* VS. MS KS TB. AŚ.: *hṛnutām tāv adhvarā jātavedasau* MŚ. so *adhvarā* (AB. 'dhvarā *karatī*) *jātavedāh* AB ŚB Nowhere has *adhvara* been recorded as neuter; but this case is well attested and certain. VS. comm interprets *adhvarān*; TB. comm considers the form a loc !

grīṣmo dakṣinaḥ pakṣaḥ (MS *dakṣinam pakṣam*) MS TB. TA. Followed in MS. by *varṣā ullāram* (sc *pakṣam*). MS. unmistakably makes *pakṣa* neuter. Neither BR. nor pw. record such a usage; Monier Williams states that it occurs 'in one passage' which is not cited.

[*gātum yaḥñāya gātum* (TA once *gātu .gātu*) *yaḥñāpalāye* RVKh TS. MS. ŚB. TB. TA (both) N. But TA Poona ed has only *gātum*, which is doubtless the true reading]

§792. In several cases a masc noun is made anomalously neuter, apparently under the influence of the neuter gender of a predicate noun: *nikāyaś* (MS KS 'yam) *chandaḥ* VS. TS. MS KS ŚB. In this and the next it is quite likely that the original form of the variant pāda had the variant word in neuter gender, by assimilation to *chandaḥ*, and that the regular masc form is actually secondary.

samudram (VS ŚB 'raś) *chandaḥ* VS TS. MS KS ŚB.

vivadhāś chandaḥ VS. TS ŚB : *vivadhām* (KS 'dhaś) *chandaḥ* MS. KS. *yaḥñas* (TA *yaḥñam*) *tapah* TA MahānU. Poona ed. of TA. has v. l. *yaḥñas*; and so the comm reads in both edd.

§793. Next words which are regularly or originally; neuter there are several cases of *hārdi*:

indrasya hārdi (AV. *hārdim*) *āviśan manīṣibhiḥ* (AV. *manīṣayā*) RV. AV. SV.

mano me hārdi (VSK *hārd*) *yacha* VS VSK TS. KS. ŚB ApŚ.: *mano hārdim yacha* MS MŚ Once (out of three occurrences) the MS. pp reads *hārdi*

mā me hārdi tvīṣā (MŚ *hārdim dviṣā*) *vadhīh* TS MŚ : *mā no hārdi tvīṣā vadhīh* RV. Three mss of MŚ. *hārdi*

avakrandena tālu (TS KSA *tālum*) VS TS MS KSA Regularly neuter; occasionally masc, but BR cite no Vedic instance

valso jarāyu (KS *garbho jarāyuh*) *pratidhuk pīyūṣah* AV. TS. MS KS *jarāyu* is regularly neuter; the Hindu lexicons allow it to be either masc. or fem KS may be thus interpreted, or it might be considered an adaptation to the neuter *us*-stems, cf. the next

[*svam* (JB TA *punar*) *jarāyu* (TA *°yur*, Poona ed text *°yu*, but note seems to indicate that all mss read *°yur*) *gaur iva* AV KS JB TA ApŚ Here the word is accusative; consequently if *jarāyur* be read, it could only be understood as if from a neuter *us*-stem]

yāni kṣetrāni yā vanā AV.. *yāni dhanvāni ye vanā* (read *vanāh* with two mss) ApMB. *vana*, regularly neuter, is quoted once as masc in BR, from the Rāmāyana

[*catvāri śrīgā trayo asya pādāh* RV. VS MS KS GB TA MahānU ApŚ N. Conc quotes *śrīgās* for GB, but Gaastra reads *śrīgā* with all mss, and obviously this is the only possible reading]

§794. In the following we seem to find assimilatory influence of other words in the passage:

dhartram (TS. *°raś*) *catuṣṭomah* VS TS MS KS ŚB The predicate noun assimilates the regularly neuter *dhartra* in TS

vyomā (TS *vyoma*) *saptadaśah* VS TS MS. KS. ŚB KŚ MŚ The regular neut gender of *vyoman* is found only in TS Undoubtedly the original reading of the formula was *vyomā*, masc by assimilation to *saptadaśah* (*stomah*).

ayam sahasramānavo (so read) *vidharma* (ApŚ *°mā*) SV. ApŚ MŚ Probably assimilation of gender in ApŚ. to the subject *ayam*

nāko 'si *bradhnaḥ* (MŚ *bradhno* 'si) *pratiṣṭhā samkramanam* (ApŚ *°naḥ*, MŚ *°kramanatamam*) KS ApŚ MŚ No masc. *samkramana* is recorded, and the word can scarcely be an adjective, but it seems likely that ApŚ. assimilates either to the gender of *nāko* and *bradhnaḥ*, or more vaguely to the personalization of the goldpiece here addressed in personalized guise

§795. We come now to words in which both masculine and neuter gender are, as far as we can see, equally standard, or at any rate we cannot tell which is more original in the absolute, tho textual criticism may suggest that one form or the other was first used in these particular formulas:

yat sānoḥ sānum āruhat (SV. *sānv āruhaḥ*) RV. SV Both genders in RV

yeṣu saumanaso bahuh (MG *saumanasam mahat*) AV VS ApŚ LŚ ŚG.

MG : *eti saumanaso bahuh* HG As an abstract the word would be expected to be neuter, but the masc is otherwise known (e.g. AV. 3. 30 7) and is clearly primary in this variant.

adityā uṣṇīṣam asī MS. TA ApŚ. MŚ . *indrānyā uṣṇīṣah* VS. ŚB

Both genders are familiar, probably the neut. is primary here

akṣitś ca me kūyavāś ca me TS : *kuyavam* (MS. v. 1 *kū*°) *ca me* 'kṣitś' (VS 'kṣitam') *ca me* VS MS KS The word *yava* is masc., and is followed by *kūyava* in TS This stem seems to occur only here except that RV has *kuyava* as a demoniac name or epithet (here of course masc.) Most likely the majority of texts have the original gender (neut.).

[*prajānanah* (MahānU. °*nam*) TA MahānU. So Conc., but both edd of TA read *prajānah*; MahānU has vv II *prajānah* and *prajānanah*. Either *prajānah* or *prajānanam* must be read; both would be regular]

§796. We may mention here a few cases concerning the masc. and neut. stems *brahman*, which are properly different words distinguished by shift of accent; in unaccented texts their oblique cases are indistinguishable. See VV 2 §460 for some of these and other somewhat similar cases considered from the phonetic aspect (*a* : *ā*)

yam (MahānU. *yad*) *antah samudre kavayo vayanī* (MahānU. *vadantī*)

TA MahānU. Referring to *brāhman* or *brahmān*; even in TA, other neuter pronouns occur in the context

ṛtasya brahma prathamota jajñe TB : *bhūtānām brahmā prathamō ha* (mass °*mola*) *jajñe* AV.

tan no brahmā (TAA. °*ma*) *pracodayāt* MS TAA (not TA !) MahānU.

brahma (ApŚ °*mā*) *devānām prathamajā ṛtasya* PB. ApŚ . *brahmadevī prathamajā ṛtasya* JB 2. 258 (Caland, PB transl 21 3 7 note).

brahma devakṛtam upahūtam TS MS. TB AŚ ŚŚ : *brahmā devakṛtopahūtā* ŚB The context is the same and refers to the cow, in ŚB. only is the subject of this pāda personalized by assimilation to the cow, the pple being made fem. and the stem *brahman* personal (quasi-fem ?): 'she, god-created, has been invoked as *Brahmā*'. VV 2 §460 is incorrect.

Feminine and neuter

§797. Here also the shift in gender seems to be due sometimes to assimilation to adjoining words. We begin with words which are usually or originally fem. :

varṣās cākṣuṣyah VS ŚB.: *varṣāni cākṣuṣāni* TS. MS KS. The rainy season is meant; the gender is usually fem, but occasionally neut, doubtless because *varṣa* 'rain' is neut in the early language, and in this variant the original form doubtless had neut gender

ājyam uktham avyathāya (TS *avyathayat*, KS. *avyathāya*) *stabhnātu* (MS. °*notu*) VS TS MS KS ŚB. Instead of *avyathā*, which is to be expected, KS uses an otherwise unknown *avyatha* 'firmness' (probably neut, less likely masc). The same with *prāṅgam uktham*, *marutvatīyam* u°, *niṣkevalyam* u°, *vaiśvadevāgnimārute ukthe*, etc. §798. Due to assimilation to an adjoining word.

niṣṭyam (KS. °*yā*) *nakṣatram* MS. KS. This rare name for the constellation *svāti* occurs as *niṣṭyā* in TB (see BR s v.). We assume that KS has the 'proper' form and that MS. assimilates to *nakṣatram*, as in the next.

viśākham (TS °*khe*, KS. v. 1 °*khā*) *nakṣatram* TS MS KS. See §752.

§799. The regular or original gender is neuter in the next group:

tābhīr yāsi dūtyām (MS. °*yam*) *sūryasya* RV. MS. *yābhīr yāsi dūtyām sūryasya* TB. See Pischel *VSt* 1. 22. The regular form is *dūtya*; but in this variant, of course, the fem is older

asmākena vṛjanenā jayema RV. AV : *ariṣṭāso vṛjanībhir jayema* AV. [*idam tava prasarpnam* (JB. °*nā*) RV JB † 3 169c Oertel and Caland emend JB to °*nam*, as the gender of *idam* seems to require]

[*ihi tisro 'ti rocanāh* TB. ApŚ.: *etu tisro 'ti rocanā* AV. So Conc. There is no actual variant in the form, since it is followed by *y-*, AV. *p p* to be sure reads *rocanā*, as if neut, which is the regular gender of the word; but the fem adjective *tisro* proves that *rocanāh* fem. must have been understood]

§800. Due to assimilation:

viśo yantre (KS *yantrī*) *nudamāne arātīm* KS. TB. ApŚ. The word is in apposition with *devī* (dual fem), and is assimilated to it in gender in KS, *yantre* is neuter, but the change may have been helped along by the fact that it is formally ambiguous, since it might be derived from a fem **yantrā* as well as from neut *yantra*

prthivy udapuram annena viśā TS : *udapurā nāmāsy annena viśā* MS KS. A nonce-word, but the neuter gender of TS is rather to be expected (*pura* neut); it is assimilated in gender to the subject in MS. KS. (probably original).

§801. In the rest either gender seems justifiable; mostly nonce-words are concerned, but the first contains *svasti* or °*ih*, in which both genders are known:

paraśur (TS *parśur*) *vedih paraśur nah svasti* (TS. °*tih*) AV. TS. The loss of final visarga is an easy phonetic change (VV 2 §381), and *svastih* occurs just before, even in AV. On the other hand TS. may have *svastih* by assimilation to this preceding form.

kyāmbv atra rohatu RV.: *kyāmbūr atra rohatu* (TA. *jāyatām*) AV. TA. The word is known only here

asyopasadyām mā chaitsī ŚB. BrhU.: *asyopasadye mā riṣāma* ŚG.† 3. 7. 3c, Kauś *upasadi* : *upasadya*, probably 'worship'; neither known elsewhere.

kṣatrasya tvā paraspāya brahmaṇas tanvam pāhi VS. ŚB.: *brahmanas tvā paraspāyāh* (MS. °*pāya*, p p. °*pāyāh*) *kṣatrasya tanvas pāhi* MS. TA. (pratīka, °*pāyā* [iti], ApŚ. MS). Stems *paraspa* : °*pā*; as abstracts ('protection') both recorded only here. See §152 In the same passages:

prāṇasya tvā paraspāya (MS °*pāya*, v. 1 °*pāyās*, p p. °*pāyāh*) *caḥṣuṣas* MS TA. (pratīka ApŚ.). And:

divas tvā paraspāyāh (MS. °*pāya*) *antarikṣasya* . MS. TA. (pratīka ApŚ.).

§802. Apparently due to assimilation:

varuṇasya skambhanam (KS. °*ny*) *asi* TS KS. MahānU. ApŚ. Nonce-word; either gender is formally good; KS. doubtless assimilates to the gender of *śamyā* 'yoke-pin', which is addressed. So in the next, in the same context:

varuṇasya skambhasarjanam (KS. °*ny*) *asi* TS. MS KS. MahānU. MŚ.: *varuṇasya skambhasarjanā sthah* VS. ŚB. On the dual see §769.

3. Distinctive feminine forms varying with masculine as 'common gender'

§803. An interesting little group of cases concerns words which refer to feminine entities and appear in one variant in distinctively feminine forms, while the other variant shows forms that are masculine or at least not distinctively feminine; they may be called 'common gender' forms. Thus the acc pl of the 2d personal pronoun is, of course, regularly *yusmān* without regard to gender. But since the ending suggests masculine rather than feminine gender, the form *yusmās* is twice used in VS. (followed by ŚB) when referring to feminine entities (Whitney 492a, Wackernagel 3 p. 468):

yusmān (VS. ŚB. *yusmā*) *indra vṛṇīta vṛtrātūrye* VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB. TB.

śivam āyantam abhy atra yusmān (VS. ŚB. *yusmāh*) VS. TS. MS. KS. ŚB.

§804. Somewhat similarly, the technical priest-name *hotrāsansin* is once feminized when it is made to refer to *āpah*, fem, in a ritual passage: *āpo me hotrāsansinah* (AG °*sinyah*) §B ApS AG

§805. In the preceding the masculine ('common') form is regular, the feminine unusual and analogical. In a couple of other cases the feminine form is regular. Thus stems in the agent suffix *tar* regularly have feminines in *trī* (Whitney 375c, Wackernagel 3 p. 202), but in one variant the 'common gender' form is used with a feminine noun.

adbhr vsvasya bhartr̥bhīh ApMB.: *adbhīh sarvasya bhartr̥bhīh* ŚG. The latter doubtless feels *bhartr̥bhīh* as an appositional noun 'the waters, bearers of all'.

§806. Yet more anomalously, MS once uses the masculine form *adhīpati* instead of the fem *adhīpatnī* referring to Aditi. The formula occurs in a list of similar ones with different god-names, mostly masc, so that *adhīpati* is properly found in most of them, this doubtless helps to determine the use of the common gender form in MS. But all the other texts have the 'proper' fem:

adītr adhīpatny (MS °*patr*) āsū VS TS. MS KS §B

4 The indefinite neuter

§807. A neuter epithet, most commonly an adjective or pronoun, tho sometimes the adjective is practically substantivized, is not infrequently used in an indefinite or absolute sense, translatable in English by a periphrasis containing the word 'thing' or the like, or by a pronoun in like construction. Such a passage may, in a variant form, appear with a masc or fem form, which indicates that the author has more clearly in mind the gender of the entity referred to. The masc or fem. form with definite reference may be dual or plural when the number of the entity referred to requires it, while the indefinite neuter is regularly singular in any case, such variants, involving difference of number as well as gender, have been listed above in §§727 ff. and will not be repeated here, they belong, of course, equally in this group.—We shall append here also a group of cases in which an indefinite neuter varies with an equally indefinite masculine, the only difference being that the latter is personal ('such and such a one'), the former impersonal ('such and such a thing'). Substantive pronouns, which may either be indefinite neuter singular, or agree in gender and number with predicate nouns, will also be mentioned here (cf. §§730, 776)—Hirt, *IGGr* 3 §226, regards the indefinite neuter as an inheritance from a prehistoric period in which grammatical gender did not exist.

§808. Adjectives of definite reference varying with indefinite neuters occur as follows:

indrasya (also *viṣṇor*) *dhruvo* 'sī (TS. ApŚ *dhruvam asi*) VS TS MS. KS.

ŚB. ApŚ. MŚ A knot (*granthi*, m.) is addressed; hence masc. in most texts. 'Thou art Indra's (Viṣṇu's) firm one (firm thing; Keith 'fixed point'; Caland 'Festes').'

brahmavani tvā kṣatравani rāyaspoṣavani paryūhāmī VS. ŚB.: *brahmavanim tvā kṣatравanim* (TS KS. add *rāyaspoṣavanam*) *paryūhāmī* TS. MS. KS MŚ *tvā* refers in all to a post of udumbara wood, *audumbarī* (sc. *sthūṇā*), and is fem. (cf. TS. 6 2. 10 3, and KŚ. 8. 5. 31). In VS ŚB it is made neuter 'thee, a brahman-winning thing' etc Mahidhara, in his commentarial way, takes it quite simply as for *vanam* with loss of ending, referring to Pān 7 1 39.

abhi tvādhām sahīyasā RV ApMB : *upa te 'dhām sahīyasīm* AV. The RV. original has a neuter indefinite, 'with that which is stronger, a stronger thing', but really means a plant just like AV., which makes the adjective agree in gender with *oṣadhi*.

ya (yas, yah) strīnām jīwabhojanah VS. TS. KSA ŚŚ : *yat strīnām jīwabhojanam* Vart. The 'antecedent' is *añjim*, 'the slippery one', referring to the male member; it is masc. even in Vart. which follows with these indefinite neuters, 'the thing that is'

agnir ekākṣareṇa (MS. KS. °*kṣarayā*) *prāṇam* (MS. TS KS. *vācam*) *udajayat* (VS ŚB add *tam ujeṣam*) VS TS MS. KS ŚB And so *aśvinau dvyakṣareṇa* (°*kṣarayā*), *viṣṇus tryakṣareṇa* etc, 17 items in same passage With the fems. doubtless *vācā* is to be supplied: 'with monosyllabic (etc) utterance'. The accent (*ék°*) shows that possessive adjective compounds are meant in all texts (not, then, 'with one syllable'). Mahidhara supplies *chandasā* with them, but it seems more likely that they are indefinite. 'with the monosyllabic (thing)'

indrasya vajro 'sī vārtraghnah TS MS. KS TB MŚ ApMB.: *indrasya vārtraghnam asi* VS. ŚB. Since a bow is used in the ritual of the Vāj school, VS ŚB may understand *dhanus*; yet it is at least as likely that the adjective is indefinite ('thing relating to the Vṛtraslayer, victorious').

§809. Pronouns, relative and demonstrative, varying between indefinite neuter and definite masc or fem.:

tad aśvinā paridhattam svasti TS.: *tam aśvinā paridhattam svasti* (MŚ. *svastaye*) KS. MŚ. The masc refers to wind, here invoked in the ritual. The indefinite neuter must really have the same reference.

sam tam (KS. ApŚ. *ta*) *siñcatu rādhase* (KS ApŚ °*sā*) RV. KS. Vait ApŚ *ta*m refers to soma-drops (*drapsa*, *anśu*); this is repeated in KS. once with the indefinite neuter *ta*. Another KS. passage adapts the formula to a different context, where *ta* refers to *chidram*; this is repeated in ApŚ.

yām āśām emi kevalī sā me astu AV.: *yad ichāmi manasā sakāmah* TB. Followed by:

videyam enām manasi praviṣṭām (TB *enad dhrdaye nviṣṭam*) AV. TB. The definite 'what desire I go to' of AV. is replaced by 'what (thing) I desire' in TB; hence neut. for fem in the following pāda *pumān enam tanuta ut kṛṇatti* RV.: *pumān enad vayaty udgṛṇatti* AV 'This' in RV. refers to *yajña* in the preceding. In AV. no word for sacrifice occurs in the context, and *enad* is indefinite, tho it must mean something of the same sort

yam āśirā (VS. *yad āśirdā*) *dampatī vāmam aśnutah* VS. TS In VS, 'what good thing'; in TS gender influenced by the following *putra*, which is the specific boon obtained.

yad indro apibac chacibhiḥ VS KS AB. ŚB. TB. AŚ ApŚ : *yam asyenāro apibañ śacibhiḥ* MS Preceded by *yad atra śiṣṭam* (*riptaṁ*) *rasinah sūasya* The relative is made masc. in MS. in reference to *sūasya* (m) which immediately precedes. Followed by:

ahaṁ (AB. AŚ. *idam*) *tad* (MS. *ta*m) *asya manasā śivena* (MS * *ghṛtena*), same texts.

deva savitar etaṁ (LŚ. *etat*) *te yajñam prāhur* ŚŚ LŚ.: *etaṁ te deva savitar yajñam prāhur*. VS. ŚB. The context of LŚ refers to *samidh* (fem), and the pronoun must be indefinite ('this thing').

ya etad (TA MahānU. *enam*, MahānU. v. 1. *etad*) *vidur* (ŚB. BṛhU. *ye tad vidur*) *amṛtās te bhavanti* ŚB. TA. BṛhU. MahānU. KU. ŚvetU. 'Those who know this (him; TA. comm. *paramātman*).'

tad (MŚ. *ta*m) *ahaṁ manase prabravīmi* VSK. TB. ApŚ. KŚ. MŚ. Vait. 'I proclaim this (truth; MŚ *him*, referring to Savitar).'

kaṁ (KapS *ms kiṁ*) *svīd garbham prathamam dadhra* (KapS. °*rur*) *āpaḥ* RV. VS. TS MS KS. KapS Raghu Vira *em to kaṁ*, wrongly; Oertel 18 In RV. etc. *kaṁ* is adjectival to *garbham*; in KapS substantival, 'what (thing)?' On *dadhrur* see Edgerton, *Language* 10 243f.

tad anu preta sukṛtām u lokam VS. ŚB.: *taṁ anu prehi sukṛtasya lokam* TS. KS It seems that *taṁ* must go with *lokam*; there is then no expressed object of the verb in TS. KS. The VS has a lect *fac.*; *tad* is the object and refers back to *yad* . of the preceding.

eṣa (MahānU. *etat*) *te kāmā* TAA. MahānU.: *kāmaitat te* AV. etc. The TAA. comm. supplies *ājyabhāgah*; that on MahānU. *havṛh*, but the latter with *etat* is surely indefinite. The formula *kāmaitat te* occurs in a different context and is perhaps not really to be brought in here. In the same passage:

eṣa (MahānU. *etat*) *te manyo* TAA. MahānU.

§810. In other cases an indefinite neuter varies with a masculine which is equally indefinite, but personal. This occurs especially in negative expressions, where the meaning is 'no one' : 'nothing':

ahir jaghāna (PG *dadarṣa*) *kam* (AG. MG. *kim*) *cana* AG. PG. HG.

ApMB. MG. 'The serpent has killed (seen) no one (nothing).'

ṛtam nātyeti kim (GG. *kaś*) *cana* TB. ApŚ MŚ. GG.

māmīṣām (TS. TB. ApŚ. *maīṣām*) *kam canoc chiṣah* RV. SV. VS. TS.

TB. ApŚ : *māmīṣām moci kaś cana* AV.: *maīṣām uccheṣi kim cana* AV.

nakir (SV. *na ki*) *indra tvad uttarah* (SV. °*ram*) RV. SV. AŚ. ŚŚ. Followed by:

na jyāyān (SV. *jyāyo*) *asti vṛtrahan* RV. SV. And:

nakir (SV. *na ky*) *evā* (SV. *evam*) *yathā tvam* RV. SV. Wackernagel 3 p. 562 considers (*na*) *ki* (found only in SV.) a mere corruption. To us it seems clear that it is a deliberate variant, a neuter to RV.'s masc., formed on the analogy of the endless neut. nom-acc. of 1 stem nouns. See also §820 where both *nakir* and RV. *nakis* are adverbial.

yasmāj jātā na purā kim canaiva VS.: *yasmāj jātā na paro 'nyo* (ŚŚ. *anyo*) *asti* JB ŚŚ.: *yasmād anyo na paro asti jātāh* PB. *yasmād anyan na param kim canāsti* Vait.: *yasmān na jātāh paro anyo asti* ('*sti*') VS. TB. KŚ. ApŚ. MahānU. NrpU.: *yasmāt param nāparam asti kim cū* TA. MahānU. N.: *tasmād dhānyan na parāh kim canāsa* RV. TB : *yasmāj jātā na parā naiva kim canāsa* TA Only the forms *jātā na parā* of TA. need explanation. The comm. takes them as fem. sg., supplying *prajā* But more likely they are Vedic neuter plurals (indefinite).

§811. But also with positive expressions, meaning 'such and such a one' or 'thing', sometimes it is hard to see the reason for the variation, as in the first:

gopāyamānam (KS. °*naś*) *ca mā rakṣamāṇam* (KS. °*naś*) *ca* .. *gopāyetām* (°*yatām*) KS. PG MG. 'The protecting one (thing) and the guarding one (thing) shall protect. . ' Occurs in a list of formulas, the subjects of which are all personal (masc. or fem.) in all texts except

for this formula in PG MG Parallels in AV. 8. 1. 13. Why PG MG. provide this one formula with an impersonal, neuter subject does not appear

avasphūrjan (MS KS. °*jad*) *hetih* (TS *prahetih*, MS KS. *dhetih*) VS TS. MS KS ŚB. 'The one who (that which) thunders is his weapon' Griffith and Keith render simply 'thunder' The surrounding formulas generally have personal subjects The following one has *vidyut*, perhaps MS KS. have adapted the form of *ava*° in an external, mechanical way to the ending (-t) of this word But *vidyut* is regularly fem (exceptionally neut according to pw, without quoting any occurrence)

brhad (ŚB *mahad*) *dha tasthau bhuvaneṣv antah* RV ŚB AA. *brhan ha tasthau rajaso vimānah* (JB *vimānawa*) AV. JB. Both 'the great one', masc. or neut.

śukro brhan dakṣinayā (TB. *brhad dakṣinā tvā*) *pṛpartu* AV. TB. The comm on TB understands by *brhad* the *sāman* of that name. That on AV. takes *śukro brhan* as *Sūrya*, *Whitney*, *Soma*.

[*yan* (ŚŚ *yam*) *nah pitā samjānūte* AB ŚŚ Indefinite in both. AB.. 'what (i.e. the situation which) our father approves' ŚŚ might be interpreted: 'whom (whatever person, really thinking of *Śunah-śepa*) our father approves' But the ŚŚ comm. reads *yan*, clearly understanding *yad* (gloss: *yan no 'smākam pitā samjānūte tasminn ādeṣe vayam tīṣṭhāmahe*) It seems that *yam* is only a bad writing for *yan*]

Substantive pronouns in equational sentences

§812. In introducing equational sentences, pronouns may be in the indefinite neuter singular without regard to the gender or number of the noun referred to, or they may agree in gender and number with the latter. Cf. §§730, 776, where other examples are quoted, and §826

kā (TS TB *kim*) *svid āsīt pṛakṣpālā*,

kā (TS TB *kim*) *svid āsīt pṛasāṅgulā*,

kā (TS TB ApŚ *kim*) *svid āsīt pūrvacuttih*, all VS TS MS KSA ŚB TB, the last in ApŚ

kah (VS *kim*) *snt pṛithuvyaḥ varṣīyān* (VS. °*yah*) VS AŚ ŚŚ The answer expected is 'Indra', hence masc *kah* in most texts

5. Adverbial forms

§813. Related to the indefinite neuter are the numerous neuter forms used as adverbs; it is the indefiniteness of the neuter gender which

makes it the favorite form of adverbs. When forms of other genders are used adverbially, we take it that in principle they must have been originally definite, with ellipsis of some noun; altho, to be sure, there are such cases where it is difficult for us to suggest the noun to be supplied, and we may admit that such forms come to be used as stereotyped adverbs. Among the variants we find distinctively fem. as well as neut. adverbs, varying with adjectives of any of the three genders, as well as with other adverbs.

§814. We begin with the rare instances of fem. adverbs varying with masc. adjectives:

ud enam uttaram (VS TS KS ŚB °rām) *naya* AV. VS TS. MS KS ŚB. Vait ApŚ MŚ Kauś : *ud asmān uttarān naya* ApŚ
dīvaś cid antād upamām (TA † *upa mām*, RV. *antān upamān*) *udānaḥ* RV AV. SV TA. Whitney adopts the *v* I *upa mām* for AV. Benfey takes *upamām* as an adverb. See §495

§815. Neuter adverbs varying with masculine adjectives:

agne yāhi suvidatrebhir arvān (MS. *arvāk*, p p *arvān*) RV: AV. MS. TB N.

yuktā (so Poona ed. of TB. for *yuktā* Cone) *harī vṛṣanā yāhy arvān* (MS *arvāk*, p p *arvān*; KS ms *arvāḥ*, ed *arvāk*) RV MS KS. TB. *prān* (VS TB *prāṅk*, MS *prāk*, p p *prān*) *somo atidrutah* VS VSK MS ŚB TB ApŚ.

pratyak (p p *pratyān*) *somo atidrutah* MS. *pratyān* (VS TS MS † ŚB * TB *pratyāṅk*) *somo atidrutah* (VS * †10 31b, MS *atidrutah*) AV † (see Whitney's note) VS (bis) VSK TS MS KS ŚB. (bis) TB ApŚ

etat tvā deva savitar vṛṇate ŚB : *deva savitar etam tvā vṛṇate*. AŚ ŚŚ N.

tam u tvam (SV. *tava tyan*) *māyayāvadhīh* RV. SV. Preceded by: *yad dha tyan māyīnam mṛgam* SV.'s *tyan* can only be construed as an adverb. It is due in some part to the preceding *tyam*.

tam idam (ApMB *imam*) *vi vṛhāmi te* RV AV. ApMB 'I here (now) pluck it out for thee' *idam* is an adverb; ApMB makes it into a pronoun agreeing with *tam*.

svasti nah pūrṇamukhaḥ pari krāmatu (HG °*mukham pari krāmantu*) ApMB HG. See §404

ava tara (TS *avattaram*, MS p p *avataram*, AV. *avattaro*) *nadiṣv ā* (AV. *nadinām*) AV VS TS MS KS ŚB *avattaram* is apparently an adverb. See §404

tad āsata ṛṣayah sapta sākam AV. *asyāsata ṛṣayah* (TS TAA °*āsate harayah*) *sapta tīre* TS ŚB BrhU TAA *tad* seems to be adverbial

asvinendram na jāgrm (TB. °vī) VS. MS. TB. See §762.

tan tvā pra viśamī sarvaguh saha yan me 'stī tena AV : *tan* (KS † *tat*) *tvendragraha prapadye* (ApŚ *praviśānī*) *saguh saha yan me 'stī* (astī) *tena* KS ApŚ *tat* in KS adverbial

viśvak patantu didyavah RV . *viśvañico asmac charavah patantu* AV.

dyumad vibhātī bharatebhyah śucih (VS *śuci*, comm. *śucih*) RV SV. VS. TS MS. KS. *śuci* may be an adverb; but cf. VV 2 §381

tan (MS. *tan*) *mā devā avantu śobhāyā* (MS. °yī) TS. MS TA Perhaps bad writing (*tan* for *tam*).

tan (JB. *tan*) *mā puñsi karāry crayadhvam* JB. KBU As prec
somah prathamō vīde RV. PG. HG. ApMB.: *somasya jāyā prathamam* AV. 'Soma was the first to marry thee': 'thou wast Soma's wife first.'

ye 'do (MS *amī*, KS *vādo*, VS ŚB. *vāmī*) *rocane divah* RVKh VS TS MS KS ŚB. ApMB : *ye cāmī rocane divi* NīlarU *adas* adverb, 'there'.

§816. A special group under this heading may be formed of the variants involving the pronominal neuter *yad*, used as a conjunction, in variation with masculine forms of the same pronoun:

arundhatīm ye (ApMB *yad*) *dhruvatām ha ninyuh* ApMB. HG '(The ṛṣis) who have led ' : 'since (the ṛṣis) have led '

toke vā goṣu tanaye yad (and *yam*) *apsu* RV (both)

nava yat puro navatim ca sadyah RV. AV.: *nava yo navatim purah* RV. AV. SV.

pūrvo yat (MŚ *yah*) *sann aparo bhavāsi* ApŚ MŚ

pra ye (SV. *yad*) *gāvo na bhūrnayah* RV SV

yad (MŚ *ya*) *āgachāt pathibhir devayānaih* VS TS KS ŚB TB MŚ

ya (TA ApŚ ApMB *yad*) *ṛte* (PB † *yaḥṣate*) *cīd abhiṣṛṣah* RV AV

SV TB. TA. KŚ. MŚ ApŚ Kauś ApMB GG

yena tvābadhnāt (KŚ *mā*°, TS ApMB *yam* *abadhnāta*, MŚ MG *yaj*

jagrantha) *savitā suśevah* (AV. °vāh, TS ApMB * *suketah*, MŚ MG

satyadharmā) RV AV TS MŚ KŚ ApMB (bis) MG

viśvalarmā vīmanā ād vīhāyāh (KŚ *vīmanā yo vyomā*, MS *vīmame yo*

vīhāyāh, TS. *manasā yad vīhāyāh*) RV VS TS MS KS AŚ N

yad (SV *yo*) *devasya śavasā prārīnāh* RV. SV

yad agne kavayavāhana TS TB. ApŚ.: *yo agnih kravyavāhanah* (VS KS

kavya°) RV. VS. KS AŚ

yad (IŚ *yam*) *devāso lālāmagun* AV VS ŚB ŚŚ IŚ

anu yam viśve madanty (AV.* *anu yad enam madanti* *viśva*) *īmāh* RV

AV (both) SV. VS AA ApŚ. MŚ N

tiṣṭhā ratham (TB. ApŚ *rathe*) *adhi tam* (VS. ŚB *yam*, TB *yad*) *vajra-hasta* (TB. °*tah*) RV. VS ŚB. TB
viprā ṭgātham gāyata yaj jujoṣati (AA °*ṣat*) AA. ŚŚ : *viprāya ṭgātham gāyata yam jujoṣate* SV.

§817. Feminine adjectives varying with neuter adverbs The first case involves the conjunction *yad*, as in the last paragraph:

andhena yat (TA *yā*) *tamasā prāvṛtāsī* (TA °*tāsi*) AV. TA. 'When she was (thou who wast) enveloped in blind darkness'

yajñasya jīhvām avidāma guhyām (MG *guhyam*) RV. TS. MS. KS
 'We have found the secret tongue (in secret the tongue) of the sacrifice'

viśvair devair anumatā (KS TA °*am*) *marudbhīh* AV. VS TS MS. KS. ŚB. TA The original fem adjective applies to *sītā* 'furrow'. In TA. it occurs in a different connexion which requires a masc. (§849). In KS the connexion is the same, and the neut. must be taken as an adverb.

caraty ananuvratā ApMB. HG : *vicaranty apativratā* ŚG. MDh.: *yac cacārānanuvratam* ApŚ See §404

asambādā yā madhyato mānavebhyah MS.: *asambādham badhyato* (read *ma*°) *mānavānām* AV Kauś See §623.

achidram tvāchidrena juṣṭam (KS. °*ām*, v. I °*am*) *grhṇāmi* MS. KS. ApŚ. The fems refer to *surā*. Caland on ApŚ. would read *juṣṭam* (adverb) in KS, and since the best ms. reads so, with the parallel texts, he may be right But *juṣṭam* as fem adjective would be equally construable.

§818. And once a fem noun, in the dative (quasi-adverbial), varies with a neuter adverb:

tam (MS. *tan*) *mā devā avantu śobhāyai* (MS °*yi*) TS. MS. TA 'Unto splendor' virtually equals 'splendidly'. See §487.

§819. Neuter adjective varying with fem adverb:

upamam (SV. °*mām*) *devatāṭaye* RV. SV *upamam* goes with *śavaḥ* preceding; *upamām* must be an adverb.

§820. In the rest both variant forms seem to be adverbial. The only clear case of masc-neut variation here concerns the Rīgvedic *nakis*, formally a nom sing masc, but sometimes used adverbially; twice in the same verse SV. replaces it by *na ki*, on which see §810 above: *nakar* (SV. Svidh. *na ki*) *devā minīmasi* (SV Svidh *inī*°) RV. SV. Svidh. *nakar* (SV. *na ky*) *ā yopayāmasi* RV. SV

§821. Once, also, SV. substitutes *nakih* for RV. (*nū*) *cit*: *nū cit* (SV. *nakih*) *sa dabhyate janah* RV. SV.

§822. We may mention also the following, which simulates a masc - neut variation with the forms *kam* : *kim*, altho of course *kam* cannot be, historically, an acc sg masc of the stem *ka*.

prajāyaz kam (AV. *kim*) *amṛtam nāvṛnīta* RV AV

§823. As to fem - neut variants, we find chiefly a group involving the equivalent adverbs *pratārām* and *°rām* (once *samtārām* : *°rām*):

pra tāry agne prataram na āyuh RV MS. KS ApŚ · *prātāry agne pratārām na āyuh* TS

jīvātave prataram (SV SMB *°rām*) *sādhayā dhuyah* RV SV SMB

indremam prataram (VS TS ŚB *°rām*) *kṛdhi (naya)* AV VS TS MS KS ŚB

drūghīya āyuh prataram (TA † *°rām*, MG *prataram*) *dadhāfāh* (AV **te dadhām*) RV AV (ter) TA AG MG *āyur dadhānāh prataram navīyah* AV

sāmṛjyāya prataram (ApŚ *°rām*) *dadhānah* RV KS ApŚ MŚ

adhī kṣamī prataram dīdhyanāh RV AV · *asmin kṣaye pratārām dīdyānah* SV

pra tam (RV * *no*) *naya prataram* (TS ApMB *°rām*) *vasyo acha* RV (bis) VS TS MS KS ApMB

samśītam cit samīaram (VS TS KS *°rām*) *saṁ śīśādhi* AV VS TS MS KS

§824. Otherwise we have noted only the following, in which both variant forms are parts of the pple of a compound verb and are perhaps hardly to be classified here, cf VV 2 §505

svamkṛto 'sī KS *svāmkrto 'sī* VS TS MS ŚB ApŚ MŚ

6 Pronouns referring to nouns of different gender

§825. Pronouns which refer to a series of nouns of different genders may agree with the nearest noun in gender, or with the gender of the plurality of the nouns, or else they may be masc if the series includes both masc and fem nouns

tās (ApMB *te*) *tā vadhu prajāvatīm* AV ApMB Preceded by *yā oṣadhayo yā nadayo yāni kṣetrāni yā vanā* (ApMB *yāni dhanvāni ye vanāh*, so read) In AV *tās* follows the gender of the fems of the first pāda, in ApMB *te* follows that of the nearest noun, *vanāh* (on which see §793), or is masc as including both masc and fem (see next)

rohāt kundrñācī golattikā tā apsarasām (VS *te 'psarasām*) VS. TS MS KSA Since *rohāt* is regularly fem, the fem pronoun is expected (with only fem nouns) The masc of VS is apparently due to

taking *rohita* as masc (so VS comm.); with a series including masc and fem nouns, a masc pronoun is justified by usage (Renou, *Gram* p. 500).

7. Pronouns agreeing with antecedent or predicate noun.

§826. When the antecedent and the predicate of a substantive pronoun are of different genders, the pronoun may agree with either (cf. §812).

namas te bhate yat ta uttarato yat ta uttarah pakṣah LŚ · *namas te bhate yas ta uttarah pakṣah* AA · *namas te bhate yas ta uttaro bāhur yas ta uttarah pakṣah* ŚŚ *bhata* means the sāmān of that name. Similarly *namas te rathamtarāya (rātham°)*, and *namas te vāmade-vyāya (rājanāya)*, see Conc

(*yadi vrkṣād abhyapaptat phalam tad*) *yady antarikṣāt sa u vāyur eva* AV : (*yadi vrkṣāgrād abhyapatat phalam*) *yad vāntarikṣāt tad u vāyur eva* HG : (*yadi vrkṣād yady antarikṣāt phalam abhyapaptat tad u vāyur eva* ApMB. The neuter *tad* of ApMB. HG refers back to *phalam* and keeps its gender, despite the masc predicate *vāyur*. The masc *sa* of AV. is doubtless to be explained by the gender of the predicate, altho *apām stokah* of the preceding verse may also have been influential

strīyah satīs tām (TA tā) u me punsa āhuh RV. AV TA N 'Those that are women, they declared to me to be men' The original makes the pronoun agree in gender with the predicate, TA changes it to agree with the antecedent

§827. Somewhat similarly, an adjective epithet in the following variant agrees in gender either with the subject (Agni, so most texts), or with an adjoining noun—predicate of a different clause this time, but one which immediately precedes and is closely associated in TA, the text which makes this agreement.

sampriyah paśubhir bhava (TB. ApŚ *bhuvat*, KS °*yah paśubhir*) MS KS TB ApŚ · *sampriyam prajāyā paśubhir bhuvat* TA Subject is Agni in all, the formula *purīṣam aśi* precedes in TA and KS, and follows in MS

8 Compound nouns

§828. On the familiar use of neuter singular dvandvas as the equivalent of duals (or plurals) of any gender see §751. Peculiar is the case of the dual stem *ahorātra*, for the masc. or neut. gender of compounds in *-rātra*, see Wackernagel 2, 1, p. 40. Note that there is nothing in

the context of the following variant to disprove that *-rātrās* and *-rātre* may be fem (which gender the word has at least in VS 14 30):

ahorātrās (KS TA °*trāni*) *te kalpantām* VS KS ŚB TA . *ahorātre te* (TB *me*) *kalpetām* MS TB.

§829. Occasionally shift of gender is due to taking a form in one case as a karmadhāraya, then keeping the gender of the last member of the compound, and elsewhere as a bahuvrīhi, then agreeing in gender with the noun it modifies Thus:

ayaḥśmayā (KS. °*mena*) *pari bhuja* VS TS MS KS NilarU Preceded in all by *yā te hetir mādhuṣṭama*, *haste babhūva te dhanuḥ*, *layāsmān viśvalas tvam* (with slight variants). Since KS has fem *layā* (referring to *hetir*) in pāda c, like the rest, the neut *ayaḥśmena* of pāda d can only be taken as a karmadhāraya, 'with non-disease' *pīvoannān* (VS °*nā*, MS °*nam*, p p °*nān*) *rayivṛdhah sumedhāh* RV VS MS AB. TB. AŚ ApŚ All texts but VS intend *pīvoannān*, a bahuvrīhi, 'he seeks men with rich food' Mahidhara, followed by Griffith, takes VS in the same way, calmly stating that the final *n* of the acc. masc is lost! Unless it is a phonetic variant or corruption (cf VV 2 §307, to which this should be added), it must of course be a karmadhāraya, acc pl neut: 'he seeks rich foods' *latrāpi dahre* (MahānU *dahram*) *gaganam viśokah* (TA Poona ed °*kam*, v I °*kah*) TA MahānU *viśokah* 'freedom from grief', coordinate with *gaganam*, which is modified by *viśokam* 'free from grief'.

9 Nom. agentis (masc) : nom actionis (fem or neut)

§830. Occasionally the same (or virtually the same) word is used either as a noun of agent (masc) or a noun of action (neut or fem. according to formation):

eṣa vas tadvivācanah ŚŚ : *eṣa vah sadvivācanam* AB. Comm on AB paraphrases by *adhyāpanam* and supplies *karīṣyati* Better, 'he (shall be) to you instruction in what is right' ŚŚ, 'instructor in that'

sā (MS *yā*) *prathamā samskṛtīr viśvavārā* (MS *yajñe asmin*) VS MS ŚB : *sa prathamah samskṛtīr viśvakarmā* TS TB ApŚ. The latter make a noun of agent out of *samskṛtī*

10. Substitution of one noun for another

§831. Sometimes the change of gender in an epithet is due to the substitution of one noun for another, altho the context is in general the same. Thus, as between masc and fem :

avasṛṣṭā (TB. ApŚ. °*ṭah*) *parā pata* RV AV SV. VS TS TB. Vait. ApŚ.

AG Followed by:

śaravye brahmasamṣṭe (TS °*ṭā*) RV AV SV. VS TS . *śaro brahmasam-ṣṭah* TB. ApŚ For *śaravyā* TB ApŚ substitute the synonym *śara*.

ūrvam gavyam pariṣadanto agman RV KS ('surrounding it they penetrated into the prison-cave of the kine') · *urvīm gavyām pariṣadam no akran* AV (a far-reaching Verballhornung; Whitney, 'they have made for us a wide conclave rich in kine')

gureh pravartamānakah RV . *gīrer avacarantikā* AV. Preceded by *kuṣumbhakas tad abravīt* RV , *karnā śvānt tad abravīt* AV. The fem gender of *śvāndh* is otherwise unrecorded and is ignored by the dictionaries

§832. As between masc and neut :

yam (AV. TS ApŚ. *yat*) *te devī nṛṣṭir ā babandha*,

pāśam (AV. TS. *dāma*) *grīvāsv avicartyam* (VS ŚB *avicṛtyam*, AV *avimokyam yat*),

tam te (AV. *taṭ te*, TS *īdam te tad*) *vi śyāmy āyūṣo na madhyāt* (MS KS *nu madhye*, AV *āyūṣe varcase balāya*) AV. VS TS MS KS ŚB , and the first in ApŚ MŚ Here the two synonyms are *pāśa* masc and *dāman* neut.

§833. As between fem and neut :

yatheyam strī pautram agham na rodāt AG. SMB. PG. ApMB HG : *yathedam strīpautram aganma rudrīyāya* MG. The latter has a far-reaching reconstruction; *īdam* agrees with *strīpautram*

§834. These cases, where the nouns to which the variant epithets apply are clearly expressed, are simple enough. More dubious are some cases in which, without change of the general context, an epithet changes its gender, apparently in agreement with nouns which in at least one form, and usually in both, are not expressed in the context at all A simple instance, requiring no comment, is the following, in which the late RV. hymn 6 75 speaks of the bow as 'father of many daughters' (arrows?), *bahvīnām* fem , for which MS. substitutes 'of many sons', *bahūnām* masc (no one would want many daughters!):

bahvīnām (MS *bahūnām*) *pitā bahur asya putrah* RV. VS TS MS KSA ApŚ.

§835. In other cases the nouns denoted by the variant epithets are in one or both cases often uncertain Masculine and feminine.

tvam uttamāsy oṣadhe RV VS · *uttamo asy oṣadhīmām* AV The AV. 6 15. 1a repeats RV. 10 97 23 with change of gender, apparently referring to an unnamed plant with masc name (according to the comm *palāśa*, but Kauś uses barley).

[*atī dhanveva tān imī* RV. AV SV VS : *dadhanveva tā imī* TA : *ndhanveva tān imī* TA The TA repeats the verse in the same context, once recording *tā(s)*, fem, which seems to us to make no possible sense We believe that it has merely lost final *n* by the phonetic process treated VV 2 §309, to which this variant should be added]

tāsām vīśīnānām (KS *vīśīnyānām*) MS. KS : *teṣām vīśipriyānām* (VS ŚB °nām vo 'ham) VS. TS ŚB Preceded in same verse by *ṽās* (TS *yeṣām*) *tīsrāḥ paramajāḥ* (MS *prathamajāḥ*) Reference is to soma-cups (*graha*), and we do not understand the fem, yet note *tīsrāḥ* even in TS (The TS comm supplies *prakṛtayah*) Keith ignores the point Perhaps a fem synonym of *graha*, such as *āsuti*, is understood

jīvam (ApMB. *jīvām*) *rudanti vi mayante* (AV *nayanty*) *adhvare* (AV °ram) RV AV ApMB In an obscure stanza used in the wedding rite, see Bloomfield *AJP* 21 411 ff, Oldenberg *Noten* on 10 40 10 The fem of ApMB surely means the bride, it is still problematic who is meant by *jīvam*

emām (ŚG *enam*) *kumāras tarunah* AV ŚG, and:

emām parisrutah kumbhah AV.: *enam parisrutah kumbhyā* ŚG The fems refer either to the house (*śālā*) or to the chief post (*sthūnā*), the masc apparently to the latter under its name *sthūnārāja*

utemam paśya MS MŚ : *utemāḥ paśya* TS See §740

asyai (TS *asmai*) *saṁ datta vīryam* (TS *bheṣajam*) RV VS TS *asyai* refers to an herb, into which all herbs are to put their healing power, of course for the benefit of the *yajamāna*, to whom TS refers directly with *asmai*

śivebhur (AV VSK TS * *śivābhur*) *adya pari pāhi no gayam* (vr̥dhe) RV AV VS VSK TS (both) MS KS TB The masc agrees with *pāyubhūh* in the preceding in some texts, in others some such masc (or neut ?) word has to be supplied, or else it is an indefinite, quasi-adverbial neuter With the fem. the AV comm supplies *ūtībūh*, it might however be considered adverbial.

anu manyatām anumanyamānah (TS °mānā) AV TS And *tasya* (TS *tasyai*) *vayam kedasī māpī bhūma* AV TS These two pādas occur in a hymn addressed to Anumatī, to whom the fems refer, the masc forms of AV are well attested but we can explain them no better than Whitney (see his note)

vīśvā (MS *vy*) *amīvāḥ pramuñcan mānuṣībūh* (KS °ṣebhyah, MS °ṣānām) AV MS KS See §573

saṁ eta īśve vacasā (SV *vīśvā ojasā*) *patim dvah* AV SV By *īśve*

AV undoubtedly means 'all men'. Benfey suggests either that *vśvā(h)* is a nominal instead of a pronominal form, in that case masc, or else that *stutayah* is to be supplied with it. Ppp is reported by Roth as reading *vśvā*

[*anābho mṛda dhūrta* (MS *dhūrte*, v l °*ta*) MS MŚ : (*anārbhava mṛda*) *dhūrta* (KS °*te*) *namas te astu* ('*stu*) KS ApŚ Knauer on MŚ would read *dhūrta* also in MS Rudra is addressed and no voc fem seems possible; if a voc form is concerned it can only be *dhūrta* But *dhūrte* occurs in KS, KapS. (46·45.1, see Oertel 101), and in two mss and p p of MS. The persistence of the form suggests that *dhūrta* is a lect fac Perhaps we have an obscure corruption of some form containing the pronoun *te*, or some sort of noun form, dat sg or loc sg]

[*mānasya patni śaranā syonā* AV : *mā nah sapatnah śaraṇaḥ syonā* HG. Followed by·

[*devī devebhṛ nimitāsy agre* AV. : *devo devebhṛ vimitāsy agre* HG. The fems. *vimitā* and *syonā* are sufficient to prove the corruption of HG, Oldenberg adopts the AV. readings]

§836. A special case under this heading is formed by an interesting group in which occur pronouns or adjectives referring (in the fem.) to a collective noun such as *senā* 'army', or (in the masc) to the individuals which compose the collectivity. Cf §715:

indra āsām (AV MS KS *eṣām*) *netā bṛhaspatiḥ* RV AV. SV. VS. TS.

MS KS The fem pronoun refers to *senāḥ* 'armies', in the context, the masc to the men who compose them

yuvam tām (SV. *ubhau tām*) *indra vṛtrahan* AV. SV. As in prec; here *senā* sing

āmūr aja pratyāvarṭayemāḥ RV. VS TS MS KSA. *prāmūn jayābhīme jayantu* AV. The original *āmūr* probably refers to armies (Geldner) Whitney takes the AV. form as *amūn*, referring to the individual enemies, but p p *amūm* (comm *śatrusenām*).

nama āvyādhanībhyo vvidhyantībhyāś (KS *vvidhyadbhyaś*) *ca vo namah* VS TS MS KS In this litany to Rudra and his associates, the plural doubtless refers to Rudra's hosts, understanding *senā* plu; the masc of KS to the individual members

§837. Masculine and neuter:

māleṇa putram bibhṛtāpsv enat (MS *bibhṛtā sv enat*, TS KS *bibhṛtā sv enam*) VS TS MS KS ŚB. The neut refers to the ashes put into water (mentioned in the context); the masc apparently to Agni, the fire here carried in a pan.

vardhamāno (TS. °*nam*) *mahān* (TS. MS *maha*) ā *ca puṣkare* (TS °*ram*) VS TS. MS KS ŚB The half-verse accompanying this one in VS 11 29 is addressed to a lotus leaf (*puṣkara* or *puṣkaraparna*, both neut) on which is laid a lump of clay representing Agni. Our *pāda* is followed by *dvo mātrayā varinā* (*varimnā*) *prathasva* Mahidhara on VS thinks that *vardhamāno* still refers to the lotus leaf, with 'Vedic' change of gender With Griffith we must rather understand Agni, in the form of the lump of clay, as addressed. In VS 13 2 the verse is used in laying a lotus leaf on the site of the āhavanīya fire-altar, and Agni is doubtless again addressed in the 2d half verse TS. uses neuters, referring to the lotus leaf as in the other half verse.

ya enad veda sa id enad (AV. *enam*) *arhat* RVKh AV. The neut refers to *hiranyam*, the masc apparently to an unexpressed *man* or some masc name of a jewel (Bloomfield, *SBE* 42 669).

svasty uttarān (MS MŚ °*ran*, TS ApŚ °*rāny*) *aśīya* TS MS. KS ApŚ. MŚ. Used in the shaving ceremony, the neut we take to refer to *śmaśrūni*, with Caland and Henry (otherwise comm. and Keith) The masc pl (intended also in MS MŚ) may refer to *keśān*, it is hardly necessary to emend as Schroeder suggests.

devaśrud imān (sc. *keśān*) *pravape* MS MŚ. *devaśrūr elāni* (sc. *śmaśrūni*) *pravape* TS H̄G As prec

[*viśo* (SV. *dāso*) *viśvā anu prabhuh* (TB *prabhu*) RV SV MS TB Followed by *s-*, doubtless phonetic corruption in TB, cf VV 2 §381] [*saṁśītam kṣatram jṣnu* (Ppp MS KS TA *kṣatram me jṣnu*, AV. *kṣatram ajaram astu jṣnuh*) AV Ppp VS TS MS KS ŚB TA. *jṣnuh* can only be a blunder, VV 2 §381]

§838. Feminine and neuter.

gāthayanti pariṣkṛtam (AV °*tā*) RV. AV See Oldenberg, *Noten* on 10 85 6 Apparently the neut refers to Sūryā's garment (*vāsah*) AV makes the fem refer to Sūryā

haviṣ (*havih*) *kṛvantah parivatsarīnam* (MG °*rīyam*, HG † SMB † °*rīnām*) AV SMB ApMB. HG. MG Both Kirste and Jörgensen confess inability to interpret the fem, and we can do no better But its occurrence in two texts, of different schools, is very strange [*ya ābabhūva* (PB *āva*°) *bhuvanāni viśvā* (PB *viśvāh*°) VS PB JB. ŚŚ Vait *viśvāh* is perhaps a misprint, certainly an error (VV 2 §381); the comm. has *viśvām*]

§839. Masculine, feminine, and neuter.

ūrnamradasam (ūr^{nā}°) *tvā śrīnām* (KS *ūrnamradah prathasva*, Kauś

ūnamradam prathasva) *svāsastham* (VS.† ŚB † °*sthām*) *devebhyaḥ* VS TS KS ŚB. TB Kauś ApŚ. Masc in TS TB ApŚ. (applying to *prastara*); fem in VS. ŚB. (to *vedi*), neut. in KS. Kauś (to *barhas*?).

11. Transfer of epithet

§840. The preceding group of variants leads over naturally to those in which the change in gender is explained by the application of an epithet to different nouns in the context. These are of the same type as those classed under 'transfer of epithet' between various case forms, many of which, it will be remembered, show change in gender as well as case, these will not be repeated here. Transfer of epithet appears in the following variants between masculine and feminine forms:

īdāno-(KS °*nā*) *vahnur* (KS. °*nam*) *namasā* AV. VS VSK. TS MS KS
See §399.

aṅgāny ahrutā yasya (TS and vikāra of ŚB. *yasyai*) VS TS. KS ŚB. Followed by *tam mātṛā* (KS *tam devās*, TS and vikāra of ŚB *tām devaiḥ*) *saṁ ajīgamam* (KS *acīklpan*). The masc (original) makes the pronouns refer to the embryo (*garbha*) of the cow; the vikāra of ŚB contemplates the possibility that the embryo will be female (and belongs with §782). TS, on the other hand, contains a genuine and obvious 'transfer of epithet', making the pronouns refer to the cow herself (who, to be sure, is referred to in the stanza only by second person pronouns).

īd agnir anumanyatām ayam (PG. *iyam svāhā*) PG HG. The simpler, and presumably original, reading is *ayam*, referring to Agni, *iyam* is difficult; Stenzler omits the word in translating and regards it as an intrusion, Oldenberg renders by 'N. N', apparently as standing for the name of the bride (the verse is spoken by the bridegroom); the comm. on PG makes *iyam* agree with *svāhā* as n. pr., 'and this Svāhā (Agni's consort).'

dvāro devīr anv asya viśve (MS KS *viśvāḥ*) AV VS. TS MS KS. The masc *viśve* is a coordinate subject, along with *dvāro*; in MS. KS. it becomes an epithet of the latter.

tām tvam svadhām tair sahopa jīva ApMB.: *tam svadhām akṣitam tair sahopajīvāsau* HG. Most likely HG. is original: 'upon that (wave, *ūrmī*, which is) sweet drink (and is) inexhaustible live thou with these.' ApMB. makes the pronoun agree with *svadhām*.

kasmai (KS *tasmai*) *deva vaṣad astu tubhyam* VS. VSK MS KS. ŚB : *tasmai ca devī vaṣad* .TS. The voc masc refers to Prajāpati (or

'Ka'); the fem of TS to Pṛthivī (denoted by *uttānāyay* in the preceding)

garbham sravantam agadam akah (AŚ *akarma*) TS AŚ ApŚ *garbham sravantīm agadām akarma* ApŚ : *ukhām sravantīm agadām akarma* (KŚ *aganmā*) KŚ MŚ The masc forms go with *garbha*, the fems with *ukhā* (which is clearly understood even when not expressed)

apāsya ye śsināh pāsāh KS ApŚ · *apāsyāh salvanah pāsān* Kauś The fem refers to Nirṛti, preceding, the masc apparently to the yajamāna

indrena dattam prayatam (TS *dattām prayatām*) *marudbhūh* TS MS KS Preceded by *ghṛtasya dhārām amṛtasya panthām* (MS *nābhīm*) The masc pples agree with the last word, the fems with *dhārām*

mā hnsīṣur vahatum uhyamānam (ApMB † *ūhyamānām*) AV ApMB 'Let them not injure the procession as it proceeds' 'let them not injure the procession and her (the bride) that is being carried off'

§841. In some of the above the 'epithet' is in one form more or less independent, in a couple of others it is quite distinctly so, that is not really an 'epithet' of another word at all (cf §15).

pratyañ ud eṣi mānuṣān (AV.* °*ṣiḥ*) RV AV (both) ArS N Preceded by *pratyañ devānām viśah* The RV *mānuṣān* = 'humans, men', in AV sc *viśah*

mṛgā na bhīmās taviṣṭbhūh arcinah (TB *taviṣṭbhūh ūrmibhūh*) RV TB The orig *taviṣṭbhūh* is apparently a noun, 'with might', TB feels that a form of the adjective *taviṣa* is required, and supplies *ūrmibhūh*, perhaps recollecting RV 6 61 2

§842. Transfer of epithet, masculine and neuter

anāptā yā (AV *ye*) *vah prathamā* (AV. p p °*māh*) AV KS ApŚ. See §552, end

eṣa vasūni pibdanā (SV °*nah*) RV SV The adj is transferred from *vasūni* to *eṣa* (= Soma)

śambhu mayobhu (TB TA *śambhūr mayobhūr*) *no hrde* RV SV TB TA Kauś N Preceded by *vāta āvātu bheṣajam*, the adjectives go with *bheṣajam*, or with *vātaḥ*

asmīn have puruhūtah purukṣuh (AV TS °*kṣu*) RV AV Ppp TS KS Preceded by *uruvyacā no mahiṣah śarma yansat (yachatu)* Transfer from *mahiṣah* to *śarma*

kakubham (kakuham) rūpam vṛṣabhasya (rūpam ṛṣ°) rocate brhat (VSK *brhan*) VS VSK TS MS KS ŚB. In VSK *brhan* goes with *somah* following

codad rādha upastutās (ArS. °*iam*) *cid arvāk* RV. AV. ArS MS. TB.

The adjective is transferred from the subject (Indra) to *rādhas tad ayam* (MG *īdam*) *rājā varuno 'numanyatām* AG. SMB PG ApMB HG MG. In MG. *īdam* (with *tad*) replaces *ayam* (with *rājā varuno*)

vīduharās tapa ugro (AV *ugram*) *mayobhūh* RV. AV.

matsvā susīpra (SV °*prin*) *harīvas tad* (SV. *tam*) *īmahe* RV. SV. 'We wish that': 'we pray to [thee] here'

yenā samatsu sāsahah (SV °*hīh*, MŚ °*hī*) RV SV. VS ApŚ MŚ. The original *sāsahah* is a verb form, in SV. it becomes an adjective epithet of the subject 'whereby (thou shalt be) conquering in battles'. In MŚ this adjective is made to go with the preceding *manas*.

brahmādhigupīah (PG *brahmābhi*°, MG. *brahmābhigūrtam*) *svārā kṣarāṇi* (PG *surakṣitah syām*, MG *svārākṣānah*, most mss *svārarakṣānah*) *svāhā* (MG omits) AG PG MG In MG. the first word is attracted into agreement with the preceding neuters (*bhūtam bhaviṣyad uta bhadram astu me*), in the others it goes with the 1st person subject

dhṛṣṇam (AV. °*no*, but Whitney translates °*nam*; AA *dādṛṣṇam*) *dhṛṣitam* (AV. °*īah*, but Whitney translates °*iam*) *śavah* AV. AA. ŚŚ † The masc forms, if accepted, would apply to Indra, the subject of the preceding *pāda*, instead of to *śavah* The passage is very troublesome

§843. In the next (cf. §15), the 'epithet' is in one variant made an independent word, *amṛto* modifies *panthā(h)*, but MS. uses *amṛtam* as an independent noun, 'nectar':

prānasya panthā amṛto (MS °*iam*) *grahābhyām* VS. MS KS TB

§844. Transfer of epithet, feminine and neuter:

upasthāsānām mutravat astv ojah TS MS AŚ : *upasthāsā mutravatīdam ojah* KS In KS *mutra*° agrees with *āsā*, in the others with *ojah*. *tebhīr mā*, (ApŚ *tābhīr no*, ApMB *tābhīṣ tvā*) *devah savitā punātu* MS. ApŚ ApMB Preceded by *śatam pavitrā vīatā hy* (MS. *vīatāny*) *āsu* (sc *apsu*) The pronoun refers to *pavitrā* in MS, in the others to *āsu* (*apsu*)

§845. The next may perhaps be classified as showing an original 'epithet' made into an independent word (§15); but the reconstruction of the secondary ApMB is very sweeping It means 'shine thou for my foot-water (*padya*, neut)'. In the original, *padyā* is used as an epithet of the noun Virāj:

mayi dohaḥ padyāyā virājah (MG. adds *kalpatām*) AG. HG MG : *mayi padyāyā virājo dohaḥ* ŚG ŚŚ PG. : *mama padyāya vi rāja* ApMB.

12. Phrase inflection

§846. In many cases variation in gender accompanies and signalizes the adaptation of old material to a new context. Sometimes several pādas, or even an entire verse, is thus readapted. For example, the R̥gveda itself contains a verse which is used twice, once in a woman's charm against feminine rivals, and once in a man's charm for dominion and victory. The two verses are practically identical thruout, but the subject is feminine in one case, masculine in the other. Hence the variants:

asapatnā (RV. also °*nah*) *kilābhuvam* (ApMB. °*bhavam*) RV. ApMB ; followed immediately in both RV and ApMB by:

asapatnaḥ sapatnahā RV AV : *asapatnā sapatnaghñī* RV. ApMB.

§847. Such a variation may properly be called a *vikāra* in the technical sense. Many of the variants here included are nothing but technical *vikāras* or *ūhas*; often they appear in the same passage, modulated according to different nouns, as in the following, where the AV. in a single hymn applies the epithets once to *mitrāvaruṇau*, masc., and once to (*dyauh* and) *prthivī*, fem. :

jarāmṛtyum kṛṇutām samvidānau (and °*ne*) AV. (both).

§848. We find the following variants of this sort; first some in which all three genders appear-

vānaspatyo 'sī PB. ApŚ MŚ AG : *vānaspatyam asī* KS : *vānaspatyāsi* MS. ApŚ MŚ

jāgataṃ asī MS TA. ApŚ : *jāgatāsi* MS. : *jāgato 'sī* MS. MŚ. Also *gāyatram asī*, *gāyatro 'sī*, *gāyatro asī*, *trāṣṭubho 'sī*, °*bham asī* *salakṣmā* (MS. KS °*ma*) *yad viśurūpā* (VS MS. KS ŚB. °*pam*) *bhavati* (MS KS. *babhūva*) RV. AV. VS MS KS. ŚB : *viśurūpā yat salakṣmāno bhavatha* TS See §727.

§849. Between masculine and feminine, besides those just quoted, occur:

tr̥pto 'ham MS. KS. MŚ. : *tr̥ptāham* (v. 1 *tr̥pto 'ham*) MŚ In the last the words are spoken by the *patnī*; it is a simple *ūha* of the other, recollection of which is responsible for the v 1 with masc *tāste* (TS. MŚ *te nah*) *kṛṇvantu bheṣajam* RV. AV. TS MŚ PG Different contexts; perhaps not true variants.

agnim kulāyam abhisamviśantīḥ (ApŚ °*vasānāḥ*) MS ApŚ : *agnim gr̥hapatīm abhisamvasānāḥ* TB ApŚ The entire verse is repeated, with subjects respectively *prajāḥ* and *paśavaḥ*

aido me bhagavo 'janisṭhā matrāvarunah MS. *aidi me bhagavatī 'ja-niṣṭhā matrāvarunī* MS Ūhas, followed by the respective directions: *iti pumānsam jātam abhimantrayeta*, and *iti strīyam jātam abhi°* In the same passages the next four:

citrā citram (and *citrām*) *asūt* MS,
citrās citrā (and °*rān*) *asuvan* MŚ,
punyā punyam (MS * *punyām*) *asūt* MS MŚ,
punyāh punyā (and °*yān*) *asuvan* MŚ.

jyotiḥ viśvasmai bhuvanāya kṛvatī (and *kṛṇvan*) RV. (both). To Uṣas and Savitar respectively.

tam (KS * *tām*) *agne hedah pari te vṛnaktu* VS TS MS KS (both) ŚB. To Agni and *māyā*; in adjoining stanzas in KS., the others also have both stanzas but with a different pāda instead of *tām* KS. has allowed one stanza to influence the other

tasya te bhaktivānsah syāma (MS. KS *bhaktivāno bhūyāśma*) AV. MS. KS.: *tasya te vayam bhūyisṭhabhājo bhūyāśma* ApŚ : *tasyās te bhak-ṣvānah syāma* (MS KS ApŚ †* *bhaktivāno bhūyāśma*, AŚ *bhāgam aśmahī*) MS KS TB ApŚ (bis)-AŚ. Different contexts

tāns te paridādāmy aham ApŚ . *tām te paridādāmy aham* (TA. °*dādāmi*) TA ApŚ

trir ā divo vidatīhe patyamānah (and °*mānāh*) RV. (both)

tvaṣṭrīmantas (MS MŚ *tvaṣṭri°*, ApŚ *tvaṣṭu°*) *tvā sapema* VS. MS. KS. ŚB. ApŚ : *tvaṣṭrīmatī* (TS ApŚ *tvaṣṭi°*, so also TA Poona ed with v. 1 *tvaṣṭri°*) *te sapeya* TS TA. ApŚ. The fem subject is the patnī, see §725

pari tmanā viṣurūpo jṅgāsī (and °*rūpā jṅgātī*) RV (both).

viśvair devair anumatā (KS TA °*tam*) *marudbhūh* AV. VS TS. MS. KS. ŚB. TA. Different context in TA ; on KS see §817.

indrāya somam suṣutam bharantaḥ (and °*tīh*) RV. (both)

aśmeva tvam sthūrā (Ppp MG ApMB * HG † *[1. 4 1b] *sthūro*) *bhava* Ppp (for AV *aśmā bhavatu te tanūh*) AG ŚG. SMB PG ApMB. HG. MG. The fem to the bride, the masc in different context to a boy

pari dhātta dhātta no varcasemam AV.: *pari dhātta dhātta vāsasainām* (HG. ApMB °*nam*) SMB HG ApMB As prec.

śatāyusaḥ (SMB. °*ṣīm*) *kṛṇuta dīrgham āyuh* SMB. ApMB HG. As prec. *āyusmatīdam pari dhātva vāsah* AV. SMB. PG MG : *āyusmann idam* MG.: *āyusmān idam* ApMB. HG. As prec.

upahūto 'yam yajamānah () TS MS ŚB TB AŚ. ŚŚ. ApŚ . *upa-hūteyaṁ yajamānā* TB. The whole of the second passage in TB. is a vikāra of the other. It contains the next six.

- divye dhāmann* (°many) *upahūlah* (TB * °hūtā) TS MS TB *
ullarasyām devayajyāyām upahūlah (TB. * °lā) TS ŚB TB.
tasminn upahūlah (TB * °lā) MS TB. AŚ ŚŚ.
upahūto bhūyasi haviṣkarane (haviṣk°) MS. AŚ ŚŚ : *bhūyasi haviṣkarana*
upahūlah (TB * °lā) TS † 2 6 7. 5, TB (bis) ŚB
viśvasya priyasyopahūlasyopahūlah (and °lā) TB (both)
viśvam asya (TB * asyāḥ) *priyam upahūlam* TS TB (both)
ṛṣāsi ṛṣṇyātan (Kauś °lati) AV † Kauś † Different contexts, tho
 both in rites for virile power; AV. addresses the man concerned,
 Kauś. the magic herb .
irām rahanto (ApMB *vahato*, MG *vahantī*) *ghṛtam ukṣamānāḥ* AŚ ApŚ
 AG ŚG. ApMB. MG : *irām rahantah sumanasyamānāḥ* HG. See
 §739.
iśānam vāryāṇām RV. AV. SV. TS. MS KS JB.: *iśānā* (sc *āpah*)
vāryāṇām RV. AV. MS TB. TA.
mayi puṣṭim (AV *puṣṭam*) †*puṣṭipatir* (AV. *puṣṭa*°) *dadhātu* (AG
 †*dadātu*) AV. MS KS. TAA MahānU. ApŚ AG SMB . *mayi*
puṣṭim puṣṭipatnī dadhātu KS The latter in a different context
yalamānā (and °no) *raśmibhiḥ sūryasya* RV (both)
rākāyā aham devayajyayā prajāvān (and °vati) *bhūyāsam* ApŚ. (both)
 Spoken by the yajamāna and his wife respectively, in adjoining
 passages In the same the following:
sinivālyā aham devayajyayā paśumān (°matī) *bhūyāsam*, and
kuvā aham devayajyayā puṣṭimān paśumān (*puṣṭimatī paśumatī*) *bhū*°.
rodena kṛṇvatir (°vati, °vanto) *agham* AV. (ter)
viśūcīm (and *viśvañcam*) *vi vṛhāmasi* AV. (both)
śivah śagmo bhavāsi nah TB ApŚ . *śivā ca me śagmā caidhi* TB ApŚ
samvalsarasya śaṣṭhah (TS. * KS * *śaṣṭhi*) TS KSA Both in a series
 of formulas, with the masculines different parts (*avayava*, m) of
 the shoulder are offered; with the fems various ribs (*vañkri*)
vasūnī cārur (SMB *cārye*, ApMB *cāryo*, v. 1 *cāyyo*, HG. *cāyyo*) *vi bhajāsi*
 (*bhṛjāsi*, *bhajā sa*) *jīvan* AV. SMB HG. ApMB. See §334
sa calārārasam (and *sā calārthārasam*) *viṣam* AV. (both)
sa jīva śaradaḥ śalam ŚB BṛhU. KBÜ AG SMB. PG. ApMB HG
 MG N Mbh : *sā jīva śaradaś śalam* ApMB. An ūha
samjagmāno abibhyuṣā RV. AV. SV. N.: *samjagmānā abibhyuṣṭi* (MS
avirhutaḥ) AV. MS.
sa (and *sā*) *no viśvā atī dvīṣah* RV. (both)
sā (HG. *sa*) *mām ā viśatād iha* (MG *viśatām ihaiva*) ApMB. HG. MG ,
 and RVKh Scheftelowitz 10 151 3d

sa (and sā) no dohatām suvīryam (MŚ suvīram) TB ApŚ MŚ (both in all texts) Ūhas, in same passages
sumṛdīkām abhiṣṭaye RV. TS MS : *sumṛdīkām abhi* RV. VS VSK. TS. MS. KS. ŚB.

yasmād bhūtā (bhūto) niśīdasi MŚ (both)

yantrā rāṭ TS KSA. TB : *yantrī rāṭ* VS TS MS. KS ŚB. ApŚ. MŚ.

And, in same passages:

yantrāsi yamanah VS. TS KS KSA ŚB TB : *yantry asi yamanī* (TS.

yamatrī) VS TS MS. KS ŚB MŚ

sa (AV. sā) nah śarma trwarūtham vi yansat (AV *ni yachāt*) RV. AV. MS KS. TB. ApŚ

ūrdhvām enām (VS * ŚB. * LŚ * *ūrdhvam enam*) *uc chrayatāt (chrāpaya)* VS * TS MS KSA ŚB. * TB AŚ ŚŚ Vait LŚ * ApŚ. In same passages the next:

athāsya (TS. MS TB. °syā, KSA *adhāsya*, VS * LŚ. * *athāsya*) *madhyam edhatām (edhatu, ejatu, ejatī)* VS * TS MS KSA ŚB TB AŚ ŚŚ. Vait. LŚ *

ut tiṣṭha (VS ŚB KŚ. *utthāya*) *bṛhatī* (TA *bṛhan*) *bhava* VS. TS MS. KS ŚB. TA MŚ Followed by:

ūrdhvā (TA. °vas, VS ŚB *ud u*) *tiṣṭha dhruvā* (TA °vas) *tvam* VS TS. MS KS. ŚB. TA.

yamena tvam yamyā samvidānā (TA * °uah) VS TS † MS. KS. † ŚB. TA. (bis). The fem of all but TA apphes to Nirṛti In the two TA. passages, which are different from the other contexts, a dead man is addressed; the form should according to the sense be masc both times Yet once TA reads *samvidānottamam* (combining with *uttamam*, first word of the next pāda); for which the comm says *samvidānā samvidāna akamatyam prāptah* Here we must either understand *sāmvidānah* with 'double sandhi', or a mechanical reminiscence of the (more original) feminine form found in the other texts.

amṛtā martyebhyah RV.: *amṛtaṁ martyābhyah* TB ApŚ

soruh satī na nīvartate TA : *uruh san na nīvartate* TA. Ūhas, in adjoining passages

anīśitāsi sapatnakṣit VS . *anīśitāh* (KS ApŚ add *sitha*) *sapatnakṣayanāh* MS KS ApŚ : *anīśito 'sī sapatnakṣit* VS ŚB.

bhavatī bhikṣām dehi Kauś : *bhavān bhikṣām dadātu* AG

aham vo asmi sakhyāya śevah MS *yuṣmākam sakhye aham asmi śevā* AV. The next is in the same passage:

uttham śreyo manyamānedam āgamam AV : *idam śreyo manyamāno vā āgām* MS.

valsam gāyatrīm anu tā ihāguh AV . *gāyatram valsam anu tās ta āguh* TB
gāyatram chando anu samrabhadhvam MS : *gāyatrīm chandānsy anu*
samrabhantām KS ApŚ (Add to VV 1 §337) The latter means
 'the *gāyatrī* (and the other) meters.'

pāvamānasya (TS KS *pāvamānena*) *tvā stomena gāyatrasya* (KS °*tryā*)
varitanyā TS MS KS. See §586.

pāvamānyah (ŚG °*nāh*) AG ŚG Sc. *trpyantu* 'The *pāvamānī* hymns:
 the (ṛṣis) of the p hymns'

INDEXES

INDEX OF SUBJECTS

Note —The detailed Table of Contents makes it unnecessary to furnish to this volume an extensive index of subjects or of words. We have tried to include in this and the next index all entries which are likely to be really useful to users of the book, but no others. It is our belief that all subjects and words treated in the book which are not here listed can easily be located, either from the Table of Contents, or from the index of mantras, which is complete. References in all the indexes are to sections.

- Adverbs, 43-6, 67-9, 83, 96, 106-9, 113,
122 (*see these sections for other refer-
ences*)
- Articular relative, *see* Relative
- Assimilation (Attraction), of Case-
forms, 17-20, 85, 323, 335-6, 347-9,
359, 363, 365, 367, 394-9, 424, 444,
453, 473, 549, 557, 564, 569, 576,
585-6, 659, 668, of other forms
(number or gender), 17-20, 118, 741,
773-7, 783, 788, 790, 792, 794, 796,
798, 800, 802
- Attraction, *see* Assimilation
- Case attraction, *see* Assimilation
- Compound nouns *bahuvrīhis* and
karmadhārayas, 58, 124, 413, 470,
829, *dvandvas*, 115, 124, 744-51;
āmreditas, 687
- Dedicatory expressions, 126 (*q v for
other references*)
- Form assimilation or attraction, *see*
Assimilation
- Infinitives, dative, 183, 238, 424, 631,
ablative, 659
- Kāṭhaka Samhitā use of *au* and *ā* in
dual, 131ff; *ai* and *ās* as gen (dat),
141, 151
- Maitrāyaṇīya school *ai* and *ās* as gen
(dat), 141, 151
- Meter, 153-8, 161-7, 169-71, 258, 272,
274-8, 292-3, 301-3, 306, 309, 311-4
- Phrase inflection, 21-2, 329, 332, 346,
355, 364, 384-8, 427, 434, 452, 475,
518, 555, 566, 609, 635, 669, 739,
764-6, 846-51
- Pronouns, substantive, 113, 121, 123,
730, 776, 812, 826
- Relative, articular, 20, 338, 394-7, 426,
433
- Rigveda and its school use of *au* and
ā in dual, 128ff
- Taittirīya school *ai* and *ās* as gen
(dat), 141, 151, forms of *i*, *ī* stems,
175a, 188-9, 198-9, of *u*, *ū* stems,
230, of *r* stems, 252-3
- Transfer of epithet, 14-6, 340, 350-1,
357, 360, 368, 370, 400-3, 415-7,
428-30, 435-6, 446-8, 454-6, 476-7,
489-92, 497-9, 520-2, 552-4, 567,
590-1, 610, 683, 737-8, 762-3, 840-5
- Vājasaneyin school *ai* and *ās* as dat
(gen), 141, 151
- Vājasaneyin school (Kāṇva) *ai* and
ās as dat (gen), 141

INDEX OF WORDS AND STEMS

See note to Index to Subjects

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>akṣī-, 189
 anye, <i>acc pl</i> (?), 717
 amī, <i>nom. dual</i>, 320
 arīr, 177
 asrīvi-, °vī-, 199
 āśīrdāyā, 174
 āṣṭrī, 211
 oṣadhi-, °dhi-, 191-3
 takarī-, °rī-, 190
 tanvam (<i>loc</i>), 249
 tubhya, 315
 tubhyam (<i>gen</i>), 624
 te (<i>acc</i> ?), 483 (tvaṣṭṛmantas ...), 511
 (ā te agna . .)
 tve, 316
 dive, 295, 678
 dyaus (<i>gen</i>), 290
 na kī, nakis, 810, 820-1
 nāvayā, 289
 patī-, 219
 patnī, 202</p> | <p>parvatī-, °tī, 200
 pavā, pavayā, 174
 bhūmī-, 180
 mahinām, 215
 me (<i>acc</i> ?), 488 (sa tvā)
 yuvabhyām, 317
 yuṣmān (<i>gen</i> ?), 318
 yuṣmās, 803
 rātrī-, 194-7, 206
 lakṣmī, 208
 varutrī-, varū°, 198
 viśve, <i>nom sg</i> (?), 731
 ārī-, 199, 208-9
 āronī-, 185, 189
 āvani-, °nī-, 199
 śvāvidh, <i>fem</i>, 831
 sumnayā, 246
 sūrī, 178
 skambhani-, °nī-, 201
 svāveśā, °śayā, 174, 415</p> |
|---|---|

INDEX OF MANTRAS

References are to sections

- anśum (anśūn) gabhastī (babbhastī) hari-
tebhīr āsabbhī, 699
- ansau grīvās ca śronyau (śronī), 185
- anhoś cid asmā urucakrīr adbhutah,
739
- anhoś cid urucakrayah (*yo, 'neha-
sah), 739
- akah su (sa) lokam sukṛtam pṛthi-
vyāh (*vyām), 671
- akūpārasya dāvane (*nah), 631
- aktor vyuṣṭau paritakmyāyāh (*yām),
678
- akṣaṇs tān, 739
- akṣatam ariṣṭam ilādam, 393
- akṣatam asy ariṣṭam ilānnam gopāya-
nam, 393
- akṣaram brahma sammitam, 326, 526
- akṣare brahmasammite, 326, 526
- akṣitam asī mā , 625, 850
- akṣitir asī , 625, 850
- akṣitīś ca me kūyavāś ca me, 706, 795
- akṣito 'ey akṣityai tvā mā , 625,
850
- akṣyoś (akṣnoś) cakṣuh, 281
- akhidrāh prajā abhivipaśya, 402
- aganma mahā (maho) namasā yaviṣ-
ṭham, 416
- aganma yatra pratiranta āyuh (pra-
taram na āyuh), 381
- agna ājyasya vyantu vauḥhak, 341
- agnaya upāhvayadhvam, 339, 691
- agnaye 'nhomece 'ṣṭākāpālāh (puro-
dāśam etc), 376
- agnaye gāyatrīya trivṛte rāthamtarā-
yāṣṭākāpālāh (etc), 376
- agnaye tvā, 618
- agnaye prahrīyamānāyānubṛhī, 768
- agnaye vaiśvānarāya dvādaśākāpālāh,
376
- agnaye vo juṣṭam (juṣṭān) prokṣāmi
, 734
- agnaye samidham āhārṣam (ahā°), 30,
358
- agnaye svāhā, 126, 485
- agnaye sviṣṭakṛte svāhā, 691
- agnā u vasuvane , 684
- agnāviṣṇū mā vām ava kramiṣam, 745
- agnih prātaḥsavane (*savanāt) pātva
asmān, 664
- agnim ratham na vedyam, 348, 387
- agnim śukrena fociṣa, 387
- agnim sa ṛchatu yo maitasyai (*syā)
diśo 'bhīdāsati, 149
- agnim sa diśām devam- devatānām
ṛchatu yo maitasyai , 149
- agnim samādhehī, 691
- agnim sudīpāye chardih, 393
- agnim sruco adhvareṣu prayatsu, 399
- agnim svāhā, 126, 485
- agnim hotaram iha (upa) tam huve, 393
- agnim kulāyam abhisamvisantih
(*vasānāh), 849
- agnim grāpatim abhisamvasānāh, 849
- agnim jyotir nicāyā, 509
- agnināgnih samvadatām, 326
- agninājyasya vyantu vauḥhak, 341
- agnuā tapo 'nv abhavat (ā°), 390
- agnimetrebbhyo devebhyah purahsad-
bhyah svāhā, 426
- (om) agnim tarpayāmi, 39, 126, 373
- agnibhyah prahrīyamānebbhyo 'nub-
rūhi, 768
- agnibhyah sviṣṭakṛdbhyah svāhā, 691
- agnibhyām prahrīyamānābhyām anub-
rūhi, 768
- agnim agnau svāhā, 485
- agnim adya hotāram avṛnitāyam
yajamānāh purodāśam (*dāśān)
, 694, 768
- agnim adya hotāram (avṛnitām imau
yajamānau , avṛnateme yaja-
mānāh), 694, 768

agnim ājyasya vetu vauḥhak, 341
 agnim indram vṛtrahanā huve 'ham
 ('hanam huvema), 748
 agnim ide pūrvacittim (pūrvacittau)
 namobhīh, 554
 agnim bharantam (bharantā) asma-
 yum, 402
 agnir annādo 'nnapatir mayi dad-
 hātu (yajamānāya dadātu) svāhā,
 641
 agnir ājyasya vetu vauḥhak, 341
 agnir āyusmān tena tvāyusāyus-
 mantam karomi (tasyāyam āyusā-
 yusmān astv asau), 588
 agnir ukthēna vāhasā, 601, 690
 agnir ukthēsv anhasu, 601, 690
 agnir ekākṣarayā vācam udajayat, 808
 agnir ekākṣarena prānam (vācam)
 udajayat, 808
 agnir etu prathamō devatābhyah, 90,
 656
 agnir aitu prathamō devatānām, 90,
 656
 agnir janavin mahyam jāyām imām
 adāt, 310
 agnir janitā sa me 'mūm jāyām dadātu
 svāhā, 310
 agnir jyotir jyotir agnih, 457
 agnir deveṣu pra voca, 337
 agnir daivīnām (ha daiv°) viśām
 puraetāyām (°yam sunvan) yaja-
 māno manuṣyānām, 695
 agnir daivīnām viśām puraeteme sun-
 vanto yajamānā manuṣyānām, 695
 agnir nah (mā) pātu duritād avadyāt,
 724
 agnir nas tasmād indraś ca, 724
 agnir nas tasmād enasah, 724
 agnir nas tebhyo rakṣatu, 723
 agnir manyum pratinudan purastāt,
 333
 agnir mā tasmād indraś ca, 724
 agnir mā tasmād enasah, 724
 agnir mā tebhyo rakṣatu, 723
 agnir mā pātu , see agnir nah
 agnir me hotā sa mopahvayatām, 339,
 691
 agnir yaśjedam namah, 330

agnir vṛtrāni jāñghanat (a° vṛtreti),
 167
 agnir ha daivīnām , see agnir daivī-
 nām
 agnir havih (havyam) śamitā sūda-
 yāti (svadayatu), 689
 agnir havyāni sīṣvadat, 163, 689
 agnir havyā suśūdati, 163, 689
 agnir hotā vetv (vettv) agnir (agner)
 hotram , 326, 443
 agnir hotāśvinādhvaryū (aśvināv
 adhvaryū), 136
 agnir hotopa tam huve, 393
 agnih śukrena śociṣā, 387
 agniṣ ṭad dhotā kratuvid vijānan
 (janānām), 449
 agniṣ ṭad viśvam (°vād) ā prnāti (°tu)
 vidvān, 402
 agniṣ ṭān (ṭān, ṭāl) lokāt pra nudāty
 (°tv, nudatv) asmāt, 326
 agniṣ ṭān asmāt pra nunottu lokāt,
 326
 agnis tigmena śociṣā, 329
 agnis tṛpyatu, 39, 126, 373
 agnih samkusikāś ca yah, 433, 450, 669
 agnih sudakṣah sutanur ha bhūtā,
 232, 336
 agnih sudītaye chardih, 393
 agnih sruco adhvaṛṣu prayakṣu, 399
 agnih sviṣṭakṛd yajñasya , 445
 agni indrī vṛtrahanā huve vām, 748
 agnin samādhehi, 691
 agni ratho na vedyah, 348, 387
 agniṣomayor aham devayajayā cak-
 ṣusmān (vṛtrahā) bhūyāsam, 30, 445
 agniṣomābhyām chāgasya vapāyai
 medaso 'nubṛūhi, 142
 agniṣomābhyām (tvā), 618
 agniṣomau vṛtrahanau tayor (vṛtra-
 hapāv agniṣomayor) aham deva-
 yajayā vṛtrahā bhūyāsam, 36, 445
 agne agnīnā samvadasva, 326
 agne gr̥hapata upa mā hvayasva, 339,
 691
 agne gr̥hapate sugr̥hapatir aham tvayā
 (with varr) gr̥hapatinā (°patyā)
 bhūyāsam, 219
 agne gr̥tēnāhuta (°tah), 337

agne jātān pra nudā nah (me) sapat-
nān, 724
agne tāt asmāt pra nudasva lokāt, 326
agne tigmena śociṣā, 329
agne tvam yonim āsida sādhyā, 784
agne tvam sūktavāg asy upaśruti (*ti,
śrito) divas (divah) prthivyoh, 220
agne dakṣaiḥ punih nah (mā), 112,
724
agne 'dabdhāyo pāhi prasitayā
(*tyāh) pāhi durīṣṭayā (*ṣtyāh) pāhi
duradmanyā (*nyāh), 150
agne devānām ava heda iyakṣva
(ikṣva), 510, 703
agne deveṣu pra vocah, 337
agnāṣi (agne) patnīvan (vākpatnī,
patnīvāṣn, patnīvāṣh), 265
agne prāyaścitte (prāyaścittir asi),
339
agne balada saha ojaḥ kramamānāya
me dā abhiśastikṛte 'nabhiśaste-
nyāyāsyai janatāyāi (*syā janatā-
yāh), 146
agne 'bhyāvartinn abhi mā ni var-
tasva (māvartasva, na ā vartasva,
no nivartasva, na ā vavṛtsva), 724
agne manyum pratinudan pareṣām,
333
agne yan me tanvā ūnam (yan ma
ūnam tanvas) tan ma ā pṛna, 239
agne yaṣṭar idam namah, 330
agner akṛnavann uśijo amṛtyave, 420,
448
agner akṣnah kanīnakam (*kām,
*nikām), 789
agner agne puro agnir (agne) bhaveha,
335
agne ratham na vedyam, 348
agner anuvratā bhūtva, 53, 87, 506
agner apunann uśijo amṛtyavah, 420,
448
agner aham sviṣṭakṛto, 445
agner jīhvām abhi (jīhvābhi, jīhva-
yābhi) grītam (grīnata), 174, 473
agner jīhvāsi subhūr (supūr, subhūr)
devebhyaḥ (devānām), 616
agner jyotir nicāsyā, 509
agner vasuvane, 684

agner vām apannagrhasya (vo 'pan-
nagrhasya) sadasi śādayāmi, 766
agne vākpatnī, see agnāṣi (under
agne) patnīvan
agne viśvāni duritā tarema, 163
agne vihi, 326
agne vaiśvānara (*rah) svāhā, 340
agneś cāsi brahmacūrin mama ca, 334
agneṣ tvā mātrayā jagatyā vartanyā
jīvūtva, 142, 238, 586
agne sadakṣah satanur (*nūr) hi
bhūtva, 232, 336
agne samidham āhārṣam, 30, 358
agne samrād iṣe rāye (rayyā) rama-
sva, 288
agnes tvā mātrayā jagatyāi (*tyā)
vartanyā . jīvātave, 142, 238, 586
agne svam (svām) yonim ā sida sād-
hyā (sādhyā), 784
agneh samkasukāc ca yat, 433, 450,
669
agneh sviṣṭakṛto 'ham, 445
agne hotāram avṛnimahiḥa, 349
agnāṣi patnīvan (etc), see agne
agnau jyotir jyotir agnau, 457
agnau v. tvā gārhapatyē 'bhiceruh, 488
agnau samkasuke ca yat, 433, 450, 669
agra imam yajñam nayatāgre yajña-
patim dhatta, 530
agra imam adya yajñam nayatāgre
yajñapatim, 530
agram yajñam nayatāgram yajñapa-
tim, 530
agram vṛkṣasya rohataḥ, 529
agrenir asi svāveśa unnetfnām, 252
agre vṛkṣasya kridataḥ, 529
aghat tam, 739
aghadviṣṭā devajātā, 699
aghaṣṭām tam, 739
aghāya bhūma harivah parādai (*daiḥ),
565
aghāsu hanyante gāvah, 107, 596
aghorah prajā abhivṛpaśya, 402
aṅkāṅkaṁ (aṅkāṁ aṅkam, aṅkaṅkam)
chandaḥ, 136
aṅkau nyaṅkāṁ (aṅkā nyaṅkū, aṅkū
nyaṅkāṁ) abhūto ratham yau (ye),
134

- aṅgabhedam aṅgajvī ram, 387
 aṅgabhedo aṅgajvaro, 387
 aṅgād-aṅgāl lomno-lomnah, 669
 aṅgāni ca me 'sthāni ('sthini) ca me, 281
 aṅgāny ahrutā yasya ('syai), 840
 aṅgāny ātman bhiṣajā tad aśvinā, 59, 104, 462, 536, 605
 aṅgā parūṇṣi tava vardhayanti, 164, 311
 aṅgirasō dhiṣnyair agnibhih, 172
 aṅgirobhūr ā gahī yayjīyebhih, 170
 aṅgirobhūr yayjīyair ā gahīha, 170
 aṅgulayah śakvarayo dīśāś ca me yayjēna kalpantām, 202
 aṅge-aṅge lomni-lomni, 669
 aṅgebhyo me varcodāh pavasva, 778
 aṅgebhyo (me varcodau varcase pave-
 thām), 778
 aṅgair ātmānam bhiṣajā tad aśvinā, 59, 104, 462, 536, 605
 aṅgoṣṇam avāśanta vānīh, 522
 aṅghrinā viṣṇo ('ṣnū) mā tvāva (vām
 aya) kramiṣam, 745
 acittubhiś cakymā kac cid āgah, 417
 acitti yat (acittiyā cet) tava dbarmā
 yuyopuma, 216
 achāyam yanti śavasā ghṛtācīh, 403, 467
 achāyam eti śavasā ghṛtēna (ghṛtā
 cit), 403, 467, 694
 achidram śarma yachata, 350, 402
 achidrā usijah padānu takṣuh, 164
 achidrām tvāchidrena juṣṣam
 (juṣṣām) grhnāmi, 817
 achidrām pārayiṣnum ('ṣnvīm), 297
 achidrāh śarma yachata, 350, 402
 achidrośyah kavayah padāni ('ānu)
 takṣiṣvat ('iṣuh), 164
 achinnapatrāh ('trah) prajā anuvīk-
 ṣasva, 402
 ajanti vahnīm sadanāny aha, 540
 ajasram tvām (tvā) sabhāpālāh, 308
 ajo hy agner ajanīṣṭa garbhāt, 779
 ajiṇano amṛta martyeṣv ā (martyāya
 kam), 653, 701
 ajiṇapatendram vājam, 488
 ajo bhūgas ('bhūgas) tapasā ('sas)
 tam tapasva, 587
 ajo hy agner ajanīṣṭa śokāt, 779
 ata inoṣi karvarā purūni, 164
 ata invata karvarāni bhūri, 164
 atandro havyā (havyam) vahasi
 haviṣkṛte, 689
 ataś ca viśvā (sarvā) oṣadbayo rasāś
 ('saś) ca, 704
 atas tvā rayim abhi (rayir abhy aya),
 393
 atas tvā viṣnuh pātu, 308
 atikrāmanto duritā padāni (duritāni
 viśvā), 161
 atichandasam chanda indriyam, 399
 atichandā indriyam (atichandāś chanda
 indriyam) brhat, 399
 atī didyūn (divas) pāhi, 291
 atī dyūn pāhi, 291
 atī dhanveva tān ihi, 835
 atī viśvāni duritā tarema ('tāni par-
 ṣan, etc.), 163
 ato devī prathamānā prthag yat, 336
 ato matir ('tim) janayata svadhāb-
 hih, 690
 attu tñāni, 699
 aty acittim aty arātim agne (atī
 nṛttim adya), 706
 aty acittir atī dviṣah, 706
 atrā yamah sādānā ('nāt) te minotu,
 496, 698
 atrāsata ṛṣayah sapta sākam, 519
 atrinā tvā krime hanmi, 703
 atrivad vah krimayo ('vat tvā krime)
 hanmi, 703
 atha jivir (jivir) vidatham ā vadāsi,
 178
 atharvane svāhā, 740
 atharvabhayah (svāhā), 740
 atharvyuṣṭā devajūtāh, 699
 atha viśve arapā edhate gr̥hah, 457,
 731
 athā jivir vidatham ā vadāsi, 178
 athā tvam asī samkṛtiḥ, 714
 athā nah sam yor arapo dadhāta
 ('tana), 313, 318a, 639
 athā mano vasudeyāya kṛṣva, 637
 athā yūyam stha samkṛtiḥ, 714
 athā śatakratvo ('to) yūyam, 223a, 699
 athā somasya prayati yuvabhīkām, 317

- athāsmabhyam śam yor arapo dad-
 hāta, 313, 318a, 639
 athāsmabhyam sahavīrām (°vīram)
 rayim dāh, 785
 athāśya madhyam ejatu, 849
 athāśyai (°śyā) madhyam edhatām
 (etc.), 622, 849
 athemam enam pra hinutāt pitṛā
 upa, 481
 atheme anya upare vicakṣanam (°ne),
 553
 athem enam pra hinutāt pitṛbhyah,
 481
 athaitān aṣṭau virūpān (athaitān arū-
 pebhyā) ālabhate, 489
 atharte dhīṣṇyāso agnayo yathāsthā-
 nam kalpantām ihaiva svāhā, 155
 athainam jarimā nayet, 431
 athaiśam bhinnakah kumbhah, 380
 atho ariṣṭatātaye, 558, 704
 atho ariṣṭatātibhih, 558, 704
 atho jīva śaradah śatam, 515
 atho tvam aśi niṣkṛtiḥ, 714
 atho bhinnadmi tam kumbham, 380
 atho yatheme dhīṣṇyāso agnayo
 yathāsthānam kalpayantām ihaiva,
 155
 atho yūyam stha niṣkṛtiḥ, 714
 atho yonir hiranyai, 784
 atho rājann uttamam mānavānām, 367
 adabdhena tvā cakṣuṣā, 739
 adabdhena vāś cakṣuṣā, 739
 aditaye svāhā, 182
 aditiḥ keśān vapatu, 326
 aditiḥ pāśam (°śān) pra mumoktv
 etam (etān), 703
 aditum sa yo maitasyai (°śyā) diśo
 'bhīdāsati, 149
 aditir achinnapatrā priyā (achinna-
 patrah priyo) devānām, 849
 aditir adhipatir (°patny) āśit, 806
 aditiḥ śmaśru vapatu, 326
 aditis sadohavirbhānābhyām, 755
 adite keśān (keśaśmaśru) vapa, 326
 adityā upāśam aśi, 795
 adityāh (°tyai) pañcamī, 143
 adityāh (°tyai) pājasyam, 141, 143
 adityā (°tyai) dvādaśī, 143
 adityā (°tyai) bhasat, 143
 adityā (°tyai, °ter) bhāgo 'si, 147, 184
 adityā (°tyai) rāsnāsi, 147
 adityā va upasthe sādāyāmi, 714
 adityās tvopasthe sādāyāmi, 714
 adityāh (°tyai) sada āsīda, 147
 adityāh sadane sīda, 147
 adityai, see adityā(h)
 adityai viṣṇupatnyai carum (°ruh),
 376
 adityai svāhā, 182
 adurmaṅgalīh (°lī) patilokam ā viśa
 (viśemam), 206
 adṛnathāh śarkarābhis triviṣṭapī
 (tribhṛstibhih), 610
 ado giribhyo adhi yat pradhāvasi,
 739, 850
 ado devī (°vī) prathamānā prthag
 yat, 336
 ado māgachatu (ma āga°), 478
 ado yad avadhāvatī, 739, 850
 ado yad devī prathamānā purastāt, 336
 addhi tṛnam aghnye viśvadānim, 699
 adbhir viśvasya bhartṛibhih, 805
 adbhih sarvasya bhartṛibhih, 805
 adbhyah sambhūtāh pṛthivyai rasāc
 ca, 150, 437
 adbhyah sambhūtāh pṛthivyai (°vyā)
 rasāc ca (rasah), 150, 437
 adharācīh parā suva, 739, 849
 adharāñcam parā suva, 739, 849
 adhā cid indra me (naḥ) sacā, 724
 adhā jivri vidatham ā vadāthah, 178
 adhā jivema śaradām śatāni, 515
 adhā nah śam yor arapo dadhāta,
 313, 318a, 639
 adhā mano vasudevāya kṛṇuṣva, 637
 adhāma sakthyor ava gudam dhehi,
 535
 adhā viśvābhārāpa edhate grhe, 457
 adhā śatakratvo (°tavo, °to) yūyam,
 229a, 699
 adhā somasya prayatī yuvābhyām
 (yuva°), 317
 adhāśyā madhyam edhatām, 622, 849
 adhi kṣami prataram didhyānah, 823
 ādhi kṣami viṣurūpam (kṣamā viśva°)
 yad asti (asya, āsta), 69, 107, 598

adhr̥ṣam dh̥r̥ṣnojasam (dh̥r̥ṣnum ojasā), 470
 adhvana skabhñita (skabhñavantaḥ), 698
 adhvānām adhvapate pra mā tira svasti me 'smin pathi devayāne bhūyāt, 339, 698, 724
 adhvānām adhvapate śreṣṭhah svastyādhvanah (śreṣṭhasyādhvanah, śraīṣṭhyasya svastyādhvanah) pūram aśiya, 446
 adhvānām adhvapate svasti me 'dyāsmi devayāne pathi bhūyāt, 339, 698, 724
 adhvano adhipatir aśi svasti no 'dyāsmi devayāne pathi stāt (syāt), 339, 698, 724
 adhvarakṛtam (*kṛd) devebhyah, 393
 adhvānam skabhñuvanto yojanā mīmāṇāḥ, 698
 anadvāns tapyate vahan (talpate vahan), 256
 anadvān gaur vayo dadhuh, 399
 anadvāham gām vayo dadhat, 399
 anamitram na uttarāt, 498
 anamitram no (me) adharāt (adharāk), 498, 724
 anamitram udak kṛdhi, 498
 anamivāh pradīśah santu mahyam, 309
 anāgasam brahmanā (*ne) tvā kṛnomi (karomi), 558
 anāgaso yajamānasya vīrāh (*rān), 393
 anāgā devāḥ śakuno gr̥heṣu (gr̥ham nah), 527, 711
 anādhṛṣṭā apasyo vasānāḥ (*nah), 737
 anādhṛṣṭāny ojasā, 850
 anādhṛṣṭāsa ojasā, 850
 anāptā ye (yā) vah prathamā(h), 842
 anābho mṛda dbūrte (*ta), 835
 anāmayaiddhi mā riṣāma mdo, 723
 anāśur aśvo 'yāmī (aśvāy*), 779
 anāhanasyam vaṣanam carīṣnu (jariṣnu, jariṣnuh), 402
 anibhṛṣṭā apasyuvo vasānāḥ, 737
 anīśitāsi sapatnakṣit, 740, 849
 anīśitāḥ (*tāḥ stha) sapatnakṣayanīḥ, 740, 849

anīśito 'si sapatnakṣit, 740, 849
 anuttāś carṣanīdhṛtiḥ, 402, 415
 anuttā carṣanīdhṛtā, 402, 415
 anu dyāvāpṛthivī supranītiḥ (*te), 340
 anu ma idam vratam vratapatir manyatām anu dikṣām dikṣāpatir aśijāsā, 697
 anumataye svāhā, 182
 anumatyai caruh (*rum), 376
 anumatyai svāhā, 182
 anu manyatām anumanyamānāḥ (*nā), 835
 anu me dikṣām dikṣāpatir (*patayo) manyatām (etc) anu tapas tapaspatir (*patayah), 697
 anu yam vīśe madantī (anu yad enam madantī vīśva) ūmāḥ, 816
 anuyā rātryā rātrīm jinvā, 196
 anuvatsarinām svastim āśāste, 760
 anuvatsariyodvatsariye svastim āśāse, 760
 anu (nu) vām jīhvā ghṛtam ā carānyat, 765
 anuvāya rātryai rātrīm jinvā, 196
 anuvāsi rātryai tvā rātrīm (*trīm) jinvā, 196
 anuṣṭuk pañktyai, 182
 anuṣṭup chanda indriyam, 399
 anuṣṭup tvā chandasām avatu (chandāsāvat), 583
 anuṣṭup pañktyai (*ktaye), 182
 anuṣṭubham chanda indriyam, 399
 anu sūrya usaso anu rāśmīn, 449
 anu sūryasya purutrā ca rāśmīn, 449
 anṛkṣarā rjavah santu panthāḥ (*thānah), 283
 anehasas tvotayah (*so va ūtayah), 739
 antārā dyāvāpṛthivī apah suvah, 541
 antārā dyāvāpṛthivyor apasyuh, 541
 antarīkṣa uta vā pṛthivyaḥ, 451
 antarīkṣam viṣnur , *see* antarīkṣe
 antarīkṣam aśi janmanopabhyā nāma priyā devānām priyena nāmā, 471
 antarīkṣam ātmā (asum), 381
 antarīkṣasya tvā sāvāḥ avagūhāmī (*kṣasya sāvūpeṣa), 528

antarikṣasya dhartrīm viṣṭambhanīm
 dīśām bhuvanasyādhipatnīm (dīśām
 adhipatnīm bhuvanānām), 381, 698
 antarikṣasya tvā vanaspataye (*tib-
 hyah), 699
 antarikṣayarsayas tvā . varinā pra-
 thantu, 273
 antarikṣena saha vājiniṇā (*vān), 329
 antarikṣe (*kṣam) viṣṇur vyakraṇsta
 traṣṭubhena chandasā, 527
 antar dadha ṛtubhiḥ, 410
 antar dadhe parvatāḥ, 410
 antar mahyā ṛthivya, 410
 antarhitā girayah, 410
 antarhitā ṛthivī mahī me, 410
 antarhitā ma ṛtavah, 410
 antān ṛthivyā divah, 495, 698
 andhāhīm (*āhe, *āheh) sthūlagudayā
 (sthūra*, *gudā), 414, 508, 700
 andhena yat (yā) tamasā prāvṛtāsīt
 (*tāsi), 817
 annapate 'nnasya (an*) no dehi, 326
 annam me (no) budhya (budhnyā)
 pāhi tan me (no) , 724
 annam me (no) budhyājugupas
 (budhnyā*) tan me (nah) punar dehi,
 724
 annasyānnapatih prādāt, 326
 annādā (*dī) cānnapatni ca , 296
 annānām (annasya) pataye namah,
 704
 anya ū ṣu yamy (anyam ū ṣu tvam
 yamy) anya u tvām, 398
 anyataenyo (*enīr) maitryah (*trih),
 213
 anyad āhur (evāhur) avidyāyāḥ
 (*dyayā), 570
 anyad evāhur vidyāyāḥ (*dyayā), 570
 anyam (anyāns) te asmat tapantu
 hetayah, 717
 anyam asman (anyāns te asman, anye
 'sman) nivapantu tāḥ, 717
 anvāncam mā pāta, 718
 anv āsvair anu sarvena (sarvair u)
 puṣṭāḥ, 704
 anvātānsīt tvayī (*tānsus tava) tan-
 tum etam, 671
 anvītir asī dive tvā divam jinva, 559

anvityā divā (dive) divam jinva, 559
 apa cakrā avṛtsata, 164
 apa cakrāni vartaya, 164
 apadyamānah ṛthivyām, 370, 849
 apadyamānā ṛthivī, 370, 849
 apa druhā (*hus) tanvam gūhamānā,
 417
 apanuttau (*tītā) śandāmarkau (śandā*)
 saha tena yam dvīṣmah (śahūmunā),
 134
 apa protha dundubhe duchunū (*nān)
 itah, 703
 apamīyam apratittam yad asmi, 703
 apaścādaghvānnasya (apaścāddaghvā-
 nnam) bhūyāsam, 506
 apaścāddaghvane (apaścāda*) nare
 (*rah), 626
 apa sedha dundubhe duchunū itah,
 703
 apa snehitīr (snihitim) nṛmanā
 (*nām) adhatta (etc), 406, 703
 apahato 'raruh ṛthivyā adevayaja-
 nah, 150, 380, 435
 apahato 'raruh ṛthivyaī, 150, 380
 apahato 'raruh ṛthivyaī devayaja-
 nyaī, 150, 380, 435
 apām yonih prathamajā ṛtasya, 440
 apām yo madhyato (madhye) rasas
 , 79, 664
 apām sakhā prathamajā ṛtāvā, 440
 apām sadhiṣī sīda, 698
 apām stoko abhyapaptac chivena
 (chivāya, *paptad rasena), 558
 apātām āsvinā gharman, 327
 apānās ca me 'suś ca me, 791
 apānena nāsike (*kām), 116, 753
 apām tvā bhasman (*manī) sādāyāmi,
 276
 apām tvā sadhiṣī (sadhiṣu) sādāyāmi,
 698
 apām napāt pratirakṣann asuryam
 (pratirakṣad asuryān), 703
 apām na yanty ūrmayah, 519
 apāma edhi mā mṛthā na indra, 488,
 723
 apāmivām (*vā) apa rakṣānsī sedha, 703
 apām uta praśastīṣu (*stibhiḥ,
 *staye), 67, 100, 558, 601, 890

apām patim vṛṣabham oṣadhīnām, 387
 apām patir [vṛṣabha] oṣadhīnām, 387
 apāraram adevayajanam pṛthivyā
 devayajanāḥ (adevayajano) jāhi,
 150, 380, 437
 apāraram pṛthivyā adevayajanam
 (°rum adevayajanam pṛthivyāḥ),
 150, 380
 apāraram pṛthivyā devayajanād bad-
 hyāsam, 150, 380
 apūlām indra (°dras) triṣ pūtvī (etc.),
 333
 apśman (apśya) nairṛtān pāśān, 656
 apśya ye sināḥ pāśāḥ, 396, 840
 apśyāḥ satvanah pāśān, 396, 840
 apī jāyeta so 'smūkam (apī nah sa
 kule bhūyāt), 314
 apo adyānv acāriṣam, 261
 apochatu (°chantu) mithunā yā (ye)
 kumidīnā (°nah), 374, 756
 apo dattodadhūm bhuntā, 502, 711
 apo devā (devīr) madhumatīr
 agrbhnan (°nām, agrbhnan), 402
 apo dehy udadhūm bhindhī, 502, 711
 apo nayanta ūrmayah, 519
 apo mṛhi vyayati cakṣase tamah, 402,
 561
 apo mahī vṛnute cakṣuṣā tamah, 402,
 561
 apo yūṣnā (yūṣena), 287
 apriyāḥ pratī muficatām, 457
 apriye pratī mufica tat, 74, 457, 645
 apriye pratī muficatam, 74, 457, 645
 apriye sam nayāmasi, 645
 aproṣivān grhapatir (°te) mahān asī,
 334
 apsarasām anudattānṛnānī, 449, 703, 778
 apsarasāv anu dattām ṛnam nah
 (ṛnānī), 449, 703, 778
 apsu te rājan varuna, 363
 apsu dhūto (dhautam) nṛbhīḥ sutah
 (°tam), 398
 abhayam vo 'bhayam no astu (me
 'stu), 724, 740
 abhayam te 'bhayam no astu, 724, 740
 abhayam no astu, 724
 abhayam mitrāvarunāv ihāstu nah
 (°varunā mahyam astu), 129, 724

abhayam me astu ('stu), 724
 abhikhyā bhāsā bṛhatā śuśukvanīḥ, 416
 abhi te 'dhām sahamānām, 476
 abhi tvādhām sahlyasā, 476, 488, 808
 abhi tvām indra nonumah, 306
 abhi tvā śūra nonumah, 306
 abhi priyā (°yam) divas padam, 741
 abhi prehī dakṣinato bhavā me (nah),
 724
 abhibhuve (°bhve, °bhve) svāhā, 233
 abhi yonim ayohatam (°te), 552
 abhi yo mahinā divam, 411
 abhi yo viśvā bhuvanānī caṣṭe, 164
 abhirakṣantu mā priyāḥ, 724
 abhi viśvānī bhuvanānī caṣṭe, 164
 abhi śravobhiḥ pṛthivīm, 572, 705
 abhiśīr yā ca no grhe, 724
 abhiṣṭir (°ṣṭir) yā ca me dhruvā (ca
 no grhe), 177, 724
 abhi savanānī (savanā) pāhi, 168
 abhi stomā (stomair) anūṣata, 411
 abhi sravantu nah priyāḥ, 724
 abhipato vṛṣṭyā (vṛṣṭibhis) tarpayan-
 tam, 709
 abhīmam (°mām, °mām) mahinā (°mā)
 divam (divah), 411, 698, 786
 abhūn mama (nu nah) sumatau viśva-
 vedāḥ, 332, 723
 abhūma yajñīyāḥ śuddhāḥ, 158
 abhyeti, see abhyaiti
 abhy enam bhūma ūrnuḥ (bhūmī
 vṛnu), 180
 alhyaiti (abhyeti na) ojasā spardha-
 mānā, 312
 amanmahī mahata (mahad) ṛtasya
 nāma, 520
 amā ma edhi mā mṛdhā na (ma)
 indra, 488, 723
 amāsi sarvān (sarvān) asī praviṣṭah,
 406, 728
 amā hy asī sarvam anu praviṣṭah, 406,
 728
 amitrān no vi vidhyatām, 723
 amī anu mā taruta, 768
 amī ye ke sarasyakā avadhēvati, 739,
 850
 amī ye vivratā(h) sthāna (stha), 703
 amī ye subhage divī, 320

- amīṣām cittaṁ pratilobhayanti (cit-
tāni pratimohayanti), 706
amukthā yakṣmād durtād avadyāt,
150
amuṣya tvā prāṇam sādāyāmi, 552
amuṣya tvā prāṇe sādāyāmi, 552
amū anu mā tanutam, 768
amūn kṛtyākṛto jahī, 703
amū ye divi subhage, 320
amūham asmi sā tvam, 849
amṛtam nihitam gubā, 438
amṛtam asi, 850
amṛtam martyābhyah, 388, 849
amṛtasya nidhir hitah, 438
amṛtā martyebhyah, 388, 849
amṛto 'si, 850
amaṣṣām cittaṁ prabudhām (°dhā) vi
neśat (naśyatu), 590
amoci yakṣmād durtād avartyai, 150
amo 'si sarvāṇi asi praviṣṭah, 406, 728
amo 'ham asmi sā (sa) tvam, 849
ambā ca bulā ca nitatni ca , 200
ambā dulā nitatni , 200
ayam yajño bhuvanasya (viśvasya
bhu°) nābhīh, 392
ayam vājam (°jān) jayatu vājasētau,
704
ayam vai tvām ajanayad , 40, 380,
432
ayam vo garbha ṛtviyah, 739
ayam śatrum (°trūn) jayatu , 703
ayam śraīṣṭhye dadhātu nah (nau), 766
ayam sahasram ānava dṛśah kavīnām
matir jyotir vidharma (°mā), 420,
455, 794
ayam sahasram ā no dṛśe kavīnām
matir jyotir vidharmani, 420, 455
ayam somo vṛṣṇo āśvasya retah, 392
ayam hi tvā svadhītis tetijānah, 306
ayakṣmayā pari bhuja, 829
ayakṣmāya tvā samsṛjāmi prajābhyah,
491, 560, 739
ayakṣmā vah prajāyā samsṛjāmi, 491,
560, 739
ayakṣmena pari bhuja, 829
ayam ca brahmanaspatih, 428
ayam te asmy upa mehy (na chy)
arvāṇ, 723
ayam te yonir ṛtviyah, 739
ayam devo vanaspatih, 402
ayam no nabhasas patih, 329, 588
ayam no nabhasā purah, 588
ayam paścād (°cā) vidadvasuh, 69, 83,
575
ayam paścād (°cā) viśvavyacūh, 575
ayaś śando marka upavira ulūkhalah,
749
ayasā manasā dhṛtah, 259, 416
ayasā havyam ūhiṣe, 259, 416
ayasthūnam (°nāv) uditā (°tau) sūry-
asya, 221, 402, 449
ayasmayaṁ vicṛtā (viṣṛtā) bandham
etam, 703
ayasmayān vicṛtā bandhapāśān, 703
ayāt sarasvatyā meṣasya (meṣyā)
haviṣah priyā dhāmāni, 779
ayā no yajñān vahāsi, 416
ayā pavā pavasvainā vasūni, 174
ayāś cāgne 'sy anabhiśastiś (°stiś) ca,
177
ayā san manasā hitah, 416
ayā san havyam ūhiṣe, 402, 416
ayāsā manasā (vayasā) kṛtah, 259, 416
ayāh san (ayāsyam) havyam ūhiṣe
(manasā kṛttah, kṛtah), 402, 416
ayā somah (°ma) sukṛtyayā, 328
ararur dyām mā paptat, 294, 326
ararus te divam mā skān, 294, 326
ararus te dyām mā paptat, 294, 326
araro divam mā paptah, 294, 326
arāyo asmān abhiduchunāyate, 312
arāvā yo no abhi duchunāyate, 312
ariṣṭāni me sarvāṅgāni santu (me
'ṅgāni), 168
ariṣṭāni me sarvātmanābhrṣṭah, 168
ariṣṭām tvā saha patyā dadhāmi
(kṛnomi), 378
ariṣṭām mā saha patyā dadhātu, 378
ariṣṭā viśvāny aṅgāni, 168
ariṣṭāso vṛjanībhir jayema, 706, 799
ariṣṭās tanvo bhūyāsma, 589
ariṣṭāh syāma tanvā suvirāh, 589
ariṣṭāham saha patyā bhūyāsam, 378
ariṣṭyā avyathyai gīyatriyā abhib-
hūtyai (gāyatriyai chandase 'bhi-
bhuve) svāhā, 233, 629

- arundhatīm ye (yad) dhruvatām ha
ninyuh, 816
- arepasah sacetasah (*etc*) svasare man-
yumattamās ('mantas) cite (citā)
goh, 454
- arkam yuñjānāh svar ābharann idam,
743
- arjunyoh pary uhyate, 596
- ardhamāsais ca māsais ca, 410
- ardhamāsyam prasutāt pitryāvatah
(^{ti}), 496, 670
- aryamne ('no) lopāsah, 126, 618
- arvāk tvā parebhyo 'vidam (pareb-
hyah, parair avidam) paro 'vareb-
hyah ('varaih, 'varebhyo 'vidam),
574
- arvāg devā asya vīsarjanena ('janāya),
563
- arvāgvasuh, 342
- arvāgvaso svasti te pāram aśīya, 342
- arvācinam vasuvidam bhagam nah
(me), 724
- arvāncam tvā saptayo 'dhvaraśriyah,
765
- arvāncā vām saptayo 'dhvaraśriyah,
765
- alakṣmī ('mīr) me naśyatu ('ta), 208
- alakṣmīr ('mīn) nāśayāmy aham, 703
- avakām ('kān) dantamūlaih, 699, 788
- ava kṛtyākṛtam jahi, 703
- avakrandena tālu ('lūm), 793
- avatam mā samanāsau samaukasau,
723
- ava tara nadiṣv ā, 404, 684, 815
- avatād vyathitam, 499
- avatām tvām (tvā) dyāvāprthivī, 308
- avatān mā nāthitam ('tāt), 499
- avatān mā vyathitam ('tāt), 499
- avattaram nadiṣv ā ('ro nadinām),
404, 684, 815
- ava devānām yaja hedo agne (yaje
hīdyāni, yaje hedyāni), 510, 703
- ava devān yaje hedyān, 510
- ava dronāni ghṛtavānti sīda ('vantī
roha), 264
- avadhūtā arātayah (avadhūtārātih),
703
- ava priyā ('jān) adhūṣata, 401
- ava bādhe pr̥tanyatah ('tā), 476
- avavyayann asitam deva vasma (vas-
vah), 351
- avaś ca yah parah srucā (srucāh, paro
divah), 574
- avasānapate 'vasānam me vinda, 326
- avasānam me 'vasānapatir vindat, 326
- avasṛṣṭā ('ṣah) parā pata, 831
- avasphūrjad dhetih, 811
- avasphūrjan hetih (prahetih), 811
- avasyur ('yūr) asi duvasvān, 227
- avasyuvātā bhṛatī ('tīr) na (nu, tu)
śakvari ('rīh), 690
- avānyāns tantūn kurato dhatto anyān,
402
- avāham bādha upabhṛtā sapatnān
(dviṣantam), 703
- avidvānsaś cakṛmā kac canāgah, 417
- avimuktacakra ('krā) āsiran, 340, 454
- avīraghno ('ghnī) vīratarah ('tamah,
vīravatah) suvīrān (suśevān), 402
- avivṛdhat ('dhata) purodāśena, 694
- avivṛdhanta purodāśaih, 694
- avivṛdhetām purodāśena ('śaih), 694
- avo devasya sāsani, 222
- avyathamānā pr̥thivyām ('vī), 370,
849
- avyo (avyam) vāram vī dhāvati, 85,
509
- avyo (avyā) vāre ('raih) pari priyah
(^{yam}), 184, 387, 597, 711
- avyo (avyā) vārebhih parate madin-
tamah, 184
- avyo (avyā) vārebhir arṣatī (avyata),
184
- avyo (avyā) vāre mahiyate, 184
- avyo vāreṣv (avyū vārebhir) asmayuh,
184, 597
- avyo (avyā) vārāih paripūtah, 184
- avrato ('tam) hinoti na spr̥śad rayim
(^{yih}), 375
- āśastihū vṛjanam ('nā) rakṣamānah,
698
- āsmānam tanvam kṛdhi, 378
- āsmā bhavatu nās (te) tanūh, 378, 849
- āsmā bhava paraśur bhava, 378
- āśmeva tvam sthūrā ('ro) bhava, 769,
849

asmeva yuvām sthīrau bhavatam, 769
 āsrubhih pṛṣvām (pruś), 709
 āsvānām sadhastuti (*tiḥ), 413
 āsvinā gharṇam pātām (pibatam), 327
 āsvinā tvā pra vahatām rathena, 131
 āsvinādhvaryū, 136
 āsvinā pibatam (*tām) madhu (sutam), 327
 āsvinā bhiṣajāvatah (*tam, *ta), 327
 āsvināv adhvaryū, 136
 āsvināv eha gachata (*tām), 327
 āsvinendram na jūgrvi (*vi), 762, 815
 āsvināu tvā pra vahatām rathena, 131
 āsvināu dvyakṣarena (*kṣarayā), 808
 āsvibhyām dugdham bhiṣajā sarasvatyā (*ti), 216
 āśve na citre aruṣi, 332
 āśveva citrāruṣi, 332
 āṣṭabhyah (*yah śatebhyah) svāhā, 300
 āṣṭasthūno daśapakṣah, 388
 āṣṭāpakṣām daśapakṣām, 388
 āṣṭābhyah (*yah śatebhyah) svāhā, 300
 āṣṭau ca śatāni [samvatsarasya] muhūrtaṇ yān vadanti, 166
 āṣṭau śatā yān mītam tad vadanti, 166
 āṣṭrām tādam pratīnāhā (tālam pratīnāham), 753
 āsapatnāh kilābhuvam, 846
 āsapatnāh sapatnāhā, 846
 āsapatnāh pradīśo me bhavantu, 309
 āsapatnā kilābhuvam (*bhavam), 846
 āsapatnā sapatnaghnī, 846
 āsamātum grheṣu nah, 486, 671
 āsambādham badhyato mānavānām (*veṣu), 404, 623, 655, 674, 817
 āsambādha yā madhyato mānavebhyah, 404, 623, 655, 817
 āsammyṣto jāyase mātroh (*tṛvoh) śuciḥ, 254
 āsāv (asā) anu mā tanu, 768
 āsāv etat te vāsah, 692
 āsum (asu) rinann apah, 791
 āślyantyaī cānumatyaī ca svāhā, 182
 āsūrtā sūrtā rajasō vimāne, 454, 684
 āsūrte (*tā, *tāh) sūrte rajasī niṣatte (*tā, na sattā), 454, 684

aso yathā no 'vitā vṛdhe ca (vṛdhaś cit), 420
 asau yo 'vasarpati, 850
 asau yo vimanā janah, 703
 askan gām ṛṣabho yuvā, 700
 askannemā viśvā bhūtāni, 163
 askān ṛṣabho yuvā gāh, 700
 astam rūjeva satpatih, 711
 astā rūjeva satpatih, 711
 asti vy agnir narām (agnāh) suśevah, 252
 astṛto nāmāham . dyāvāpṛthivībhyām (*vyor), 644
 asthabhyah (asthibhyah) svāhā, 281
 asthi majjānam māsaraiḥ (*ram), 459, 694
 asthūn nau (no, no, nau) gūrhapatyāni, 771
 asmat su śapathān adhi, 724
 āsmaddūtā devatrī gachata madhumatih, 714
 āsmadrātā (*tā madbumatir, *ti) devatrī gachata (gacha), 714
 āsmabhyam su maghavan bodhi godāh, 619
 āsmabhyam gātuvittamāh (*mah), 739
 āsmabhyam dyāvāpṛthivī śakvarībhih, 327
 āsmabhyam indav indrayuh (indriyam), 16, 403
 āsmā indra mahi varcānsi dhehi, 639
 āsmān (*mān) u devā avatā haveṣu (bhareṣv ā), 155
 āsmākam santv āśiṣah, 91, 671
 āsmākam su maghavan bodhi godāh (gopāh), 619
 āsmākam kṛnmo harivo medinam evā, 378
 āsmākam abbūr haryaśva medi, 378
 āsmākena vṛjanenā jayema, 706, 799
 āsmāt tvam adhi jāto 'si, 40, 432
 āsmād vai tvam ajāyathā, 40, 380, 432
 āsmān u, see āsmān u
 āsmān aty abhy ojasā spardhamānā, 312
 āsmān devāso 'vatā haveṣu, 155
 āsmān dhehi, 723

- asmān punliḥ cakṛase, 724
asmān rāya uta yajñāḥ (*ñah) cacan-
tām, 112, 689
asmān sīte pṛyasābhyaḥnavṛtava, 312
asmāsu santv āḥṣah, 91, 671
asmāsv indra indriyam dadhātu, 723
asmīn kṣaye pratarām didyānah, 823
asmīn goṣṭhe karṣinī (*nah, puriṣi-
nī), 849
asmīnn indra mahi varcānsi dhehi, 639
asmīn brahmany (brahmann) as-
mīn karmany (karmann) , 276
asmīn yajñe yajamānīya sūrim, 488,
537
asmīn yajñe suhavam (*vā) johavīmī,
401
asmīn rāṣṭram adhi śraya, 705
asmīn have puruhūtah puruṣuḥ
(*kṣu), 842
asme indrābhaspati, 721
asme karmāne jātah, 738
asme kṣatrāni dhūrayer anu dyūn, 721
asme kṣatrāya varcase balāya, 566
asme te rāyah, 724
asme devāso vapuṣe cikitsata, 738
asme dyāvāpṛthivī bhūri vāmam, 721
asme dhātā vasavo vasūni, 721
asme dhārayatam (*tām) rayim, 721
asme prayandhi maghavann ṛjīṣin, 721
asme brahmāni dhārāya, 705
asme rājah, 724
asme rāṣṭrāni dhārāya (rāṣṭram adhi-
śraya), 705, 721
asme rāṣṭrāya mahi śarma yachatatam,
721
asme vām (vo) astu sumatis canīṣṭhā,
766
asmai indrābhaspati, 721
asmai karmāne jātah, 738
asmai kṣatrāni dhārayatam agne, 721
asmai dyāvāpṛthivī bhūri vāmam, 721
asmai dhātā vasavo vasūni, 721
asmai dhārayatam rayim, 721
asmai prayandhi maghavann ṛjīṣin, 721
asmai rāṣṭrāya mahi śarma yachatatam,
721
asmai sam datta bheṣajam, 835
asya kurmo (kulmo) harivo medinam
(ved*) tvā, 378
asya prānād apānatī (*tah), 447
asya rātau sutam piba, 519, 554
asyā janatāyāḥ śraṣṭhyāya svāhā, 146
asyā (*yām) nāryā (*yām) gavīnyoh
(*yām), 85, 672, 753
asyai janatāyāḥ śraṣṭhyāya, 146
asyai sam datta vīryam, 835
asyopasadyām mā chaitsit, 801
asyopasadye mā ṛjāmā, 801
asṛivayāś (*vīś, *vīś) chandah, 199
aham vājnin jayāmi vājasūtau, 704
aham vo asmi sakhyaḥ śevah, 314,
654, 849
aham tad asya manasā śivena, 469, 809
aham tam asya manasā gṛtēna (śi-
vena), 469, 809
aham dadhāmi dravinam (*nū) haviṣ-
mate, 704
aham prajā ajanayam pṛthivyām
(*vyāḥ, pītfnām), 671
ahar no atyapīparat, 724
ahar mātṛ apīparah, 724
ahū (ahar) mīmāno aktubhīh, 709
ahir jaghūna kim (kam) cana, 121, 810
ahir dadarśa kam cana, 121, 810
ahīh śayata upapṛk pṛthivyāḥ (*vyām),
91, 676
ahorātrayor vṛṣṭyā , 442
ahorātrayoh samdhībhyo jatūh, 756
ahorātrābhyām sam anaktv ariyam, 560
ahorātrās ca samdhijāḥ, 410
ahorātrās (*trāni) te kalpantām, 756,
828
ahorātre ūrvaṣṭiḥ , 442
ahorātre te (me) kalpetām, 756, 828
ahorātrās ca samdhībhyo (*trāh su-
samdhībhyo), 410
ahnah samdhībhyām jatūh, 756
ahnām ketur uṣasīm ety (esy) agram
(agre), 530
ahno rūpe (rūpena) sūryasya raśmīṣu
(*mībhih), 601
ahruto maho dharunīya devān (*vah),
402
ākūtīm devīm subhagām (manasah)
puro dadhe, 179
ākūtīm (*tām) agnim prayujam svāhā,
485
ākūtīm devīm manasā prapadye, 179

- ākūtyai prayuje'gnaye (agnaye) svāhā, 485
 ākrayāyā ayogūm (ākrayāyāyogūm, ākram), 782
 ākhuḥ kaśo mānthālas te pitṛnām, 252
 ākhum te rudra paśum karomi, 378
 ākhuḥ te paśuh (te rudra paśuh), 378
 ākhuḥ sṛjyā śayandakas te maitrāḥ (śayāṇdakās te maitrāḥ), 700, 779
 āgatya vājyadhvanam (adhvanah), 698
 āganta p.tarah aham yuṣmābhūr bhūyāsam suprajaso mayā yūyam bhūyāsta (vayam yuṣmābhūr bhūyāśma suprajaso yūyam asmābhūr bhūyāsta), 724
 āganma mitrāvarunā varenā (yam, varena), 350
 āgnir agra (am) uṣasām asoci, 530
 āgneyah kṛṣṇagrīvah, 768
 āgne yāhi suvidatrebhūr arvān (vāk), 404, 815
 āgneyau kṛṣṇagrīvau, 768
 ā gharṁ (mam) siṣca paya usriyāyāh, 103, 535
 ā gharṁ agnir itayann asādi (dit), 399
 ā gharṁ agnir amṛto na sādī, 399
 āghnānāḥ pāninorasi, 105, 545
 āgūṣānām avāśanta vānīh, 522
 āchettā te (vo) mā riṣam (riṣat, mār-
 sam), 714
 ājarasāya sam anaktv aryamā, 560
 ā jāgrvir vipra rta (rtam) matinām, 705
 ājyam uktham avyathāyāi (ya, tha-
 yat) stabhnātu (notu), 420, 797
 āndā śuṣṇasya bhedatī, 163
 ātir vāhaso darvidā te vāyave, 178, 424
 ā tiṣṭha mitravardhana (nah), 27, 323, 334
 ātī vāhaso darvidā te vāyavyāh, 178, 424
 ā te agna idhīmahi, 511
 ā te prānam suvāmasi, 382
 ā te vācam āśyā (ām) dadē, 553
 ātmann (many) evānupaśyati, 278
 ātmasado (dau) me stha (stam), 758
 ātmāsy ātmann ātmānam (ātmānātmā-
 nam) me mā hnsīh, 354
 ā tvāgna idhīmahi, 511
 ā tvā pariśritah (sṛtah, sṛutah) kum-
 bhah (bhāh), 412, 710
 ā tvā sakhāyah sakhyā vavṛtyuh, 389, 702
 ā tvā svo viśatām varnah, 720
 ā tvā hiraṇmayah kumbhah, 412
 ādakam (kān, kām) khādēna, 697
 ā dadhnaḥ kalāśair (śir, śam) aguh
 (etc), 412, 692, 787
 ād it prthivī gṛtair vy udyate, 380, 694
 ādityam viṣṇum sūryam, 697
 āditya prāyaścitte tvam devānām prā-
 yaścittir asi, 339
 ādityās ca me sāvitraś ca me, 740
 ādityas te vasubhūr ādadhātu, 412
 ādityān viṣṇum sūryam, 697
 ādityā rudrā upariśpṛso nah (spṛśam
 mā), 402, 511, 723
 ādityāś ca mā indraś ca me, 740
 ādityās tasmān no (mā) yūyam, 724
 ādityās tvā prabṛhantu jāgatena chan-
 dasā, 431
 ādityebhyas tvā pravṛhāmi jāgatena
 chandasā, 431
 ādityais te vasubhūr ā dadhātu, 412
 ād id gṛtēna prthivī vy udyate (vim
 vy ūduh), 380, 694
 ād im āśvam na hetārah (ram), 402
 ā devo yāti bhuvanāni paśyan (bhu-
 vanā vipaśyan), 165
 ā dhattām (tam) puṣkarasrajau (jā);
 131
 ā nah prajām janayatu prajāpatih, 702
 ānandam nandathunā (thubhyām),
 753
 ānandā modāḥ pramudah, 704
 ānando modah pramodah, 704
 ā na svo asūtām varnah, 720
 ānuṣṭubhasya chandaso 'gneḥ, 585
 ānuṣṭubhena chandasā chandasāgneḥ,
 585
 ānuṣṭubhena chandasā diśo 'nu vi
 krame, 527
 ānuṣṭubhena chandasā mitrāvarunā-
 bhyām, 585
 ā no goṣu viśatv auśadhīṣu (oṣ, ā
 tanūṣu), 724
 ā no jāne śravayatam yuvānā, 723
 ā no viśvāsu havyah (yam), 374
 āntrāni sthālir (li) madhu pinvamā-
 nāh (nā), 710

āpa undantu jivase (varcasā, varcase),
557

āpah pādyaḥ, 727

āpah prajāpatir yajño (prajāpateḥ
prānā) yajñasya bheṣajam [asi], 442

āpataye tvā tanūnaptre śākvarāya
śākvana (*tre śakmane śākvarāya
śakmann) oṃṣṭhāya, 655

ā pavasva madintama, 29

āpas tat sarvam jivalāḥ, 493

āpas tvā tasmā jivalāḥ, 493

āpāma manasā, 419

āpir no bodhi sadhamādyo (*dye)
vṛdhe, 456

āpo adyān acāriṣam, 261

āpo asmān (mā) mātaraḥ śundhayantu
(etc.), 724

āpo devīḥ prathamajā ṛtena (ṛtasya),
65, 580

āpo devīḥ svadantu (sad*) svāttam cit
sad devahaviḥ, 327

āpo mā, see āpo asmān

āpo me hotrāśansināḥ (*nyah), 804

āptam manah, 419

āptye sam nayāmasi, 645

āprnānau vijahatā arātim, 703

ābhur (ābhūr) asya niṣaṅgadhah
(*thih), 232

ābhūṣantas te (tvā) sumatau navāyām,
484

ābhyo yonibhyo adhi jātavedāḥ, 784

āmanasya devā (*va) yā striyah,
697

āmanasya devā (*va) ye putrāḥ (*āso)
, 159, 697

āmanasya devā (*va) ye saajātāḥ,
697

ā manasyām hrdayād adhi, 665

ā mā ganta pitaro viśvarūpāḥ, 327, 770

ā mā gantām (*tam) pitarā mātara ca
(yuvam), 327, 770

ā mā goṣu viśatv ā tanūṣu, 724

ā mā ghoṣo gachati vān māśm (vān na
śśām, vār nv āśām), 723

ā mā jane śravayatam yuvānā, 723

ā mātaraḥ sthāpayase jūgatnū, 769

ā mā roha mahate saubhagāya, 305

ā mā eucarite (*tād) bhaja, 664, 668

ā mā somo amṛtatvena (*tvāya) ga
myāt, 558

ā mitrāvarunā bhagam, 526, 749

ā mitre varune bhage, 526, 749

āmum naya namasā rātahavyam, 402

āmūr aja pratyāvartayemāḥ, 836

ā me graho bhavatv (grahā bhavantv)
ā puroruk, 692

ā me yantu, 724

ā yat tṛpan maruto vāvaśānāḥ (*nah),
737

āyantu nah pitarah somyāsah, 724

ā yāta pitarah somyāsah (*yāḥ), 154

āyātu yajñam upa no juṣānah, 739

āyātu varadā devī, 326

ā yā dyām (divam) bhāsy ā pṛthivīm
orv (urv) antarikṣam, 294

āyantu yajñam upa no juṣānāḥ, 739

āyāhi viraje devī, 326

ā yāhi śūra haribhyām (hariha, hari
iha), 353

ā yāhima indavah, 421

ā yāhy ayam indave, 421

āyuh kirtum yaśo balam, 337

āyuh kirtir varco yaśo balam, 337

āyukṛd āyuhpatnī svadhā vah, 769

āyum na yam namasā rātahavyāḥ, 402

āyur dadhānāḥ pratarāṃ naviyah, 823

āyurdā agne haviṣo juṣānah (haviṣā
vṛdhānah), 588

āyur brhat tad aśiya tan māvatu (mām
avatu), 304

āyur mayi dhehi, 640

āyur me dāh (dehi, dhattam, dhehi),
640

āyur me yacha (yachata), 640

āyur yajñapataye dhattam (yajñapa-
tau), 639

āyur yajñāya (yajñe) dhattam, 639

āyur vām śaradah śatam, 765

āyukṛd āyuhpatnī svadhāvantau (āyus
ṭad āyuhpatnī svadhāvah), 769

āyus ṭe śaradah śatam, 765

āyusmatīdam pari dhatsva vāsah, 22,
334, 849

āyusmatyū (*tya) ṛco mā gāta (māpa-
gāyā, mā satsi) tanūpāt (*pāḥ) sām-
nah (ṛco mā chantī), 210

- āyusmantam karota mā (*tu mām,
 kṛnota mā, karotu me), 305
 āyusmanto jarām upagachema devāh
 (jivūh), 725
 āyusmann idam pari dhatsva vāsah, 22,
 334, 849
 āyusmān jaradaṣṭir yathāsāni, 725
 āyusmān idam pari dhatsva vāsah, 22,
 334, 849
 āraṇyān (*yā) grāmyās ca ye, 397
 ā raśmīn (*mim) deva yamase (yuvase)
 svasvān (*vah), 402, 710
 ārāt te goghna uta pūruṣaghne, 83, 108,
 430, 667
 āruroha tve sacā (tvayy api), 316
 āre asman (asmin) ni dhehi tam, 668
 āre te goghnām uta pūruṣaghnām, 83,
 108, 430, 667
 āre śatrum (*rūn) kṛnuhi sarvavīram
 (*rah), 401
 ā roha mām mahate saubhagāya, 305
 ārohātātmanānam (ārohātmanātmā-
 nam) achā, 419
 ārtava adhipataya āsan, 697
 ārtavo 'dhipatir āsit, 697
 ālebhānād ṛṣṭibhūr yātudhānāt, 495, 703
 ā vatso jagatā (jāyatām, jagadaiḥ),
 saha, 700
 āvartanam nīvartanam, 550
 āvartane vivartane, 550
 ā vām prajām janayatu prajāpatih, 702
 āvir bhuvad (*vann) arunir yaśasā
 goh (gūvah), 448
 ā vivesāparājitām (*tā), 406
 ā śūsānah suvīryam (saumanasam), 849
 āśāsānā medhapatibhyām (*taye) med-
 ham, 765
 āśāsānā saumanasam, 849
 āśir na (ma, nā) ūrjam uta sauprajāst-
 vam (supra*), 724
 āśirdūyā dampatī vāmam āśnutām, 174
 āṣṭryām (*ri) padam kṛnute agnīdhāne,
 211
 āsanyān mī mantrīt pīhi (pāhi purā)
 kasyās cid abhiśastyāh (*yai svāhā),
 150
 āsthāpayata mītarām jagatnum, 769
 āsmāt sadhastād oror antarīkṣāt, 494
 āsya yajñasyodṛcāh, 494, 666
 āsyai brāhmanāh snapanir (*nam)
 harantu, 727
 ā haryato arjune (*no) atke avyata, 454
 idāyās (*āh) padam ghṛtavac carācaram
 (*vat sarisṛpam), 142
 idāyai sṛptam ghṛtavac carācaram, 142
 idā sarasvatī bhārati mahīh (*hi), 735
 idāsmān anu vastām ghṛtena, 772
 ide rante vīruti (*te), 180
 idaivāsmān (idaiva vām) anu vastām
 vratena (ghṛtena), 772
 ita indras tiṣṭhan vīryam akṛnod ,
 705
 ita indro akṛnod vīryāni (*dro vīryam
 akṛnot), 705
 ito mukṣiya mā pateh, 219
 ittham śreyo manyamānedam āgamaḥ,
 849
 itthā hi soma in made (*dah), 453
 idam śreyo manyamāno vā āgām, 849
 idam havir maghavā vetv indrah, 266
 idam tad āsya manasā śivena, 469, 809
 idam tam (tān) atī sṛjāmi tam (tān)
 mābhy avanīkṣi, 740
 idam tava prasarpanam (*nā), 799
 idam te tad vi śyāmy āyuso na mad-
 hyāt, 664, 832
 idam devo vanaspatih, 402
 idam agniṣomayoh, 618
 idam agneh, 618
 idam aham yo me (nas) samāno ,
 724
 idam aham rakṣasām (*so) grīvā api
 kṛtāmi, 703
 idam aham rakso 'bhi (rakṣobhiḥ)
 sam ūhāmi (dahāmi), 459
 idam aham senāyā abhītvaryai (*yā)
 mukham apohāmi, 612
 idam aham tam (tān) valagam (*gān)
 yam (yān) me (nah) , 703, 723
 idam aham amuṣyāmuṣyājanasyo
 prāne sūdayāmi, 552
 idam enam adharam karotai yo nah
 samāno yo 'samāno 'rātījati, 724
 idam brahma juṣasva nah (me), 724
 idam brahma pipṛhi saubhagāya, 690
 idāhna id āharam āśiya, 565

- iṣe rāye (rayyai) ramaśva sahaśe dy-
 umnāyorje 'patyāya (dyumna ūrjo
 apatyāya, dyumnāyorjapatyāya), 288,
 655
 iṣṭāpūrtam ama kṛnutāvīr ('tam kṛnu-
 tād āvir) asmai, 613, 751
 iṣṭāpūrte kṛnavāthāvir (kṛnavath°,
 kṛnutād āvir) asmai (asmūt), 613, 751
 iṣṭo agnir āhutaḥ pipartu (svāhūktah
 pipartu) , 329
 iha kṛnmo (kurmo, kul°) harivo medi-
 nam (ved°) tvā, 378
 iha tvā goparinasā ('sam), 476
 iha priyam prajāyū (prajāyai) te sam
 dhyaśm, 558
 iha mahyam nī yachatam, 644, 724
 ihāsmāsu ('smabhyam) nī yachatam,
 644, 724
 ihi tīro 'tī rocanāh, 799
 ihaiva kṣemya edhī mā prahūsīr ('sīn)
 , 304
 ihaiva tiṣṭha nimitā (nitarā), 382
 ihaiva dhruvām nī minomi śālām, 344,
 382
 ihaiva dhruvā (sthūne) prati tiṣṭha śāle
 (dhruvā), 344, 382
 ihaiva santah prati tad yātayāmah, 725
 ihaiva santah prati dadma enat, 725
 ihaiva san tatra sato vo agnayah, 691
 ihaiva san (saśs) tatra santam tvāgne,
 691
 ihaiva san niravadaye tat, 725
 idāno (idānā) vahnir ('nim) namasā,
 399, 737, 840
 idāśvā hi mahe ('hi) vṛṣan, 490
 idenyakratūr aham, 402
 isānam vāryānām, 739, 849
 isānam jagadaiḥ saha, 387
 isānā vāryānām, 739, 849
 isāno jagatas patih, 387
 isūyai ('yā) manyum rājānam, 152
 iṣamāna ('nā) upasprśah, 407
 ukthaśā yaja somānām ('masya), 693
 ukṣā gaur na vayo dadhuh, 399
 ukṣānam gām na vayo dadhat, 399
 ukṣā bībhartī bhuvanāni ('ṣā mimeti
 bhuvaneṣu) vājayuh, 528
 ukṣā samudro ('re) arunah (aruśah)
 suparnah, 456
 ukhām sravantīm agadām aganma
 (akarma), 840
 ukhām ('khā) svasāram adhī vedim
 asthāt, 399
 ukhāyāh ('yai, 'yām) sadane sve, 142,
 677
 ukhyam ('yān) hasteṣu bībhatah, 692
 ukhyasya ketum prathamam juṣānau
 ('nā), 134
 ugrampaśyā (ugram paśyāc) ca rāṣ-
 ṭrabhṛc ca tāni, 759
 ugrampaśye ugrajitau tad adya, 759
 ugraś ca bhīmaś ca, 849
 ugrā ca bhīmā ca, 849
 uta na enā pavayū pavasva, 174
 uta rūjīām uttamam mānavānām, 367
 uta vām uṣaso budhi ('dhih), 458
 uta śūdra utārye, 77, 654
 uta śravasā ('sa ā) prthivīm, 572, 705
 utārebhānān iṣṭibhir yātudhānān, 495,
 703
 utālabdham (utārabdhān) sprnuhī jāta-
 vedah, 718
 utūla parimīdho 'si, 357
 utemam ('māh) paśya, 740, 835
 uto te vṛṣanā (haritau) harī, 131
 utoditā ('tau) maghavan sūryasya, 221
 utodīcyām ('yā dīśo) vṛtrahan vṛtra-
 hāsi ('hañ chatruho 'si), 676
 utkūlanīkūlebhyaś trīṣṭhinam (utkūla-
 vikūlābhyām trīṣṭhinam), 756
 uttamam nūkam (uttame nāke) adhī
 rohayemam ('yainam, rohemam), 526
 uttamo asy oṣadhīnām, 84, 367, 835
 uttarasyām devayajyāyām upahūtah
 ('tā), 849
 uttānaparne subhage ('nām subhagām),
 346
 uttānāyām ('yai) śayūnāyām ('yai), 655
 uttānāyā ('yai) hrdayam yad vikastam
 (viliṣṭam), 622
 ut tiṣṭha (utthāya) brhatī ('han)
 bhava, 849
 ut parjanyasya dhūmabhiḥ ('mnā, ṣuṣ-
 mena, vṛṣṭyā, dṛṣṭyā), 705

- indrānamitram (*trān) nah paścāt
(*tram paścān me), 703, 724
- indrāya tvā bṛhadvate vayasvata uk-
thāyuve (*vam, ukthāvyam), 489
- indrāya tvā bhāgam somenātanacmi,
621
- indrāya bhāgam pari tvā nayāmi, 393,
623
- indrāya vācam vi mucyadhvam, 488
- indrāya somam suśutam bharantah
(*tiḥ), 849
- indrāsūrā janāyan viśvakarmā, 115, 749
- indrena dattam prayatam (dattām
prayatām) marudbhīh, 840
- indrena devāḥ (devān), 390
- indrena devīr (*vair) virudhah samvi-
dānāḥ, 416
- indrena devāḥ saratham turebhīh
(turena), 737
- indrena sayujō (*jā) vāyam, 416
- indremam prataram (*rām) kṛdhi
(naya), 823
- indro jyēsthānām (jyaisṭhyānām, *thy-
āya), 627, 706
- indro balam balapatir mayi dad-
hātu (yajamānāya dadātu), 641
- indro me (vah) śarma yachatu, 739
- indro vājam ajayit, 326
- indro viśvam virājati, 503
- indro viśvasya rājati, 503
- indro viśvābhīr ūtibhīh, 329
- imāḥ yajñam yajamānam ca sūrau,
488, 537
- imam yajñam avantu no ghṛtāciḥ
(avatu yā ghṛtāci, avatu no ghṛtāci),
690
- imam yajñam āsvinobhā bṛhaspatih,
329
- imam yajñam pitaro me juśantām, 724
- imam yajñam bṛhaspate 'śvinobhā, 329
- imam rātam sutam piba, 519, 554
- imam stomam juśasva me (nah), 724
- imam no deva savitah, 724
- imam adhvanam yam agāma dūrāt
(*ram), 498
- imam amum āmuṣyāyanam amuṣyāḥ
putram amuṣyāni viśy avagamayata,
145
- imam amuṣya (imam amum amuṣya)
putram amuṣyai (*yāḥ) putram asyai
viśe, 145
- imam indra vardhaya kṣatriyam me
(kṣatriyānām), 515
- imam indra sutam piba, 501, 693
- imam ū (u) ṣu tvam asmākam (ṣu tyam
asmabhyam), 621
- imam mā hiṁsīr ekaśapham (dvipādām)
paśum (paśūnām), 84, 515
- imam me deva savitah, 724
- imām rudrāya tavase kapardine, 690
- imām khanāmy ośadhīm (*dhīm), 192
- imān me (no) mitrāvarunau (*nā), 134,
724
- imā prānāpānau, 135
- imā brahma pīpīhi saubhagya, 690
- imā rudrāya tavase kapardine, 690
- ime catvāro rajaso vimānāḥ (*ne), 551
- ime ye dhṛṣṇyāso agnayo yathāsthānam
iha kalpatām, 155
- imau te pakṣāv (*ṣā) ajarau patatrīnau
(*nah), 447
- imau prānāpānau, 135
- iyam vedih paro antah pṛthivyāḥ, 32,
392
- iyam gaus tayā te krīnāni, 33, 418
- iyam te yajñiyā tanūḥ (*nuh), 232
- iyam te rān mitrāya (*io) yantāsi ya-
manah, 428
- iyam te śukra tanūr idam . . 232
- iyam teṣām avayā durīṣṭyai, 431
- iyam dig aditir yo maitasyai (*yā)
dīśo 'bhīdāsati, 149
- iyam duruktāt (*tam) paribādhamānā,
49, 80, 493
- iyam ośadhe (*dhi) trāyamānā, 342
- iyartī dhūmam aruṣam (*ṣo) bharibhrat,
401
- irām vahantah sumanasyamānāḥ, 263,
739, 849
- irām vahanto (*ti, vahato) ghṛtam
ukṣamānāḥ, 263, 739, 849
- iśa ekapadi, 361
- iśani no mitrāvarunā kartanedām, 694,
704, 749
- iśe tvā sumāṅgali prajāvatī susīme,
361

- upahūta ('tā) upahavam te (vo) 'śiya, 739
 upahūtā gāvah (dhenuh) saharṣabhāh ('bhā, saharṣ'), 700
 upahūteyam yajamānā, 849
 upahūto bhūyasi haviṣkarane, 849
 upahūto 'yam yajamānah (.), 849
 upastāvah kalaśah ('vāh kalaśāh) somo agnih (somadhānāh), 692
 upāsmān idā hvayatām saba . , 724
 upedam upaparcanam, 329
 upendra tava vīrye ('yam), 550
 uphehopaparcana, 329
 upo devān . . prāgur vahnīr ('naya) uśijah, 187
 ubhā kavī yuvānā (yuvā), 762
 ubhā rājānā ('nau) svadhayā madantā ('tau), 131
 ubhāv indrā ('ro) udīthah sūryas ca, 115, 449, 747
 ubhā hi hastā vasunā pṛnasva, 132, 704
 ubhe ca no (mā, cainam, cainam [na iti vā]) dyāvāpṛthivī . . , 724
 ubhobhayaivinn upa dhehi danṣṭrā ('rau), 131
 ubhau tām indra vṛtrahan, 715, 836
 urah paṭaurāv āghnānāh, 105, 545
 urukṣayāh saganā mānuṣāsah ('ṣeṣu), 455
 urudrapso viśvarūpa induh, 401
 uruvyacasao dhāmānā (uruvyacasāgner dhāmānā) patyamānāh ('ne), 416, 737, 766
 uruh san na nivartate, 849
 uro antarikṣa (uror antarikṣāt) sajūr devena vātēna, 362
 urv antarikṣam vihi, 402
 urvaśī ca pūrvacittīś cāpsarasau ('sā), 135
 urvīm gavyām paṇṣadam no akran, 831
 urvy antarikṣam vihi, 402
 ulena paṇṣito 'śi, 357
 u lokam u dre upa jānim īyatuh, 402
 ulo balikṣno vṛṣadanśas te dhātṛe ('tuh), 618
 uśasa śreyasī-śreyasīr (uśasah śreya-sih-śreyasīr) dadhat, 709
 uśām-uśām śreyasīm dhehy asmai, 709
 uśāsānaktāgnā ('gna, uśāsānaktā agna) ājyasya vītām, 136
 uṣo dadṛkṣe na punar yatīva, 326
 uṣnik (uṣniham, 'hā) chande indriyam, 399
 uṣnena vāya ('yav) udakenēhi ('naidhi, vāyur udakenet), 326
 ūrjam puṣṭam vasv āveśayantī, 704
 ūrjam mayi dhehi, 640
 ūrjam me dehī (dhattam, dhehi, ya-cha), 640
 ūrjasvatī rājasvas ('sūyāya, 'sūyās) citānāh, 492, 742
 ūrjasvatīr oṣadhīr ā rṇantām (viś'), 298
 ūrjā mitro varunah pīnvatedāh, 694, 704, 749
 ūrjo bhāgam pṛthivyā yāy ('vīm ety, 'vīm etv) āpṛnan, 509
 ūrjo mā pāhy odr̥cam, 81, 494
 ūrnamradasam(ūrṇā) tvā stṛnāmi (ūrnamradah prathasva, 'dam prathasva) svāsasthām ('sthām) deveb hyah, 382, 839
 ūrnamradā yuvatīr ('dāh pṛthivī) dakṣiṇāvate ('tī), 429
 ūrdhvam imam adhvaram divi , 401
 ūrdhvam enam . . , see ūrdhvām enām
 ūrdhvayā diśā (d°saha) yajñah , 604
 ūrdhvā ('vas) tiṣṭha dhruvā ('vas) tvam, 849
 ūrdhvā dik (diśām), [], 427, 438
 ūrdhvām enām ('vam enam) uc chraya-tāt (etc), 849
 ūrdhvāyām diśī yajñah , 604
 ūrdhvāyai tvā diśe bṛhaspataye , 427
 ūrdhvo adhvaram divi , 401
 ūrdhvo adhvaro asthāt ('dhvara āsthāt, 'dhvare sthāh, adhware sthāt), 457
 ūrdhvo adhvaro divispr̥k, 401
 ūrdhvo nah (mā) pāhy anhaso ('sah), 494, 724
 ūrdhvo mā pāhy odr̥cam, 81, 494
 ūrvam gavyam paṇṣadanto agman, 831
 ūrvān ('vam) dayanta gonām, 698
 ūrvy antarikṣam vihi, 402

ut sakthyā (*yor) ava gudam (gṛdam,
om ava) dbehi, 535
utso deva (*vo) hīranyayah, 336
udagrābhāsyā namayan vadhasnāḥ
(*num), 474
udapurā nāmāsy annena viṣṭā, 800
ud asthām amṛtān anu, 401
ud asthūmāmṛtā vayam (abhūma), 401
ud asmān uttarān naya, 721, 814
ud asya śuśmāḍ bhānur nārta (bhānor
nāvyaḥ), 435
udādaya pṛthivīm jivādānum (jiradā-
nuh, *num), 406
udīci dik (diśām), [], 427, 438
udīci dik somo 'dhipatīh svajo rakṣi-
tāsanir iṣavah, 427
udīcyām diśy āpa (udīcyā diśāpā, *yā
diśāpa, *yā diśā sahāpa) oṣadhayo
, 604
udīcyai tvā diśe somāyādhipataye sva-
jāya rakṣitre 'śanyā iṣumatyai, 427
ud irṣvātah pativatī (*ti) hy eṣā, 342
ud u tiṣṭha dhruvā tvam, 849
ud uttamam mumugdhī nah (mat), 612,
724
ud enam uttaram (*rām) naya, 721, 814
ud eṣām bāhū (*hūn) atīram, 756
udgātah sāmāni (sāma) gāya, 690
udgrābham (*bhaś) ca nigrābham
(*bhaś) ca, 389
ud divam (dyām) stabhāna, 294
uddhriyamāna ud dhara pāpmano mā
(mām), 305
udno dattodadhim bhīnta (dehy udad-
him bhūndhi), 502, 711
udyan bhrājabhṛṣṭibhir (*tir) indro
marudbhir , 416
ud rathānām jayatām jantu ghoṣāḥ
(*tām etu ghoṣāḥ), 706
ud virānām jayatām etu ghoṣāḥ, 706
un nambhaya pṛthivīm, 344
upa iṣabhasya retasī (yad retah), 550
upa jmann upa ietase, 526
upa te 'dhām sahamānām (sahīyasīm),
476, 488, 808
upa tvā kāmā Imabe sasrgmahe, 550
upa tvā kāmān mahah sasrgmahe, 550

upa devān prāgur uśijo vāhṇita-
mān (*gur vāhṇaya uśijah) 187
upa dyām upa vetasam, 526
upa no rājan sukrte hvayasva, 724
upa pra yāhi (jāta) divyāni dhāma
(*man), 271
upa preta marutah . viśpatinābhy
amum rājēnam, 219
upa brahmāni savanāni vṛtrahā (*han),
330
upamam (*mām) devatāstaye, 819
upa mām sakṣā bhakṣo hvayatām, 305
upa mā dikṣāyām dikṣāpatayo hvayad-
hvam, 697
upa mā (mām) dhenuh saharṣabbhā hva-
yatām, 305
upa mām divyāḥ sapta hotāro hvayan-
tām, 305
upa mā (mām) bṛhat saha divā hvaya-
tām, 305, 724
upa mā bhakṣah sakṣā hvayatām, 305
upa mā (mām) rathamtaram saha
pṛthivyā hvayatām, 305, 724
upa mā rājan sukrte hvayasva, 724
upa mā (mām) vāmadevyam sahānta-
rikṣena hvayatām, 305, 724
upa mā (mām) sapta hotrā hvayantām,
305
uparṣabhasya retasī, 550
upa vām jīhvā gṛham ācaranyat, 765
upaśrito (*śruti) divah pṛthivyoh, *see*
agne tvam sūktavāg
upasrjan (*jam) dharunam mātaram
dharuno dhayan, 769
upasrjan (upa srjam) dharunam mātṛe
dharuno mātaram (*tṛe mātaram
dharuno, *tṛe mātara dharuno) dha-
yan, 769
upa stuhi (snuhi) tam nṛmānām (nṛma-
nām) athadrām, 406
upasthāvarābhyo dāśam (*ribhyo bai-
[n]dam), 296
upasthāśānām mitravād astv ōjah, 449,
844
upasthāśā mitravatīdam ōjah, 449, 844
upasthe te devy adite 'gnim, 331
upa snuhi, *see* upa stuhi, 406

etasya tvam prajānaya, 513
 etā asadan sukṛtasya loke, 770
 etā u tyāh praty adṛśan purastāt, 849
 etā (etāni) te aghnye ('niye, 'ghnye)
 nāmāni, 168
 etāni vah pitaro vāsānsi, 692
 etāvati mahinā (mahimnā) sam bah-
 hūva, 273
 etiiv asadatām, 770
 etāvān asya mahimā, 705
 eti saumanaso bahuh, 795
 etu tisro 'ti rocanā, 799
 ete te vāyo (vāyavah), 773
 etena rudrāvasena , see etat te rud-
 rāvasam
 ete nānuvaśaṭkṛtāh, 407
 ete pūtā vipaścitah, 155
 ete vām agni samidhau tābhyām vard-
 hethām , 769
 etau te vāyū, 773
 ed u madhvo ('dhor) madintaram, 244
 edhamānah ('nāh, 'na, 'nā) sve (sva-)
 grhe (vāse), 725, 849
 enam kumāras tarunah, 835
 enam parisrutah kumbhyā, 412, 789, 835
 enaś (enānsi) cakṛmā vayam, 703
 enaś cakṛvān mahi baddha eṣām, 439
 enūhnedam ahar aśīya svāhā, 565
 eno mahac cakṛvān baddha eṣa, 439
 endrasya jātḥare ('ram) viśa, 526
 ebhyo yonibhyo adhi jātavedāh, 784
 emam panthām arukṣāma, 283
 emām kumāras tarunah, 835
 emām anu sarpatā, 765
 emām parisrutah kumbhah, 412, 789,
 835
 evam garbham dadhūmi te 'sau (dad-
 hātu te, dadhūmi te), 379
 evam tam garbhām i dhehi, 379, 403
 evam tvam garbham i dhatsva, 379,
 403
 evam asyām sūtīkṣyam, 649
 evam asyāi suputrīyāi jagrata, 649
 evam aham (mām) āyusā (mām med-
 hayū) , 380
 evam mām brahmacārīnah, 305
 evam mā śrīdhātārāh, 305
 evam mā sakhāyo brahmacārīnah, 305

evā te dhriyatām garbhah, 379
 evā trināmann ahṛniyamāṇah ('nāh),
 739
 evā tvam daśamāsyā, 329
 evā dadhāmi te garbham, 379
 evā mā brahmacārīnah, 305
 evā mām , see evāsmān
 evā me astu dhānyam, 724
 evāyam daśamāsyah, 329
 evāsmākedam dhānyam, 724
 evāsmān (evā mām) indro varuno
 bṛhaspatih, 312, 724
 evā hīndra ('ram), 352
 eṣa te kāmā, 809
 eṣa te janate rājā, 698
 eṣa te manyo, 809
 eṣa te vāyo, 773
 eṣa net tvad apacetayātāi, 713
 eṣa vasūni pibdanā ('nah), 842
 eṣa vas tadvivācanah (vah sadvivāca-
 nam), 830
 eṣa vo janatā rājā, 698
 eṣa vo deva savitah somah, 740
 eṣā te agne samit tayā (tayā tvam)
 vardhasva cā ca pyāyasva, 769
 eṣā te śukra tanūr etad , 232
 eṣā tvā pātu nūrter upasthāt (nūrtyā
 upasthe, prapathe purastāt), 184, 663
 aidi ('do) me bhagavatya ajanisṭhā
 (bhagavo 'jan") maitrīvarunī ('nah),
 849
 o cit sakhāyam sakhyā vavṛtyām, 389,
 702
 ojase balāya tvodyache vṛṣane śuṣmū-
 jāyuse varcase (vṛṣne śuṣmāya), 272
 ojusvantam mām āyusmantam varcas-
 vantam (mām sahasvantam) , 378
 ojasān aham (ojasvy aham, ojasṭho
 ham) manuṣyeṣu bhūyāsam, 378
 ojasṭhas carsanisahām ('hān), 516
 ojiyo rudras tad asti, 342
 ojo na jūtī ('tim) ṛṣabho (vṛṣ") na
 bhāmam, 389
 ojo mayi dhehi (me dāh), 641
 om ukthasā yaja somasya, 693
 orv antarikṣam, 494
 ośadhayah pratī grbhñita (grbhñitāg-
 nim etam, grbhñitāgnim etam), 193

ulena parimīdho 'si, 357
 ūlo halikṣṇo vṛṣadāṣas te dhātuh, 618
 ūvadyam vātam ('tāt) sabvam tad
 ārūt, 495
 ūgā dadṛṣe na punar yativa, 326
 ṛksāmābhyām yajuṣā samtarantah
 ('bhyām samtaranto yajurbhih), 690
 ṛg vaśā dakṣiṇā ('nāh) piyūṣah, 696
 ṛcā yāmi maruto brahmanaspatim ('te),
 28, 343
 ṛjīṣi śavasas pate ('tiḥ), 336
 ṛnak sapatnār adharūṣ ca kṛvat, 850
 ṛtam vadanto anṛtaḥ rapema, 705
 ṛtam nātyeti kim (kaś) cana, 810
 ṛtaye stenahṛdayam, 182
 ṛtavo 'dhipataya āsan, 697
 ṛtasya garbhah (dhāman, 'ma) pra-
 thamā vyūṣuṣi, 457
 ṛtasya tvā vyomane ('mne grhnāmi),
 272
 ṛtasya dhāmno amṛtasya yoneh, 497
 ṛtasya padam ('de) kavayo nī pānti,
 555
 ṛtasya brahma prathamota jajñe, 796
 ṛtasya yonā mahiṣā aheṣata, 221
 ṛtasya yonim mahiṣasya dhārām, 497
 ṛtasya yonau mahiṣā agṛbhnan (ahm-
 van), 221
 ṛtān mā muñcatānhasah, 724
 ṛtā vadanto anṛtam rapema, 705
 ṛtāvāri yajñiye mā (nah) punītām, 724
 ṛtāvānā ('no) jane-jane, 766
 ṛtubhiṣ tvārtavaiḥ (ṛtubhyas tvārta-
 vebhyah), 566
 ṛtur janitrī tasyā apas (apasas) pari,
 519
 ṛtūṣ ca me vratam ca me, 709
 ṛtūn pṛṣṭibhiḥ (pṛṣṭi'), 188
 ṛtena nah (mā) pāhi, 724
 ṛtena (rte 'va) sthūnām ('nāv, 'nā)
 adhī roha vanśa ('śah), 337, 603, 740,
 788
 ṛtyai stenahṛdayam, 182
 ṛbhukṣanam ṛbhum (ṛbhum ṛbhukṣano)
 rayim, 350
 ṛsabhā janayanti ('tu) nau (nah), 771
 ṛsabhāya gavayī ('ya rājñe gavayah),
 779

ṛsabheṣa gāh, 390
 ṛsabho gaur vayo dadhuh, 399
 ṛṣayah (ṛpyantu), 373
 ṛṣayas tvā varinū (varimnā) pra-
 thantu, 273
 ṛṣibhiḥ sambhṛtam rasam ('to rasah),
 387
 ṛṣir bodhah prabodhah, 750
 (om) ṛṣiṣ tarpayāmi, 373
 ṛṣi bodhapratibodhau, 750
 eka eva rudro (eko hi rudro, eko rudro)
 na dvitīyāya tathe (tasthuh, etc ;
 rudro 'va tathe na dvitīyah), 431
 ekapadī ('im) dvipadī ('im) tripadī
 ('im) , 374
 ekapādām dvipādām tripādām , 374
 ekam iṣe (iṣa), 361
 ekaviṁśa ṛbhavaḥ stutam ('tāh), 402
 ekaśapham asṛjyata ('phāh paśavo
 'sṛjyanta), 727
 eko rudro (hi rudro) , see eka eva
 rudro
 eny abnah (abne), 618
 eta u tye praty adṛṣan, 849
 etam yajñam pitaro no juṣantām, 724
 etam sadhastha ('thāh) pari te (vo)
 dadāmi, 698
 etat te kūma, 809
 etat te tata ('tāssu) ye ca tvām anu
 (tvānu), 307
 etat te tatāmaha svadhā ye ca tvām
 anu, 307
 etat te pitāmaha prapitāmaha (pitā-
 maha, 'hāssu) ye ca tvām anu
 (tvānu), 307
 etat te pratatāmaha svadhā ye ca tvām
 anu, 307
 etat te prapitāmabāsau ('pitāmaha) ye
 ca tvām anu (tvānu), 307
 etat te manyo, 809
 etat te rudrāvasam tena (etena rudrā-
 vasena) paro mūjavato 'tihi, 418
 etat tvā deva savitar , 815
 etad vah pitaro vāśah ('sa ādhatta), 692
 etam te deva savitar , 809
 etam tvam prajanaya, 513
 etan no gopāya, 724
 eta pitarah somyāśah, 154

- ketah saketaḥ suketas (k° suk° sak°) te
na ādityā ājyam (haviṣo) juṣāṇā
(ādityā juṣāṇā asya haviṣo) viyantu
(viantu .), 501
ketum kṛtvāne (ketumati) ajare bhūri-
retasā ('sau), 133
keṣv antaḥ puruṣa ā viveṣa 526
ko asyā dhāma katidhā vyuṣṭih, 269,
705
ko vo yunakti sa vo yunaktu, 488, 739
ko vo vi muṣcati, 488, 739
kośa iva pūrṇo vasunā, 387
kośam na pūrnam vasunā nyṣṣam, 387
kyāmbūr atra roḥatu (jāyatām), 801
kratum rihanti madhunābhy (madhvā-
bhy) añjate, 243
kratum dadhukrā ('rām) anu samtavit-
vat (samsanīgyadat), 406
kratvā varīṣṭham vara āmurim uta, 179,
557
kratve vare sthemany āmurim uta, 179,
557
krāpā sindhūnām kalaśān avivaśat, 419
kṣatram dhārāya, 705
kṣatram agne suyamam astu tubhyam,
411
kṣatrasya tvā paraspāya brahmapas-
tanvam pāhi, 152, 708, 801
kṣatrāni dhārāya, 705
kṣatrenāgne suyamam astu tubhyam,
411
kṣayadvira ('rāya) sumnam-asme te
astu, 30, 359
kṣayadvirāya pra bharāmahe matih
(°tim), 690
kṣayantam rādhaso mahah (rādhaso
mahe, śavase mahe), 70, 628
kṣāmad devo 'ti duritāny (devo atidu-
ritāty) agnih, 165
kṣāman ('mā) ruruca uśaso na bhānūnā
(ketunā), 547, 745
kṣipraśyenāya ('nasya) vartikā, 618
kṣīreṇa pūrṇā ('nān) udakena dadhṇā,
21, 385
kṣutpipāsābhyām ('sāya) svāhā, 115,
751
kṣutpipāsāmalā ('lam) jyeṣṭhāh
(°ṣṭham), 738
kṣetrasya ('rānām) pataye namah, 698
kṣettriyāt tvā nirṭyā jāmiśansāt, 150
kṣettriyai tvā nirṭyai tvā, 150
kṣajāpo 'jopakāśinih, 207
ganān ('nā) me mā vi tīṭṣah ('sat,
tṛṣan, vy arīṣah), 380, 419, 459, 513
ganair mā-mā vi tīṭṣata, 419, 459, 513
gandharvo dhāma paramam guhā yat
(dhāma vibhṛtam guhā sat, nāma
nīhitam guhāsu), 599
gamad indram ('ro) vṛṣā sutah ('tam),
389
gambhīrebhīh ('raih) pathibhūh pūrve-
bhīh ('vinebhūh, 'vyaih, pūryānaih),
169
garbhā iva (ivet) subhṛto (sudhṛto)
garbhiniḥbhīh ('niṣu), 607
garbham jonyām aśvināsyām, 130
garbham sravantam ('tim) agadam
(°dām) akah (akarma), 840
garbham te aśvinau devau (aśvinobhā,
aśvināv ubhau), 130
garbham dhattam svastaye, 702
garbham aśvatary asahāsau ('taryā
iva), 449
garbhah samjāyase punah, 457
garbhān dhattam svastaye, 702
garbhe sañi (sañ, sam°) jāyase punah,
457
garbho jarāyuh pratidhuk piyūṣah,
793
garbho yas te yajñiyah, 447
gavā te krināni, 33, 418
gahanam ('ne) yad inakṣat, 453
gā aśvān puruṣān paśūn, 388, 700
gātum ('tu) yajñāya gātum ('tu) yaj-
ñapataye, 791
gātrāṇām te gātrabhājo ('bhāg) bhū-
yāsma ('yāsam), 725
gāthayaiti pariṣṛtam ('tā), 838
gām aśvam puruṣam jagat (paśum,
aśvān puruṣān aham), 388, 700
gāyatram vatsam anu tās ta āguh, 852
gāyatram chando anu samrabhadhvam,
690, 852
gāyatram asi, 848
gāyatrasya chandaso 'gneh , 585
gāyatri ('rim) chanda indriyam, 399

- oṣadhayah prati modadvham [enam]
 (°dhvam agnim etam), 193
 oṣadhayah prācucyavuh, 193
 oṣadhibhyah (namah), 192
 oṣadhīh prati modadvham, 193
 oṣadhīh prācucyavuh, 193
 oṣadhibhyah (namah), 192
 oṣadhibhyah paśave no (paśubhyo me)
 janāya (dhanāya), 700, 724
 oṣadhīr uta vīrudhah, 488
 oṣadhyā vaiśnave sthah, 212, 296
 kam svid garbham prathamam dadhra
 āpah, 809
 lakup (°kuc) chanda ihendriyam, 399
 lakubham (kakuham) rūpam vṛṣabha-
 sya (rūpam ṛṣ) rocate bṛhat (bṛhan),
 842
 lakubham chanda ihendriyam, 399
 lakṣvantam ya auśīyah (°jam), 397
 kati dhāmāni kati ye vivāsāh, 269, 705
 kanyakumārī (°rī, °ryai) dhīmahī, 361,
 431
 kapota (°tā) ulūkah śaśas te nirṛtyai
 (nairṛtāh), 126, 424, 700
 karkandhu jajñe madhu sārāgham
 mukhāt (mukhe), 78, 98, 660
 karnābhyām śrotam (°re), 774
 kalpatām me yogakṣemah, 724
 kalpantām āpa oṣadhayah (°dhīh),
 192
 kavī devau pracetasau (°sā), 135
 kavīn prchāmī vidmane (vidvano) na
 vidvān, 487, 741
 kas tam indra tvāvasum (°so), 350
 kas te yunakti, 488, 739
 kas te vi muñcati, 488, 739
 kas tvā yunakti sa tvā yunaktu (°ti,
 tvā vi muñcatu), 488, 739
 kas tvā vi muñcati sa tvā vi muñcati
 (°tu), 488, 739
 kasmai deva vaśad astu tubhyam, 840
 kasya nūnam parinasah (°sī), 679
 kah svid prthivyai varṣīyān, 812
 kā (kāv, kim) ūrū pādā (°dāv) ucye-
 te, 776
 kātyāvanāya (°nyai) vidmahe, 782
 kāmam (°mah, °mas) samudram ā viśa
 (viveśa, viśat), 399
 kāma kāmam (kāmam-kāmam) ma (mā)
 āvartaya, 352
 kāmāya tvū gṛhnāmī (prati gṛh°), 67,
 558
 kāmēna kṛtāh (°ta) śrava ichamūnah,
 336
 kāmēna tvā prati gṛhnāmī, 67, 558
 kāmēna mā (me) kāmā āgan (āgāt), 48,
 71, 478
 kāmaitat te, 809
 kāmīyāsi (kāmīye), 339
 kārṣīr (kārṣī) asi, 178
 kālēna (kāle ha, kālō ha) bhūtam bha-
 vyam ca, 419
 kāv ūrū , see kāv ūrū
 kāv (kim) svid āśīt pilippilū (pīṣāṅgilā,
 pūrvacittīh), 812
 kim svid prthivyai varṣīyah, 812
 kim svid antah puruṣa ā viveśa, 526
 kim svid āśīt , see kāv svid
 kim svid garbham prathamam dadhrur
 āpah, 809
 kim ūrū , see kāv ūrū
 kīyāmbv atra rohātu, 801
 kuvayam ca me 'kṣitīś (°tam) ca me,
 706, 795
 kuhvā aham devayajyayā puṣṭimān
 paśumān (puṣṭimatī paśumatī) bhū-
 yāsam, 849
 kuhvai (°ve) trayo 'runaitāh, 237
 kṛnuta dhūmam vṛṣanah sakhāyah, 350
 kṛnutam puṣkarasrajā, 131
 kṛnutām tāv adhvarā jātavacassu, 769,
 791
 kṛnota dhūmam vṛṣanam sakhāyah, 350
 kṛnotu so adhvarāṇī (°rā) jātavedāh,
 769, 791
 kṛtāni kartvāni ca (kṛtāni jā ca kartvī),
 163
 kṛtān nah pāhy anhasah (enasah), 724
 kṛte yonau (kṛto yonir) vapateha bījam
 (vījam), 37, 450
 kṛtyākṛtām (°to) valaginam (°nah), 518
 kṛtāpacyūs (°yam) ca me 'kṛtāpacyūs
 (°yam) ca me, 113, 727
 kṛṣnagrīva āgneyo rarūte (lalāṭe) pur-
 astāt, 768
 kṛṣnagrīvā āgneyah, 768

- ghṛtena tvam tanvam (°nuvo) vard-
hayasva, 474, 588, 708
ghṛtena dyāvāpṛthivī prornuvāthām
(°nvātām, °nuvātām), 327
ghṛtena dyāvāpṛthivī vy undhi (un-
dan), 694
ghṛtena no (mā) ghṛtapvah (°puvah)
punantu, 724
ghṛtenāktau paśūns (°śum) trāyethām,
700
ghṛtenārkam abhy arcanti vatsam, 47,
465, 690
ghnatā (ghnanto) vṛtrāny aprati, 416
cakṣma yac ca duṣṛtām (°ma yāni
duṣṛtā), 703
cakṣur akṣnoh, 281
cakṣur ā dhattam akṣyoh (akṣnoh), 281
cakṣur no (me) dhehi cakṣuḥ, 724
cakṣur mayi dhehi (me dāh, me dehi,
me dhehi), 640
cakṣur yad eṣām manasaś ca satyam,
444
cakṣuś cit sūrye sacī, 474, 550
cakṣuṣa eṣām manasaś ca samdhau, 444
cakṣuṣah (°ṣā) pitā manasaś hi dhīrah,
585
cakṣuṣā sūryam dṛśe, 474, 550
cakṣuḥ tvā (vām), 765
caturakṣau pathurakṣī (pathuṣadī)
nṛcakṣasau (°sā), 131
catuḥśukhandā (°de) yuvatīh (°tī)
supeśāh (°patnī, kanīne), 765
catuṣṭomo (°mam) abbavad (adadhāh)
yā turīyā, 378
catuṣpadām (°pāda) uta yo (ca, ye)
dvīpadām (°pādah), 445
catuṣtrīṣat tantavo ye vi tatnīre, 380
catvāri śrīgā (°gāa) trayo asya pādāh,
793
candravapayor medasām anu brūhi
(°sām preṣya), 694
candrena jyotiṣ amṛtam dadhānāh
(°nā), 114, 735
candraiṣ (°dro) yāti sabhām upa, 417
cami mā , see jāmun mā
caraty ananuvratā, 404, 817
caritās te sundhāmī, 380
cāṣena lūkidivnā (°vyā), 218
cittaye (°tṭyai) svāhā, 182
cittasya mātā subavā no astu, 18, 399,
723
citrabhānum (°nū) rodasī antar urvī,
397
citrā citram (°rām) asūt, citrās citrā
(°rān) asuvan, 849
codad rādha upastutaś (°tam) cid
arvāk, 402, 842
chandasā hansah śuciśat, 690
chandavatī (chandahpakṣe) uṣasā
(°sau) pepīśāne, 133
chandānsi (°do) gacha svāhā, 690
chandobhir yajñāni sukrītam kṛtena,
580, 704
chandobhir hansah śuciśat, 690
jagatām (°tas) pataye namah, 700
jagatī (°tīm) chanda indriyam
(ihendr°), 399
jagatī tvā chandasām avatu (chanda-
sāvatu), 583
jagatyannam (°ty enam) vikṣv ā veśa-
jāmāh (°yāni, °yāni), 29, 354
jaghāna vṛtram jatir na, 742
jajñānam (°nah) sapta mātaraḥ
(°trbhūh), 390, 419
janam ca mitro jatati bruvāna¹, 698
janāya juṣṭo adruhe (°bah), 616
janāya (°nāso) vṛktabarhiṣe (°ṣah), 427,
698
jambhābhyaṁ (jambhyais, °bhyebhūh)
taskarān (°rān) uta, 171, 754
jayanta upaspr̥ṣatu, 326
jayantam tvānu devā madantu (tvām
anu madantu devāh), 306
jayantīnām maruto yantv agram (°re,
jantu madhye), 530
jayantopa spr̥ṣa, 326
jaradaṣṭum kṛnomi tvā (°ṣir bhavi-
ṣyasi), 378
jarāmṛtyum kṛnutām samvidānau
(°ne), 847
javam jāñghābhyaṁ (°bhūh), 754
jāgataṁ asi (jāgatāsi, jāgato °si), 848
jāgatasya chandaso 'gneh (°tena chan-
dasā chandasāgneh) , 585
jāgatenā chandasā divam anu vi krame,
527

gāyatrī (°tri) chandasām mātā (°tah), 326
 gāyatrīm chandāṅsy anu samrabhan-
 tām, 690, 852
 gāyatrī trīṣṭub jagatī virāt, 399
 gāyatrī tvā chandasām avatu (°sā-
 vatu), 583
 gāyatrīm trīṣṭubham jagatīm anuṣṭu-
 bham (°tīm virājam), 399
 gāyatrena chandasāgninā (chandasā
 chandasāgneś), 585
 gāyatrena chandasā pṛthivīm anu vi
 krame, 527
 gāyatro 'si (gāyatri asī), 848
 gāyatriyāi (°yā) gāyatram, 150
 gārhapatyah (°yāt) prajāyā (°jāvān)
 vasuvittamah, 437
 girah śumbhanty atrayah, 474
 girah somah (gira stomān) pavamāno
 manīṣāh, 403
 girin plāśibhiḥ (°bhyām), 754
 gireh pravartamānakah (°rer avaca-
 rantikā), 832
 giro vardhanty atrayah, 474
 gīrbhur viprah (°rāh) pramatim icha-
 mānah (°nāh), 695
 gīrbhūh śumbhanty atrayah, 474
 grnānā (°no) jamadagninā, 765
 grhānām asamartyai, 486, 671
 grhān naḥ pitaro datta, 724
 gopā nah stha rakṣitārah (gopā me
 stam), 758
 gopāyamānam (°naś) ca mā rakṣamā-
 nam (°naś) ca , 811
 gopāya mā (mām) śevadhū te (°dhūṣ te,
 śreyase te) 'ham asmi, 305
 gopīthāya vo nārāṣṭaye, 182
 gopoṣam ca me (no, °ṣam no) virapo-
 ṣam ca yacha (dhehi), 724
 goptryo me stha, 758
 gomad ū ṣu nāsatyā (nāsatyā [a°]), 135
 gaur āsvah puruṣah paśuh, 388, 700
 gaurīr (gaur in, gaurī) mīmāya salilāni
 takṣatī, 206
 grāmam (°mān) sajānayo (°jātayo)
 gachanti (yanti), 698
 grāvacyuto dhīṣanayor upasthāt, 664,
 769

grāvāvādīd (grāvā vaded) abhi soma-
 syāñśum (°śunā), 468
 grīvāyām (°vāsu) baddho apīkakṣa
 āsanī (apīpakṣa āsan), 275, 711
 grīṣma ṛtuh (ṛtūnām), 438
 grīṣmena tvartunā (°nām) haviṣā dikṣa-
 yāmi, 84, 581
 grīṣmo dakṣinah (°ṣam) pakṣah (°sam),
 791
 gharṁam śocantah (°ta, °tam) prava-
 neṣu (pranaveṣu) bibhratah, 402
 gharṁam śrinantu (°ti) prathamāya
 (°masya) dhāsyave (°seh), 623
 gharṁam apātam āsvinā , 327
 ghṛtam vasānah pari yāsi nirṇijam,
 694
 ghṛtam vasāno ghṛtapṛṣṭho agne, 329
 ghṛtam duhānādītir (°hānām aditīm)
 janāya, 387
 ghṛtapratikā (°ke) bhuvanasya mad-
 hye, 765
 ghṛtapratiko ghṛtapṛṣṭho agne (°nih),
 329
 ghṛtapruṣā manasā (madhunā) havyam
 undan (manasā modamānāh), 739
 ghṛtavatīm adhvaryo (°yoh) srucam
 āsyasva, 363
 ghṛtavatī savitar (°tur) ādhīpatye
 (°yāih), 31, 363, 602, 705
 ghṛtasya kulyā upa, 698
 ghṛtasya kulyām anu , 698
 ghṛtasya dhārām mahīṣasya yonum, 497
 ghṛtasya vibhrāṣṭim anu vaṣṭī śociṣā
 (anu śukraśociṣah), 591
 ghṛtasyāgne tanvā sam bhava, 474, 588
 ghṛtāci stho dhuryau pātam, 212
 ghṛtācy asī juhūr (dhruvā) nāmṇā
 (°ma), 471
 ghṛtācy asy urābhṛn nāmṇā (°ma), 44,
 471
 ghṛtācyau stho yajamānasya dhuryau
 pātam, 212
 ghṛtād ulluptam (°to) madhumat su-
 arnam (°mān payasvān, madhunā
 samaktam), 850
 ghṛtā vasānah pari yāsi nirṇijam, 694
 ghṛtāhavana (°nam) idyah (°yam), 387
 ghṛtāhavano ghṛtapṛṣṭho agniḥ, 329

- tatrāpi dahre (*ram) gaganam viśakah
(*kam), 454, 829
- tatrāhatās trīni śatāni śaṅkavah, 164
- tatrartam (*tān) prastutyevopastu-
tyevopāvasrakṣat, 700
- tatvāya savitā dhīyah (*yam), 690
- tad agnir anumanyatām ayam (iyam
svāhā), 840
- tad anu preta sukrām u lokam, 704,
809
- tad antāt prthivyā adhi, 495, 698
- tad ayam rājā varuno 'numanyatām,
402, 842
- tad aśyāma tava rudra pranītau
(*tiṣu), 705
- tad aśvinā pari dhattam svasti, 480, 809
- tad astabhñā uto divam (uta dyām),
292
- tad astu tubhyam id ghṛtam, 309
- tad asmān pātu viśvatah, 850
- tad asme śam yor arapo dadhātana,
313, 318a, 639
- tad abam manase prabravīmi, 809
- tad āpah sa (āpas tat) prajāpatih, 113,
730
- tad āsata ṛṣayah sapta sākam, 519, 815
- tad āharantī kavayah purastāt, 416
- tad idam rājā varuno 'numanyatām,
402, 842
- tad viprīso vipanyavah (*yuvah), 229
- tanā kṛnvanto arvate, 488
- tanūnapre śakmane śākvarāya śak-
manā oṣiṣhāyā, 655
- tanūnapre śākvarāya śakmann (śak-
vana) oṣiṣhāyā, 655
- tanūr me tanvā saha, 611
- tanūs tanvā (*nuvā) me saha, 611
- tanūs tanvām me bhaved antah, 611
- tantum tatam peśasā samvayanti, 405
- tam te grhñāmy uttamam, 714
- taṃ te grhñāmi yajūyāh ketubhih
saha, 486
- tam te badhñāmi jarase svastaye, 850
- tam te badhñāmy āyuse , 850
- tam te vi śyāmy āyuso na madhyāt (nu
madhye), 664, 832
- tam te harāmi brahmanā, 486
- tam tvā girah suśrutayo vūjayanti, 411
- tam tvā pra viśāmi , 815
- taṃ tvābhīh suśrutubhir vūjayantah,
411
- tam tvā bhrātaraḥ suvṛdhā (*dho,
suhṛdo) vardhamānam, 413
- tam tvā harāmi brahmanā, 486
- tam (tat) tvendragraha prapadye (pra-
viśāmi) , 815
- tam devās sam acik[pan], 419, 840
- tam devebhyah (*veṣu) paridādūmi
(*mi vidvān), 72, 644
- tan na indro varuno brhaspatih, 724
- tan nah prabūrhi nārada, 724
- tan nas trīyatām tanvah sarvato (tan
no viśvato) mahat, 708, 724
- tan nah samskr̥tam, 771
- tan no astu try°, see tan me astu try°
- tan no gopāya, 724
- tan no danti (*tiḥ) pracodayāt, 214
- tan no brahmā (*ma) pracodayāt, 796
- tan no varuno rājā, 724
- tan nau samvananam kṛtam, 419
- tan nau samskr̥tam, 771
- tan ma ācakṣva nārada, 724
- tan ma (mā) āpyāyatām punah, 488
- tan ma indro varuno brhaspatih, 724
- tan mā devā avantu śobhāyī, 45, 487,
815, 818
- tan mā puṣi kartary erayadhvam, 815
- tan mā mā hīṣat parame vyoman, 850
- tan māvatū (mām avatū), 304
- tan mūvit (mūm āvit), 304
- tan mṛtyunā (*yur) nīrtih (*tyā) sam-
vidvān (*nah), 412
- tan me (no) astu tryāyuṣam, 724
- tan me gopāya (*yasva), 724
- tan me tanvam trīyatām sarvato
brhat, 708, 724
- tan me varuno rājā, 724
- tan me 'śīya, 501
- tanvāno (*ne) yajñam puruṣeśasam
dhīyā, 765
- tapasā devā devatīm agra āyan, 46, 106,
530
- tapūnsy (*pobhu) agne juhvā patam-
gān, 459
- tam akratum (*tuh) paśyati viśakoh,
401

- jāgatena chandasā savitrā , 585
 jāgrviś ca mārundhatī cottarād (°rato)
 gopāyatām, 173
 jātavedah prati havyā gṛbhāya, 689
 jātavedo nayā hy (nayāsy) enam (°do
 vahemam, °do vahasvainam) sukr-
 tām yatra lokah (°kāh), 698
 jātavedo havir idam juṣasva, 689
 jāmi bruvata āyudham (°dhā), 710
 jāmin itvā mā vivitsi lokān, 496
 jāmin itvā māva patśi lokāt, 496
 jāmin (camī) mā hinsir amuyā (anu
 yā) śayānā (°nām), 401
 jāmūtān hṛdayaupasābhyām (°sena,
 °sena), 751
 jivam rudanti vi mayante (nayanaty)
 adhware (°ram), 528, 835
 jivam devebhya uttaram stṛnāmi, 402
 jivām rudanti vi mayante adhware,
 528, 835
 jivā jivantir upa vah sadema, 725
 jivātave pratarām (°ram) sādhayā
 dhīyah, 823
 jivām ṛtebhyaḥ (mṛt°) pariniyamānām,
 701
 jivo jivantir upa vah sadeyam, 725
 juṣtam devānām (°vebhya) idam astu
 havyam, 616
 juṣtam devānām (°vebhur) uta mānu-
 ṣhām (°ṣebhih), 65, 88, 579
 juṣto vācaspataye (°tiḥ, °teh, °tyuh),
 219, 428, 448, 616
 juṣto vāco (°ce) bhūyāsam, 77, 616
 juhūr asī ghṛtāci (°ci nāmā), 471
 juhūr, upabhrd, dhruvāsi ghṛtāci
 nāmā, 471
 juhomi te dharanam madhvo agram,
 402
 jaitram indra (jaitrāyano) ratham ā
 tiṣṭha govit (°vidam), 401
 jyeṣṭham yo vṛtrahā gr̥ne, 397
 jyeṣṭhasya dharmam dyuksor (°sya vā
 dharmanī kṣor) anike, 277
 jyeṣṭhāya (°tho) jad apracetāh, 431
 jyeṣṭho yo vṛtrahā gr̥ne, 397
 jyaiṣṭhye śraiṣṭhye ca gāthūnāh, 648
 jyok ca paśyāti (°ūsi, °ati) sūryam
 (°ah), 389
 jyotirjārāyū rajaso vimāne (°nah), 455
 jyotir viśvasmai bhuvanāya kṛnvati
 (°van), 849
 jyotiṣam na prakāśate, 709
 jyotiṣā tvā (vo) vaiśvānarenopatiṣṭhe,
 714
 jyotiṣe tvā (vām), 765
 jyotiṣmatī (°tiḥ) prati muficate
 nabhah, 402
 ta āyajanta dravinam (°nū) sam asmai
 (°min), 644, 704
 ta āvavṛtran sadanād ṛtasya (°nāni
 kṛtvā, °nāni rātvī), 495, 698
 ta ā vahanti kavayah purastāt, 416
 ta (ta u) evādhīpataya āsan, 441
 tam rakṣadhvam mā vo dabhat, 739
 tam vartanir (°nir) anu vāvṛta ekam
 it puru (eka it), 389
 tam vo gṛhnāmy uttamam, 714
 tam svadhām akṣitam taiḥ sahopa-
 jivāsau, 840
 tam hi svarājam vṛṣabham tam ojase
 (°sā), 558
 tam kravādam aśīṣamam, 20, 396
 taj jānatir abhy anūṣata vrāh, 402
 taj (tam) juṣasva yaviṣṭhya, 727, 737,
 850
 tato no dehi jivase, 656
 tato no mitrāvarunāv aviṣtam, 571
 tato no (mā) vṛṣṭyāva (°vata), 724
 tato mā yajñasyāśir āgachatu, 78, 90,
 656
 tato rātry (°rir) ajāyata, 195
 tato vi tiṣṭhe bhuvanānu (°ni) viśvā,
 164
 tato virād ajāyata, 173
 tat te badhnāmy (vi śyāmy) āyuṣe
 varcase balāya, 832, 850
 tat tvam bibhṛhi punar ā mad aitoh
 (ā mamaitoh), 86, 659
 tat tvendragraha , see tam tvendra-
 graha
 tat punidhvam javā mama, 311
 tat puruṣāya (°sasya) vidmahe, 614, 634
 tatra nau samskr̥tam, 771
 tatra paśyema pitarau ca putrān (pita-
 ram ca putram), 702, 745
 tatra yamah sūdanā te kṛnotu, 496, 698

tasmai no (mā) devāḥ paridatteha
(°dhatta, °dhatteha) sarve (viśve),
724

tasmai brahma ca brāhmās (brahmā)
ca, 742

tasmai rudrāya namo astu (°tv agnaye,
°tv adya, °tu devāḥ, °stu devīya), 360
tasmai stanam prapyāyasva, 344

tasya guptaye dāmpatīsam ārayethām,
621

tasya ta iṣṭasya vītasya dravineha
bhakṣīya, 346, 382, 704

tasya tṛṇpatam ahūhāhuhū svāhā, 94,
579

tasya te dattām yasya (ya) oh, dadatu
yeśām, dadātu yasya) prāno °sī svāhā,
117, 768

tasya te bhaktivānsah syāma (°vāno
bhūyāsma), 849

tasya te bhakṣīya, 501

tasya te yayam bhūyīṣṭhabhūjo bhū-
yāsma, 849

tasya te °īya, 501

tasya na iṣṭasya prītasya dravinehā-
gameh, 326, 346, 704, 724

tasya no dhehi jīvasa, 656

tasya no rūsa (), 724

tasya pūṣṭi prasave (°vam) yūti vidvān
(devah), 527

tasya prajā apsaraso bhīruvāh (°ravo
nāma), 229

tasya bhājayateha nah, 724

tasya mā yajñasyeṣṭasya vītasya dra-
vinehūgamyāt, 326, 704, 724

tasya māśīr avatu vardhatām, 78, 90,
656

tasya mṛtyuś (°yoś, °yau) caratī rūja-
sūyam, 449, 457

tasya me rūsa, 724

tasya meṣṭasya vītasya dravinam ā
gamyūt (°nehūgamyāḥ, °nehūgamehī),
326, 382, 704, 724

tasya yajñasyeṣṭasya svīṣṭasya dra-
vinam māgachatu, 326, 382, 704, 724

tasya vavam sumatau yajñīyasya, 739

tasya vayam hedasi māpi bhūma, 835

tasyām samudrū adhvīkṣaranti, 665

tasyām suparnāv adhi yau nivīṣṭau, 129

tasyām suparnā vṛṣanū nī śedatuḥ, 129
tasyūgne bhūjayeha mā, 724

tasyām devā adhīsanvasantah, 412, 765

tasyām devaiḥ samvasanto mahitvā,
412, 765

tasyū vānī mātṛyū, 145

tasyāsata iṣayah (°te harayah) sapta
tīre, 519, 815

tasyās te devī haviṣū , see tasyai

tasyās te devīṣṭake, , 152

tasyās te devy adita (°tīr) upasthe,
331

tasyās te bhakṣivānah syāma (bhakti-
vāno bhūyāsma, bhāgam āśimahi),
849

tasyās tvam harasā tapan, 616

tasyāḥ samudrū adhi vi kṣaranti, 665

tasyai (°yās) te devī haviṣā vidhema,
152

tasyai te devīṣṭake , 152

tasyai tvam harasā tapan, 616

tasyai namo yatamasyām dīṣṭah, 769

tasyai vayam hedasi māpi bhūma, 835

tasyai vānī mātṛyū (°tī), 145

tā āpah sa prajāpatih, 113, 730

tāḥ parvatasya vṛṣabhasya pṛṣṭhe
(°ṛhāt), 79, 661

tāḥ prācyā (°yah, °cīr) ujjāhire
(°hīre, samjigāhire), 213

tām vīśvair devair (°śve devā) ṛtubhiḥ
samvidānah (°nāh), 412

tām savitah satyasavām sucitrām, 363

tām savitur varenasya citrām, 363

tāns te paridadāmy aham, 739, 849

tā jānatīr abhy anūṣata kṣāḥ, 402

tā juṣasva yaviṣṭhaya, 727, 737, 850

tā te dhāmāny uśmasi gamadhyaī, 183,
402, 769

tādītṇū (°knā) śatrum (°rūn) na kilā
vivīṣe (°vatse), 703

tān ito nāśayāmasi, 703

tāni mām avantu, 304

tā no amivām (°ā) apabādhamānau,
703

tā no muñcītam āgasah, 132

tā no mṛdūta idṛśe, 764

tām te paridadāmy aham (°dāmi), 739,
849

- tam agne menyāmenim kṛnu, 703
 tam agne hedah pari te vṛnaktu, 849
 tam anu prehi sukṛtasya lokam, 704, 809
 tam arkair abhy arcanti vatsam, 47, 465, 690
 tam ardhāmāsam (°syam) prasutān (°tāt) pitṛyāvatah (om tam), see ardhāmāsyam, 496
 tam aśvinā paridhattam svastaye (°sti), 480, 809
 tam aham manase prabravīmi, 809
 tam ātman (°ni) pari grhṇīmahe vayam (°nīmasiha), 277
 tam ito nāśayāmasi, 703
 tam idam vi vṛhāmi te, 815
 tam id arbhe haviṣy ā samānam it, 675
 tam indram abhi gāyata, 484
 tam in mahe vṛnate nānyam tvat, 675
 tam imam vi vṛhāmi te, 815
 tam u tvam māyayāvadhīh, 449, 815
 tam u śtubh yo antah sindhau (°hy antahsindhūm), 20, 32, 394
 tam panthānam (panthām) jayemānamitram, 283
 tam pratyāñcam samdaha jātavedah, 703
 tam pratyāñcam arcīṣā vidhya marman (°manī), 275
 tam mātṛi sam ajigamam, 840
 tam mā devā avantu śobhāyai, 45, 487, 815, 818
 tam mā punsi kartary erayadhvam, 815
 tam mā sam sṛja varcasā, 303
 tayāgne tvam menyāmum amenim kṛnu, 703
 tayā devatayāñgirasvad dhruvah (°vā) sīda, 768
 tayā devatayāñgirasvad dhruvāh (°ve) sīdata (°tam), 768
 tayā devā devatām agra āyan, 46, 106, 530, 780
 tayā devāh sutam ā babbhūvuh, 419, 550
 tayā mām indra sam sṛja (mā sam sṛjāmasi), 303
 tayā roham āyann upa medhyāsah, 698, 780
 tayāvabhante kavayah purastāt, 416
 tayā sapatnān pari vṛndhi ye mama, 851
 tayāham vardhamāno bhūyāsam āpyāyamānaś ca svāhū, 724
 tayāham śāntiṃ sarvaśāntiṃ mahyam dvīpade catuṣpade ca śāntim karomi, 704
 taylor devā adhisamvasantah, 412, 765
 tarī mandrāsu prayakṣu, 458
 tarpayata me (nah) pitṛn, 724
 tava-tava rāyah, 311, 671
 tava tyan māyayāvadhīh, 449, 815
 tava praśastayo mahīh (°taye mahe), 421, 690
 tava rāyah, 311, 671
 tava viśve sajoṣasah, 680
 tava śravānsy upamāny ukthyā (°ya), 340
 tava syām (syāma) śarman (°mans) trivarūtha udbhit (°bhau), 311, 454
 taved u stomam (°maś) ciketa, 474, 690
 tasmā indrāya gāyata, 484
 tasmā indrāya sutam ā (°ya haviṣā, °ya havir ā, °yāndhaso) juhota (°homī), 47, 51, 65, 126, 486, 502, 582
 tasmā u brahmanas patih, 428
 tasmā etam bharata tadvrśāya (°vaśo dadih), 34, 425
 tasmād dhānyan na parah kim canāsa, 810
 tasmād virād ajāyata, 173
 tasmīn upahūtah (°tā), 849
 tasmīn pāśān pratimuñcāma etān, 703
 tasmīn ma etat suhutam astu prāśīram, 850
 tasmīn ma eṣa suhuto 'stv odanah, 850
 tasmīn sākam trīsatā na śaṅkavah, 164
 tasmai gotrāyeha jāyāpatī samrabhe-
 thām, 621
 tasmai ca devī vaśad astu tubhyam, 840
 tasmai te dyāvāpṛthivi revatichih, 327
 tasmai tvam stana pra pyāya, 344
 tasmai deva vaśad astu tubhyam, 840
 tasmai devā amṛtāh (°tam) sam vya-
 yantām (°tu), 15, 403
 tasmai namo yatamasyām diśītah, 769

- tubhyam ca samvananam, 315
 tubhyam āraṇyāḥ paśavo mṛgā vane
 hṛtāḥ, 309
 turaś cid viśvam arnavat tapasvān, 403,
 727
 turyavād gaur (°vāham gām) vayo
 dadhuh (°dhat), 399
 turyāma dasyūn tanūbhūh, 703
 tuvidyumna yaśasvataḥ (°tā), 475
 tṛtīyasyā (°yām) ito divah (°vi), 669
 tṛtīye dhāmāny abhy (°mann adhy)
 airayanta, 278
 tṛptā (°tām) juhur mātulasyeva yoṣā,
 407
 tṛptā mā (mām) tarpayata (°yantū),
 305
 tṛptāham (tṛpto 'ham), 849
 tṛmpantu hotrā madhvo yāḥ (yat)
 sviṣṭāḥ (°jam), 727
 te asmā agnaye (°yo) dravinam dattvā,
 429, 691, 704
 te asya yoṣane divye (°yah), 762
 tejase tvā (vām), 765
 tejo mayi dhehi, 640
 tejo me dāḥ svāhā (dehi, dhāḥ), 640
 tejo me yacha, 640
 te te dhāmāny uśmasi gamadhye, 183,
 402, 769
 te tvā vadhu prajāvatīm, 825
 te devāso (devā) yajñam (havir) imam
 (idam) juṣadhvam (°śantām), 157
 te nah kṛnavantu bheṣajam, 849
 te nah pāntv asmin brahmany as-
 min karmany asyām, 276
 tena grhnāmi tvām aham (°mi te has-
 tam), 306
 tena cinvānas tanvo (°nuvo, °vam) ni
 śida, 708
 tena ta āyuṣe vapāmi, 558
 tena tṛpyatam anahau, 94, 579
 tena te vapāmi brahmanā (°my āyuṣe,
 °my asāv āyuṣā varcasā), 558
 tena tvam garbhini bhava, 850
 tena tvām abhiṣiñcāmi, 306, 737
 tena tvāham pratigrhnāmi tvām aham,
 306
 tena devā devatām agra (°ram) āyan,
 46, 106, 530, 780
 tena no mitrāvarunāv (°nā) aviṣṭam,
 571
 tena no rājā varuno bṛhaspatih, 312,
 724
 tena brahmanā tena chandasā
 dhruvāḥ (°vā) śidata (śida), 768
 tena brahmāno vapatedam asya
 (adya), 327
 tena brāhmano vapatu, 327
 tena yantu yajamānāḥ svasti, 217, 695
 tena roham āyann upa (rohān ruruhur)
 medhyāsah, 698, 780
 tena samvanināu svake, 419
 tena sapatnān pari vṛndhi ye mama, 851
 tenā no yajñam pipṛhi viśvavāre, 417
 tenāsmān indro varuno bṛhaspatih, 312,
 724
 tenāsmāi yajamānāyuru (yajñapataya
 uru) rāye (°yā) kṛdhi, 558
 tenāsyāyuse vapa, 558
 tenāham adya manasā sutasya, 409
 tenaitu yajamānāḥ svasti (°tyā), 217,
 695
 te no mṛdantv idrṣe, 764
 te pūtāso vipaścitah, 155
 te brahmalokeṣu (°ke tu) parāntakāle,
 698
 tebhur no adya savitota viṣnuh, 60, 80,
 312, 573
 tebhur mā devah savitā punātu, 724, 844
 tebhīś chidram apidadhmo yad atra,
 583
 tebhīś tvam putram janaya, 171
 tebhīh svarād asunitim etām, 399, 563
 tebhyaḥ svarād asunitir no adya (°tim
 etām), 399, 563
 tebhyo asmān varunah soma indrah,
 60, 80, 312, 573
 tebhyo na indrah savitota viṣnuḥ, 60,
 80, 312, 573
 te mām avantu, 304
 te māvata te mā jinvata, 304
 te māvātām (māvantu), 304
 te me mā vitṛṣan, 380
 te virājam abhisamyantu sarve, 299
 te viśvā dāṣuṣe vasu, 693, 712
 teṣām vayam sumatau yajñīyānām,
 739

- tām te vācam āśya ādatte (ādade)
hṛdaya ādadhe, 553, 665
- tām tvam svadhām tais sahopa jīva,
840
- tām devaḥ sam ajigamam, 419, 840
- tām (tān) nah punar dehi, 724
- tām nah pūṣaṇ chivatamām erayasva,
342, 393
- tām (tān) no (me) gopāyāsmākam
punar āgamāt, 724
- tān pratīco nirdaha jātavedah, 703
- tān me punar dehi, 724
- tān rakṣadhvam mā vo dabhan, 739
- tān sma mānuvaṣaṭkṛthāh, 407
- tābhīr ā vartayā punaḥ, 569
- tābhīr no devaḥ savitā punātu, 724, 844
- tābhīr yāsi dūtyām (*yam) sūryasya,
799
- tābhīr vahānam sukr̥tām u lokam
(vāhemam sukr̥tām yatra lokāḥ), 396,
698
- tābhīh śāntibhīh sarvaśāntibhīh śama-
yāmy aham, 704
- tābhīṣ tvā devaḥ savitā punātu, 724,
844
- tābhīṣ tvābhīṣāncāmī, 306, 737
- tābhīh samrahdhām anv avindan (*dho
avidat) śad urvīh, 389
- tābhya enā ni vartaya, 569
- tābhyaṣ tvā vartayāmasi, 569
- tābhyaṁ namo yatamasyām diśītah,
769
- tābhyaṁ (t° i ayam) patema sukr̥tām u
lokam (pathy īsma sukr̥tasya lokam),
704
- tām agne hedah pari te vṛnaktu, 849
- tā mandasānā manuṣo durona ā, 778
- tām pūṣaṇ (*ṣan) chivatamām era-
yasva, 342, 393
- tām me gopāyāsmākam punar āgamāt,
724
- tām me punar dehi, 724
- tāvanto asya mahimānah, 705
- tā vām vāstūny uśmasi gamadhyaḥ,
183, 402, 769
- tā vām gīrbhīr vipanyavah (*yuvah),
229
- tāvān asya mahimā, 705
- tāv imā upa sarpatah, 765
- tāsām viśīśānām (*śnyānām), 835
- tāsām te sarvūśām vāyam (*śām aham),
724
- tāsām tvū jarasa ādadhāmi, 642, 671
- tāsu tvāntar jarasy ā dadhāmi, 642, 671
- tās te kṛnvantu bheṣajam 849
- tās te gachantv āhutim (*tīr) ghṛtasya,
689
- tās tvā jarase sam vyayantu, 558
- tās tvā devīr (*vyo) jarase (*sā) sam
vyayantu (*yasva), 213, 558
- tās tvā vadhu prajāvatīm, 825
- tigmena nas tejasā (no brahmanā, nau
brahmanā) sam śīśādhi, 771
- tīrah purū cid arnavam (*vān) jagan-
vān (jagamyāḥ), 698
- tīraś cittāni (*tā) vasavo jighānsati,
167
- tīras tamānsi darsatah (*tam), 387
- tīrah satyāni maruto jighānsāt, 167
- tīro mā santam āyur mā pra hāsīt
(*tam mā pra hāsīh), 512
- tīro me yajña āyur mā prahāsīh, 512
- tīro rajānsy aspr̥tah (*tam, aspr̥tah),
387
- tilvilāstām irāvatīm, tilvilā sthājurā-
vatī, tilvalā sthūrāvatī, tilvilā syād
irāvatī, 406
- tiṣṭhantam aya gūhati (tiṣṭhann evāya
gūhasi), 390
- tiṣṭhā ratham (*the) adhi tam (yam,
yad) vajrahasta (*tah), 38, 338, 526
816
- tīras ca rājābandhavīh (*vaih), 459
- tīrah śūlpā vāśī vaiśvadevyah, 213
- tīro rohinīr (rauhinyo) vāśā maitri-
yah (*tryah) 213
- tīro rohinīs (*nyas) tryavyas tā vasū-
nām, 213
- tīrenīsau (tīrena yamune) tava, 598,
624
- tīre tubhyaṁ asau (*bhyam gaṅge),
598, 624
- tuje janā (*ne) vanamī svah 637
- tujo yujo vanam (balam) sahaḥ 637
- tubhyaṁ sūtāsah somāh (*bhyam so-
māh sūtā ime), 155

- tvaṣṭā devaḥ sahamāna indrah, 189, 336
 tvaṣṭā no daivyaṃ vacah, 723
 tvaṣṭā paśūnām mithunānām rūpakṛd rūpapatih, rūpenāsmiṇ yajñe yajamānāya paśūn dadātu svāhā, 641
 tvaṣṭā me daivyaṃ vacah, 723
 tvaṣṭā rūpānām rūpakṛd rūpapatī rūpena paśūn asmiṇ yajñe mayi dadātu svāhā, 641
 tvaṣṭā rūpānām vikartā tasyāham devayajayā viśvarūpam priyam puṣeyam, 641
 tvaṣṭā rūpāni (*pena) samanaktu yajñaiḥ (*ñam), 59, 461, 689, 707
 tvaṣṭā viṣṇuḥ prajāyā samrarānaḥ (*nāh), 735
 tvaṣṭā vo rūpāir upariṣṭād upadadhātām, 739
 tvaṣṭīmatī, see tvaṣṭī^o
 tvaṣṭur aham devayajayā paśūnām rūpam (*yā sarvāni rūpāni paśūnām) puṣeyam, 707
 tvaṣṭur varutrīm varunasya nābhīm, 198
 tvaṣṭīrmanas (tvaṣṭī^o, tvaṣṭu^o) tvā sapema, 483, 725, 849
 tvaṣṭedam viśvam bhuvanam jājana, 698
 tvaṣṭemā viśvā bhuvanā jājana, 698
 tvaṣṭīrmatī (tvaṣṭī^o) te sapeya, 483, 725, 849
 tvām yajñeṣv Idate, 380
 tvām rāya ubhayāso janānām, 398
 tvā bhūtāny upaparyāvartante, 308
 tvām agne pradiva āhutam gṛtēna (*taiḥ), 694
 tvā manasānārtēna, 308
 tvām arbhasya haviṣaḥ samānam it, 675
 tvām bhūtāny upaparyāvartante, 308
 tvām maho vṛnate (vṛnate naro) nānyam tvat, 675
 tviṣim indre na (*rena) bheṣajam, 611
 tve ā bhūṣanti vedhasaḥ, 603
 tve rāyah, 671
 tve viśve sajoṣasaḥ, 680
 dakṣakratū (*tu) te maitrāvārunaḥ pātu, 751
 dakṣāya dakṣavṛdhe (*dham), 489
 dakṣinayā dīśā (*śā saha, *nasyām dīśi, *nāyām dīśi) māsāḥ pitaro mārjayan-tām, 289, 604
 dakṣinā dik (dīśām), [], 427, 438
 dakṣinābhyaḥ (*nāya) svāhā, 696
 dakṣināyai tvā dīśa indrāyādhupataye turaściraṇāyaye rakṣitre yamāyeṣumate, 427
 datto asmabhyam (dattvāyāsma^o, dattāyāsma^o, dattāsma^o) dravineha bhadrām, 313, 396, 704
 dadhatha no dravinam yac ca bhadrām, 313, 396, 704
 dadhad ratnam (*nā) dakṣam pitṛbhya (dakṣapitṛbhya) āyuni (āyūnāḥ), 538, 704
 dadhad ratnā (*nāni) dāṣuṣe vāryāni (dāṣuṣe, vi dāṣuṣe), 168
 dadhanvān (*vā, *vān) yo naryo apsv antar ā, 267
 dadhanveva tā ihi, 835
 dadhi mantham (*thām) parīśrutam (*śrutam), 789
 dame-dame sapta ratnā dadhānā (*nau), 181
 dame-dame suṣṭutur (*tyā, *tir, *ti) vām iyānā (vāvṛdhānā, *nau), 182, 216, 413, 474
 daśamāsyāya (daśame māsi) sūtavai (*ve), 238, 655
 dā agne (*neh, dāgneḥ) prajāyā saha, 366
 dātā vasūnām puruhūto arhan, 87, 507
 dātā vasūni vidadhe tanūpāḥ, 87, 507
 dādhrṣānam dhrṣitam śavah, 842
 dāma grīvāsv avicartyam (avimokyam yat), 832
 dikṣu viṣṇur vyakranstānuṣṭubhena chandasā, 527
 digbhyaḥ śrotram, 126, 485
 dityavād (*vāham) gaur (gām) vayo dadhuh (*dhat), 399
 didīhi deva devayuh (*yum), 401
 divah, see divas

- teṣām viśipriyānām (*nām vo 'ham), 835
 teṣām sam hanmo akṣāni (sam dadhmo akṣāni), 281
 teṣām chidram pratī dadhmo yad atra, 583
 teṣām chinnam sam etad (sam imam, praty etad) dadhāmi, 583
 teṣām apsu sadas kṛtam, 698
 teṣām ādhupatyam āsīt, 441
 teṣām iṣṭāni sam iṣā madanti, 412, 704
 te samrājām abhisamyantu sarve, 299
 te 'smā agnaye dravināni dattvā, 429, 691, 704
 tās tvam garbhini bhava, 171, 850
 tās tvam putram (*rān) vindasva, 171
 toke vā goṣu tanaye yad (yam) apsu, 816
 to-to (to te) rāyah, 311, 671
 tau no muficetam anhasah (āgasah), 132
 tau māvatām, 304
 tmanā kṛnavanto arvatah, 488
 trayastrinśat tantavo ye (yam, yān) vitānre (vitānvate), 380, 743
 trayastrinśe 'mṛtam stutam (*mṛtā stutāh), 402
 trayo gharṇā (*māso) anu reta āguh (retasāguh, jyotiṣāguh), 157, 467
 trāyatām (*ntām) marutām ganah (*nāh), 698
 trinśad dhāma (*mā, trinśaddhāmā) vi rājati, 270
 trinave marutāh (*ta) stutāh (*tam), 402
 tripadā yā ca ṣaṭpadā (yās ca ṣaṭpadāh), 690
 tripād ūrdhva ud ait puruṣah, 58, 413
 trībhiḥ padbhir dyām arohat, 58, 413
 triyavir gaur vayo dadhuh, 399
 trir ā divo vidathe patyamānah (*nāh), 739, 849
 trivatsam gām (*so gaur) vayo dadhat (*dhuḥ), 399
 trivṛd bhuvanam yad rathavṛt, 438
 trivṛd yad bhuvanasya rathavṛt, 438
 trivṛn no viṣṭhayā (*vṛd viṣṭhayā) stomo ahnām (*nā), 585, 709
 triṣṭup chanda indriyam (ihendriyam), 399
 triṣṭup tvā chandasām avatu (*sāvatu), 583
 triṣṭubham chanda ihendriyam (indriyam), 399
 trih sapta paramam nāma jūnan, 741
 trih sapta mātuh paramāni vandan, 741
 trini padāni (*dā) nihitā guhāsyā (*āsu), 167, 599, 734
 trin gharṇān abhi vāvaśānā, 692
 trin varān vṛniṣva, 739
 trin samudrān samasṛpat svargān (*gah), 402
 tredhā nī dadhe padam (*dā), 698
 traiṣṭubham aśi, 848
 traiṣṭubhasya chandaso 'gneḥ (*bhena chandasā chandasāgneḥ), 585
 traiṣṭubhena chandasāntarikṣam anu vi krame, 527
 traiṣṭubhena chandasendrena, 585
 traiṣṭubho 'si, 848
 tryavir g ur vayo dadhuh (tryavim gām vayo dadhat), 399
 tvam yayjñeṣv idyah, 380
 tvam rāya ubhayāso janānām, 393
 tvam samudram (*rah) prathamō (*me) vi dhārayah (vidharman), 402, 454
 tvam tān agne menyāmenin kṛnu svāhā, 703
 tvam nṛnām nṛpate (nrbhyo nṛmano) jāyase śuciḥ, 659
 tvam no nabhasas pate, 329
 tvam uttamāsy oṣadhe, 84, 367, 835
 tvayā gām (gā) āsvam (*vān) puruṣām (*sān) sanema, 700
 tvayā bhūṣanti vedhasah, 603
 tvayā vayam samghātām-samghātām jeṣma, 105, 546
 tvayāham śāntyā sarvasāntyā mahyam dvipade ca catuṣpade ca śāntim karomi, 704
 tvaṣṭah poṣāya viṣya nābhīm asme, 326, 486, 623
 tvaṣṭar devebhiḥ sahasāma indra, 169, 336
 tvaṣṭā tvā rūpari upariṣṭāt pātu, 739

472 VEDIC VARIANTS III NOUN AND PRONOUN INFLECTION

devatrā yantam avase sakhāyah
(°yam), 402
deva devebhyo havih (°yah sutah), 329
devam trātāram abhūmātiśāham, 399
devayuvam (devā°) viśvavārām (°re),
352
deva varuna devayajanam no (me)
dehi , 724
deva viṣṇa urv adyāsmin yajñe (viṣṇav
urv adyemam yajñam) yajamānā-
yādhi (°ānu) , 527, 549
devavitaye (°tyai) tvā (vo) gr̥hṇāmi,
182, 714
devaśrut (°rūs) tvam deva gharma ,
228
devaśrud (°rūr) imān (etāni) pravape,
228, 837
deva savitar etam (etat) te , 809
deva savitar etam tvā vṇate 'gnim
, 815
deva savitar etam tvā (tvām) vṇate
br̥haspatim , 308
deva savitar eṣa te somah, 740
deva savitar devayajanam me dehi
devayajyāyai, 724
deva savitas tvam dikṣūyā (°śānām)
dikṣūpatir asi, 706
devas tvā savitā punātṛ (°totpunātṛ)
achidrena , 739
devas tvā savitā madhvānaktu, 705
devasya te (tvā) savituh prasave
hastam gr̥hṇāmy asau, 511
devasya tvā (vah) savituh prasave
hastābhyām samvapāmi, 740
devasya tvā savituh prasave saras-
vatyā vācā yantur yantrena br̥has-
patim sāmṛājyāyābhiṣiñcāmi (yan-
trenemam br̥haspates sāmṛājye-
nābhiṣiñcāmi), 143, 585
devasya tvā savituh prasave . sar-
asvatyai (°jā) bhaisajyena , 143
devasya tvā savituh prasave sar-
asvatyai vāco yantur yantrenūgneḥ
(°nes tvā) sāmṛājyenābhiṣiñcāmi, 143,
585
devasya tvā savituh prasave 'śvinor
bāhubhyām pūṣno hastābhyām ū
dade, 637

devasya tvā savituh prasave pr̥thi-
vyāh sadhasthe (°thād) , 664
devasya vah , see prec but five
devasya savituh savam (°ve), 527
devasyāham (°sya vayam) savituh
save , 724
devah savitābhimātiśābah, 399
devā akṛtvann usījo amartyave, 420
448
devā avo varenyam, 28, 343
devā ājyapā juṣānā agna (indra) ājy-
asya vyanu, 340, 391
devān achā na majmanā, 402
devān avo varenyam, 28, 343
devān ājyapān svāhāgnim hotrāj juṣ-
ānā agna ājyasya vyanu, 391
devān (°vā) janam agan yajñah, 345
devā devam avardhatām, 134
devā devebhyo (°veṣu) adhvaryanto
(°riyanto) astuh, 648
devā deveṣu śrayantām (°yadhvām),
327
devā dhanena dhanam ichamānāh, 725
devānām vakṣi priyam ā sadhastham
(°sthāt), 496
devānām sumne br̥hate (°mno mahate)
ranāya, 455
devānām tvā pitṛnām (°rñām) anumato
bhartum śakeyam, 252
devānām patnīnām aham devayajyāyū
, 445
devānām patnīr agnir gr̥hapatir ,
445
devānām patnīr (°nyo, °nayo) diśah
(viśah), 202, 213
devānām bhūgadū asat, 72, 89, 619
devān janam agan yajñah, 345
devān punyajanān pitṛn, 488
devā barhīr āsata, 157
devāyuvam , see deva°
devāv aśvinau madhukaśayādjemam
yajñam (°dyāsmin yajñe) , 549
devāso dadhīre purah, 154
devāso barhīr āsadan, 157
devās tvā dadhīre purah, 154
devi vāg yat tasmīn mā (no ady, r)
dhāh (dhāt), 723
devi devebhīr nimitāsy agre, 835

- divam viṣṇur vyakraṇṣṭa jūgatenā
chandasā, 527
- divam samudram ād bhūmim, 293
- divam ślabhūna, 294
- divam gacha, 294
- divam ca gacha pṛthivīm ca dharma-
bhūh, 292, 705
- divam te dhūmo gachatu, 294
- divam dṛuṇa, 294
- divam agreṇa mā lekhih (hīṇśih), 294
- divam agreṇāṣṭṛkṣah ("nāprāt, "nās-
prkṣat), 294
- divam agreṇottabhūna, 294
- divam antarikṣam ād bhūmim, 293
- divasā cid antād upamām ("tān upamān)
udānat, 495, 814
- divah śūlpam avatatam, 664
- diva ("vas, "vah) ekambhanir ("nir,
"ny) asi, 201
- divas tvā jyotiṣe, 629
- divas tvā paraspāyāh ("ya), antarik-
ṣasya tanvas ("uvās) pāhi, 152, 801
- divas ("vah) pṛṣṭham ("the) bhanda-
mānah (mand") , 527
- divah sūnūpeṣa, 528
- divi jyotir ajaram (uttamam) ārabhe-
tām ("thām), 295
- divi viṣṇur vyakraṇṣṭa jūgatenā chan-
dasā, 527
- divi śūlpam avatatam, 664
- dive jyotir uttamam ārabhetbām, 295
- dive tvā jyotiṣe, 629
- dive-diva ā suvā bhūri paśvāh, 678
- dive pṛthivyai śam ca prajāyāi ("jūb-
hyah), 702
- divo jyote ("tir) vivasva āditya ,
265, 826
- divo-diva ā suvā bhūri paśvāh, 678
- divo dhārā ("rām) asaścata, 704
- divo nu mām (mā) bṛhato antarikṣāt,
305
- divo mātrayā varuṇā ("mnā) prathasva,
273
- divyam suparnam vāyasam (paṇyasam,
vayasā, vayasam) bṛhantam, 474
- divye dhūmann ("many) upahūtah
("tā), 278, 849
- diśah frotram, 126, 485
- diśām devy (tevy) avatu no gṛhīṣṭe, 690
- diśo viśvā anu pṛabhuḥ, 537
- diśo viṣṇur vyakraṇṣṭanuvubhena
chandasā, 527
- dikṣayedam (dikṣyedam) havir ṛga-
chatam nah, 565
- dikṣāpālāya tanatam ("lebhya "vāna-
tam) hi śakṣā, 697
- dikṣe ("ṣen) mā mī hīṣih ("kīṣṭaṇyā,
hīṣih), 327
- dīdāyānūdhmo ("mān) gṛhṭanirniḥ
apsu, 405
- dirgham yac cakṣur aditer anantam,
392, 671
- dirgham vām (ta) āyuh eva itā kṛnotu,
765
- dirghaprajayam atīyo anuṣṭatī, 762
- dirghaprajayā havīṣā vṛdhinā, 762
- dirgham āyuh kṛnotu me (vām), 765
- duraś ca viśvā avṛnod apa svāh, 403,
727
- duritāt pūtv anhasah, 703
- duritāni jāni kani ca cakṛma (duritā
yāni cakṛma), 162
- duḥśāntam ("so) marīyam ("yo) ripum
("puh), 387
- duhāthām gharmadughe va dhenū, 775
- dūrepaśyā ca rūṣṭrabhṛe ca tāni, 759
- dūrehetih patatṛi vājinvān, 214
- dūrehetir indriyāvān ("yāvān) patatṛi
("trih), 214
- dūrṇā rohanu ("hatu) puspinih ("nī),
699
- dūṣikābhur hrādunim (hrad"), 709
- dṛuṇa ("hasva) pṛthivīm ("yām), 550
- dṛṣā ca bhūṣā bṛhatā suśikmanā, 416,
564
- dṛṣe ca bhūṣā bṛhatā suśukimānā ("a-
bhūh), 416, 564
- deva indro na majmanā, 402
- devam asvitāram abhimitiṣṭham, 399
- devajūte vivasvann āditya , 265,
326
- devajūte sahasvati, 329, 346
- devatābhyas tīṣṭ deva vīṣve gṛhṇāmi,
182, 714

- drone sadhastham aśnuṣe (āsdat), 597
dvābhyām iṣṭaye vīṣatyā (°ti) ca, 216
dvāro devīr anv asya vīṣve (°vāh), 840
dvīpac catuṣpad (dvīpāc catuṣpād)
arjuni (asmākam), 257
dvīpadam (°dā) chanda indriyam
(ihendr°), 399
dvīpadā yā catuṣpadā (yās catuṣpadāh),
690
dvīpāc , see dvīpac
dvīpāc chanda ihendriyam, 399
dviṣaṇś ca mahyam radhyatu, 380, 633,
703
dviṣatām pātv anbasah, 703
dviṣate sam nayāmasi, 645
dviṣadbhyah pratī muñcāmi pāsam
(pāpam), 74, 645
dviṣantam mahyam (mama) randha-
yan, 380, 633, 703
dviṣantas tapyantām bahu, 703
dviṣanto radhyantām mahyam, 380,
633, 703
dviṣan me bahu śocatu, 703
dvīpe rūñño varunasya, 363
dhattam rayim sahavīram (daśav°)
vacasyave, 489
dhattād asmabhyam dravineha (asmāsu
dravinam yac ca) bhadram, 396, 639,
704
dhanamjayam (°yo) rane-rane, 387
dhanamjayam dharunam dhārayiṣnu,
850
dhanur hastād ādadāno (°nā) mṛtasya,
737
dhanena devā dhanam icchamānah, 725
dhartram (°raś) catuṣṭomah, 794
dharṣū (°ṣān) mānuṣah (°ṣam, °ṣūn), 403
dhātā dhātṛnām (°tṛnām) bhuvanasya
yas patih, 252
dhātā prajāyā (°jānām) uta rāya īśe, 702
dhānāśomān manthina indra (°rah)
śukrāt, 342
dhūmne (°myai) tvā, 279
dhiyā martah (°tasya) śaśamate (śama-
tah), 449
dhiṣanāś (°nā) tvā devīr (°vi) vīśva-
devyāvatih (°vyavatī) , 697
dhiṣane vidū (°dvi, vīte) satī , 297
dhiṇām antah sabardughah, 402, 519
dhibhir viprah pramatim icchamānah,
695
dhirā indriya (deveṣu) sumnayā (°yau,
sūmnayā), 246, 654
dhūmrān (°rā) vasantīyālabhate (vas-
antāya), 376
dhūmrā babhruṇikāśāh pitṛnām soma-
vatām, 618
dhūrta (°te) namas te astu (°stu), 835
dhrtyai śraīṣṭhyāya gāthīnāh, 648
dhrṣāno (°nam) dhrṣitam (°tah) śavaṇ,
842
dhrṣnave dhiyate dhanā (°nam), 704
dhenām antah sabardughām, 402, 519
dhenum gām (°nur gaur) na vayo dad-
hat (°dhuh), 399
dhehy asmabhyam (°māsu) draviṇam
, 639
dhruvam yonim ā sīda sādhyā
(°dhyā), 784
dhruvam aṅgam priyam yat tanūs te,
311
dhruvām yonim āsīda sādhyā, 784
dhruvā dig viṣnur adhipatīh , 427
dhruvāyai tvā diśe viṣnave 'dhipataye
, 427
dhruvāśah (°vā ha) parvatī ime, 154
dhruvaidhi poṣyā (°ye) mayi, 334, 454,
671
dhvāntam vātāgram anusamcarantau
(abhisam°), 402
dhvāntā vātā agnim abhi ye sam
caranti, 402
na karmanā (°ma) lipyate pīpakena
(nare), 409
na ki indra tvad uttaram, 810
na ki devā inīmasi, 820
nakir asya pra minanti vratāni, 162
nakir ā yopayāmasi, 820
nakir indra tvad uttarah, 810
nakir evā yathā tvam, 810
nakir devā minīmasi, 820
nakir īa etā vratā minanti, 162
nakir sa dabhyate janah, 821
na ky ā yopayāmasi, 820
na ky evam yathā tvam, 810
nakṣatrāṅgām sakāśān mā yausam, 437

- devi devebhur yajate ('tā) yajatraih
(deveṣu yajatā yajatra), 355, 609, 765
devi dvārau mā mā sam tāptam, 778
devīm nāvam svaritrām anāgasam,
402
devir devir ('vair) abhi mā nivartadh-
vam, 353
devir dvāro mā mā samtāptam, 778
devir vamrīr asya bhuvanasya (bhū-
tasya) prathamajā rītavarih, 213
devebhyas tvā savituh prasave , 637
devebhyo jīvanta uttaram bharema,
402
devebhyo bhāgadhā, ('dā) asat, 72, 89,
619
devebhyo mā sukr̥tam brūtāt (voceh),
73, 105, 647, 724
devebhyo havyaṁ vahatu prajānan,
689
devebhyo havyavāḍ asi, 329, 339
devebhyo havyavāhana ('nah), 71, 329,
339, 646
devebhyo havyā vahatu prajānan, 689
deveṣu nah sukr̥to ('ṣu mā sukr̥tam)
brūtāt ('ta, brūyāt), 73, 105, 647, 724
deveṣu havyavāhanah, 71, 329, 646
devair uktā (nyuptā, nuttā) vyasarpo
mahitvam ('tvā), 469
devo devānām pavitrām asi, 623
devo devebhur vimitāsy agre, 835
devo devebhyah pavasva, 623
devo devebhyah sutah, 329
devo narāśanśo 'gnau ('gnā) vasuvane
, 221
devo vah savitā punātv achidrena pavi-
treṇa, 739
devo vām savitā madhvānaktu, 765
devau devam avardhatām, 134
devy adite svādityam adyāsmin yajñe
yajamānāyāsuvasva ('te 'nv adye-
mam yajñam yajamānāyaidhi), 549
devyo vamryo ('riyo) bhūtasya . ,
213
daivā hotāra ūrdhvam adhvaram nah,
770
daivīm nāvam svaritrām anāgasam
('sah), 402
daive vede ca gāthīnām ('nāh), 443
daivya hotārāgnā ('gna, hotārā agna)
ājyasya vitām, 136
daivya hotārāv ('rā) ūrdhvam (hotā-
rordhvam) , 136, 770
dogdhrī ('rīm) dhenuh ('num), 387
dyām stabhāna, 294
dyām gacha, 294
dyām ca gacha prthivīm ca dharmanā,
292, 705
dyām te dhūmo gachatu, 294
dyām agrenāspṛkṣah ('ṣat), 294
dyām antarīkṣam ād bhūmim, 293
dyām mā lekhih, 294
dyāvāprthivī uro (urv) antarīkṣa
('ṣam), 250, 333, 336
dyāvā hotrāya prthivī ('vīm), 115, 746
dyutānas tvā māruto minotu mitrāva-
runau ('naylor) . , 443
dymattamā supratikasya ('kah) sū-
noh (sasūnuh), 444
dymad vibhāti bharatebhyah śucih
('ci), 404, 815
dymantam ('tah) samidhīmahī, 402
dymnam vṛnīta puṣyase (vareta
puṣyatu), 550
dymnam sudatra manhaya, 704
dymnā suksatra manhaya, 704
dymne vareta puṣyatu, 550
dyaus asi janmanā juhūr nāma , 471
dyaus iva bhūmnā prthivīva (bhūmir
iva) varimnā, 273
dyaus nah (me) pitā janitā nābhīr atra,
724
dyaus mahnāsi bhūmir bhūnā ('mnā),
273
dyaus ca nah (tvā, ma idam) prthivī ca
pracetāsā ('sau), 131, 488, 723
dyaus te (tvā) dadātu prthivī ('vi tvā)
pratigrhṇātu, 489
drapsas caskanda prthivīm (pratha-
mān) anu dyām (dyūn), 742
drapsas te dyām (divam) mā skan
(skān), 294
drāghīya āyuh prataram ('rām, 'tiram)
 . . , 823
drunā sadbhasam aśnuṣe (asadat), 597
druhaḥ pūśān ('śam) prati sa (śū) ,
703

- nābhi prīpnoti (°nuyur) nīrtīm parī-
cah (parastit), 359
nāma tṛtīyam adhi rocane (°nam)
divah, 539
nāma svadhāvan (°iat) guhyam (sva-
ryam) biblārṣi, 350
nārīr (°rī) asi, 200
nārīs (°rīas) te patnayo (°nyo) lomā,
202, 213
nāsīm amītro vyathirā dadharṣati, 509
nikīyāś (°yam) chandah, 792
nicerur asi nicumpunah (nicānikuna,
nicūnikunah), 335
ni tad dadhīre °varam (°re) param
(°re) ca, 552
nīlāvas tvā māruto nīhantu mitrāva-
ranau, 443
nī duryona āvṛṇaṣi mṛdhravācah
(°cam), 703
nidhanvera tīā imi, 835
nī mitrayur aratīn atārīt, 703
nirastā (°tau) śandimarkau saha tena
yam divismah (sahāmūnā), 134
nir āhāvan (°am) kṛnotana, 692
nīrtīm (°tir itī) tv īham pari veda vīś-
vatah (sarvatah), 32, 393
nīrtīyāh (°tyai) prīcamī, 143
nīrdagdhit arātayāh (°gdhī-ātīh), 703
nīśānāh (°nī) saṃgamano (°nī) vaśu-
nām, 849
nīśāyana amṛtam (°tām) martyam
(°yānt) ca, 701
nīlīr āśalyānīm mukhī (°kham), 707
nīśāṅgīnā (°ginn) upa sprāta (°ā), 697
nīśāṅgīye (°gibhyah) sv īhī, 697
nīśkām (hy etc) yajamānasya brad-
hne (°nam), 550
nīśkā etc yajamānasya lole (°antū),
550, 637
nīśkalyān uktham avyathīyau (°ya,
°thavat) śabhinītu (°notū), 420, 797
nīśkīritāh ca (°to °yau °tā te) yajña-
yam bhūgam etu (°gmu vantu) 757
nīśīyam (°īyī) nāśatram 705
nīśād uccā svadhīyābhi pra tāsīhu,
113, 474, 575, 705, 754
nīśaur uccāh vadhīyābhi pra tāsīhu,
113, 474, 575, 705, 754
nīlamgoh (°gave) kṛmih (kṛmih), 618
nīlālohitam (°te) bhavati (°tah), 755
nūdan sapatnān adharīś ca kṛvan,
850
nu vām jīhvā, see anu vām jīhvā
nū cit sa dabhīyate janah, 821
nṛbhir yatāh kṛnute nīrīyam gāh
(gām), 700
nedīya it sṇyāh (°yā) pakvam ey it (ī
yavan, āyat), 449, 589
ned ega yuṣmad (tvad) aparatay itai,
713
neva māśe na pībasi, 611, 707
nainā amītro vyathirā dadharṣati, 509
naiva māśena pīvan, 611, 707
nyadhur mītrīyām (°ryā) lavayava-
odhasah (°sam), 402, 603
ny āsvinī hṛtsu kāmā (°māh) anyāśata
(vāśata), 374
ny bhathuh purumitrasya yojām
(°śanām), 286
pāñiktum (°tīs) chanda śhendrivam
(indr°), 399
pāñiktis tvā chandasām avatu (°śā-
vatu), 583
pāñiktyai (°yā) nidhanavat, 150
pāñicdaśīt prasūtāt pitṛyātatah, 496
pāñicvīm gīm (°vir gaur) vavo dadhat
(°dhuh), 399
pāñicvīś (°vyas) tīrā śdity īnīm, 213
patim vāco adābhīyam, 386
patim surāyī (°yai, °ryā) bhīcayam,
563, 587, 622
patim turasya rādhasah, 765
patir yad vadhīvo (°vai) vāśatī, 144
patir vāco adābhīyah, 386
patir vācavya bhūmanah, 427
patī turasya rādhasah, 765
patyur anuvamī bhūmā, 53, 87, 505
patve vācavya bhūmanah, 427
patyau (°yur) mē chid v utamāh, 671
pathā madhumatī bhāra (mad vā r-
ran), 476
pade-pade pāśāhānī rāyāh (°vā),
41, 70, 429
panthīrā (°tā) bhībhīyām, 283
pāpūh sara atī (°tī) rādātī
(°gām, °dīr), 50, 93, 501, 544, 681

nakṣatrāṇām mā samkāśaś ca pratikā-
śaś cāvatām, 437
nakṣatrāṇi rūpena (°paih, pratirū-
pena), 707
na jyāyān (°yo) asti vṛtrahan, 810
na jyotiṁśi cakṣati, 709
na tam vidātha ya mām (idam) jājāna,
698
na tat prāpnoti nirṛtim (°tiḥ) parācāh
(parastāt), 389
na te tanūm tanvā sam papṛeyām, 236
nadasya nāde pari pātu me (no) manah,
724
na dhvasmānas tanvi (°nuvi) repa ā
dhuh, 245
nanāndarī samrājāi bhava, 102, 676
nanānduh samrājāy edhī, 102, 676
na parā jigye katarāś canainoh (°na-
yoh), 319
nama ādityāya (°tyebhyaś) divik-
ṣite (°kṣidbhyo) lokasṛpte (°sṛpte,
lokakṣidbhyah), 697
nama āvyādhinibhyo vividhyantibhyaś
(°dhyadbhyaś) ca vo namah, 836
namah kṛtsnāyatayā (°tāya, kṛtsam-
vitāya, kṛtsnavitāya) dhāvate, 563
namah śvanibhyo (°nibhyo) mṛgayub-
hyaś ca vo namah, 199
namas ta āyudhāya, 706
namas te astu bhagavah (°van), 265
namas te astu sisara (°ro), 337
namas te bhate yat ta uttarato yat ta
uttarah pakṣah (yas ta uttarah pak-
ṣah, yas ta uttaro bāhur yas ta utta-
rah pakṣah), 826
namas te bhagavann astu, 265
namas te rathamtarāya yat te dakṣi-
nato yat te dakṣinah pakṣah (yas te
dakṣino bāhur yas te dakṣinah pak-
ṣah), 826
namas te rājanāya yas ta ātmā, 826
namas te rāthamtarāya yas te dakṣi-
nah pakṣah, 826
namas te vāmadevyāya yat ta ātmā yat
te madhyam (yat te madhyam yas
ta ātmā), 826
namasyāmas tvedyam (°dya) jātave-
dah, 28, 348

namah senābhyah senānibhyaś (°nīb-
hyaś) ca vo namah, 199
namānsi ta āyudhāya, 706
na mātseṣu na snāvasu, 611, 707
na mā (mām) brūyā vīryavati tathā
syām, 305
namo dive namaḥ pṛthivyai, 749
namo dyāvapṛthivibhyām, 749
namo mṛgayubhyah śvanibhyaś ca vo
namah, 199
namo vah pitaro ghorāya (°ya many-
ave, °ro yad ghoram tasmai), 426
namo vah pitaro jivāya (°ro yaj jivam
tasmai), 426
namo viśvakarmāne sa u pātṛ asmān,
358
nayantam gīrbhur vanā dhīyam dhāh,
399, 695
nayanto garbham vanām dhīyam dhuh,
399, 695
na yonā (°nāv, °nir) uṣṣānaktā (°tāg-
neh), 455
naras tokasya tanayasya sātāu (°tiṣu),
705
narāśaṁsena nagnahum (°huh), 406
narāśanso agnih (°ne), 324, 333
nava yat puro navatim ca sadyah, 816
nava yo navatim purah, 816
na vā u te tanvā tanvam (te tanūm
tanvā) sam papṛeyām, 236
na vā ojiyo rudra tvad asti, 342
na vi jānāmi (°nantī) yatarat (°rā)
parastāt, 851
navena pūrvaṁ dayamānāh syāma
(dayamāne), 763
na vai śvetasyādhyācāre (°syābhyācā-
rena, °syābhyācāre, śvetas cābhyā-
gāre), 446, 601
na hi te agne tanvah (°vai, °uvai), 144
nahy asyā (°yai) nāma grbhnāmi, 145
nāko 'si bradhnah (°no 'si) pratiṣṭhā
samkramanah (°nam, °natamam),
794
nābhā pṛthivyām nibhito dāvidyutāt,
672
nābhā pṛthivyāh samidhāne (°nam,
°no) agnau (°nim), 111, 393, 450, 548
nābhā samdīyi (°ya) navvasi (°se), 429

pātam mā dyāvāpṛthivī upasthe, 723
 pātānvañcam (*nūcah), 718
 pātānam tīryañcam (pratyañcam, prñcam), 718
 pātho ghṛtasya guhyasya (*yāni) nāma, 521
 pādo 'syehābha at (pādasyehū°, 'bha-vāt) punah, 449
 pādyam (*yam bhoh), 727
 pāpāt (*pah) svapnyād (*nād) abhū-tyāh (*yai), 150, 435
 pāpebhyaś ca pratigrahaḥ (*hāt), 434
 pāpmūnam te 'pahanmah, 380
 pāpmānam me 'pa jahī (me hata), 380
 pāpmā me hatah, 380
 pāvaka ī (*kayā yaś) citayantya kṛpā, 416
 pāvamānasya tvā stomena gāyatrasya, 586, 852
 pāvamānāh (tṛpyantu), 852
 pāvamānīh punantu nah (tvā), 213, 488, 724
 pāvamānena tvā stomena gāyatrasya (*tryā), 586, 852
 pāvamānyah (tṛpyantu), 852
 pāvamānyah punantu mā (te), 213, 488, 724
 pāśam grīvāsv avicartyam (*cṛtyam), 832
 pāhi duradmanyai (*yāh), 150
 pāhi durīṣṭyai (*yāh), 150
 pāhi prasityai (*yāh), 150
 pikah (pīgah) kṣvīṅkā nīlāśṛṇī te 'ryamne (*nah), 618
 pitara āyusmantas te svadhayāyusmantah (svadhābhīr āyus°), 689
 pitarah pitāmāhūh pare 'varebhyah, 428
 pitaras tvā manojavā dakṣinatah pāntu, 412, 697
 pitaro nūrāśānsāh (*sah), 443
 pitā virūjām ṛṣabho ray īnām, 706
 pitṛnām, see pitṛ
 pitṛnām nūrāśānsah, 443
 pitṛbhyaḥ somavadbhyaḥ babhrūn dhūmrānūkṛṣṇ, 618
 pitṛbhyaḥ barhiśadbhyaḥ dhūmrān babhrvanūkṛṣṇ, 618

pitṛnām (*ṛnām) sadanam aśi, 252
 pitṛnām (*ṛnām) ca manmabhiḥ, 262
 pitṛn hy atra gachāsi, 550
 piteva putram jarase ma enam (naye-mam), 702, 718
 piteva putram (*rān) abhi (iha) rak-ṣatūd imam (imān), 702, 718
 pitre putrāso apy avivatann ṛtam (ṛtām), 705
 pitvo (pidvo) nyañkuḥ kakkaṭas (kakuṭhas, kaśas) te 'numatyai, 618
 pipṛta (*hi) mā (māgnayah, māgne), 691
 pibāt somam mamadad (*mam amadann) enam iṣṭe (*ṭayah), 457
 pibā sutasya matir (*ter) na (iha), 446
 piśācebhyo bīdalakārīm (*ram), 782
 pībasphākam udārathim, 387
 pivānnāh (*nā, *nam) rayivṛdhah sumedhāh, 829
 pivo vṛkka udārathih, 387
 puṇsah kartur mātary āsīkta, 569
 puṇsā kartṛ mātari mā niṣūca, 569
 puṇsām bahūnām mātara (*rau) syāma (syāva), 771
 puṇse putrāya vettavai (vittaye, kartavai, kartave), 238
 punyā punyam (*yām) asūt, punyāh punyā (*yān) asuvan, 849
 putrah pitarāv (*ram) avṛṇīta pūṣā, 775
 putram (*rān) pautram (*rān) abhitar-payantīh, 702
 punah kṛnvaṇs tvā pitarām yuvānam, 768
 punah kṛnvantah pitaro yuvānah, 768
 punah kṛnvānā (*vantā) pitarā yuvānā, 768
 punah prīnah punar ātmā na aitu (punar ākūtīr aitu), 724
 punah prānah punar ītmī ma (punar ākūtām, punar ākūtām ma) āgāt (āgan), 724
 punantu manavo (manasā) dhīyā (*yah), 411
 punantu mā (mām) devajanīh, 305
 punantu vasavo dhīyā, 411
 punantu viśvā bhūtāni (*tā mā, viśva āyavah), 165
 punar agnayo dhīṣṇyāh (*yāśah), 155

payasā ('sah) śukram amṛtam janī-
tram, 569
payo aghnyāsu payo vatsesu, 700
payo grheṣu payo aghnyāyām ('ni-
yāsu), 700
payo me dāh (mayi dhehi), 641
paramachado vara ('chad avarān) ā
viveśa, 449
paramajyā raiśamah ('ma), 330
paramam padam ava bhūti (bhāri)
bhūri ('reh), 522
paraśur vedih paraśur nah svasti, 801
parah svapna mukhā krdhi, 378
parācīm ('cir) anu samvatam ('tah),
698
parāpūtā arātayah ('pūtārāti), 703
parāsmṛtāh ('tāt) parimucyanti sarve,
436
parā yāta pitarah somyāsah ('yāh), 154
parāsutrpah śośucatah śṇiṇi, 402
parāsutrpō abhi śośucānah, 402
parā svapnamukhāh śucah, 378
pari nah pāhi viśvatah, 724
pari tmanā visurūpā ('po) jigāti ('si),
849
pari tvā rudrasya hetir vṛnaktu, 743
pari dyukṣam ('śah) sanadrayim
('yih), 401
pari dhata dhata no varcasemam
('tta vāsassinām, 'nam), 849
paridhāsyai ('ye) yaśodhāsyai (yaśo
dhāsyē), 183
pari pitroś ca bhrātroś ca, 657
pari mā pāhi viśvatah, 724
pari vo rudrasya hetir vṛnaktu, 743
pari satyasya (sakhyaśya) dharmanā
('nah), 340, 571
pari sadmeva paśumānti hotā, 264
pari sarvebhyo jātubhyah, 657
pari svayam cinuṣe annam āsyē (āsani),
285
paridam vājy ajnam ('dam vājnam)
dadhe 'ham ('nam dhatavāsau), 406
parimam yajamānam rāyo manuṣyā-
nām, 442, 521
parimam yajamānam manuṣyāh saha
rāyas poṣena , 412, 442

parimam rāyas poṣo yajamānam manu-
syāh (rāyo manuṣyam), 402, 412, 442,
521
paretana ('ta) pitarah somyāsah
('yūh), 154
paraitu mṛtyur amṛtam na aitū ('tam
ma ā gāt), 723
paro divā ('vo, 'vah) para enā pṛthi-
vyā ('yāh), 574
paro devebhūr ('bhyo) asurair ('ram)
yad asti ('rar guhā yat), 416, 574
paro yad idhyate divā ('vi), 598
parnam vanaspate 'nu tvā ('pater iva),
364
paraśur vedih paraśur nah svasti, 801
pavamānah ('na) kanikradat, 329
pavamānam vicarṣanam, 387
pavamāna vidharmani, 693
pavamāna vy āśnuhi, 328
pavamānah ('na) samtanam eṣi kṛnvan,
334
pavamānā vidharmani, 693
pavamāno vicarṣanih, 387
pavamāno vy āśnavat, 328
pavasva deva āyusaḥ (devāyusaḥ), 336
pavitre stho vaiṣnavyau ('navi), 212,
296
paśum ('śūn) na gopā iryah pariṇmā,
700
paśūn nah śansya pāhi, 724
paśūn nah śansyājūgupah, 724
paśūn me śansya pāhi, 724
paśūn me śansyājūgupah ('jūg'), 724
paṣṭhavād gaur ('vāham gām) vayo
dadhuḥ ('dhat), 399
pāñktasya ('tēna) chandaso 'gneḥ
('sāgneḥ, 'sā bṛhaspatinā) ,
585
pāñktrah (pāñktah) kaśo mānthilavas
(man') te piṭṛnām ('ṛnām), 252
pāñcājanyaśya bahudhā yam indhate,
520
pātām ghrtasya guhyāni nāma, 521
pāta tiryāñcam (tiraścah), 718
pātām no dyāvāpṛthivī upasthe, 723
pāta pratyāñcam ('tīcah), 718
pāta pṛāñcam (prācah), 718
pāta mā tiryāñcam (pratyāñcam), 718

pr̥sato (°nto) hemantāya, 376
 pr̥ṣṭibhyah (pr̥ṣṭibhyas) svāhā, 188
 pr̥ṣṭhavād gaur vayo dadhuh, 399
 pr̥ṣṭhe pr̥thivya nikhito davidyutat, 672
 peśasvatī tantunā samvayanti (°vya-
 yanti), 465
 pauraṣeyād (°yena, °yān na) daivyāt
 (°vyena, °vāt), 570
 praugam uktham avyathāya (°ya,
 °thayat) stabhnātu (°notu), 420, 797
 pra candramās (°mas) tirate (°ti, °se)
 dirgham āyuh, 326
 pracetā (°tās) vo (tvā) rudraih paścād
 , 412, 697, 739
 prajananah (°nam, prajanah), 795
 prajananam vai pratisthā pitṛnām
 (°ṛṇām) , 252
 prajāyā ca bahum (°hūn) kṛdhi, 721
 prajāh kṛnvan janayan virūpāh, 727
 prajāh pupoṣa (pipartī) , 702
 prajāh pra janayāvahai, 702
 prajā (°jām) jinvā, 702
 prajām dadātu parivatsaro nah, 702
 prajām no narya pāhi, 724
 prajām no narvājūgupah, 724
 prajāpatih prajāyā (°jābhūh) samrarā-
 nah (samvidānah), 702
 prajāpatih prathamajā ṛtasya, 387
 prajāpatih prathamō 'yam jigāya, 401
 (om) prajāpatim tarpayāmi, 373
 prajāpatim aham tvayā samakṣam
 rdhyāsam, 403
 prajāpatim prathamajām ṛtasya, 387
 prajāpatir aham tvayā sāksād rdhyā-
 sam, 403
 prajāpatir janayati prajā imāh, 702
 prajāpatir yam prathamō jigāya, 401
 prajāpatis tanvam me juṣasva, 326
 prajāpatis tṛpyatu, 373
 prajāpati ramayatu prajā iha, 702
 prajāpate tanvam me juṣasva, 326
 prajāpate na tvad etāny anyah (na hi
 tvat tāny anyah, nahi tvad anya etā),
 165
 prajāpater viśvabhṛti tanvam (°vām)
 hutam asi svāhā, 249
 prajāpates tvā (°teṣ tvā) prānenābhi-
 prānīmi pūṣṇah poṣena (°ṣāya) . ,
 557

prajābhyaṣ tvā (°bhyo vām), 765
 prajābhyaṣ svāhā, 702
 prajām asmāsu dhehi, 641, 724
 prajām ā janayāvahai, 702
 prajām pipartī bahudhā vi rājati, 702
 prajām pipartu parivatsaro nah, 702
 prajām pra-janayāvahai, 702
 prajām me dāh, 641, 724
 prajām me narya pāhi, 724
 prajām me narvājūgupah (°jūg°), 724
 prajām me yacha, 641, 724
 prajāyā kam (kim) amṛtam nāvṛnita,
 822
 prajāyā svāhā, 702
 prajāvatir (°varir) yaśaso (°se) viśva-
 rūpāh, 420
 prajāvatih sūyavasam (°se) ruśantih
 (riś°), 544
 prajā vikṛvāṇi (°kurvaṇi) janayan virū-
 pam (°pāh), 727
 prajāṣ tvānu (tvām anu) prānantu, 507
 prajāh sarvās ca rājabāndhavaih
 (°vyah), 459
 pra no brūtād bhāgadhān (°dhām)
 devatāsu, 647, 724
 pra no yacha bhuvas (viśām) pate,
 698
 pra tad viṣnu (°nuh, °nus) stavate vi-
 ryena (°yāni, °yāya), 474, 486, 558, 705
 pra tad voced amṛtasya (°tam nu, voce
 amṛtam nu) vidvān, 504
 pra tam naya prataram (°rām) vasyo
 acha, 823
 pra tāry agne prataram na āyuh, 823
 prati kṛtyākṛto dāha, 703
 prati te jīhvā ghṛtam uc caranyet
 (°yat), 765
 prati tvā parvatī (°tir, pārvatī) vettu,
 200
 pratidhūnā pr̥thivyā (°yai) pr̥thivim
 jinvā, 559
 pratipūtā arātayah, 703
 pratiprasthātah paśunehi (°śum upa-
 kalpayasva), 474
 pratiprasthātah paśau (°śum) samva-
 dasva, 105, 543
 pratiprasthātah dadhugharmanānūdehi
 (°gharmāya dadhy upakalpayasva),
 563

punar āgāh punarṇava (punarṇavah, °va), 337
 punar jarāyur (°yu) gaur iva, 793
 punar dravinam aitu mā (mām), 305
 punar brahmāno (°mā) vasuṇītha (°nī-
 tir, vasudhītam, °te, etc) yajñāth
 (agne), 340, 349, 401, 695
 punar brāhmaṇam aitu mā (mām), 305
 punar manah punar āyur (ātmā) ma
 (nā) āgāt (āgan), 724
 punar mām aiv (maitv, mā praitv)
 indriyam, 303
 punarvasur (°sū) nakṣatram, 753
 punas te prāṇa āyati (āyāti, āyātu), 382
 punānasya (°nāya) prabhūvasoh (°so),
 364, 635
 purnidhvam ca yavā mama, 311
 purnān enam tanuta ut kṛnatti, 809
 purnānsam putram janaya, 381
 purnāns te putro nārī, 381
 purnān enad vayaty udgrnatti, 809
 purnān putro jāyatām (dhiyatām) gar-
 bho (°bhe) antah, 457
 purā krūrasya viṣpo virapśin (°mah),
 368
 purānān (°nā) anu venati, 406
 purā diṣṭād āhutir asya hantu, 689
 purā satyād āhutim hantv asya, 689
 puriṣam vasānah sukṛtasya loke
 (°kām), 526
 puriṣam vasānah svām yonim yathā-
 yatham, 526
 puruṣu tvaṣṭā (°ṣah) suvīryam (°vi-
 ram), 326
 puruṣu deva tvaṣṭah, 326
 puruḍasmo (°mavad) viṣurūpa (viśva-
 rūpam) indub, 401
 puruṣamṛgaś candramasah (°se), 618
 puruṣasya vidma sahasrākṣasya, 634
 puruṣ janayanti nah, 771
 puruṣe °dhi samāhitāh (°te), 766
 puruvāta (°to) jinva rūvaj (°vat) svāhū,
 334
 puruvāto varṣaṇi jinvar āvṛt svāhū, 334
 puṣṭapate cakṣuse cakṣuh , 359
 puṣṭipataye (°te) puṣṭiś , 359
 puṣycma (°yanto) rayim dhīmahe ta
 (tam) indra, 511

pūrṇā darvi (°ve) parā pata, 180
 pūrvam devebhyo amṛtasya nābhīh
 (°bhāyi, nāma), 456
 pūrvo yat (yah) sann aparo bhavāsi,
 816
 pūṣānsam vaniṣṭhunā, 126, 414, 508
 pūṣānvān karambhah (°bham), 407
 pūṣā nā ādhāt (mādhāt, mā dhāt) sukr-
 tasya loke, 724
 pūṣā bhagam savitā me (no) dadātu,
 724
 pūṣā bhagam bhagapatir bhagam as-
 min yajñe mayi dadhātu svāhū, 641
 pūṣā viśūm viṭpatir viśam asmin yaja-
 mānāya dadātu svāhū, 641
 pūṣā saninām (sanyā), 588, 704
 pūṣā svāhākārāh (svagāk°, svagākā-
 rena), 704
 pūṣne śarase (°si) svāhū, 655
 pūṣno vaniṣṭhuh, 126, 414, 508
 prchāmi tvā citaye (°yam) devasakha,
 487
 prchāmi (°mo) tvā (yatra, viśvasya)
 bhuvanasya nābhīm (°bhūh), 396
 prthivi mātā mā mā hīnśīh (°sīr mo
 aham tvām), 305, 326
 prthivīm viṣnur vyakransta gāyatrena
 chandasā, 527
 prthivīm śarīram, 381
 prthivīm bhasmanāpṛna (bhasma)
 svāhū, 419
 prthivi śarīram (°ram asi), 381
 prthivy asi janmanā dhruvā nāma . ,
 471
 prthivyā adhy udbhrtam (°tā), 851
 prthivyām viṣnur vyakransta gāya-
 trena chandasā, 527
 prthivyām agnaye samanaman sa ārdh-
 not, 655
 prthivyām (°yā) amṛtam juhomi ,
 73, 101, 650
 prthivyā mā pāhi, 150
 prthivyā varmāsi, 147
 prthivyā (°yā) sambhava, 589
 prthivy udapuram annena viṣṭā, 800
 prthivyai mā pāhu, 150
 prthivyai varmāsi, 147
 prthivyai sam anamat, 655

prānasya panthā amṛto (°tam) gra-
hābhyām, 843

prānah sindhūnām kalaśān acikradat,
419

prānān na vīryam nasi, 707

prānāpānāyor (°nā) uruvyacās tayā
(tvayā) prapadye, 526

prānāpānābhyām (prānāya) me varco-
dasau (°dā varcase) pavethām
(°vasva), 769

prānā vā āpah, 707

prānā sindhūnām kalaśān acikradat,
419

prāno agnih (°gnih) paramātmā pañ-
cavāyubhir (vai pañcavāyuh) ,
413

prāno vā āpah, 707

prātaryāvabhir (°vāno) adhvare
(°ram), 415, 527

prātaryujā (°jau) vi bodhaya (mucye-
thām), 131

prātāry agne pratarām na āyuh, 823

prānyā tantūns tirate dhatte anyā,
402

prāmūn jayābhūme jayantu, 836

prāvanebhuh sajośasah, 742

prāvo 'sy abhānsi, 788

priyah kavīnām matī (°tīh), 417

priyam sarvasya paśyatah, 616

priyam mā brahmani (°ne) kuru, 101,
654

priyāny aṅgāni tava vardhayantīh,
164, 311

priyāny aṅgāni (°gā) svadhītā (sukṛtā)
parūṅṣi (purūṅi), 165

priyā devānām subhagā mekhaleyam,
322, 330

priyena dhāmnā (nāmnā, nāma) pri-
yam (°ye) sada āsīda (sadasī sīda),
472, 528

priye (°yo) devānām parame janitre
(sadhasthe), 454

priyo dātūr dakṣināyū iha syām, 142

priyo devānām dakṣināyū dātūr iha
bhūyāsam, 142

pruśvā āsrubhuh, 709

preta marutah svatavasa enā viśpatyā-
mum , 219

pretinā (°tyā) dharmanā (°ne) dhar-
mam jinvā, 218, 559

preto muñcatu mā pateh, 219

prehi-prehi pathibhih pūrvyebhih (pū-
ryānaih), 170

praitu hotuś camasah prodgātī-
nām (°gātuh) , 695

plāśir (°śir) vyaktah śatadhāra utsah,
177

phalam abhyapaptat tad u vāvur eva,
826

phalavatyo (°tir, phalinyo) na ośadha-
yah pacyantām, 213

phalguni (°nir) nakṣatram, 116, 755

phalgunibhyām (°niṣu) vy ūhyate
(uh°), 596, 755

phalgūr (°gur) lohitorñi balakṣi (pal°
°kṣis) tāh sārasvatyah, 206, 227

baṇḍopapakāśini, 207

bandhur me (no) mātā prthivī mahi-
yam, 724

babhravah (°ruh) saumyāh (°yah), 700

babhravo dhūmranikāśah pitṛnām bar-
huśadām, 618

barhiś ca vedīś (°dim) ca, 387

balam mayi dhehi (me dāh svāhā), 641

bahuprajā nirṛtum (°tir) ā viveśa, 406

bahubhyah panthām anupaspāśanam
(°nah, anapa°), 387

bahūnām (°hvinām) pitā bahur asya
putrah, 834

bahvaśvājagavedakam, 459

bahvīm prajām janayantīm (°tī, °tau)
sarūpām (suratnā, saretasā), 388

bādhasva (°dhetām) dūre (°ram,
dveṣo) nirṛtum parūcāh, 531

bārhaspatyam aśi (°tyo 'si), 850

bāhucyuto dhiṣanāyā (°nāyor) upasthāt
(°the), 664, 769

budvo nyaṅkuh kaśas te 'numatyāh,
618

bṛhac ca te rathamtaram ca pūrvau
pāḍau bhavatām, 750

bṛhatī tvā rathamtarena tṛiṣṭubhyā
(tṛiṣṭubhā) vartanyā , 586, 750

bṛhatī (°tim) chanda indriyam, 399
bṛhat sūma pratīṣṭhityā antarik°
(°ṣam, °tyai), 535

- prati prāṇesu (°ne) prati tiṣṭhāmi
 puṣṭe (°tau, °my ātman), 707
 prati vām jīhvā gṛhṭam uc (ā) caranyat
 (°yāt, °yet), 765
 pratiṣṭhe stho devate (°tānām, °te
 dyāvāpṛthivi) mā mā samāptam, 440
 pratikam me vicakṣanam, 342
 pratikṣante (°tām) śvaśuro (°śruvo)
 devarāś (°rāś) ca, 702, 782
 pratiñi dik (diśām), [], 427, 438
 pratiçyā diśā (°śā saha, °cyām diśi)
 gṛhāḥ paśavo mārjayanām, 604
 pratiçyai tvā diśe varuṇyādhipataye
 , 427
 pra te dyo na stanayanti (°ta) śuṣmāḥ
 (°maih), 411
 pratnam ni (pratnāni) pāti kāvyam
 (°yah), 403, 740
 pratyak somo atisrutah, 404, 815
 praty aṅgeṣu prati tiṣṭhāmy ātman
 (°ni), 275
 pratyāñ ud eṣi mānuṣān (°śih), 841
 pratyāñ (°añik) somo atidrutah (°ru-
 tah), 404, 815
 pratyusṭam rakṣah pratyusṭā arātayah
 (°tārātih), 703
 praty etā vāmā (sunvan) pratiṣ-
 ṭhotopavaktar (°ta) uta , 342
 pra tvā ni pāti kāvyah, 403, 740
 prathamachad avarāñ ā viveśa, 449
 pra devāḥ (°vih) prota sūnṛtā, 782
 pra nabhasva pṛthivi, 344
 pra nu vocam vidathā jātavedasah, 636
 pra no naya prataram vasyo acha, 823
 pra no yacha (rāsva) viśas pate, 698
 pra no rāyā (rāye) parinasā (paniyase),
 63, 557
 pra no vaco vidathā jātavedase, 636
 pra parjanyah sṛjatām rodasī anu, 390,
 697
 pra parvatasya vṛṣabhasya pṛṣṭhāt, 79,
 661
 prapitāmāhān (°ham) bibharti (°rat)
 pinvamānah (°ne), 457, 702
 pra mā brūtād bhāgadām (dhavirdā)
 devatāsu (°tābhyah), 647, 724
 pra yam rāye niniṣasi, 390
 pra yakṣma etu nirṭim (°tiḥ) parāçaiḥ,
 390
 pra yad gāvo na bhūrṇayah, 816
 pra yā bhūmim (°mi) pravatvati, 348
 pra yujo (°jā) vāco agriyah, 587
 prayutam (°tā) dveṣah (°śāñsi), 703
 pra ye gāvo na bhūrṇayah, 816
 pra yo jajñe vidvāñ (°vāñ) asya band-
 hum (°dhuḥ), 401
 pra yo rāye niniṣati, 390
 pra rādhasā (°dhāñsi) codayāte (°yate)
 mahitvanā, 62, 469, 704
 pravaṇena sajoṣasah, 742
 pravayāhnāhar (°vāyāhne 'har) jñva,
 559, 788
 pravāsi, 788
 praśīrya śalyāñām mukham, 707
 pra śmaśru (°rubhir) dodhuvad ,
 47, 469, 711
 pra sakṣati pratimānam pṛthivyāḥ, 703
 pra samrājo (°jam) asurasya praśastim
 (°tam), 510
 pra sakṣate pratimānāni bhūri, 703
 pra sunvānasyāndhasah (sunvān-
 yāndh'), 623
 pra skannāj (°nnam) jāyatām havih,
 435
 prastotah sāma (°māni) gāya, 690
 prasnāpayanta (°ty) ūrmayah (°mi-
 nam), 390
 pra hañśāsas tṛpalām (°lā) manyum
 (vagnum) acha, 471
 praharṣṇam (°no) madirasya , 520
 prāktād (°to) apāktād (°to) adharād
 udaktāt (°tah), 173
 prākto apācīm anayam tad enām, 498
 prāk (prāñ, prāñk) somo atidrutah, 404,
 815
 prāci dik (diśām), 438
 prāci dig agnir adhipatir , 427
 prācīm avācīm ava yann ariṣṭyai, 498
 prāciś cojjagāhire, 213
 prācyā diśas (°cyām diśi) tvam indrāsi
 rājā, 676
 prācyām diśi (°cyā diśi, °śā saha) devā
 ṛtvijo mārjayanām, 604
 prācyai tvā diśe 'gnaye 'dhipataye ,
 427
 prānam na vīryam nasi, 707
 prānasya tvā paraspāyai (°pāya) cak-
 ṣuṣas , 152, 801

- bhiyañ ('yo) dadhānā hrdayeṣu śatra-
vāh, 706
bhiyasam ā dhehi śatruṣu ('rave), 642,
703
bhīṣagbhyo ('śajāñ) bhīṣaktarāñ (sub-
hiṣaktamāñ), 658
bhīṣajendre ('ram) sarasvatī, 535
bhuvā te dadāmi (tvayī dadhāmi), 641
bhuvā tvam indra brahmanā ('no)
mahān, 64, 79, 571
bhūtaye namah, 182
bhūtasya ('tānām) jātaḥ patir eka āstī,
698
bhūtānām brahmā prathamō ha jayā,
796
bhūtāya tvā nārātaye, 182
bhūtyai tvā (vāh), 739
bhūtyai namah, 182
bhūmidrñham acyutañ pārayiṣnu, 850
bhūmidrñho 'cyutaś cyāvayiṣnuh, 850
bhūmir itī tvābhīpramanvate janāñ
(tvā janā viduh), 698
bhūmir bhūmnā dyaur varinā, 273
bhūyāś ca (bhūyasiñ) śaradañ śatāt,
734
bhūyasi haviṣkarana upahūtaḥ ('tā),
849
bhūyāśma te sumatau viśvavedaḥ, 332
bhūristhātrām bhūry āveśayantīm
(tāh), 14, 402
bhūr bhuvāh svas te dadāmi (svaḥ
sarvā tvayī dadhāmi), 641
bhūs te dadāmi (tvayī dadhāmi), 641
bhettāram bhañgurāvataḥ ('tām), 703
bhṛājasvantam mām (bhṛājasvān
aham, bhṛājasvy aham, bhṛājiṣṭho
'ham) manuṣyeṣu kuru (bhūyāsam),
378
manhiṣṭham ('ṭho) vājasātaye, 387
manhiṣṭham vo (manhiṣṭhāso) magho-
nām, 388
maghavañ ('vañ) chagdhī tava tan na
ūtībhīh (ūtaye), 558, 704
maghābhīr gāvo gṛhyante, 107, 596
maghāsu hanyante gāvāh, 107, 596
manim ('niñ) sahasravīryam ('yah),
387
maninā rūpēni, 218
mandūkāñ jambhyebhīh ('mbhaiñ), 172
mandūky apsu śam bhuvāh, 419
mandūkyā su sam gamāñ (gamaya), 419
manyā rūpēni, 218
matstvā suśīpra ('rīn) harivas tad (tam)
īmahe, 842
mathavyān stokān apa yān rarādha, 770
made ('eṣu) suśīpram (śīpram) andha-
sah, 693
madhavyau stokāv ('kā) apa tau ra-
rādha, 770
madhu tvā madhulā karotu (kṛnotu,
cakāra), 488
madhu naktam utośasah ('sā, 'sī), 68,
96, 107, 584, 596, 678
madhu me madhulā karah, 488
madhu reto ('dhuṛ ato) mādhevah pātva
asmān, 437
madhoh pavanta ūrmayah, 244
madhoh pavaśva dhārayā, 244
madhoh pibataḥ aśvinā, 244
madhoh pibanti gauryah, 244
madhor ato mādhevah pātva asmān, 437
madho rasam sadhamāde, 244
madhoś cakānaś cāru madāya, 244
madhyatahkārīnām camasādhvaryavo
vaśaṭkṛtānuvaśaṭkṛtāñ ('te) juhuta,
761
madhvah pavanta ūrmayah, 244
madhvah pavaśva dhārayā, 244
madhvah pibataḥ aśvinā, 244
madhvah pibanti gauryah, 244
madhvaś cakānaś cāru madāya, 244
madhyo rasam sadhamāde, 244
manasyām hrdayād adhi, 665
manojavaśo vāh pītṛbhīr dakṣinata
upadadhatām, 412, 697, 739
manojavāś tvā pītṛbhīr ('taro) dakṣi-
nataḥ pātu (pantu), 412, 697, 739
mano jyotir (jūtīr) juṣatām ājyasya
(ājyam, ājyam me), 501
mano me hārdī (hārd) yacha, 793
mano hārdim yacha, 793
mandrā dhanasya sātaye ('yah), 420
manyum ('yur) viśa īdate mānuṣīr yāñ
(te devayantīñ), 406
manjūm janasya dūdhyaḥ ('yam), 520
manyunā kṛtam manyuh karoti , 408

INDEX OF MANTRAS

- brhad arkam yuñjānah suvar ābharann
 idam, 743
 brhad ṛṣabham gām vayo dadhat, 399
 brhad dha tasthau bhuvaneṣv antah,
 811
 brhadraathamtarayos tvā stomena triṣ-
 ṭubho vartanyā , 586, 750
 brhadraathamtare te pūrvaṁ pādau ,
 750
 brhad vṛṣabham gām vayo dadhat, 399
 brhan ha tasthau rajaso vimānah
 ("naiva), 811
 brhaspataye pāñktīya caruh
 ("rum), 376
 brhaspataye mahiṣa (mahi śad) dyu-
 man namah, 358
 brhaspatim yajñam akr̥nvata ṛṣun, 406
 brhaspatim sa diśām (b° sa) r̥chatu
 yo matasyai ("yā) diśo , 149
 brhaspatir brahma brahmapatir
 mayi dadhātu (yajamānāya dadātu)
 svāhā, 641
 brhaspatir yajñam atanuta ṛṣih, 406
 brhaspatir vācām ("ce), 627, 706
 brhaspatir vācām indo ("da, "dor)
 brhaspatizutasya ("vato)
 indriyāvatah patnīvantam ("vato)
 graham ("hāt) , 31, 365, 520, 692
 brhaspate mahiṣa dyūman (mahīṣya
 dive) namah, 358
 brhaspate yāmyām ("yā) yuñgāhi (yuñ-
 dhi) vācam, 605
 bodhāś ca tvā (mā) pratibodhāś (prati°)
 ca, 750
 bodhūmasi tvā haryaśva yajñanah ("ñe),
 602, 699
 bodhā me (no) asya vacaso yaviṣṭha,
 724
 brahmacūry asi (asy assu), 334
 brahmaṣyeṣṭhā sambhṛtā vīryāni ("thā
 vīryā sambhṛtāni) 161
 brahmanas tvā paraspūjāh ("ya, "yai)
 kṣatrasya , 152, 708, 801
 brahmanī guptah suktā kṛtena, 580,
 704
 brahmanā sampricānas suktā kṛtena,
 580, 704
 brahma tena punīhi ("nātu, "nīmahe)
 nah (mā), 724
 brahma devakṛtam upahūtam, 796
 brahma devān ("vā) aviyṛdhat ("dhan),
 389
 brahma devānām (brahma-devi) pratha-
 majā ṛtasya, 796
 brahmadvīṣah ("ṣe) śarave hantavā u,
 423
 brahma dhārāya, 705
 brahma yajñah ("ñah) pṛthivim dhāra-
 yanti, 689
 brahmavani ("mm) tvā kṣatravanī
 ("nim) paryūhāmī, 808
 brahma varma mamāntaram, 378, 449
 brahmāna ("nam) indram vayodhasam,
 406
 brahmā devakṛtopahūtā, 796
 brahmā devānām prathamajā ṛtasya,
 796
 brahmādhiguptah (brahmābhī°, brah-
 mābhigūrtam) svārā kṣarāni (surak-
 ṣṭah syām, svarāṅkṣānah) , 842
 brahmāham antaram kṛnve (karave),
 378, 449
 brāhmanah ("nah) śāntih, 695
 brāhmanas tvā nābhakāma ("mah) upa-
 dhāvām (prapadye), 740
 brāhmanena vācam ("cah), 390, 706
 brāhmano vo nābhakāma upadhāvāmi
 740
 bhakṣīya te (vo) 'vaso daivyasya,
 739
 bhaga eva bhagavān astu devāh ("vah),
 340
 bhagam pūṣā savitā no dadātu, 724
 bhadram paśyemākṣabhir ("kṣibhir)
 yajatrāh, 281
 bhadra te (vām) pūṣann ("ṣanāv) iha
 rātir astu, 765
 bhavatam nah samanassu, 723
 bhavati ("vān) bhikṣim dehi (dadātu),
 326, 849
 bhārati gr̥māni, 735
 bhāratiḍe sarasvatī, 329
 bhunadmi te kusumbham, 380
 bhūndat sapatnān adharāś ca kṛnvat,
 850
 bhūndhi darbha sapatnānām ("tnān
 me), 518

- maho ye dhanam (*nā, ratnam) samī-
 theṣu jabhrire, 704
 mahyam vātah pavatām (*te) kāme
 asmin (kānāyāsmāi), 99, 646
 mahyañ sūro abharaḥ jyotiṣe kam, 70,
 486
 mahyam grhnāmi tvām aham, 652
 mahyam jyotir abharat sūryas tat, 70,
 486
 mahyañ dhukṣva yajamānāya kāmān,
 309
 mahyā indram (*ra) svastaye, 348
 mānsāya (*sebhyaḥ) svāhā, 707
 mākiṁ brahmadviṣo (*sam) vanah, 703
 mā cakrā āvṛtsata, 164
 mā ca risad upasattā te agne, 695
 mā cāham divṣate radham, 633, 703
 mā jāmum moṣir amuyā śayānām, 401
 mātā mātari mātā, 444
 mātā yad vīraṁ dadhanad (yajanaḥ)
 dhanīṣṭhā (janīṣṭham), 402
 mātur mātari mātā, 444
 mā te grhe (*heṣu) nīśi ghosa utthāt, 711
 mā te yuyoma samdr̥śah, 670
 mā te riṣan khanitā, 714
 mā te riṣann upasattāro agne (grhā-
 nām śāle), 695
 māteva putram bibhr̥tāpsv enat (bib-
 hr̥tā sv enat, *enam), 837
 mātevāsmā adite (*tū) śarma yacha
 (yansat), 27, 322, 326
 mā te vyoma samdr̥śi (samdaśa [iti]),
 670
 mā tvam hārṣiḥ śrutam mayi, 671
 mā tv aham divṣatām radham, 633, 703
 mā tvā dabhan, 740
 mā tvā dabhan durevāśah kaśokāḥ
 (durevā yātudhānāḥ), 155
 mā tvā dabhan yātudhānā durevāḥ, 155
 mā tvā nī kran pūrvacito (*cittā, *cit-
 tau) nikārinah, 221
 mā tvā paṇiparino (paṇiparī) vīdan
 (etc.), 703
 mā tvā vṛkṣah (*sau) samī bādhiṣṭa
 (*tām, *dhetām), 765
 māduṣṭṛtau vyenasā (*sau), 131
 mānasya patnī śaranā syonā, 835
 mā nah sapatnah śaranah syonā, 835
 mā no andhe tamasy antar ādhāt,
 312
 mā no rakṣo abhi naḍ yātumāvātām
 (*vat), 440
 mā no hārdi tviṣā vadhiḥ, 724, 793
 mā no hāsīn metthito net tvā jahāma,
 724
 mā no hinsīṣṭa, 724
 mā no hinsī janitā yah pṛthivyāḥ
 (*vām), 694, 724
 mā no hinsīd dhinsito [dadhāmi] na tvā
 jahāmi, 724
 mā no hr̥itām (*thā) atithir (*thim)
 vasur agniḥ, 401
 mādā vāśāḥ śundhyūr (*yuvo) ajurāḥ,
 241
 mām dhehi, 723
 mā parā seci no dhanam (nah svam, me
 dhanam, mat payah), 80, 656, 724
 mām anuvratā bhava, 53, 87, 506
 mā mām mātā pṛthivī hinsit, 305, 326
 mā mā hāsīn (*sīr) nāthito net (na) tvā
 jahāmi (*ni), 724
 mā mā hinsīṣṭa, 724
 mā mā (mām) hinsīṣṭam svam (yat
 svam) yonim āviśantau (*śāthah),
 305, 784
 mā mā hinsī janitā yah pṛthivyāḥ, 724
 mā mā hinsīh svām (svam) yonim āvi-
 śanti (*ān), 305, 784
 māmīṣām kam canoc chīṣah, 380, 810
 māmīṣām moci kaś cana, 380, 810
 mām evā gnābhīr abhigāḥ, 474
 mā me *vāñ nābhūm atigāḥ, 474
 mā me hārdi tviṣā (*dum divṣā) vadhiḥ,
 222, 724, 793
 mām punihī (*nāhi) vīsvataḥ, 724
 mā vayam āyuṣā varcasā ca, 724
 mā vo dabhat, 740
 mā vo riṣat khanitā, 714
 mā samsr̥kṣāthām parame vyoman
 (*ni), 275
 māarena parisrutā (*tam, pariśr̥tā),
 459
 māśās (*sām) caturthah, 709
 māśās cārđhamāśās ca, 410
 mā sv asmāns tamasy antarādhāḥ, 312
 māham āyuṣā , 724

- manyur akārṣin manyuh karoti . , 408
 manyur viśa , see manyum
 nanyo vajrān abhi (upa) mām (na) ā
 vavṛtsva, 723
 mama cittān cittenānvehi (*ttam anu
 cittebhur eṣa), 706
 mama tūbhya ca samvānenam, 316
 mama padyāya vi rāja, 636, 655, 845
 mama vaśeṣu hrdayāni vah kṛnōmi,
 705, 707, 739
 mama vrāte te hrdayam (vrāte hrda-
 yam te) dadbhāmi (*tu), 705, 707, 739
 mama hrdaye hrdayam te astu, 705,
 707, 739
 mamāmūtrān vi vidhyata (*tu), 723
 mamāśīr , see mayy āśīr .
 mamayam astu poṣyā, 334, 671
 mayah patibhyo janayāh (*ye) pari-
 vāje, 422
 mayā gāvo gopatāni sacadhrvam, 607
 mayā gāvah santu gopatau, 607
 mayi grhānāmi tvām aham, 652
 mayi tūṣṭhanu gopatau, 607
 mayi te kāmādharaṇāni bhūyāt, 739
 mayi te rāyāḥ śrayantām, 739
 mayi dakṣakratū (dakṣo mayi kratuh),
 115, 750
 mayi dohah padyāya virājah (*jah kal-
 patām), 636, 655, 845
 mayi padyāya virājo dohah, 636, 655,
 845
 mayi puṣṭum (*jam) puṣṭipatir (puṣṭa ,
 puṣṭipatni) dadbhātu (dadātu), 849
 mayi vah kāmādharaṇam bhūyāt, 739
 mayi vo rāyāḥ śrayantām, 739
 mayidam indra indriyam dadbhātu, 723
 mayindra indriyam dadbhātu, 723
 mayobhuvo vṛṣṭajah santv asme, 737
 mayobhūr vāto viśvakṛṣṭayah santv
 asme, 737
 mayy āśīr (mamāśīr) astu mayi (mama)
 devabhūti, 102, 671
 maricir viprudbhūh (*pruṣi), 707
 marutas trinave stutam, 402
 marutān sadohavirdhānāni (*nāb-
 hyām), 755
 maruṭān pṛṣṭar (*līm) gacha, 700
 maruṭān prassave (*vena) jaya (*yata),
 66, 593, 601
 maruṭān manve adhi no (me) bru-
 vantu, 723
 maruivatīyam ukṭham avyathāya
 (*ya, *thayat) stabhnātu (*notu),
 420, 797
 marudbhya enomugbhyām . , 376
 martānām (*tāssā) cid urvaśīr akṣran,
 440
 marmām te varmanā (*mabhiś) chāda-
 yāmi, 704
 marya iva yuvatibhih (yoṣāḥ) sam ar-
 ṣati (*se), 467
 maryo na yoṣām abhy eti paścāt (*cā),
 575
 mahad adya bharatasya (*tānām), 740
 mahad dha tasthau bhuvaneṣv antah,
 811
 mahas cid yasya mīdhūṣo yavyā, 447
 mahas te sato mahumā panasyate (pan-
 iṣṭama), 446
 mahān avinām anu pūrvyah, 447
 mahāns te mahato mahimā, 446
 mahākarma bharatasya, 740
 mahā kavi yuvānā, 762
 mahādevasya (*vāya) dhīmahi, 634
 mahānāmni (*mnyo) revatayah
 (*tyah), 202, 213
 mahān mahitve (*tvā) tastabhānāh
 (samstambhe), 607
 mahām avinām anu pūrvyah, 447
 mahinām payo 'si, see mahinām
 mahiṣam nah subhvam (*bhavas, *bhu-
 vas) tasthivānsam, 234, 402
 mahiṣir (*sy) asi, 206
 mahi grhānā, 735
 mahi cid yasya mīdhūṣo yavyā, 447
 mahi devasya mīdhūṣo 'yavyā, 447
 mahinām (*hinām) payo 'si, 215
 mahi no vātā iha vāntu bhūmau, 709
 mahir grhānāh, 735
 mahi viśpatni sadane (*ni) rāsya, 455
 mahi hy aśya mīdhūṣo yavyā, 447
 mabe cana tvām adrivah (tvādr*),
 306
 maho gotrasya kṣayati svārājah (*jā)
 447

ya enad veda sa id enad (enam) arhatı, 837

ya oṣadhiṣu paśuṣv apsv antah (°ṣv āviveśa), 739

yah kravyāt tam aśīśamam, 20, 396

yah puruṣe yo aśmanı, 691, 710

yah pūtaḥ (potā) sa punātu mā (nah), 724

yam hutādam agnim yam u kāmam āhuh, 396

yakṣataḥ (°ṣat) svau (svam) mahimānau (°nam), 769

yakṣate cid abhiśriṣah, 816

yakṣmam śronibhyām (śroni°) bhāśadāt, 189, 498

yakṣmam bhasadyam śronibhyam bhāśadam, 189, 498

yakṣmā yanti janād (°nān) anu, 495, 698

yac ca kimeḥ jagat sarvam (°ty asmin), 457

yac cacārānanuvratam, 404, 817

yac cāham eno vidvānś cakāra yac cāvidvānś , 726

yac cāham eno vidvānśāś cāvidvānśāś , 726

yachā nah śarma saprathah (°thāh), 257, 721

yachāśmai śarma saprathāh, 257, 721

yajatrā muficateha nah (mā), 724

yajamānāya jāgrta, 649

yajā no (yajāno) devo (°vān) ajarah suvirah, 402

yajusṭham havyavāhana (°nam), 332, 346, 387

yajusṭho havyavāhanah, 332, 387

yaj jagrantha savitā satyadharmā, 465, 816

yaj jātam janitavyam ca kevalam, 448

yajñah pratyāṣṭhāt, 326

yajñah praty u ṣṭhāt sumatau mati-nām, 326

yajñam yad yajñavāhasah, 474, 689

yajñam vidānāh sukr̥tasya loke, 526

yajñam hinvanty adribhih, 419, 488

yajñam tapah, 792

yajñam dadhānāh sukr̥tasya lokam, 526

yajña pratitiṣṭha sumatau suśevāh, 326
yajñam āhur bhuvanasya nābhūm, 392
yajñāś ca dakṣinā (°nāś) ca (ca dak-
ṣine), 696

yajñas tapah, 792

yajñasya jihvām avidāma guhyām (°yam), 817

yajñasya te (tvā) yajñapate sūktoktau (hāvīrbhih, saha), 483

yajñasya mātā (mātaram) suhavā me astu, 18, 399, 723

yajñasya hi stha ṛtvijā (°vriyau), 131

yajñasyāyuh pratiran (°ntau), 765

yajñāya santv adrayah, 419, 488

yajñāya stīrnabarhiṣe vi vo made, 489, 646, 689

yajñeṣu stīrnabarhiṣam vivakṣase, 489, 646, 689

yajñair juhōti haviṣā yajuṣā (°ti yajuṣā hāvīrbhih), 689

yajñair vā (vo) yajñavāhasah, 474, 689

yajñair vidhema namaśā hāvīrbhih, 689

yajño bhūtvā yajñam āśīda svām (svam) yonim , 784

yataḥ prajā akhidrā , 569

yatamānā (°no) raśmibhih sūryasya, 739, 849

yato deva dadhiṣe pūrvapeyam, 656

yato bhūmim janayan viśvakarmā, 495

yat karmanāty aricam, 583

yatkāma idam juhōmı tan me samr-dhyatām, 726

yatkāmās te juhomas tan no astu, 726

yat kim ca (cit) tanvo (°vām) rapah, 708

yat kusīdam apratīttam (°tīttam, apra-dattam) mayı (mayeha), 607, 703

yat te asmin ghora āsan juhōmı, 278

yat te kruddhah parovapa, 517, 717

yat te devı nirṭir ābabandha, 832

yat te pavitram arcıṣı (°ṣā), 609

yat te mahe , see yat tvemahe

yat te śikvah parāvadhīt, 517

yat te susime (°mam) hr̥daye (°yam), 340, 457

yat tvā kruddhah parovapa (°ddhāh pracaḥruh), 517, 717

yat tvā śikvah parāvadhīt, 517

mā hīnsiṣur vahatum uhyamānam
(uhyamānām), 840
mā hīnsiḥ ("sit) puruṣam ("śān) jagat
(mama), 701
mīteva sadma paśumānti ("manti) hotā,
264
mitrah kṣatram kṣatrapatiḥ mayi
(yajamānāya) dadhātu (dadātu) ,
641
mitrah pāntya adruhaḥ, 115, 733
mitra satyānām pate ("nām adhipate,
satyaḥ), 329, 438
mitrasya tvā (vāś) cakṣuṣā , 740
mitrasyaśi kanīnikā, 789
mitrah satyānām (satyaḥ), 320, 438, 742
mitrāvarunayor dhruvenā dharmanā,
443
mitrāvarunābhyām āgomugbhyām pa-
yasyā ("yām), 376
mitrāvarunā rakṣatam ādhipatyaiḥ
("ye), 602, 705
mitrāvarunā śaradāhnam ("nā) cikittu
(cikittam, jagatnū, cikittvam), 585,
709
mitrāvarunau dhiṣṇyaḥ ("yebhir ag-
nibhiḥ), 172
mitrāvarunau dhruvena dharmanā, 443
mitrāvarunau śronibhyām (śroni"), 189
mitrāvarunau sa maitasyai ("yā)
dīśo , 149
mitrās pāntya adruhaḥ, 115, 733
mitro janān kalpayati prajānan, 698
mitro janān yātayati bruvānah (prajā-
nan), 698
miham na vāto vi ha vāti bhūma, 709
mukham kim asya (asyāśit) kau (kim)
bāhū, 776
mūdicatu yajñam ("ño) yajñapatim .,
390
mūncemam yajñam mūca yajñapatim
, 390, 695
mūncemam aḥhasah, 695
mūncemān amūn aḥhasah svāhā, 695
mūrā ("rair) amūrām purām darmā-
nam, 419
mṛgā na bhīmās taviṣṭibhir ("ṣebhir)
arcinaḥ (ūrmibhiḥ), 841
mrjanti vahnim sadaneṣv aha, 540

mṛjyamānā ("no) gabhastyoh, 712
mṛtāya jivām pariṇiyamānām, 701
mṛtyava ekaśatam paraḥ, 380
mṛtyur me pāhi, 437
mṛtyūn ekaśatam suve, 509
mṛtyūn ekaśatam caye (nude), 380, 509
mṛtyoh padam ("dāni) , 698
mṛtyor ekaśatam suve, 509
mṛtyor ekaśatam caye, 509
mṛtyor mā pāhi, 437
mṛtyor mukṣiya mā patyuh, 219
mrdaḥ barsvaiḥ ("vebhiḥ), 172
medasah ("sa, medobhyah) svāhā, 620,
694
me rāyah, 724
meṣam viprā abhūsvārā ("re), 601
mainam hīnsiṣtam svām yonim āvi-
śantau, 784
maiśām kam canoc chiśah, 380, 810
maiśām ucehesi kim cana, 380, 810
mo aham dviṣate ("to) radham, 633, 703
modah pramoda ānandah, 704
mo gū na indrātra (indra) pṛtsu devaiḥ
("vāh, "va), 29, 55, 353
mo śvatvam asmān tarādhat, 312
mo śv asmāns tamasy antarādhāh, 312
ya āgachāt pathubhir devayānaih, 816
ya ājagma ("muh) savane mā ("nedam,
"nam idam, ājagmedam savanam)
juṣṣāh, 551, 693
ya ābabbhūva (āvabbhūva) bhuvanēni
viśvā ("vāh), 838
ya āviṣṭo vayassu yo mṛgeṣu, 526
ya āhutim ("tir) atyamanyanta devāh,
689
ya indrāgni asanam sakhāyau, 133
ya indrena saratham yāti devah, 419,
588
ya imāh prajā viśvakarmā jajāna, 417
ya imā viśvā bhuvanāni cākṣe, 165
ya iśavo yātudhānānām, 449, 455, 788
ya im vahanta āsūbhiḥ, 40, 408
ya iśe paśupatiḥ paśūnām, 447
ya usriyā apyā (api yā) antar aśmanah
("m), 665
ya rte cid abhūśmāh, 816
ya etad (enam) vidur amṛtās te bha-
vanti, 809

- yadī vāruny asī varunāya tvā parikrī-
nāmy aham , 313
- yadī śrāto (*tam) juhātana, 397
- yadī somasyāsī rājñah (saumy asī)
somāt tvā rājño 'dhukrīnāmi (tvā
niṣkrīnāmi, somāya tvā parikrīnāmy
oṣadhū), 613
- yadī vahanty āsavah, 40, 408
- yad r̥te cid abhīśrīṣah, 816
- yad enās cakṛvān baddha eṣa, 439
- yad oṣadhyah samgachante (samag-
mata), 193
- yad gharṃe, 768
- yad dattaṃ yā ca dakṣiṇā, 696
- yad devasya śavasā prārināh, 816
- yad devā devam ayajanta viśve, 697
- yad devā devān haviṣāyajanta, 697
- yad devānām (*veṣu) tryāyuṣam, 671
- yad devāso lalāmagum, 816
- yad dha krāṇā vivasvati (*te), 645
- yad dharino (*ni) yavam attī, 779
- yad bhadrām tan na (ma) ā suva, 724
- yad bhūmer hr̥dayam divi candramasī
śntam, 363, 396
- yady antarikṣāt śa u vāyur eva, 826
- yady āsrāto (*tam) mamattana, 397
- yady asī vārunī varunāya tvā rājñe
parikrīnāmi, 613
- yady asī saumī somāya tvā rājñe pari-
krīnāmi, 613
- yad rātriyaṭ kurute pāpam, 68, 575
- yad rātriya (*ryā) pāpam akārṣam, 68,
575
- yad vāntarikṣāt tad u vāyur eva, 826
- yad vo devāh prapanam carāma, 472
- yad vo devāsa āgure (*ri), 646
- yad vo 'śuddha ālebhe tañ śundhadh-
vam, 472
- yad vo 'śuddhāh (*dhah) parā jaghnur
(jaghānautad) , 472
- yantā r̥tī, 849
- yantāsi yamanah, 849
- yam te devī nirṭir ā babandha, 832
- yantrī r̥tī, 849
- yantry asī yamanī (*mitrī), 849
- yam tvām ayam (tvāyam) svadhitā
tejamānah (tetijānah tigmatejūh),
306
- yam devāso lalāmagum, 816
- yam dviṣmas tasmin prati muñcāmi
pāśam, 703
- yam (yan) nah pitā samjñānte, 811
- yam nirmantthato āsvinā, 131, 476
- yan mayā duṣkṛtam kṛtam, 580
- yan mayā manasā vācā, 88, 580
- yan me agna ūnam tanvas tan ma (mā)
āpṛna, 239
- yan me kim canā duṣkṛtam, 580
- yan me manasā vācā, 88, 580
- yan me yamam vaivasvatam, 496
- yam adhvānam agāma dūram, 498
- yam antah samudre kavayo vayanā,
796
- yam abadhñita savitā suketah (suśe-
vah), 465, 816
- yam āsvinā namucer āsurād adhi (*cāv
āsure dadhi), 665
- yam asyendro apibāñ śacībhih, 501, 809
- yamād aham vaivasvatāt, 496
- yamāya yamasūm, 782
- yamāya somam (*mah) sunuta (pa-
vate), 382
- yam āsūrā dampatī vāmam āśnutah,
174, 476, 809
- yamena tvam yamyā samvidānā (*nah),
849
- yamena pitṛn (pitarah), 390
- yam pāñcājanyam bahavah samind-
hate, 520
- yam putrina ākramante viśokāh, 465
- yam mitram na prāśastābhih (*taye)
558, 690
- yamyai (*yās) trayodaśī, 143
- yamyai (*yāh) pāñṛah (*toro), 143
- yamyai yamasūm, 782
- yayāśiṣā dampatī vāmam āśnutah, 174,
476
- yayo rathah satyavarimarjuraśmih,
448
- yavaya dveṣo asmat, 703
- yavayārātīh (*tim), 703
- yavayāsmad aghā dveṣāñsi (*mad dve-
ṣah), 703
- yavā (*vair) na barhūr bhruvī keśarāni,
56, 412
- yavās cāyavās cādhipataya āsan, 441

yat tvemahe (te mahe) prati tan no
(prati nas ta) juṣasva, 496
yat pūrtam yās ca dakṣiṇāḥ, 696
yat pṛthivyām (*yā) rajah svam, 671
yatra gavām nīhitā sapta nāma
(nāmā), 270
yatra devāso (*vā) ajuṣanta viśve, 157
yatra bhūmer (*myai) juṣase (vṛnase)
tatra gacha, 143, 182
yatra-yatra jātavedah sambabhūtha,
342
yatra-yatra vibhṛto (bibhṛato) jūta-
vedāḥ, 342
yatra sarasvatyā meṣasya (meṣyā)
haviṣa priyā dhūmāni, 779
yatrādhi sūra udito (*tau) vibhāti
(vyeti), 457
yatrā vṛkṣas tanuvai yatra vāsah, 144,
488, 517
yatrāspṛkṣat tanvo yac ca vāsasah
(tanuvam yatra vāsah), 144, 488, 517
yatreṇā viśvā bhuvanādhi tasthuh,
164
yatrairānā (*raitān) vettha nīhitān pa-
rāke (parācah), 553
yatrausadhīḥ samagmata, 193
yat sānoḥ sāmum (*nv) āruhat (*hah),
795
yat subhṛtam yat svāhā, 727
yat strinām jīvabhojanam, 808
yathāgnih pṛthivyā samanamad evam
mahyam bhadrāḥ samnatayah sam
namantu, 431, 607
yathā tvam (tvam agne) suśravah suś-
ravā (*vā asy evam, *vā deveṣv evam)
aham suśravah suśravā (*vā brāhma-
neṣu) bhūyāsam (evam mām suśra-
vah sauśravasaṁ kuru), 378
yathā pṛthivyām agnaye samanamann
evā mahyam samnamah sam na-
mantu, 431, 607
yathā mitrāya varunāya śamtamah
(*mam), 397
yathāmi (*mīṣām) anyo anyam na jānan
(*nāt), 438
yathāyatham nau tanvau (*vā, tan
nau) jātavedah, 134

yathāyatham nau (no) vratapate (*pā)
vratāni (vratinor vratāni, vratinām
vratāni), 771
yathāvaśam tanvam (*vah) kalpayasva
(*yāti), 708
yathāham asya vīrasya (eṣām bhūtā-
nām, eṣām virānām), 739
yathedam stripautram aganma rudri-
yāya, 833
yatheyam strī pautram agham na
rodāt, 833
yathaiteṣām anyo anyam na jānāt, 438
yathainam jarase nayāt, 431
yathaiṣim anyo anyam na jānāt, 438
yad agne kavyavāhana, 326, 816
yad adya te ghora āsan juhomi, 278
yad antarikṣaṁ rajaso vimānam, 457
yad antarikṣam tad u me (nah) pitā-
bhūt (*tāsa), 724
yad antah samudre kavayo vadanti,
796
yad amuṣṇitam avasam paṇim gāh
(goh), 505, 700
yad asuddhah parājaghāna tad va
etena śundhantām, 472
yad asya karmāno *tyarīcam, 583
yad aham dhanena prapanas carāmi,
472
yad ahnāt kurute pāpam, 68, 575
yad ahnā pāpam akārṣam, 68, 575
yad āgachāt pathibhir devayānaih,
816
yadā mahah samvaranād (*ne) vy
asthāt, 662
yadārāghaṭi varadaḥ (yadā rākhūtyau
vadataḥ), 212
yad āśīrdā dampati vāmam āsnutah,
174, 476, 809
yad āhuś cakṣur aditāv anantam, 392,
671
yad ichāmi manasā sakāmah, 809
yad id bhūmum janayan viśvakarmā,
495
yad indro apibac chacibhiḥ, 501, 809
yadi varunasyāsi rājño (vārūny asī)
varunāt tvā rājño *dhikrīnāmi (tvā
niṣkrīnāmi), 613

- yāhs (yāś) te soma prāpāhs tām (tām, tām) juhomi, 406
yā jūtā ośadhayah, 103
yā ta iṣur yuvā nāma tayā no mṛda (tayā vidhema, tasyai te vidhema) tasyās (°yai) te namas, 152, 563
yā tām rātrīm upāsmahe, 349
yātudhānam (°nā, °nūn) kimīdinam (°nā, °nah), 703, 764
yātudhānebhyaḥ kaṇṭāktikūrīm (°kakūram), 782
yā te agne rudriyā tasyās (°yai) te svāhā, 152
yā te dhāmāny uśmasi gamadhyai, 183, 402, 769
yā te patighni (°ny) , 671
yā teṣūm avayū durīṣṭiḥ, 431
yā devīr antān abhito dadanta, 213
yā devy asīṣṭaka (°ke) sā mām upaśeṣva (mopaśeṣva) , 304
yāni karmāni cakrre, 552
yāni kṣetrāni yā (dhanvāni ye) vanā (vanāḥ), 793
yāni gharṇe kapūlāni, 768
yā no dadāti śravaṇam pitṛnām, 252
yām te cakrur gārhapatye, 488
yām tvā jano bhūmīr itī pramandate, 698
yām tvā rātry upāsmahe (°sate, °trī yajāmahe), 349
yāny apāmityāny apratītāny (°tītāny) asmi, 703
yā patyete apratītā saḥobhīḥ, 132
yā prathamā samskr̥tir yajñe asmin, 830
yābhīr yāsi dūtyām sūryasya, 799
yābhyām nūmantathātām aśvinau devau, 131, 476
yām āsām emi kevali sā me astu, 809
yām āharaj jamadagniḥ, 740
yām iṣum giriśanta (°tam), 352
yā rājānam (°nā) saratham yātha (yāta) ugrā, 402
yā rocane parastāt sūryasya, 455
yāvayārātīm, 703
yāvayāsmad dveṣam (°śah), 703
yāv ātastatur bhuvanāni vīśvā (°nasya madhye), 528
yāvānām cāyāvānām cūdhīpatyam āsit, 441
yās ca gnā devyo 'ntān , 213
yās ca devīr antān , 213
yās ca devīs tantūn (devyo antān) ` , 213
yās ca bhūmy adharāḡ yās ca paścā, 180
yā sarasvatī vīśobhagīnā (veśabhagīni) tasyām me (tasyā no) rāsva , 681, 723
yās tīrah prathamajāḥ (paramajāḥ), 438, 835
yās te bhūme adharād yās ca paścāt, 180
yās te rātrīḥ (°trayah) savitar devayūnīḥ, 197
yās te rudra purastāt senās tābhyā eṣa balis tābhyas te namah, 667
yās te rudra pūrvasyām diśi senās tābhyā enat, 667
yās te vīśas tapasah (°sā) sambabhūvuh, 64, 78, 569
yās te soma prānāns , sec yāns te
yāsyā apaśavyā tanūs tām asyā apajahi, 148, 612
yāsyā aputryā (°riyā) tanūs tām asyā apajahi, 148, 612
yāsyāḥ (°yai) patighni tanūs tām asyā apajahi (asyai nāśaya svāhā, ito n°), 148, 612
yāsyāḥ pāpī lakṣmīr yā patighni yāputryā yāpaśavyā tā asyā apahata, 148, 612
yāsyāḥ pāpī lakṣmīs tām asyā apajahi, 148, 612
yāsyām patighni tanūḥ asyai tām kṛnomi svāhā, 148, 671
yāsyai gṛhaghni (etc) tanūs tām asyai nāśaya svāhā, 148, 612
yāsyai ghorā (etc) tanūs tām ito nāśaya svāhā, 148, 612
yā svapantam bodhayatī (svapatsu jāgati), 548, 701
yāḥ supritāḥ suhūtā yat svāhā, 727

- yavena ("na vā) kṣudham puruhūta
viśvām ("ve), 402
yavenausadhīh ("dhayah), 390
yaśasā mā dyāvāpṛthivī, 412
yaśasendrābhraspati, 412
yaśo bhagaś ca (bhagasya) mā vidat
(riśat, "sya vindatu), 442
yaśo mayi dhehi, 639
yaśo mā dyāvāpṛthivī, 412
yaśo me dhāh (dhehi), 639
yaśo mendrābhraspati, 412
yas tad veda savituh (sa pituh) pitāsat,
729
yas tāni veda sa pituṣ ("tuh) pitāsat,
164, 729
yas tā vijānāt sa pituṣ (savituh) pitā-
sat, 164, 729
yas te deva varuna tam ta etenā-
vayaḥ, 472
jas te rājan varuna tam ta etenā-
vayaḥ (etad avayaḥ), 472
ya strinām jīvaḥhojanah, 808
ya sma śrutarvan ārkṣye, 449, 457
yasmā jātā na parā narva kim canūsa
(jātam na purā kim canaiva), 729, 810
yasmā jātā na paro 'nyo (anyo) asti,
810
yasmāt paraṁ nāparam asti kuṁ cit, 810
yasmād anyan na param kim canāsti,
810
yasmād anyo na paro asti jātah, 810
yasmād bhītā ("to) nīśidasi, 849
yasmān na jātah paro anyo asti ('sti),
810
yasmān idam viśvam bhuvanam adhi
śritam, 698
yasmān bhūtam ca bhayam ca, 643
yasmān viśvāni bhuvanāni (viśvā bhu-
vanādha) tasthuh, 167, 698
yasmāi ca tvā khanāmy aham (khanā-
masi), 714
yasmāi cāham khanāmi vah, 714
yasmāi bhūtam ca bhayam ca, 643
yasya jātam janamānam ca kevalam,
448
yasya deva dadhiṣe pūrvapeyam, 656
yasya dyāvo na vicaranti mānuṣā
("śam), 402
yasya dyaur urvī pṛthivī ca mahī, 114,
588, 757
yasya vratam ("ta) upatiṣṭhanta āpah,
54, 523, 526
yasya vratam ("te) paśavo yanti sarve,
54, 99, 526
yasya śrutarvā bṛhan, 449, 457
yasya samudram rasayā sahāhuh, 463,
550
yasyā āśās (imās) tanvo vītapṛsthāh,
671
yasyām karmāni kurvate (kṛnv"), 552
yasyām devā abhisamviśantah, 412, 765
yasyā bahvyas tanvo vītapṛsthāh, 148,
213, 671
yasyām imā bahvyas tanvo vītapṛst-
hāh, 213, 671
yasyā yonir , see yasyai yonir
yasyās ta āsanī ghore juhomi, 278
yasyās te asyāh krūra āsāni juhomi, 278
yasyās te ghora āsanī juhomi, 278
yasyās te yajñīyo (harito) garbhah, 148,
447
yasyāham asmi purohitah, 718
yasyendro apibac chaciḥbhih, 501
yasyai te yajñīyo garbhah, 148, 447
yasyai bahvīs tanuvo vītapṛsthāh, 148,
213, 671
yasyai ("yā) yonir huranyai, 148, 447,
784
yah samgrāmān ("mam) nayati (jay")
sam yudhe vaśī (sam vaśī yudhe,
"yudhā), 563, 698
yah samidhā ya āhuti ("tyā), 216
yah strinām jīvaḥhojanah, 808
yahvī ("vīr) ṛtasya mātara ("rah), 766
yā antarikṣa uta pāṛthivīr yāh, 451
yā antarikṣyā uta pāṛthivāsah ("vīr
yāh), 451
yā ātasthatūr bhuvanāni viśvā, 528
yā āharaḥ jamadagnih, 740
yā īśavo yātudhānānām, 449, 455, 788
yā ośadhayah prathamajāh, 193
yā ośadhayah somarājñih, 193
yā ośadhīh pūrvā jātah, 193
yā ośadhīh somarājñih, 193
yā parastād rocane ("nāh) sūryasya,
455

yenākṣā (°śān, °śyāv, yenā kṣām) abhy-
 aśicyanta (°śificatam, °tām), 380
 yenāṇṇṭam kham ca divam mahīm (°hi)
 ca, 391
 yenā samatsu sāśahah (°hīh, °hī), 842
 yenendrasya ratham sambabhūvuh,
 419, 588
 yenemā viśvā bhuvanāni tasthuh, 164
 yenaite prajāpateh, 363
 yenaṣa bhūtas tiṣṭhaty (°tais tiṣṭhate
 hy) antarātmā, 417
 ye no divṣanty anu tām rabhasva, 703
 ye panthāno bahavo devayānāh, 283
 ye puruṣe ye āśmasu, 691, 710
 ye barhiṣo (°śā) namovṛktim (namaṭk-
 tim) , 588
 yebhir vācam viśvarūpebhir (°pām)
 avyayan (samavyayat), 476
 ye māmākāh pitarah, 724
 ye rātrīm (°rīm) anutiṣṭhanti (°atha),
 196
 ye vanaspatinām, 514
 ye vādo (vāmi) rocane divah, 672, 815
 ye vā vanaspatinr anu (°patinām), 514
 yeṣām tiśrah paramajāh, 438, 835
 yeṣām adhyeti pravasan, 682
 yeṣām apsu sadas (°dah) kṛtam, 698
 yeṣām asmi purohitah, 718
 yeṣām īse paśupatih paśūnām, 447
 yeṣu vā yūtudhānāh, 449, 455
 yeṣu saumanaso (°sam) bahuh (ma-
 hat), 795
 yeṣv adhyeti pravasan, 682
 ye stha traya ekādaśāh (°śāśah), 158
 ye 'smākam pitaras teṣām barhir asi,
 724
 ye 'smān abhyaghāyanti, 703
 yo akṣeneva cakriyā (°yau) śacibhih,
 131
 yo agnaye dadāśa havyadātibhih
 (°taye), 567, 742
 yo agnih kravyavāhanah (kavya°), 326,
 816
 yo agniṣomāv ajuṣe sakhūyā, 133
 yo antarikṣe rajaso vimānah, 457
 yo apsv antar agnir yo vṛtre, 691
 yo asmān abhyaghāyati, 703
 yogakṣemo nah kalpatām, 724

yo devakāmo na dhanā (°nam) runad-
 dhi, 704
 yo devasya śavasā prārināh, 816
 yo devo viśvād yam u kāmam āhuh, 396
 yo nah kadā cid abhidāsati druhā, 724
 yo nah kaś cābhyaghāyati, 724
 yonir yas te hiranyayah, 447, 784
 yoner iva pracyuto garbhah, 184
 yo no anti śapatī tam etena jeṣam, 724
 yo no divā dipsati yaś ca naktam, 724
 yo no dveṣṭi tanūm rabhasva, 703
 yo no dveṣṭi sa bhidyatām, 312
 yo no dveṣṭy anu tam ravasva, 703
 yonyā iva pracyuto garbhah, 184
 yo mā kadā cid abhidāsati druhuh, 724
 yo mā kaś cābhidāsati, 724
 yo mā cakṣuṣā yo manasā, 724
 yo mā divā dipsati yaś ca naktam, 724
 yo me 'nti dūre 'rātiyati tam etena
 jeṣam, 724
 yo maitasyā dīśo , 149
 yo rudro viśvā bhuvanāviveśa, 165
 yo vām ratha ṛjuraśmih satyadharmā,
 448
 yo 'smānś cakṣuṣā manasā cittiṇkūtyā
 ca, 724
 yo 'smān dveṣṭi yam ca vayam diviṣma
 idam asya grīvā apī kṛntāmi, 724
 yo 'smān dveṣṭi sa bhidyatām, 312
 yau te pakṣāv ajarau patatrinau, 447
 yau patyete apratitau sahoḥbhih, 132
 yau vīryair vīratamā śaviṣṭhā, 170
 rakṣānsi tayā (tābhir) dāha jātavedah,
 739
 rakṣāyā tvā nārātyai, 182
 rakṣoghno (°ghno vo) valagaghnah
 prokṣāmi vaiṣnavān, 262
 rakṣoghno valagaghno 'vasiñcāmi
 ('vastṛnāmi) vaiṣnavān, 262, 740
 rakṣohanāh tvā valagahanam avasiñ-
 cāmi (avastṛnāmi, āstṛnāmi) vaiṣna-
 vam, 740
 rakṣohano (°no vo) valagahanah prok-
 ṣāmi vaiṣnavān (°vam), 262, 739
 rakṣohano (°no vo) valagahano 'vas-
 tṛnāmi vaiṣnavān, 262, 740
 ratnam devasya savitur iyūnah (°nāh),
 739

yuktās tisro vumjah sūryasya, 380
 yuktā hari vṛṣaṇā yāhy arvāñ, 815
 yukto vāto 'ntarikṣeṇa te saba, 380, 564
 yuktivā hari vṛṣaṇā yāhy arvāñ ('vāk),
 815
 yunajmi tisro vipreṣah sūryasya te
 (vivṛtah sūryah save, or savah), 380
 yunajmi vāyum antarikṣeṇa te (tena)
 saba, 380, 564
 yuvam tān indra vṛtrahan, 715, 836
 yuvo ratho adhvarām ('ro) devavitave,
 399
 yuṣmāñś ca dāyam ma upetā ('yam
 copetām), 403
 yuṣmākam sakhye aham asmi śevā, 314,
 654, 849
 yuṣmān ('mā) indro 'vṛṇita vṛtratūrye,
 803
 yuṣmān rāya uta yajñā asaścata, 112,
 689
 yuṣme ('mām) astu dive-dive, 318
 ye agnayo apsv antar ye vṛtre, 691
 ye antarikṣa uta ye divi śrītāh, 155
 ye antarikṣa oṣadhiṣu paśuṣv apsv an-
 tah, 739
 ye antarikṣe ye ca divi śrītāsah, 155
 ye aprathetām amtebhur ojobhuh, 469
 ye aprathethām amitam abhi yojanam
 (amitā yojanāni), 469, 698
 ye apsu ṣadāñsi (psu sadāñsi) cakrire,
 698
 ye amī rocane divah, 672, 815
 ye arvāñ ('vāñ madhya) uta vā purāne
 ('nam), 532
 ye ke ca pṛthivīm anu ('vyām adhi),
 542
 ye keśinah prathamāh ('me) satram
 āsata, 299
 ye gharṃe, 768
 ye ca tvām anu (atrānu), 307
 ye catvārah pathayo devayāñāh, 283
 ye ca devān ('vā) ayajanta, 401
 ye cātra tvānu , 307
 ye cāmī rocane divi, 672, 815
 ye ceme abhito rudrāh, 402
 ye cainam (cemām, ceme) rudrā abhi-
 tah, 402

ye jātās tanvas ('vam) pari, 494
 ye ta āranyāh paśavo mrgā vane hitāh,
 309
 ye tad vidur amṛtās te bhavanti, 809
 ye te panthā adho (panthāno 'va)
 divah, 283
 ye te panthāh ('thānah) savitah pūr-
 vyāsah, 283
 ye te sarasva ('svann) ūrmayah, 265
 ye tvām atrānu, 307
 ye tvā rātry ('rīm) upāsatē, 349
 ye devā agninetṛāh purahsadas teb-
 hyah svāhā, 426
 ye devāh purahsado 'gninetṛā (agni')
 , 426
 ye devā divy ekādaśa stha, 157
 ye devā deveṣv ('vebhyo) adhi devat-
 vam āyan, 665
 ye devānām ṛtvijo yajñīyāsah ('jo ye
 ca yajñīyāh), 154
 ye devā manojātā (manu') dakṣa-
 pitaras ('tāras) . , 253
 ye devāso divy ekādaśa stha, 157
 ye 'do rocane divah, 672, 815
 yena te te prajāpate, 363
 yena tvañ deva veda (tvam veda) ..
 tena mahyam (tenāsmabhyam) ,
 724
 yena tvābadhnāt savitā suśevāñ ('vāh,
 suketah), 465, 816
 yena devā amṛtam anv avindan, 154
 yena devā ('vāso) asṣhanta dasyūn,
 157
 yena devāso amṛtatvam ānaśuh, 154
 yena dyaur ugrā pṛthivī ca dṛdhā
 ('dhe), 114, 588, 757
 yena dhanena prapanam carāmi, 472
 yena dhātā (pūṣā) bṛhaspateh ('tāh),
 444
 yena prajā achidṛā . , 569
 yena prajā viśvakarmā jajāna (vyā-
 nat), 417
 yena mābadhnāt savitā suśevah, 465,
 816
 yena śriyam akṣnutām (striyam akṣnu-
 tam, striyāv akurutam), 774
 yenaśkramante putrino (ye) viśokāh, 465

lokam pitṛṣu vittvā, 550
 vañkrīr aśvasya svadhūtiḥ sam eti, 177
 vatsam gāyatrīm anu tā ihāgūh, 852
 vatsam jātam na dhenavah (mātarah,
 °tam ivāghnyā), 700
 vatso jarāyu pratidhuk piyūṣah, 793
 vatso virājo vṛṣabho matīnām, 706
 vanaspatayā ulūkān, 699
 vanaspataye (namah), 699
 vanaspataye svāhā, 699
 vanaspatibhya ulūkān, 699
 vanaspatibhyah (namah), 699
 vanaspatibhyah svāhā, 699
 vanaspatibhyo vānaspatyebhya . ,
 488
 vanaspatin vanaspatyān, 488
 vanema pūrvīr aryo manīṣāh (°ṣā), 469
 vanemā te abhiṣṭibhūh (°ṭaye), 558, 704
 vandadvārā vandamānā vivaṣṭu, 402
 vandārus te (°ruṣ ṭe, °rum te) tanvam
 (°nuvam) vande agne, 402
 vande dārum vandamāno vivakmī, 402
 vapāvantam (°to) nāgmunā tapantah,
 402
 vaptā (°trā, °tar) vapasi (°ti) keśāś-
 maśru (keśān), 326, 334
 vayam rājabhūh (°jasu) prathamā dha-
 nāni, 607
 vayam vām mitrā syāma, 449
 vayam samghātam (°tam-samghātam,
 °te-samghāte) jeṣma (jayema, sam-
 jayema), 105, 546
 vayam te rudrā syāma, 449
 vayam nāma pra bravāmā (°ma) ghṛta-
 sya (°tena), 587
 vayāṁsi ya āviveśa yo mrgeṣu, 525, 526
 varam vṛṇiṣva, 739
 varuṇa mitra dāśuṣah (°ṣe), 635
 varunas tvā dhṛtavrato mitrāva-
 runsu (°nayor) . , 443
 varunasya skambhanam (°ay) asi, 802
 varunasya skambhasarjanam (°ny) asi
 (°jani sthah), 769, 802
 varunah samrāt samrāṭpatih . mayi
 dadhātu (yajamānāya dadātu) . ,
 641
 varuṛīm tvaṣṭur varunasya nābhīm,
 198

varuṛīr avayan, 198
 varuṛtrayas tvāvayan, 198
 varuṛtrayo janayas tvā devīr viśvade-
 vyāvatiḥ , 198, 697
 varuṛīm tvaṣṭur varunasya nābhīm,
 198
 varuṛīr (varu°) tvā devī viśvadevya-
 vatī , 697
 varuṛīm tvaṣṭur varunasya nābhīm,
 198
 varuṛīṣṭvā (°ruṛīṣ tvā) devīr viśvade-
 vyāvatiḥ , 198, 697
 varuṛthyam (°ye) varune chandyam
 vacah, 552
 varenyakratūr (°tur) aham, 402
 varca ā dhehī me tanvam (dhāyi me
 tanūh), 249, 380
 varcase tvā (vām), 765
 varco asmāsu dhatta (dhehī), 640, 724
 varco dhā yajñāvāhase (°si), 639
 varco mayi dhehī, 640, 724
 varco me dāh (dehī, dhāh, dhehī,
 yacha), 640, 724
 varṣam pavitrām (purānam) punati ma
 (na) āgāt, 724
 vardhamāno (°nam) mahān (°ha) ā ca
 puṣkare (°ram), 453, 837
 vardhā samudram ukthyam (°ya), 350
 vardhuṣimahi ca vayam ā ca pyāṣi-
 mahi (pyāyīṣimahi ca), 724
 varma (°mā) śivyaadvam bahulā
 prthūni, 270
 varṣā ṛtuh (ṛtūnām), 438
 varṣāni (°ṣāś) cākṣuṣāni (°ṣyah), 797
 varṣābhūh tvartunā (°nām) haviṣā dik-
 ṣayāmi, 581
 varṣīyo varṣīyasi (°so) yajñe (°nām)
 yajñapatim (°tau) dhāh, 537, 670
 varṣo varṣīyasi yajñe yajñapatim dhāh,
 537, 670
 varṣma (°man) divah, nābhā prthivyāh,
 458
 varṣman kṣatrasya (rāṣṭrasya) kaku-
 bhūh (°bhi, °bbhih, kakudī) śīrīyā-
 nah (śrayasva), 611
 vaimikīn klomnā (°mahhih), 707
 vavrān anantān (vavram anantam) ava
 sā padīṣṭa, 698

rathamtaram sāma pratiṣṭhityā anta-
rikṣe ("kṣam, sāma pratiṣṭhityā), 535
rathā aśvāsa uṣaso vyūṣṭau ("ṣiṣu), 709
rathitamam ("mo) rathinām, 387
rathe akṣeṣv ṛṣabhasya ("ṣu vṛṣ") vāje,
710
ratheṣv akṣeṣu vṛṣabharūjāh, 710
rantir asi, 178
rantī ramatīh sūnuh sūnarī, 178
rabhiyasa ("yānsam) iva kṛtvī, 700
rayim ca nah sarvavīram ("rām) ni
yachatu ("chāt), 785
rayim dānāya codaya, 704
rayum devī dadātu me, 704, 723
rayum dhehi sarvavīram vacasyam, 489
rayim no dhehi subhage suvīram ("re,
"ryam), 350
rarānas tmanā devebhyah ("veṣu), 644
rasam parisrutā ("to) na rohitam, 587
rikām aham suhavam ("vā) suṣṭutī
huve, 58, 470
rākāyā aham devayajayā prajāsvān
("vatī) bhūyāsam, 849
rājantam ("tāv) adhvārānām, 765
rātrim-rātrim aprayāvam bharantah,
196
rātrim ("rīm) jinva, 196
rātrim dhenum ivāyatīm (upāyatīm),
196
rātrim pīvasā, 196
rātri stomam na jigyuṣe ("ṣī), 195, 337,
430
rātrim-rātrim aprayāvam bharantah,
196
rātrim dhenum ivāyatīm, 196
rātrim pīvasā, 196
rātri stomam na jigyuṣī, 195, 337, 430
rāyas poṣam yajamāne dadhātu, 640
rāyas poṣam vi śyatām ("tu, śya) nāb-
him asme (asya), 486, 623
rāyas poṣam cikītuṣe ("ṣī) dadhātu
(dadātu), 430, 640
rāyas poṣā ("ṣāya) yajamānam viś-
antu, 421
rāyas poṣā ("ṣāya) yajamānam sacan-
tām ("nasya santu), 421, 519
rāyas poṣena ("ṣe) sam iṣā madema,
100, 603

rāyas poṣe yajñapatim ābhajantīh
("ti), 739
rāye agne mahe tvā, 70, 86, 629
rāye jātah sahasa vṛddhah, 558
rāyo agne mahe tvā, 70, 86, 629
rāyo dānāya codaya, 704
rāyo devī dadātu nah, 704, 723
rāyo budhnaḥ saṁgamano vasūnām,
849
rāstrāny asmā ādhehi (asmin dhehi),
642
rudrah paśūnām ("śubhyah), 627
rudra ("ram) jalāṣabheṣaja ("jam), 346
rudra yat te krayī (krivī, kravī, giri-)
parām nāma tasmai ("min) hutam
asi, 650
rudrasya gānapatyān ("yam, "ye) may-
obhūr ehi, 495, 526, 705
rudrāh pañcadaśe stutam ("tāh), 402
rudrās tvā pracetasah paścāt pāntu,
412, 697
ruho ruroha rohita ā ruroha, 687
rūpam varnam paśūnīm mā nirmṛk-
ṣam, 495
rūpam indre ("ro) hiranyayam, 453
rūpāni pinṣan bhuvanāni viśvā, 57, 459
rūpād varnam mā nirmṛkṣat, 495
rūpāni pinṣad bhuvanāni viśvā, 57, 459
revaj jātah sahasa vṛddhah, 558
revatī predhā yajñapatim āviśa, 550,
697
revatī yajamāne priyam dhā āviśa, 550,
697
revatīr yajñapatim priyadhāviśata,
550, 697
rodana kṛnvatīr ("ty, "vanto) agham,
739, 849
roham-roham rohita āruroha, 687
rohinīs ("nyas) tryavayo vāce, 213
rohit kundṛpāci golattikā tā apsarasām
(te 'psarasām), 825
raudrenānikena pāhi māgne (pāta māg-
nayah), 691
lājīñ chācīñ (lājīñ śācīñ) yavye gavye
(yaśo mamāgñ .), 280
lekah salekah sulekas te na ādityā
ājyam jusānā viyantu, 501
lokam u (id) dve upa jāmī iyatuh, 402

- vāmam duhāthām gharmaḍughe iva
dhenū, 775
vāmam prayaty adhvaṇe, 527
vāmī (vāmyā) te samdṛśi viśvam reto
dheṣīya (dhi*) tava vāmīr (*my) anu
samdṛśi, 207, 705
vāyav ete (etau, eṣa) te vāyo, 773
vāyavyam śvetam, 407
vāyavyah śvetah puche, 407
vāyuh pūtah pavitrena, 443
vāyunā prānāh, 390
(om) vāyūm tarpaṣāmi, 373
vāyur na iditā iditavyair devair anta-
rikṣyaiḥ (āntarikṣaiḥ) pātu, 576
vāyur na (mā) tebhya rakṣatu, 723
vāyur vā tvā manur vā tvā, 741
vāyuṣ tvāntarikṣit pātu sūryo divah,
576
vāyusavitṛbhyām ūgomugbhyām pa-
yah, 424
vāyus tṛpyatu, 373
vāyoh pūtah pavitrena, 443
vāyo prāyaścitte (*tir asi) tvam ,
339
vāyosāvitra ūgomugbhyām caruh, 424
vārān (*ram) yat pūto atyeṣy avyān
(*yam), 711
vi kumāram jarāyupā, 61, 459
vi garbham ca jarāyu ca, 61, 459
vicarantī apatīvratā, 404, 817
vichandā yās (yā) ca sachandāh, 690
vi jhiṣva (*irṣva) lokam (*kān) kṛnu
(kṛdhi), 698
vi te bhūnadmi takāṣīm (*nim, meha-
nam), 190
vi te muñcāmi raśanām (*nā) , 710
vidānā asya yojanam (*nā), 698
vidā rāyah (*ye) suvīryam, 630
viduh pṛthivyā divo janitram (*rāt),
495
viduṣṭaro diva ārodhanāni, 698
vided (*der) agnir (*ner, *ne) nabho
, 333, 369, 449
videyam enad dhṛdaye niviṣṭam (enām
manasi praviṣṭām), 809
vidmā te dhāma vibhṛtā purutrā, 698
vidmā te sadma vibhṛtā purutrā, 698
vidyutam (*tau) kanīnakābhyām (ka-
nīm*, kanāna*), 774
vidvān ārodhanam divah, 698
vidhṛtir asi (*ti sthah), 765
vidhes tvam āsmūkam nāma (nāmnā),
474
vi parjanyaṃ (*yāh) sṛjanti rodasi anu,
390, 697
vipipṇā (*nāh) sarasvatī, 758
vipreā (*cas, *cah, *cau) stha (sthah),
770
viprasya dhārāyā kavīh, 446
viprasya vū yac chaśamāna ukthyaṃ
(*yah), 401
viprah sa dhārāyā sutah, 446
viprā (*rāya) gātham gāyata yaḥ juḥo-
ṣati (*ṣat, yam juḥoṣate), 360, 816
viprā viprasya brhato vipaścitah, 446,
739
vipro viprasya sahaso vipaścit, 446, 739
vibhave svāhā, 233
vibhum kāmam vy aśīya (aśnavai), 704
vibhur asi pravāhanah, 232
vibhur mātṛā prabhuh pitṛā, 232
vibhuve svāhā, 233
vibhūn kāmān vy aśnavai, 704
vibhūr asi pravāhanah, 232
vibhūr mātṛā prabhūh pitṛā, 232
vibhrūjamānah sarīrasya (salī*) mad-
hye (*yūt), 664
vibhve svāhā, 233
vi mamatā rohito viśvarūpah, 402
vi mitra evair arātim atārīt, 703
vi yo mame rajasi sukratūyayā, 413,
755
vi yo rajānsy amimīta sukratuh, 413,
755
virājam (*rāt) chanda ihendriyam
(indr*), 399
vi rohito amṛśad viśvarūpah, 402
viliptī yā (*ptyā) brhaspate, 445
vivadhaś chandah, 792
vivasva (*vann, *vāh) ādityaiṣa te
somapīthas tena (tasmin) mandasva
- (tasmin mātṛā), 265, 340, 603
vivasvā aditir devajūtiṣa te na ādityā
ājyam juṣṇānā viyantu, 326

- vasañ vehatam gām (gām na) vayo
 dadhat, 399
 vaśā meṣā avasṛṣṭāsa (°ā) āhutāh, 157
 vaśā vead (°had gaur na) vavo dad-
 huh, 399
 vasanta ṛtuh (ṛtūnām), 438
 vasantena tvartunā (°nām) haviṣā dik-
 ṣayāmi, 84, 581
 vasavaś cātiṣṭhan vasudhūtaras ca, 697
 vasavas trivṛtā stutam (°tāh), 402
 vasavo rudrā ādityā uparispṛśam mā,
 402, 511, 723
 vasavo rudrā ādityā etā vah panneja-
 nih, 359
 vasum sūnum sahaso jātavedasam, 521
 vasudāvā vasupatīh (vasupate vasudā-
 van), 336
 vasubhyah kapiñjalāh, 618
 vasubhyo rudrebhya ādityebhyo viś-
 vebhyo vo devebhyah pannejanīr
 grhṇāmi, 359
 vasumatāḥ (°tīm agne) te chāyām upas-
 theṣam, 521
 vasumadganasya .. upahūtasypa-
 hūto (upahūta upahūtam) bhakṣa-
 yāmi, 501
 vasuś cetiṣṭho vasudhātamaś ca, 697
 vasuḥ sūnuḥ sahaso apsu rājā, 447
 vasūnām kapiñjalāh, 618
 vasūnām tvādhītēna (°nām ādhītau)
 , 601
 vasūnām pavitram aśi , 697
 vasūni cārur (cārye, cāryo, cāryyo) vi
 bhajāsi (bhṛjāsi, bhajā sa) jīvan, 334,
 849
 vasūni jāte janamāna (jāto janimāny)
 ojaś, 458
 vasoh kuvīd vanāti nah, 244
 vasoh pavitram aśi , 697
 vasor vaso (vaso vasvah) puruṣprah,
 244
 vasoh sūnum sahaso jātavedasam, 521
 vasoh sūnuḥ sahaso apsu rājā, 447
 vasyaṣṭaye (°tyai) tvā, 182
 vasvah kuvīd vanāti nah, 244
 vasvi rantīh sumanāh, 178
 vaha kālā (°lam) vaha śriyam mābhī
 vaha, 349
 vahnōsi sū sukṛtān yatra lokah, 396, 698
 vahnōsi mā sukṛtān yatra lokah, 396, 698
 vāk patamgāya dhivate (śisṛye, hūy-
 ate), 431
 vāk patamgo aśisṛiyat (°gū aśisṛayuh),
 431
 vān ma āsan (āsyē, āsyan), 285
 vācaspataye tvā hutam prūṇāmi, 501,
 563
 vācaspatinū te hutasyeṣe . . (huta-
 sya , hutasyāśnūmy ..), 501, 563
 vācaspate vidhe nūman, 250
 vācā mendriyenūvīśa (vācām indr°),
 589, 706
 vāci (°ce) svābhā, 655
 vājam vājino jayatādhvānam (°vanas)
 , 698
 vājasya mā prasavēna (°vah), 411
 vājasya hi prasavo (°ve) . , 457
 vāji tvā sapatnasūham sam mārjmi
 (mārṣṭi), 850
 vājīnam tvā vājino vanayāmāh (°ny
 avanayāmi), 455
 vājīnam tvā vājīn vājīyatyāyāi sam
 mārjmi, 850
 vājīnam tvā vājedyāyāi (sapatnasū-
 ham) sam mārjmi (mārṣṭi), 850
 vājino vājīto 'dhvāna (°no vājām
 jayatādhvāna) akabhnuvanto ,
 327 698, 777
 vājino vājīto vājām sariṣyānto
 bhāgam ava jighṛta, 327, 777
 vājino vājīto vājām sasṛvāśo (jigi-
 vāśo) . bhāgam (°ge) .. , 327,
 777
 vājīnau vājītau vājām jivā bhā-
 gam (°ge) . , 327, 777
 vājo nah (me, mā) sapta pradisāh, 519,
 724
 vājo no (me) adya prasuvāti dānam,
 723
 vājo no (mā) viśvair devaiḥ, 724
 vātam prānam anvayāṣṛjātā, 381
 vāta (°tah, °tas, °tā) spṛtah (°tāh),
 709
 vātēna prānān, 390
 vāto vā (vā vo) mano vā, 741
 vūnushatyūsi (°tyam aśi, °tyo 'aśi), 848

viśve devasya netuh, 731
 viśve devā anuṣṭu nyuptah (°pyamā-
 neṣu), 454
 viśve devā aditih sajoṣāḥ, 157
 viśve devā anu tiṣṭhantu meha, 154
 viśve devā abhi rakṣantu (°ti) tveha
 (pakvam, paścāt, meha, mām iha),
 154, 303, 327
 viśve devā iha mādayantām (vīrayadh-
 vam), 157
 viśve devā yad ajuṣanta pūrve, 157
 viśve devāsa iha vīrayadhvam (māda-
 yadhvam, mādayantām), 157
 viśve devāso aditih sajoṣāḥ, 157
 viśve devāso adhi vocatā nah (me), 154,
 327, 723
 viśve devāḥ sajoṣasah, 157
 viśve no devā āvasā gamantu (gamann
 iha), 724
 viśvebhyo devebhyā usrānām me-
 dasām anubrūhi (°sām preṣya), 694
 viśvebhyo devebhyah prṣatān (°tah),
 618, 700
 viśvebhyo devebhyah chāgānām
 medaso 'nubrūhi (°sah preṣya), 694
 viśve mā devā avasāgamann iha, 724
 viśve yasminn amartye, 402, 457
 viśve rāya iṣudhyasi, 731
 viśveṣām devānām aham (°sām aham
 devānām) prānāḥ (°nānām) sāyu-
 jyam gameyam, 578
 viśveṣām devānām prṣatah, 618, 700
 viśvair devaiḥ pitṛbhiḥ (°vair yajūr-
 yaḥ) samvidānah (°nau), 765
 viśvair devair anumatā (°tam) marud-
 bhiḥ, 388, 404, 817, 849
 viśvo devasya netuh, 731
 viśvo rāya iṣudhyati, 731
 viśvurūpā yat salakṣmāno bhavatha, 727,
 848
 viśvūkuham iva (°hasya) dhanvanā, 519
 viśvūcīm vi vṛhāmasi, 849
 viśvūcīnūn (°nā) vyasyatām (°tāt), 850
 viṣṭambhani diśām adhipatī bhuvanā-
 nām, 381, 698
 viṣṭambhena vṛṣṭyā (°yai) vṛṣṭim juva,
 559
 viṣṭhitāḥ (°tā) pṛthivīm anu, 851

viṣṇuḥ pṛthivyām vyakransta gāya-
 trenā chandasā, 527
 viṣṇukrānte vasumdhārā (°re), 342
 (om) viṣṇum tarpayāmi, 373
 viṣṇum agan varunam pūrvahūtiḥ, 115,
 399, 457, 748
 viṣṇur antarikṣe vyakransta traiṣṭu-
 bhena chandasā, 527
 viṣṇur divi vyakransta jūgatena chan-
 dasā, 527
 viṣṇus tṛpyatu, 373
 viṣṇus tryakṣarena (°kṣarayā), 808
 viṣṇus tvaṣṭā prajāyā samrarānah, 735
 viṣṇus tvā (tvām) nayatu, 308
 viṣṇus tvām indriyena pātu (tvām
 pātu), 308
 viṣṇus tvām unnayatu, 308
 viṣṇū agan varunā pūrvahūtau (°tim),
 115, 399, 457, 527, 748
 viṣṇor dhruvo 'si (°vam asi), 121, 808
 viṣṇor manasā pūte sthah (pūtam asi),
 769
 viṣṇoh (°noś, °no) śreṣṭhena (śraiṣ-
 ṭhyena) rūpena, 363
 viṣṇo (°nos, °noh) sthānam asi (sthā-
 māsi, sthāmannah), 35, 433
 viṣvak patantu didyavah, 404, 815
 viṣvañcam vi vṛhāmasi, 849
 viṣvañco asmac charavah patantu, 404,
 815
 viḥvā rogam tanvah (°vām) svāyāḥ
 (°yūm), 671
 vidu chapathajambhaniḥ, 699
 viduharāḥ tapa ugro (°ram) mayobhūḥ,
 842
 vitam śamitre (°rū, °tam) śamitā
 (°tam) yajadhyai, 563
 vitam ghṛtasya guhyāni nūma, 521
 vīty arṣa canīṣṭhayi (panīṣṭhaye), 567
 vītho ghṛtasya guhyā juṣānā (°nau), 132
 vīram (°rān) videya tava sandṛśi (tava
 devi s°), 702
 vīram hi vīravataḥ suśevā, 402
 vīram dhatta (me datta, no datta)
 pitarah, 702, 724
 vīrān nah pitaro dhatta, 702, 724
 vīrān me pitaro (tatāmahā, pratatā-
 mahā) datta, 702, 724

vivṛttacakrā āśināh, 340, 454
 viśantu , see viśvantu
 viśākhā (°khā, °khe) nakṣatram, 752, 798
 viśām kavim viśpatum mānuṣiṇām (°ṣir ṣah), 446
 viśām agnim atithim suprayasam (°yūsam), 258
 viśo yantre (°ri) nudamāne arātum, 800
 viśo-viśah pravivṛtāṁsam imahe, 526, 687
 viśo viśvā anu prabhuh (°bhu), 837
 vi śloka etu (eti, °kā yanti) pāthyeva (°theva) sūreh (°rih, °rāh, °rah), 284, 419, 443, 690, 709
 viśloka viśvadāvyē tvā samjuhomī svāhā, 673
 viśvakarmāns tanūpā asi, 762
 viśvakarman namas te pāhy asmān, 358
 viśvakarmānau tanūpau me sthah, 762
 viśvakarmā tvādyair uttaratah (°rāt) pātu, 173, 739
 viśvakarmā manasā yad viśvāyāh, 816
 viśvakarmā va ādityair uttarata upadhatām, 173, 739
 viśvakarmā vimanā ād viśvāyāh (°nā yo vyomā, vimame yo viśvāyāh), 816
 viśvajanasya chāyā (°yāsi, °yām), 387
 viśvantu (°vam tu, viśantu) tvām āhutayaś (tvāhutayah) , 306
 viśvam anyābhuvāpṛdhe (anyābhi vā-vāra, anyām abhivāra), 389
 viśvam astu dravinam vājo (°je) asme (°min), 457
 viśvam asya (°yāh) priyam upahūtam, 849
 viśvam ā bhāsi rocanam (°na), 351
 viśvarūpā śabalīr (śavaly) agniketuh, 206
 viśvalopa viśvadāvasya tvāsaṁ juhomī, 673
 viśvavidam (°do) vācam aviśvaminvām (aviśvavinām), 402
 viśvasṛjah prathame (°māh) satram āsata (°te), 299
 viśvasya jagato niśām (rātri), 387
 viśvasya jantor adhamam cakāra (°mas paḍiṣṭa), 379

viśvasya te vāmīr anu samdrśi
 viśvā retāṁsi dhīṣiṣya, 207, 705
 viśvasya priyasyopahūtasyopahūtaḥ (°tā), 849
 viśvasyām viśi pravivṛtāṁsam (°śānam) imahe, 526, 687
 viśvasyēśāna ojaś (°śah), 585
 viśvā abhiṣṭih pṛtanā jayati, 177
 viśvā amivāh pramuñcan mānuṣibhih (°ṣebhyah), 474, 573, 587, 835
 viśvā āśāh pramuñcan mānuṣir bhiyah, 474, 573
 viśvā āśā dakṣiṇasat (°śāt, °ṇatah, °nadhak), 255
 viśvāh pṛtanā abhibhūtaram naram (°rah), 402
 viśvādam agnim yam u kāmam āhuh, 396
 viśvā deva pṛtanā abhiṣya, 326
 viśvā devānām janimā vivakti, 160, 164, 269, 448
 viśvāni deva vayunāni vidvān, 329
 viśvāni devo janimā vivakti, 164, 269, 448
 viśvāni devo vayunāni vidvān, 329
 viśvāni yo amartyah, 402, 457
 viśvāny anyo bhuvanābhicaṣṭe (°nā vicaṣṭe), 167
 viśvānyo bhuvanā vicaṣṭe, 167
 viśvārād asi viśvānām (°vāsām) nāṣṭrānām hantā, 299
 viśvā rūpāni bibhratah (°tam), 388
 viśvā rūpāni vastūny āveśyanti, 704
 viśvā rūpāni sambhṛtā (°tam), 737
 viśvā rūpāny abhicaṣṭe (rūpābhi caṣṭe) śacibhih, 164
 viśvā vasu (°sūmi) dadhire vāryāni, 248
 viśvās ca deva (°vah) pṛtanā abhiṣyāh (°yak), 326
 viśvās tvām (tvā) prajā upāvarohantu, 308 -
 viśvāhā dhattam (santv) anapasphuranti (°tiḥ), 378, 739
 viśvā hi bhūyāh pṛtanā abhiṣṭih, 177
 viśvā hi māyā avatahā svadhāvantau (avasi svadhāvah, °van), 265, 765
 viśvet tā te (vām) savaneṣu pravācyā, 765

502 VEDIC VARIANTS III NOUN AND PRONOUN INFLECTION

- vy astabhnā (ask°, ask°, aṣṭ°, askab-
hnād, aṣṭ°) rodasī viṣṇav (°na, °nur)
ete, 333
- vyāghrenāranyān paśūn (°nyāh paśa-
vah), 390
- vyānaśih (°śi) pavase soma dharmabhūh
(°maṇā), 214, 705
- vyānaś ca me 'sus (°su) ca me, 791
- vyomā (°ma) saptadaśah, 118, 794
- vratam rakṣanti viśvāhā, 705
- vratam ca ma ṛtavaś ca me, 709
- vratā dadante agneh, 705
- vratānām vratapate (°tayo) vratam
acārṣam (acārṣam), 697
- vratāni (vratā nu) bīhṛad vratapī
adabdhah (adābhyah), 164
- vratā rakṣante viśvāhā, 705
- vrihīnām medha (°dhah) sumanasya-
mānah, 336
- śam yajurbhyah (°bhūh), 570
- śam yāh kumbhebhūh ābhṛtāh, 100, 600
- śam rātri (°rīh, °rīh) prati dhīyatām,
195, 206
- śakmana oṣiṣṭhāya tvā, 655
- śakmane śākvarāya śakmanā oṣiṣṭhāya
tvā gr̥hṇāmi, 655
- śakman oṣiṣṭhāya [tvā gr̥hṇāmi], 655
- śakrāya sunave (°vai) tvā, 238
- śakvarīr aṅgulayo dīśas ca me yajñena
kalpantām, 202
- śamgayī (°gavī, °gaye) jiradśnū
(jīva°), 296
- śam ca no (me) mayāś ca nah (me), 724
- śandāmarkā (°do marka) upavīrah, 749
- śatam kumbhān aśiṇcatam surāyāl
(madhūnām), 704
- śatam ta indro agniḥ savitā bṛhaspatih,
749
- śatam indrāgni savitā bṛhaspatih, 749
- śatamūtūn (°te) śatakṛatam (°to), 346
- śatamūlā (°lāh) śatāṅkurā (°rāh), 699
- śatam pavitrā vitatā hy (°tāny) āsu,
165
- śatam bhavāsy ūtibhūh (ūtaye), 558,
704
- śatāyusam (°śim) kṛnuta dīrgham
āyuh, 849
- śam te parebhyo gātrebhyah (paras-
mai gātrāya), 707
- śam te methī bhavatu śam yugasya
tṛdma, 178
- śam tokāya tanuve (°nvai) syonah, 237,
616
- śam no bhavantv āpa (apa) oṣadbhayah
(°dhīh) śivāh, 193, 261
- śaphārujam (°jo) yena paśyasi yātud-
hānam (°nān), 703
- śam astu tanve mama, 237
- śam astv avarebhyah (°rāya me,
parāya te), 707
- śam ahobhyah (°yām), 756
- śamībhūh śamyantu tvā, 203
- śam u te tanve (°nuve) bhuvat, 237, 311
- śam u yāh kumbha ābhṛtāh, 100, 600
- śam padam magham rayīṣine (rayīṣani)
na kāmam (soma), 644
- śambhu (°bhūr) mayobhu (°bhūr) no
hrde, 842
- śambhūr (°bhur) mayobhūr abhi mā
vāhi svāhā, 232
- śam methir bhavatu śam yugasya
tardma, 178
- śam me parasmai gātrāya, 707
- śam v astu tanvai tava, 237, 311
- śaradā tvartunā (°nām) haviṣā dīkṣa-
yāmi, 581
- śarad ṛtuh (ṛtūnām), 438
- śarade (°dbhyah) svāhā, 709
- śaravye brahmasamśite (°tā), 336, 831
- śarīram me vicarṣanam (vicakṣana[m]),
342
- śaro brahmasamśitah, 336, 831
- śardhīnsy agne ajarāṇi (°rasya) dhak-
ṣatah (°ṣyase), 521
- śarman (°maṇs) te syāma trivarūtha
udbhau, 311, 454
- śarma yachātha saprathah (°tbāh), 257
- śarma varūtham (śarmavarūthe) pu-
natī na āgāt, 724, 750
- śarmā (°ma) saprathā āvṛne, 257
- śaviṣṭha (°ṭhah) vajrīn ojaś (rūjase),
342
- śākvaram raivatam sāma, 750
- śākvararivate sāmani, 750

virān videya , see vīram
 viruc chapathayopani, 699
 virudbah pārāyṣṇavāh (°navah), 229
 virudham (°dhām) balavattamām
 (°mah), 515
 virebhur vīratamā śaviṣṭhā, 170
 vīryebhur vīratamā śaviṣṭhā (°śaci°), 170
 vīvadham (°dhaś) chandah, 792
 vīhi havyam prayatam āhutam me
 (nah), 723
 vṛkṣam ivāśanyā (°nīnā) jahī, 218
 vṛtram yo jaghāna yatir na, 742
 vṛtraghna (°nah) stomā upa mām upū-
 guh (mema āguh, mām ihāguh), 303
 vṛtrasya kanīnikāsi (°nakāsi), 789
 vṛtrasyāsi kanīnakah (°nikā), 789
 vṛṣanam śuṣṇam āyuṣe varcase kṛdhi,
 486
 vṛṣanam āndābhyām, 774
 vṛṣanah samidhīmahi, 260
 vṛṣanā āndābhyām, 774
 vṛṣane śuṣmāyāyuṣe varcase, 486
 vṛṣabbhāya gavayī, 779
 vṛṣabhena gāvah (gāh), 390
 vṛṣabho gaur vayo dadhuh, 399
 vṛṣānah samidhīmahi, 260
 vṛṣā punāna āyuṣe (āyūṣi), 550
 vṛṣā vanam (°ne) vṛṣā madah (°de,
 sutah), 457
 vṛṣā vṛṣne (°nah) parī pavitre akṣāh,
 89, 621
 vṛṣāsi vṛṣnyāvān (°van, °vatī), 849
 vṛṣāsy ūrmih, 85, 440
 vṛṣormir asi, 85, 440
 vṛṣṭum divah pavasva rītim apām
 (apah), 509
 vṛṣna ūrmir asi rāṣṭradāh, 85, 440
 vṛṣnah sutasya ujasā (°sah), 591
 vṛṣnūnāvīh (°vayah), 390
 vṛṣne (°no) codasva suṣṭutim, 621
 vedam savitrā prasūtām (pr°s°) magho-
 nām (°nā), 591
 veda te bhūmī hṛdayam, 363 396
 vedasya (°dānām) nidhupo bhūyāsam,
 690
 vedim āhuh param antam pṛthivyāh,
 32, 392
 vedim bhūmim kalpayitvā, 380

vedir bhūmīr akalpata, 380
 vesaśrīyāi (°ye) tvā, 209
 veśāśrīr (°śrīr) asi, 199
 veśūya vām (tvā), 769
 vairūjam sīma pratīṣṭhīyāi (°yā anta-
 rikṣam, °kṣe), 535
 vairājī (°je) puruṣī, 296
 vairūpam sīma pratīṣṭhīyāi (°yā anta-
 rikṣam, °kṣe), 535
 vairūpam ca vairūjam cāparau, 750
 vairūpavairāje anūci, 750
 vairūpena sāmna tac chakeyam, 601
 vairūpe sāmān iha (adhī) tac chakema
 (chakeyam), 601
 vaiśvadevāgnimārute ukthe avyathājai
 (°jā, °thayanti), 420, 797
 vaiśvadevāmīkṣā, 296
 vaiśvadevy īmīkṣā, 296
 vaiśvānarah pavayān nah pavitrāh,
 417, 723
 vaiśvānarah pavitā mā punātu, 417, 723
 vaiśvānaram dvādaśakapālam, 376
 vaiśvānaram rta ā (rtāya) jātām agnim,
 653
 vaiśvānarasya tejasā (°sī), 608
 vaiśvānarasya danṣṭrayoh (°rābhyām),
 609
 vaiśvānarasyainam danṣṭrayor api da-
 dhāmi, 609
 vaiśvānarāya matir navyasi (°se) śuciḥ,
 429
 vaiśvānaro aṅgirasām (°robhyah, °ṅgi-
 rasām), 621
 vaiśvānaro na ūtaye (ūtyā), 62, 558
 vaiśvānaro no (me) adabdhās (°dah°)
 tanūpāh, 724
 vaiśvānaro mahimnā (°hinā, °hīnām)
 viśvakṛṣṭih (viśvaśambhūh), 273
 vaiśvānaro raśmibhir mā (nah) punātu,
 724
 vocad brahmāni (°meti) ver u tat, 269,
 690
 vyacīṣṭham annai (°nam) rabhasam
 dṛśānam (vidānam), 473, 704
 vy amivāh pramuñcan mānuṣānām,
 474, 573, 587, 835
 vy amivās (°vāś) cātayasvā viśūciḥ,
 788

śūṣam na madhye nābhyām (*yāh), 672
śrotu mitro aryamā bhago nah (me),
723

śṛnavanti (*tu) viśve amṛtasya putrāḥ
(amṛtāsa etat), 449

śṛnavantv āpo dhiṣanās (*nā) ca devīḥ
(*vi), 692

śṛtam haviḥ śamitāḥ (*tāḥ, haviḥ
śamitah), 342

śeṣe vaneṣu mātroh (*tṛṣu), 777

śociṣkeṣaṁ vicakṣana (*nam), 349

śrad asmai naro vacase dadhātana, 738

śraddhā ca no mā vyagamāt, 724

śraddhā me mā vyāgāt, 724

śraddhe śrad dhāpayeha naḥ (mā), 112,
723

śṛvase no maghonah (*nām), 695

śṛavo devasya sāsasim (*si), 222

śrūtās ta indra somā vātāpayo (*per,
*pe) havanaśrutah, 340, 447

śṛyaṁ vasānās carati svarocih (*cāh),
706

śṛyaṁ ca lakṣmīm ca puṣṭīm ca ,
390, 704

śṛye (*yai) putrāya vedhavai (vetta-
vai), 209

śṛyo vasānās carati svarocih, 706

śrī (śrīr) me bhajata (*tu), 203

śrīś ca puṣṭiś cāṇṇyaṁ . , 390, 704

śrīś ca lakṣmīś ca puṣṭiś ca . , 390, 704

śrutakakṣo aram (*śāram) gave, 326

śrutam me mā pra hāsīh, 671

śruṣṭī (*ṭe) jātāsa indavah svarvidah,
607

śronibhyām (*nibhyām) svāhā, 189

śrotram te cakre āstām, 774

śrotram mayi dhehi, 640

śrotram me dāh (dhattam), 640

śrotrāya me varcodā varcase (me var-
codāh) pavasva, 778

śrotrāya [me varcodau varcase pave-
thām], 778

śrotre te cakre āstām, 774

ṣaṣṭiś cādhvaryū (*yo, *yor) navatiś ca
pāśāh, 369, 769

sa idam viśvam abhavat sa ābhavat,
698

sa indra stomavāhasām (*sa) iha śru-
dhī, 695

sa imām devo aryamā (adhvarah), 312

sa im (i) mandrā suprayasaḥ (*drāsu
pra*, *drā suprayasā starīman), 458

sam yujyāva sanubhya ā, 655

sam yopayanto duritāni viśvā, 161

sam revatīr jagatiḥ (*tibhih , *bhir
..), 412

samlobhayanto duritā padāni, 161

sam vatsa iva mātṛbhih, 383

samvatsarasya pratimām (*mā), 395

samvatsarasya ṣaṣṭhaḥ (*ṣhi), 849

samvatsareṇa savitā no ahnām (ahnā),
585, 709

sam varatrā (*rām, *rān) dadhātana,
692

sam vasāthām (*sethām) svarvidā
(*dau), 134

samvasānam (*no) virasvataḥ (*tā),
88, 388, 578

sam vah sṛjāmi hṛdayāni (*yam, *yām
vah), 707

sam vājebhih (*jaiḥ) puruścandair
abhidubhih, 169

sam vām manānsi sam vratā, 766

samveśanas tanuvai (*nve) cārur edhi,
74, 237, 455, 560, 578, 617

samveśane tanvaś (*vā) cārur edhi, 59,
74, 455, 560, 578, 617

samveśāyopaveśāya gāyatrībhibhave
.. , 233

samveśāyopaveśāya gāyatrīai (etc)
*bhibhuv svāhā, 233, 629

samveśāyopaveśāya gāyatrīai (*riyās,
etc) . *bhibhūtaye (*tyai, *bhṛ-
tyai) svāhā, 182, 629

sam vo manānsi sam vratā, 766

samśitam kṣatram iṣnu (. iṣnuh),
837

samśitam cit samtarām (*rām) sam
śiśādhi, 823

sam sanuyāva varīṣv ā, 655

samsarpa (*pan) trīṇ samudrān svargān
(*gāni lokān), 402

sam sūribhir maghavan (harivah, har-
van) sam svastyā (*ti), 217, 265

śukvararavate sāmāni pratiṣṭhityā an-
tarikṣe (°śāya, °sam), 535
śākhābhyah (°bhyaṃ) svāhā, 778
śāntā nah santv oṣadhīh, 313
śāntir no (me) astu (°tu śāntih), 506,
724
śārgah ṣṛjayah śayāndakas te maitrāh,
700, 779
śikṣā sakhubhyo haviṣi (°śā) svadhāvah,
601
śitipāc chityoṣṭhah śitibhrus (°rūs) ta
aindrāvaisnavāh, 232
śitibhravo (°ruvo) vasūnām, 234
śiprāh śirṣan (°śasu vitatā) hiranya-
yih, 707
śimāh (°mām) kṛnavantu śumyantah,
407, 789
śimibhūh (śimī) śumyantu tvā, 203
śirah-śirah prati sūrī vi caṣṭe, 178
śiro devī prati sūrī vicaṣṭe, 178
śilpā vaiśvadevīh (°vyah), 213, 781
śilpās trayo vaiśvadevāh, 781
śivam āyantam abhy atra yuṣmāh
(°mām), 803
śivām prajābhyo sadhasthād (°the)
, 98, 664
śivam mahyam madhumad astv an-
nam, 309
śivah śagmo bhavāsi nah, 724, 849
śivas tokāya tanvo (°ve) na ehi (edhi),
616
śivā asmabhyam oṣadhīh, 313
śivā ca me śagmā caidhi, 724, 849
śivā tvam mahyam edhi, 724
śivā devī subhage mekhale mā risāma,
322, 330
śivā na ihaidhi, 724
śivā no astv aditer (°tir) upasthe, 444
śivābhur adya pari pāhi no gayam
(vṛdhe), 835
śivā rutasya (rudrasya, °va ṛtasya)
bheṣajī (°jā), 296
śivā viśvāha (°hā) bheṣajī (°jā), 296
śivena tvā (mā) caksuṣā pśyāntv āpah
(paśyatāpah), 327
śivena me sam tiṣṭhasva, 605
śivebhur adya pari pāhi no gayam
(vṛdhe), 835

śive me sam tiṣṭhasva, 605
śiṣṭe śṛṅge rakṣase (°śobhyo) vinikṣe
(°nakṣe), 703
śirṣnā girau (śiro) vakṣasā vakṣa eja-
yan, 551
śirṣnā śiro °psasāpso ardayan, 551
śilāyūñjanikārim (°ram), 782
śileṣu (°le ca) yac ca pāpakam, 706
śukraṃ vām (te) anyad rajatam (yaj°)
vām (te) anyat, 765
śukram te śukra śukrena ., 489
śukram te śukrena kṛnāmi ., 489
śukram te śukrena ḡrñāmi, 489, 559
śukram tvā śukra ādhūnomi, 489
śukraṃ tvā śukra śukrāya , 489, 559
śukram tvā śukrena kṛnāmi , 489
śukram na jyotiṣ amṛtam dadhānā
(°nāh), 114, 735
śukrah śukraśociṣā, 412, 769
śukrām (°rā) vāyanty (vi yanty) asu-
rāya nirṇjam (°je), 402, 487
śukro bṛhan (°had) daksinayā (°nā tvā)
pipartu, 411, 811
śukrau śukraśociṣau, 412, 769
śuciḥ śukre (°ro) ahany (°hany, ahann)
ojasinā (ne, °nām, ojasye), 277, 447,
454, 683
śuddha āśīrvān mamattu, 416
śuddhā bhavata (°vanto) yajñīyāh
(°yāsah, °vantah śucayah) , 158
śuddhās caritrāh, 380
śuddhair āśīrvān mamattu, 416
śuddho mamaddhi somyah (°ya), 334
śunam kināśā (°śo) abhi (anu) yantu
(abhy etu) vābāh (°hān), 47, 467, 701
śunāsīrv imām vācam juṣethām, 136
śunāsīreha sma me juṣethām, 136
śundhatām (°ntām) lokah (°kāh) pitṛ-
sadanah (°nāh), 698
śundhūr (°yūr) asi mārjāliyah, 227
śubham yātām (°bhe kam) anu rathā
avṛtsata, 479
śubham gamiṣṭhau (°thā) suyamebhīr
āsvāh (āśubhūh), 134
śumbhantām lokāh pitṛsadanāh, 698
śumbhamāna (°nā) ṛtāyubhūh, 712
śuṣṇasyāundāni bhedati, 163
śūdrāya cāryāya ca, 77, 654

506 VEDIC VARIANTS III. NOUN AND PRONOUN INFLECTION

sa nah śarma trivarūtham vi yaśeat, 849
 sa nah sindhum iva nāvayā (nāvā), 289
 sa no aryamā devah, 312
 sa no dadātu tam (tām) rayim, 785
 sa no dohatām suvīryam ("ram), 849
 sa no nedīṣṭham havanāny āgamat ("ni joṣat), 162, 734
 sa no nedīṣṭhā havanāni ("nā) joṣate (juṣa), 162, 734
 sa no mayobhūh pito āviśasva (pitav āv°, pitav āviśeha, pitur āviveśa), 333
 sa no mṛdātīdṛśe, 764
 sa no rakṣatu sarvataḥ, 488
 sa no rayim sarvavīram ni yachatu, 785
 sa no rāṣṭreṣu sudhitām dadhātu, 643, 705, 720
 sa no viśvā ati dviśah, 849
 saṁ tam siñcatu rādhase, 11, 121, 556, 809
 saṁ takṣā hanti cakri vah (cakrinah), 406
 saṁ tat siñcatu rādhāsā, 11, 121, 556, 809
 saṁ tvā nahyāmi payasaśadhinām ("my apa oṣadhībhih, "my adbhur oṣ°), 460, 588
 sanduhāthām gharmaḍugheva dhenuh, 775
 saṁ devānām sumatyā ("tau) yajñīyānām, 59, 605
 saṁ devi ("vi) devyorvaśyā paśyasva ("vaśyākhyata), 326
 samdhātā samdhim ("dhir) maghavā purūvasuh (puro°, puru°), 403
 samdhināntarikṣenāntarikṣam ("kṣā-yāntarikṣam) jinvā, 559
 saṁ nas tābhyaṁ (tebhuh) arjatu viśvakarmā, 770
 saṁ no mahāni saṁ iṣo mahantām, 412, 704
 sapatnāḥ pradīśo me bhavantu, 309
 sapatnān mahyam randhayan, 380, 633, 703
 sapatnīr abhibhūvarī ("rih), 207
 sa pavasva madintama, 329
 saputrikāyām jāgratha, 649
 sa punāno madintamah, 329

saptaṛṣayas tapase ("sā) ye niśeduh, 558
 sapta ca mānuṣir imāh, 459
 sapta ca vāruṇir ("nair) imāh, 459
 sapta yonir (yonir) ā prnasva ("vā) ghr̥tēna, 784
 sa praty ud (pratyañ) aid dharunam ("no) madhvo agram, 402
 sa prathamah samkṛtir viśvakarmā, 830
 sa budhnyād ("nād) āṣṭa januṣo 'bhy ("śābhy) agram, 576
 sa bhādrām akar yo nah somam ("ma-sya) pāyayīṣyati, 501
 sam arir (arir) vidām ("dah), 177
 sam aryamā saṁ bhago no ninīyāt, 419
 sam asya (asya) tanvā ("nuvā) bhava, 851
 sam ākūtīr ("tir, "tim) namāmasi (anansata, manāmasi), 706
 samā ca mā indraś ca me, 709
 samā chandah, 709
 samānam yonim anu samcarantam ("ti, taretē), 388
 samānam ajnam (ayman) pary eti ("mā pari yāti) jāgrvīh, 406
 samānā ("ni) vā (va) ākūtāni ("tih), 706
 samā bhavantūdvaro ("tā) nīpādāh, 412, 698
 sa mām ā viśatād iha, 849
 sa mā mā hinsīt parame vyoman, 850
 sa mām pātu, 724
 samārabhyordhvo adhvaro diviṣpṛśam, 401
 samāś ca ma indraś ca me, 709
 samāś chandah, 709
 sam it tam rāyā ("yah) arjati svadhāvān ("dhābhuh), 588
 samiddho agna āhuta (agnir āhutaḥ), 329
 samiddho mā (mām) sam ardhaya, 305
 samidbhyaḥ (samidhah) preṣya, 488
 samidha ("dham) ā dhehi, 692
 samidhyamānah prathamānu dharmā (prathamā nu dharmah), 403
 sam indrena viśvebhīr devebhīr aṅk-tām, 419
 sam indro viśvadevebhīr aṅktām, 419
 sam i vatsam na mātṛbhīh, 383

- samsr̥ṣṭāsu yutsv indro ganeṣu, 454, 548, 607, 684, 698
 samsr̥ṣṭā sa yudha indro ganena, 454, 548, 607, 684, 698
 samhūtāsi viśvarūpā (°pi, °pih), 206, 296
 sakṛd yat tvā (te) manasā garbha (°bham) āśayat, 406, 519
 sakhā devī subhagā mekhaleyam, 322, 330
 sakhāyah saptapadā abhūma, 764
 sakhāyau saptapadāv abhūva (°dā babhūva), 764
 sakhā sakhāyam ajaro jarimne, 336
 sakhā sakhyur na pra mināti sangiram (°rah), 706
 sakhā saptapadī (°dā) bhava, 296, 334, 764
 sakhe sakhāyam ajaro jarimne, 336
 sakhe saptapadā bhava, 296, 334, 764
 sakhyam te mā yoṣāh sakhyam te mā yoṣṭhāh, 493
 sakhyāt te mā yoṣam, 493
 sakhyān me mā yoṣṭhāh, 493
 sam gr̥bhāya purī (°ru) śatā, 248
 sa cakārāssam viṣam, 849
 sa cittāni (cittā) mohayatu pareṣām, 167
 sachandā yā ca vichandāh, 690
 sajātānām madhyamasthā edhī (madhyameṣṭhāh, madhyameṣṭheyāya, madhyameṣṭhā yathāśāni), 420
 sa jāyamānah parame vyomani (°man), 275
 sa jīva śaradah śatam, 849
 sajūr agnir vaiśvānara idābhīh, 694
 sajūr abdo (abdā) ayavobhīh (etc.), 709
 sajūr devebhur avarah paraś ca, 171
 sajūr devah (°vebhyaḥ) prātaryāvabhīh (°vabhyah), 563
 sajūr devah (°vebhyaḥ) sāyamyāvabhīh (°vabhyah), 563
 sajūr vaiśvānara idayā (īlayā), 694
 sajoṣā indra (°rah) sagano marudbhīh, 323, 336
 sajoṣā devair avarah paraś ca, 171
 samjagmānā abibhyuṣih (avihrutāh), 416, 739, 849
 samjagmāno abibhyuṣā, 416, 739, 849
 samjagmāno divah kavīh (divā kave), 335
 samjagmāno (°nā, °nau) divā (°va ā) pr̥thivīyā (°yā [ā°]), 59, 134, 576, 769
 samjānānau vijahatām arātīh, 703
 samjānānām nah (na) svebhyaḥ (svebhīh, svaiḥ), 169, 560
 samjānām aranebhyaḥ (°nebhīh, °naih), 169, 560
 sattā ni yonā (°nau) kalaśeṣu sidatī, 221
 satyam citraśravastamam, 850
 satyadharmānam (°no) adhware (°ram), 346, 527
 satyadharmānā parame vyomani (°man), 275
 satyam pūrvar (°vebhīr) ṛṣabhīh, 171
 satyaś citraśravastamah, 850
 satyasya dharmanas patī (°te, satyasya dharmanā), 340
 satyā eṣām (etā) āśīṣah (°ṣas) santu kāmāh (°maih, °māt, santu sarvāh, samnamentām), 412, 437, 572
 satyā tā (°tyād ā) dharmanas patī (pari, dharmanā), 437, 588
 satrācyā maghavā (°vānt) somapītaye, 266
 sa tvam no nabhasas pate (°tiḥ), 329
 sa tvam asy amo 'ham, 849
 sa tvā rakṣatu sarvataḥ, 488
 sa tvā rāṣṭrāya subhṛtam bibhartu (pi-partu), 643, 705, 720
 sa dādharma pr̥thivīm dyām utemām (°vīm uta dyām, °vīm divam ca, °vīm antarikṣam divam ca), 292
 sadā pāhy abhiṣṭaye (°bhīh), 558, 704
 sadhashe vā (°theṣu) maho divah, 698
 sa nah pāvako dravinam (°ne) dadhātu, 534
 sa nah piteva sūnave (putrebhyaḥ), 702
 sa nah pito madhumān ā viśeḥa (vi-veṣa), 333
 sa nah pr̥thu (°thuh) śravāyyam, 402

508 VEDIC VARIANTS III NOUN AND PRONOUN INFLECTION

sarvān ni maṣmaṣākaram, 717
 sarvān mac chapathān adhi, 724
 sarvās ca rūjabāndhaviḥ (*vaiḥ, *vyah), 459
 sarve lāmā abhi yantu mā (nah) priyāḥ, 724
 salakṣmā (*ma) yad viṣurūpā (*pam) bhavāti (babbhūva), 727, 848
 salilāḥ saligāḥ sagaras te na ādityā haviṣo juṣānā vyantu , 501
 sa vāji rocanā (*nam) divah, 698
 savātarau na tejasā (*si), 477
 savitāḥ prasavānām adhupate, 329
 savitā tvā savānām (prasavānām) suvatām, 627
 savitā devo 'bhimātiṣāḥ, 399
 savitā prasavānām (*nām adhupatiḥ sa māvatu), 329
 savitā rāṣṭram mayi dadhātu (yajamānāya dadātu) ., 641
 savitur bhūi atho devajanānām vidharapiḥ (*ni), 758a
 savitrā prasavitrā indrenāsme (*mai) ., 721
 savitrā prasūtā tanūm (*nvam) , 236
 sa virājam (*jā) pary eti (etu, pari yāti) prajānan, 469
 sa viśvam (*vā) prati cākṣat (*pe), 698
 sa viśvācīr (*ci) abhi caṣṭe ghr̥tācīḥ (*ci), 763
 sa viśvā dāṣuṣe vasu, 693, 712
 sa viśvā bhuva ābhavah (bhuvā abhavat sa ābhavat), 698
 sa śukrebhūḥ śukvabhi (śukrena śikvanā) revad asme (agnuḥ), 709
 sa sūtrāmā svavān indro asme (asmat), 75, 80, 612
 sa supranite (*ti) nṛtamah svarād asi, 371
 sa sūra ā (sūrye) janayāni jyotir indram (*rah), 390
 saha kṣatrena varcasā balena, 566
 saha dharmam cara (*maś caryatām), 380
 saha nah sādhuḥkṛtyā, 766
 saha nau vratapate (*te vratinor, vratapā vratinām) vratāni, 778

sahamānā (*nām, *ne) sahasvatī (*ti, *tim, sarasvatī), 329, 346
 sahasradhāra utso akṣiyamānah, 387
 sahasradhāram śatadhāram utsam akṣitam, 387
 sahasradhāram akṣitam, 387
 sahasradhārā (*rām) payasā mahi (*him) gauḥ (gām), 387
 sahasradhārām mahiṣo bhagāya, 387
 sahasradhāro akṣitah, 387
 sahasrapoṣam subhage (*gā) rarānā, 329
 sahasrasā (*sām) medhasātāv (*tā) iva imanā (*sātā sanīṣyavah), 388
 sahasrākṣa medhā ā (medhāya) cīyamānah, 101, 336, 651
 sahasrākṣasya mahādevasya dhimahi, 634
 sahasrākṣāya mīdhuṣe (vājune), 566
 sahasrākṣāyamartya, 332, 427
 sahasrākṣena vājins, 566
 sahasrākṣo amartyah, 332, 427
 sahasrākṣo medhāya cīyamānah, 101, 336, 651
 sahasrapoṣam subhage rarānā, 329
 sahasrina upa no māhi vājān (no yantu vājāḥ), 382
 sahasrotiḥ (*te) śatāmaghah (*gha), 329
 sahasva no abhumātim (me arātiḥ), 703, 724
 sahasvārātīḥ (*tim) sahasva pṛtanāyataḥ (sahasvārātīyataḥ), 703
 sa hi purī (puru) cid ojasā virukmatī, 247
 sa hi viśvāti (*vāni) pāṛthivā, 163
 sahaiva nau sukṛtam saha duṣkṛtam, 766
 saḥbhau caratām dharmam, 380
 saho mayi dhehi (me dāḥ) , 641
 sā cakartāḥrasam viṣam, 849
 sā jīva śaradaś śatam, 849
 sātā (*tau) vājasya kāravah, 221
 sā tubhyam adite mahi (*he), 204
 sī tvam aśy amo 'ham (aham, amūham, ūpy amo 'ham), 849
 sā nah pūṣā śivatamām eraya, 342, 393
 sā nah śarma trivarūtham ni yachāt, 849

- samudraṁ yasya rasayā sahāhuh, 463, 550
 samudram gandharveṣṭhām patma-
 neditā (patmann iditā), 597
 samudram chandah, 792
 samudraṁ na subhvaḥ svā abhiṣṭayaḥ,
 234, 402
 samudram na subhavam (°huvaṁ, sub-
 huvas) tasthivānsam, 234, 402
 samudraś chandah, 792
 samudrasya tvākṣityā (vo 'kṣityā) un-
 nayāmi (naye), 716
 samudrasyādhi viṣṭapi (°pah), 665
 samudrasyādhi viṣṭapi (°pe) manīṣi-
 nah, 665
 samudrā (°rān) nadyo veśantāḥ (°tān),
 391
 samudrāya śiśumārāḥ, 618
 samudrena (°re na) sindhavo yādamā-
 nāḥ, 611
 samudre yasya rasām id āhuh, 463,
 550
 sam u vām (vo) yajñam mahayam
 (°yan) namobhuh, 766
 samrddhikaranam tava (°nān mama),
 727
 sam eta viśvā (°ve) vacasā (ojasā) pa-
 tim divah, 835
 sa me rakṣatu sarvataḥ, 488
 sam oṣadhayo rasena, 193
 sam oṣadhibhir oṣadhīḥ, 193
 sam patni patyā sukrteṣu (°tena) ga-
 chatām, 605, 704
 sampāśyan pañktir (pañtim) upatiṣ-
 ṭhamānah, 706
 sampreca (°cas, °cah, °cau) stha (sthah)
 sam mā bhadrēna prīkta (°tam), 770
 sampriyah paṣubhir bhava (bhuvat,
 paṣubhīḥ), 827
 sampriyam prajāyā paṣubhir bhuvat,
 827
 sam babhūva sanibhya ā, 655
 sam brahmaṇā (°nām) devakṛtam (°hi-
 tam) yad asti, 587, 690
 sam bhagena sam aryamnā, 419
 sam māgne varcasā sṛja, 303
 sam mām jyusā varcasā (°sā prajāyā)
 sṛja, 303
 sam mā sṛjāmy adbhīr (apa) oṣadhib-
 hīḥ, 59, 460
 samyag āyur yajñam (°ño) yajñapatau
 dadhātu (dhāh), 399
 samrājñī śvaśure bhava, 702
 samrājñī śvaśrīvām (°ruvām) bhava,
 676
 samrājñy uta śvaśrīvāḥ, 676
 samrājñy edhi śvaśureṣu, 702
 samrād asī kṛṣṇanuh (°no), 334
 sa yajña dhukṣva mahi me prajājām
 (°yai), 644
 sarasvatidā mahi, 329
 sarasvati puṣṭīḥ (°tūā) puṣṭipatni
 (°tīh) yajamānāya dadātu (mayi
 dadhātu) , 641
 sarasvati vayati peśo antaram (°rah),
 401
 sarasvati (°tīh) svapasah sadantu
 (°tām), 207
 sarasvate 'nu (°ty anu) manyasva, 204
 sarasvaty asunod indriyāya (°yena), 70,
 558
 sarasvatyā (°yām) adhi manāv (mānā,
 manāv, vanāva) , 671
 sarasvatyā (°yai) nupakṣatiḥ, 143
 sarasvatyā (°yāḥ) supippalah, 587
 sarasvatyās tvā vīryena yaśase 'nnā-
 dyāyābhi , 143
 sarasvatyai bhaiṣajyena vīryāyānnā-
 dyāyābhi , 143
 sarāḥ (°rā) patatriniḥ (°nī) sthana
 (stha, bhūtva), 739
 sa rāye sa puramdhyām (°yā) 67, 603
 sarūpavarṣā ehi, 334
 sarūpa vṛṣann ā gahi, 334
 sarvam svīṣṭam suhutam karotu (°tu
 me), 724
 sarvam tad astu te ghṛtam (me śivam),
 309
 sarvam tam bhasmasā (masmasā) kuru,
 717
 sarvam punatha me yāvāḥ, 311
 sarvasmai ca vipaśyate, 616
 sarvāns tām mṛsamṛśā (maśmaśā) kuru,
 717
 sarvā devānām janumāni vidvān, 164,
 269

- subhage kāmṣilavāsini, 350
 subhadrikām kāmṣilavāsini, 350
 subhāgamkaranam mama, 851
 subhūtāya tvā (vah), 739
 subhūr asi (nāmāsi) śreṣṭho raśmīr
 (raśmīnām), 84, 439
 subhūh svayambhūh prathamah
 (°mam), 43, 404
 sumanīh suhanyavān (°vah), 342
 sumatim satyadharmanah (satyarādha-
 sah, °sam, viśvarādhasah, vājini-
 vatah), 518
 sumanmā vasvi rantī'sūnarī, 178
 sumrḍikah svavān (°vā) yātv arvān,
 267
 sumrḍikān (°kām) abhiṣṭaye, 739, 849
 sumrḍikā sarasvatī (°ti), 342
 sumnahūr (°hur) yajña (yajño devān)
 ā ca vakṣat, 232
 sumnāyuvah (°yavas) sumnyāya sum-
 nam (°nyam) dhatta, 229
 surayū (°rāyā) mūtṛā janayanti (°ta)
 retah, 569
 surayā (°rāyā) somah suta āsuto ma-
 dāya, 569
 surāṣṭrā iha māvata (no °vata), 724
 suvān nabhrād aṅghāre bambhāre 'star
 ahasta kṛṣāno, 341
 suvirāh prajāh prajānayan parihi, 402
 suvirinah sṛja-sṛja, 336
 suvīro virān prajānayan parihi ,
 402
 suṣadā yonau (°num) svāhā , 550, 707
 suṣamiddham (°dho) varenyam (°yah),
 387
 suṣvānam (°no) devavītaye, 387
 susamiddham (°dho) varenyam (°yah),
 387
 susambhṛtā (°te) tvā sam bharāmi, 59,
 560
 suhāvā nā ehi saha rāyaspoṣena, 724
 suhāvā mehi saha prajāyā , 724
 sūktam (°tā) brūhi, 690
 sūnum (°nuh) satyasya yuvānam, 394
 sūyavasād bhagavatī (°ti) hi bhūyāh,
 334
 sūyavasini manave (manuṣe, mānuṣe)
 daśasyā (°ye, yaśasye), 417, 654
 sūr asi suvanasya retah (°tā iṣṭakā
 svargo lokah), 455
 sūr asi svar asiṣṭakā svarge loke, 455
 sūro ahnām prataritā uṣasām divah, 709
 sūryam cakṣur gachatu vātam ātmā
 (°ṣur gamayatāt vātam prānam an-
 vasṛjatāt), 381
 sūryam cāmum riśādāsam (cāmū riśā-
 dasah), 402
 (om) sūryam tarpayāmi, 373
 sūryam te cakṣur gachatu vātam ātmā,
 381
 sūrya prāyaścitte (°ttir asi) tvam ,
 339
 sūryas cakṣur vātah prānam, 381
 sūryas tṛpyatu, 373
 sūryas te cakṣuh , 381
 sūryas tvā (tvā raśmibhūh) purastāt
 abhiṣastyaī (°yāh), 150
 sūryasya maricīh, 406
 sūryasyaikā caratī niṣkṛteṣu (°tāni),
 524, 527
 sūryāgnī dyāvāpṛthivī uro antarikṣāpa
 oṣadhayah, 193
 sūryāy ūdho 'dityā (adī°, ūdhar aditer,
 ūdho aditer) upasthe, 184, 282
 sūryena dyām (divam), 294
 sūryo devo (divo) diviṣadbhṛyo dhātā
 kṣatrāya (°rasya) vāyuh prajābhyah
 (prajānām), 636
 sūry- nas (mā) tebhyo rakṣatu, 723
 sūryo maricim ādatte, 406
 sūryo me cakṣur vātah prāno , 381
 sūryo rūpam kṛnute dyor (dyaaur) upas-
 the, 290
 sṛkvānam gharmam abhi vāvaśānā, 692
 sṛjad dhārā ava yad dānavān han, 703
 sṛjo vi dhārā ava dānavam han, 703
 sedam priyena dhāmnā priyam sadā
 āsida (priyena nāma priye sadasi
 sida), 472, 528
 so adhvarā (°dhvarā karatī) jātavedāh,
 769, 791
 so apaśya janitāram agre, 780
 so asmān pātu sarvatah (°mān sarvatah
 pātu), 850
 somah kalaśe śatayāmnū (°manā) pa-
 thā, 272

- sā nas samantam anu parīhi (abhi pary
ehi) bhadrāyā (°re), 356, 724
sā nah site payasābhyaṅvavṛtsva, 312
sā nah supṛāci supratīcy edhi (°ci
bhava, °ci sam bhava), 724
sā no asmin suta ābabbhūva, 419, 550
sā no dadātu śravanam pitṛnām
(°tṛnām), 252
sā no dohatām suvīryam (°ram), 849
sā no nābhīh paramam jāmi tan nau,
771, 777
sā no bhūmīh pūrvapeye (°yam) dad-
hātu, 534
sā no yajñam pipṛhi viśvavāre, 417
sā no viśvā atī dviśah, 849
sā nau nābhīh paramam jāmi tan nau,
771, 777
sā paprathe pṛthivi pāṛthivāni (°vāya),
48, 479
sā prathamā samskṛtī viśvavārā, 830
sā mandasānā manasā śivena, 778
sā mām ā viśatād iha (°tām ihaiva),
849
sā mā śāntir edhi, 506, 724
sā mā samantam abhiparyehi bhadre,
356, 724
sā mā supṛāci supratīci bhava, 724
sā me dhukṣva yajamānāya kāmān
(°śva sarvān bhūtīkāmān), 309
sāmṛājyāya prataram (°rām) dadhānah,
823
sāmṛājyāya sukratuh (°tū), 765
sā vā apaśyāj janitāram agre, 780
sāvitrīm bho (°rim me bhavān) anu
brūhi (bravitu), 326
sā śāntātī (°tā, śāntāci) mayas karad
apa sridhah, 402, 550
sāhyāṃp dasyum tanūbhīh, 703
sībhīr (°hy) asī , 206
sīdhram adya diviṣṛśah (°śam), 388
sinivālyā aham devayajyā paśumān
(°matī) bhūyāsam, 849
sindhutas pary ābhṛtah (°tam), 387
sindhum (°dhur) na nāvā dūrītātī parṣi,
407
sindhur avabhṛtham avaprayan (ava-
bhṛthāyodyatah), 479
sindhoh śīśumārāh (śīśūm°), 618
simāh kṛnavantu (śamyantu) śamyān-
tīh, 789
sīdan yonā (°nau) vaniṣv ā, 221
sīrāh patātini śhana, 739
sukalpam agne tat tava (tvayā), 583
sukṛtam nau saha, 766
sukṛtam mā deveṣu brūtāt, 647, 724
sukham (sugam) meṣūya meṣyai (°ye),
209
sugam panthānam āruksam, 283
sugārhapatyo vidahann arātīh (vita-
pann arātīm), 703
sugā vo devāh sadanā (°nam) akarma
(kṛnomi, sadanāni santu, sadanedam
astu), 164, 378, 698
sugrhapatir mayā (°tis tvam) grha-
patinā (°tyā) bhūyāh, 219
sugebhīr (°gena) durgam atītām, 704
sucakṣā aham akṣīhyām (akṣī°) bhū-
yāsam, 189
sujyotir jyotiṣā (°śām) svāhā, 583
suta (°tā) indrāya vāyave, 693
sutam (°tah) somam (°mo) diviṣṛṣu,
387
sutāsa (°teṣv) indra girvanah, 452
sutrāmendre (°ram) sarasvatī, 535
sudakṣā dakṣapitarā (°tārā), 253
sudughendre (°ram) sarasvatī, 526
sudughe mātārā (°rau) mahī, 134
sudevam indre aśvinā (indrāyāśvinā),
639
sudhīn yonin suśadām pṛthivīm (°vi)
svāhā, 550, 707
suparno avyathur (°thi) bharat, 214
supippalā oṣadhīh kartanāsmē (kartam
asmai, °asme), 721
suprajāh prajābhī syām (°jayā bhūyā-
sam) suviro vīraiḥ supoṣah poṣaiḥ
(prajābhīr bhūyāsam supoṣah poṣaiḥ
suviro vīraiḥ), 702
suprajāh prajābhī syāma suvirā vīraiḥ
supoṣah poṣaiḥ (prajāyā bhūyāsam
suviro vīraiḥ supoṣah poṣaiḥ), 702
suprāvyē (°yā) yajamānāya sunvate,
429
supṛito manuṣo viśi (°śe), 101, 654
subīrana ṣṛja-ṣṛja śunaka, 336
subbagamkarani mama, 851

- svayā tanvā tanvam airayat, 236, 240, 604
 svayonim gacha svāhā, 784
 svargaṁ (°gān, svagān) arvanto (arvato) jayema (jayata, jayatah, °ti), 350, 401, 698
 svargam me lokam yajamānāya dhehi, 72, 103, 482, 533
 svargān ., *see prec. but one*
 svargena lokena samprorṇuvāthām, 601
 suvargeyāya (svar°) śaktyai (°yā), 182, 557
 svarge loke ṛṇvamāno bibhartu, 457
 svarge loke prorṇuvāthām (°nvāthām, °nuvātām), 601
 suvarge loke yajamānam hi dhehi (°hi mām), 72, 103, 482, 533
 suvarge (svarge) loke samprorṇuvāthām (°nuvāthām), 601
 svargyāya śaktyā (°taye), 182, 557
 svar devā (devān) aganma (agāma), 340, 349, 401
 svarpatim yad im vṛdhe, 397
 svasā devī (°vānām) subhagā mekhalayam, 322, 330
 svasāro mātariḥsvarī ariprāh, 770
 svasārau mātariśvarī (°bhvarī) aripree, 770
 svastidā viśas (°śām) patih, 698
 svastī na indro maghavān kṛnotu, 266
 svastī nah pūnamukhah (°kham) pari krāmatu (krāmantu), 404, 815
 svastī no maghavā karotu, 266
 svastī no maghavā dhātṛ indrah, 266
 svastī mā sam vahāsyā yajñasyodṛci svāhā, 523, 526, 666
 svastī mā sampārāya (°yāsyā yajñasyodṛcam), 523, 526, 666
 svas te dadāmi, 641
 svasty apsu vṛjane (vraj°) svarvati (°tah), 683
 svasty uttarān (°rañ, °rāny) aśīya, 837
 svas (suvas) tvayi dadhāmi, 641
 svām yat tanūm tanvām airayata, 236, 240
 svām yonim gacha svāhā, 784
 svām yonim ihāsadah, 784
 svāmkrto 'si, 824
 svā tanūr baladeyāya mehi (baladāyā na ehi), 723
 svāttam sad dhavir āpo devih svadantu, 327
 svāttam cit sad devam havyam āpo devih svadatainam, 327
 svāna bhrājāñghāre bambhāre hasta suhasta kṛśāno, 341
 svāna bhrāt, āñghārī bambhārī, ha-tah suhastah, kṛśānur viśvāvasuh, 341
 svān nabhrād āñghāre bambhāre hasta suhasta kṛśāno, 341
 svā yat tanū tanvam airayata, 236, 240, 604
 svāyām yat tanvām (°nuvām) tanūm airayata, 236, 240, 604
 svāyām tanū (°nūn) ṛtvīye (°vye) ., 240, 249a
 svāyudhah sotṛbhūh pūyate vṛṣā (°bhūh soma sūyase), 328
 svāveśayā (°śā) tanvā samviśasva, 174, 415
 svāveśo 'sy agregā netṛnām (°nām), 252
 svāśur aśvah (°vā) suyāmi (yāmi), 779
 svāśasthas (°thā) tanuvā samviśasva, 849
 svābhūktibhyah (°tibhyah) preṣya, 188
 svāhāgnim na bheṣajam (°jaih), 459, 704
 svāhāgnim, 126, 485
 svāhā devā (°vān) ājyapāh (°pān), 126, 377
 svāhā pūṣne śarase, 655
 svāhā prajāpataye (°tim), 485
 svāhā marudbhūh (°bhuyah) ., 559
 svāhā yajñam manasah (°śi, °si, jajñamanasah), 570, 607
 svāhā rājasūyāh (°yāya citānāh, rājasvah), 492, 742
 svāhā vanaspatim priyam pātho na bheṣajam (°jaih), 459, 704
 svāhā vāce, 655
 svāhā viśvān devān (viśvebhyo devebhyah), 485

- somah prathamam vivide, 404, 449, 815
 somam rājānam oṣadhiṣv apsu, 374
 somam sa maitasyai ("yā) diśo , 149
 somam āhur viśno aśvasya retah, 392
 somam pibātam madyam dhṛtavratā ("tau), 131
 somam piba vṛtrahā śūra ("hañ chūra) vidvān, 323, 335
 somaś ca yo brāhmanān ("nam) āyiveśa, 695
 somasya jāyā prathamam, 404, 449, 815
 somasya rājānah kulungah, 618
 somasya śuśmah surayā ("rāyām) sutasya, 601
 somasyāgne vihi, 693
 somasyāham devayajayā reto dhi-
 śiya (dheṣ"), 445
 somā arṣanti ("tu) viṣnave, 693
 somā divyānu pāṛthivā, 693
 somānam ("nām) avaranam, 509
 somānām agne vihi, 693
 somāya kulungah (kulañ"), 618
 somāya rājñe kulungah, 618
 somena tvāstanacmīndrāya dadhi, 621
 somo arṣati viṣnave, 693
 somo ahnah ("nām) prataritośaso ("sām) divah, 709
 somo divyānu pāṛthivā, 693
 somo no rājāvatu mānuṣiḥ prajā nivī-
 śacakraśau ("kra asau), 454
 somo rayim sahvaviram nī yansat, 785
 somo rājā rājapati mayi dadhātu (yajamānāya dadātu) , 641
 somo rājauṣadhiṣv apsu, 374
 somo rādhasām ("sā), 588, 704
 somo rudrar ("rebhur) abhi rakṣatu tmanā, 169
 somo retodhās tasyāham devayajayā suretodhā reto dhiśiya, 445
 soruh satī na nūvartate, 849
 so 'smān devo aryamā, 312
 so 'smān pātu, 724
 so 'syai ("yāh) prajān muñcatu mṛtyu-
 pśāt, 622
 so 'hañ vājān saneyam (sanāmy) agne ("neh), 363
 skannemā viśvā bhuvanā, 163
 stanī mandras suprayakṣuh, 458
 stotāra ("ṛbhya) indra gīrvanah, 427
 stomatrayastrinśe (stomas traya-
 strinśe) bhuvanasya patni ("ni), 342
 stomena ("mebhur) prati bhūṣati (bh°
 pr°), 690
 stomebhur viśvacarṣanīm ("ne), 346
 stomebhur havanaśrutam ("tā), 346, 765
 stome saptadaśe stutam ("tāh), 402
 stomair vardhantī atrayah, 474
 stomo yajñas ca ("ñasya) rādhyo havi-
 śmatā ("tah), 449, 591
 striyah satīś tām (tā) u me punsa ābuh, 826
 spardhante dhiyah (divah) sūrye na (sūre na, sūryena) viśah, 606
 sphātyai tvā nārītyai, 182
 syāma te sumatāv api, 311
 syāma sumatau tava, 311
 syāma sumnasyādhṛigo (sumne te adh-
 rigo), 675
 syonam annam madhuman me kṛnōmi, 309
 syonam patye ("tibhyo) vahatūm kṛnu-
 śva (kṛnu tvam), 702
 srucāh saṁmṛddhi, 770
 srucājyāni ("yena) juhvatāh (srucā-
 nyena juhvatā), 466, 694
 srucīva gṛhtaṁ camvīva (camū iva) somah, 245
 srucāu saṁmṛddhi, 770
 srucāu ca srucāś ca saṁmṛddhi, 770
 svahpatir yadi vṛdhe, 397
 svam yonū gachā svāhā, 784
 svam yonū ihāsadaḥ, 784
 svagān , see svargān
 svagā vo devāh sadanam akarma (sada-
 nām santu), 164, 378, 698
 svamkṛto 'si, 824
 svam jarāyu gaur iva, 793
 svadhā pītāmāhīya ("hebhyah), 702
 svadhā pitre (pitṛbhyaḥ), 702
 svadhā prapītāmāhīya ("hebhyah), 702
 svapnah svapnādhikarane (svapna
 svapnābhikaranena, "dhi"), 342, 601
 svayambhūr aśi śreṣṭho rāsmih , 84, 439

- svāhā sarasvatīm (°tʃai), 485
 svāhā somam indriyam (°yahi), 459, 705
 svaṣṭam suhutam karotu svāhā (°tu nah svāhā), 724
 sve dakṣe (svair dakṣair) dakṣapiteha sida, 62, 100, 603, 705
 svo (suvo) rubhānū adhī nākam (°ka) uttamam (°me), 526
 hatas te atrinā krimih (kṛm°), 703
 haṭo me pāpmā, 380
 hanubhyām (hanu°) stenān bhagavah, 230
 hanūbhyām (hanu°) svāhā, 230
 hantāram bhaṅgurāvatām (°taḥ), 703
 hanti rakṣo būdhatē pary arātīh (°tim), 703
 hayaṣya chāgasyosrasya candravapūnām medasah preṣya (°so 'nubruhi), 694
 haṣamānāso dhṛṣṭā (°ṣatā) marutvah, 156, 265, 417
 haṣamānā dhṛṣṭāso marutvan, 156, 265, 417
 havanaśrun no rudreha bodhi, 228
 haviṣā yajña (°nām) indriyam (°yahi), 19, 473, 549, 705
 haviṣ (°vih) kṛnavantah parivatsarinam (°nām, °riyam), 838
 havyah (°yam) pārāvatebhyah, 407
 havyam marīṣa indhate, 457, 689
 havyā marṣeṣu ranyati, 457, 689
 havāyāsmāi vodhave (°vai) jātavedah, 238
 hastagrābhasya didhūṣo (da°) tavedam (tvam etat), 449
 hastacyuti (°tam) janayanta (°yata) praśastam, 477
 hastāya (°tena) vajrah prati dhāyī darśatah, 562
 hastau pṛnasya bahubhir vasavya h, 132, 704
 hastyāśvāsvataṛai rathaiḥ (hastyāśv. - digave ratham), 459
 hāvanaśrūr no rudreha bodhi, 228
 hinva (°vū) me gātrū (°rāni) harivah, 166
 himavate (°to) hastī (°tinam), 126, 376, 618
 hiranyapakṣah śakṛinīh, 329
 hiranyaparna śakunc, 329
 hiranyapanir amimīta sukratuh kṛpā (°pāt) svah (suvah), 572
 hiranyayāh (°yā) śucayo dhṛapūṭāh, 402
 hiraṇyārūpam (°pā) uṣaso vyuṣṭau (viroke), 402, 709
 hiraṇyavarṇam uṣaso vyuṣṭau, 402, 709
 hiraṇyavarṇah śakunah, 329
 hiraṇyavarṇāv uṣasām viroke, 402, 709
 hiraṇyavarṇā (°ne) subhagā (°ge), 329
 hiraṇyābhīśum (°śur) aśvinā, 387
 hiraṇyena varcānsi (°cah), 704
 hutādām agnim yam u kāmam ābuh, 396
 hṛtsu kratum varunam (°no) vikṣv (apsv, dikṣv) agnim, 399
 hemantaśīśirūbhyām tvaṛtunā (°nām) haviṣā dikṣayāmi, 581
 hemantaśīśirāv (°rā) rtū (ṛtūnām), 438
 hotāś cikṛitvo (°vann) avṛṇimahiḥ, 265, 349
 hotā yakṣat tanūnapūt sarasvatīm (°ti), 398
 hotā yakṣat sarasvatīm meṣasya (°syā), 779
 hotā yakṣad aśvinā (°nau) chāgasya, 134
 hotā yakṣad aśvinau (°nā) sarasvatīm, 134
 hotṛṣadanam haritam hiraṇyayam, 727
 hotṛṣadanā haritāh suvarṇāh, 727
 hotṛābhīr agne (°nir) manusah svadhvarah, 329
 bradāś ca (°do vā) pundarikākāni (°ka-vān), 698
 hrādunibhyah (°nibh°) svāhā, 188
 hrādunir dūṣikābhīh, 709